

INSTG059: Concepts and Contexts

[View Online](#)

[1]

Abraham, T. 1991. Collection Policy or Documentation Strategy: Theory and Practice. *The American Archivist*. 54, 1 (Jan. 1991), 44–52.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.54.1.v2323483077xr227>.

[2]

Abrams, S. et al. 2009. Preservation Is Not a Place. *International Journal of Digital Curation*. 4, 1 (Jun. 2009). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2218/ijdc.v4i1.72>.

[3]

Acker, A. 2015. How cells became records: standardization and infrastructure in tissue culture. *Archival Science*. 15, 1 (Mar. 2015), 1–24.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-013-9213-x>.

[4]

Adami, T.A. and Hunt, M. 2005. Genocidal Archives: The African Context—Genocide in Rwanda. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 26, 1 (Apr. 2005), 105–121.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00039810500047557>.

[5]

Alexander, P. and Samuels, H. 1987. The Roots of 128: A Hypothetical Documentation Strategy. *The American Archivist*. 50, 4 (Oct. 1987), 518–531.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.50.4.v889q1182r11p36u>.

[6]

Altman, B. and Nemmers, J. 2001. The Usability of On-line Archival Resources: The Polaris Project Finding Aid. *The American Archivist*. 64, 1 (Jan. 2001), 121–131.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.64.1.80300272655rqu74>.

[7]

Amelia Acker 2014. Death, Memorialization, and Social Media: A Platform Perspective for Personal Archives. *Archivaria*. 77, (2014), 1–23.

[8]

An Analysis of Twitter and Facebook Use by the Archival Community:
<http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13298/14609>.

[9]

Anderson, S. and Allen, R. 2009. Envisioning the Archival Commons. *The American Archivist*. 72, 2 (Sep. 2009), 383–400.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.72.2.g54085061q586416>.

[10]

Anna Chen 2014. Disorder: Vocabularies of Hoarding in Personal Digital Archiving Practices. *Archivaria*. 78, (2014), 115–134.

[11]

Archival Descriptions through the Looking Glass: Paratexts in Wonderland | *The American Archivist*:
<http://americanarchivist.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.17723/0360-9081.79.1.137>.

[12]

Archival Theory and Practice: Between Two Paradigms: .

[13]

Archival Theory and the Dutch Manual: .

[14]

Arias, P.B. 2008. Appraising the value of statistical records of the European Central Bank for retention scheduling purposes. *Records Management Journal*. 18, 3 (2008), 205–220. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565690810916456>.

[15]

Atherton, Jay 1985. From Life Cycle to Continuum: Some Thoughts on the Records Management - Archives Relationship. *Archivaria*. 21 (1985).

[16]

ATSILIRN - Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Library and Information Resource Network: .

[17]

Bailey, C. Past Imperfect? Reflections on the Evolution of Canadian Federal Government Records Appraisal.

[18]

Bailey, S. and Vidyarthi, J. 2010. Human-computer interaction: the missing piece of the records management puzzle? *Records Management Journal*. 20, 3 (2010), 279–290. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691011095300>.

[19]

Bak, G. 2016. Trusted by whom? TDRs, standards culture and the nature of trust. *Archival Science*. 16, 4 (Dec. 2016), 373–402. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9257-1>.

[20]

Baker, S. and Huber, A. 2013. Notes towards a typology of the DIY institution: Identifying do-it-yourself places of popular music preservation. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*. 16, 5 (Oct. 2013), 513–530. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1367549413491721>.

[21]

Barbara Reed Beyond Perceived Boundaries: Imagining the potential of pluralised recordkeeping.

[22]

Bastian, J. 2001. A Question of Custody: The Colonial Archives of the United States Virgin Islands. *The American Archivist*. 64, 1 (Jan. 2001), 96–114. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.64.1.h6k872252u2gr377>.

[23]

Bastian, J. 2002. Taking Custody, Giving Access: A Postcustodial Role for a New Century. *Archivaria*. 53 (2002).

[24]

Bastian, Jeannette Allis and Alexander, Ben 2009. Community archives: the shaping of memory. *Facet*.

[25]

Bastian, Jeannette Allis and Alexander, Ben 2009. Community archives: the shaping of memory. *Facet*.

[26]

Bazerman, C. 2012. The orders of documents, the orders of activity, and the orders of information. *Archival Science*. 12, 4 (Dec. 2012), 377–388. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-012-9178-1>.

[27]

Bearman, D. (2006), "Moments of risk: identifying threats to electronic records", *Archivaria*, Vol. 62, pp. 15-42. *Archivaria* 62 (Fall 2006): <http://archivaria.ca/index.php/archivaria/issue/view/432>.

[28]

Benedict, Karen M. and Society of American Archivists 2003. *Ethics and the archival profession: introduction and case studies*. Society of American Archivists.

[29]

Birkinshaw, P. 2010. Freedom of information and its impact in the United Kingdom. *Government Information Quarterly*. 27, 4 (Oct. 2010), 312-321. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2010.06.006>.

[30]

Birkinshaw, P. 2010. *Freedom of information: the law, the practice and the ideal*. Cambridge University Press.

[31]

Birkinshaw, P. 2010. *Freedom of information: the law, the practice and the ideal*. Cambridge University Press.

[32]

Birkinshaw, P. 2005. *Government and information: the law relating to access, disclosure and their regulation*. Tottel.

[33]

Birkinshaw, P. 2005. *Government and information: the law relating to access, disclosure and their regulation*. Tottel.

[34]

Blais, G. 1995. *Access to Archival Records: A Review of Current Issues: A RAMP Study*.

UNESCO.

[35]

Boles, F. and Young, J. 1985. Exploring the Black Box: The Appraisal of University Administrative Records. *The American Archivist*. 48, 2 (Apr. 1985), 121–140. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.48.2.1414g624328868vw>.

[36]

Boles, Frank 2005. Selecting & appraising archives & manuscripts. *Society of American Archivists*.

[37]

Broady-Preston, J. 2010. The information professional of the future: polymath or dinosaur? *Library Management*. 31, 1/2 (2010), 66–78. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/01435121011013412>.

[38]

Brothman, B. 1999. Declining Derrida: Integrity, Tensegrity, and the Preservation of Archives from Deconstruction. *Archivaria*. 48, (1999).

[39]

Buchanan, A. and Bastian, M. 2015. Activating the archive: rethinking the role of traditional archives for local activist projects. *Archival Science*. 15, 4 (Dec. 2015), 429–451. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9247-3>.

[40]

Bunn, J. 2013. Developing descriptive standards: a renewed call to action. *Archives and Records*. 34, 2 (Oct. 2013), 235–247. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/23257962.2013.830066>.

[41]

Bunn, J. 2013. Questioning autonomy: an alternative perspective on the principles which govern archival description. *Archival Science*. (Mar. 2013). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-013-9200-2>.

[42]

Butler, B. 2009. 'Othering' the archive—from exile to inclusion and heritage dignity: the case of Palestinian archival memory. *Archival Science*. 9, 1–2 (Jun. 2009), 57–69. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-009-9095-0>.

[43]

Caron, D.J. and Kellerhals, A. 2013. Archiving for self-ascertainment, identity-building and permanent self-questioning: archives between scepticism and certitude. *Archival Science*. 13, 2–3 (Jun. 2013), 207–216. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-012-9189-y>.

[44]

Carvalho, C. 2001. Appraisal based on organic functional analysis: a case study in an electronic records environment. *Records Management Journal*. 11, 3 (2001), 175–205. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM0000000007274>.

[45]

Caswell, M. 2014. Defining human rights archives: introduction to the special double issue on archives and human rights. *Archival Science*. 14, 3–4 (Oct. 2014), 207–213. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9226-0>.

[46]

Caswell, M. 2013. Not Just Between Us: A Riposte to Mark Greene / Letter to the Editor. *American Archivist*. 76, 2 (2013).

[47]

Caswell, M. 2014. Toward a survivor-centered approach to records documenting human rights abuse: lessons from community archives. *Archival Science*. 14, 3–4 (Oct. 2014), 307–322. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9220-6>.

[48]

Caswell, M. 2014. Toward a survivor-centered approach to records documenting human rights abuse: lessons from community archives. *Archival Science*. 14, 3–4 (Oct. 2014), 307–322. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9220-6>.

[49]

Caswell, M. and Mallick, S. 2014. Collecting the easily missed stories: digital participatory microhistory and the South Asian American Digital Archive. *Archives and Manuscripts*. 42, 1 (Jan. 2014), 73–86. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2014.880931>.

[50]

Caswell, ML 2016. Seeing Yourself in History: Community Archives in the Fight Against Symbolic Annihilation. *The Public Historian: a journal of public history*. (2016).

[51]

Catherine Hobbs 2001. The Character of Personal Archives: Reflections on the Value of Records of Individuals. *Archivaria*. 52, (2001).

[52]

Ceeney, N. 2008. The Role of a 21st-century National Archive—The Relevance of the Jenkinsonian Tradition, and a Redefinition for the Information Society. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 29, 1 (Apr. 2008), 57–71. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810802499868>.

[53]

Chapman, A. 2004. Collection-level description: Joining up the domains. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 25, 2 (Oct. 2004), 149–155. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/0037981042000271475>.

[54]

Christen, K. 2011. Opening Archives: Respectful Repatriation. *The American Archivist*. 74, 1 (Apr. 2011), 185–210. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.74.1.4233nv6nv6428521>.

[55]

Christen, K. 2011. Opening Archives: Respectful Repatriation. *The American Archivist*. 74, 1 (Apr. 2011), 185–210. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.74.1.4233nv6nv6428521>.

[56]

Cifor, M. 2015. Affecting relations: introducing affect theory to archival discourse. *Archival Science*. (Nov. 2015). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9261-5>.

[57]

Cifor, M. and Gilliland, A.J. 2015. Affect and the archive, archives and their affects: an introduction to the special issue. *Archival Science*. (Nov. 2015). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9263-3>.

[58]

Cifor, Marika, C., Michelle 2016. 'To Suddenly Discover Yourself Existing': Uncovering the Impact of Community Archives. *American Archivist*. 79, 1 (2016).

[59]

Cline, S. 2012. "Dust Clouds of Camels Shall Cover You": Covenant and the Archival Endeavor. *American Archivist*. (2012).

[60]

Cline, S. 2009. 'To the Limit of Our Integrity': Reflections on Archival Being. *The American Archivist*. 72, 2 (Sep. 2009), 331–343. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.72.2.g0321510717r6j14>.

[61]

Clough, P. et al. 2011. Linking archival data to location: a case study at the UK National Archives. *Aslib Proceedings*. 63, 2/3 (2011), 127–147. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/00012531111135628>.

[62]

Cole, J. et al. 2011. GimpGirl grows up: Women with disabilities rethinking, redefining, and reclaiming community. *New Media & Society*. 13, 7 (Nov. 2011), 1161–1179.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444811398032>.

[63]

Cook, M. 2010. Appraisal and access: We should expect changes driven by the media and by public awareness. *Records Management Journal*. 20, 1 (2010), 72–77.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691011039843>.

[64]

Cook, M. 2006. Professional ethics and practice in archives and records management in a human rights context *. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 27, 1 (Apr. 2006), 1–15.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00039810600691205>.

[65]

Cook, T. 2001. Archival science and postmodernism: new formulations for old concepts. *Archival Science*. 1, 1 (Mar. 2001), 3–24. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02435636>.

[66]

Cook, T. 2007. *Electronic Records, Paper Minds: The Revolution in Information Management and Archives in the Post-Custodial and Post-Modernist Era*. Archives & Social Studies. (2007).

[67]

Cook, T. 2013. Evidence, memory, identity, and community: four shifting archival paradigms. *Archival Science*. 13, 2–3 (Jun. 2013), 95–120.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-012-9180-7>.

[68]

Cook, T. *Fashionable Nonsense of Professional Rebirth: Postmodernism and the Practice of*

Archives.

[69]

Cook, T. 2001. Fashionable Nonsense or Professional Rebirth: Postmodernism and the Practice of Archives. *Archivaria*. 51, (2001).

[70]

Cook, T. 2006. Macroappraisal in Theory and Practice: Origins, Characteristics, and Implementation in Canada, 1950–2000. *Archival Science*. 5, 2–4 (Sep. 2006), 101–161. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-005-9010-2>.

[71]

Cook, T. 2011. 'We Are What We Keep; We Keep What We Are': Archival Appraisal Past, Present and Future. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 32, 2 (Oct. 2011), 173–189. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379816.2011.619688>.

[72]

Cook, T. and Schwartz, J.M. 2002. Archives, records, and power: From (postmodern) theory to (archival) performance. *Archival Science*. 2, 3–4 (Sep. 2002), 171–185. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02435620>.

[73]

Cook, Terry 1993. The Concept of the Archival Fonds in the Post-Custodial Era: Theory, Problems and Solutions. *Archivaria*. 35, (1993).

[74]

Cook, Terry 1997. What is Past is Prologue: A History of Archival Ideas Since 1898, and the Future Paradigm Shift. *Archivaria*. 43 (1997), 17–63.

[75]

Cook, Terry and Samuels, Helen Willa 2011. Controlling the past: documenting society and

institutions : essays in honor of Helen Willa Samuels. Society of American Archivists.

[76]

Cooper, D. 2015. House proud: an ethnography of the BC Gay and Lesbian Archives. Archival Science. (Jul. 2015). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9250-8>.

[77]

Council of Europe 2003. Access to official documents Recommendation Rec (2002) 2 of the Committee of Ministers and explanatory memorandum. Directorate General of Human Rights, Council of Europe.

[78]

Council of Europe - Council of Europe Convention on Access to Official Documents (CETS No. 205): 2009. .

[79]

Cox, R. and Samuels, H. 1988. The Archivist's First Responsibility: A Research Agenda to Improve the Identification and Retention of Records of Enduring Value. The American Archivist. 51, 1-2 (Jan. 1988), 28-42.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.51.1-2.gkw67424l3344ug8>.

[80]

Cox, Richard J. 2006. Ethics, accountability and recordkeeping in a dangerous world. Facet.

[81]

Cox, Richard J. 2006. Ethics, accountability and recordkeeping in a dangerous world. Facet.

[82]

Cox, Richard J. 2004. No innocent deposits: forming archives by rethinking appraisal.

Scarecrow Press.

[83]

Craig, Barbara Lazenby 2004. Archival appraisal: theory and practice. K.G. Saur.

[84]

Craven, Louise 2008. What are archives?: cultural and theoretical perspectives : a reader. Ashgate.

[85]

Creighton Barrett 2013. Respect Which Fonds? Personal Archives and Family Businesses in Nova Scotia. *Archivaria*. 76, (2013), 75–92.

[86]

Cumming, K. 2010. Ways of seeing: contextualising the continuum. *Records Management Journal*. 20, 1 (2010), 41–52. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691011036224>.

[87]

Cumming, K. and Findlay, C. 2010. Digital recordkeeping: are we at a tipping point? *Records Management Journal*. 20, 3 (2010), 265–278. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691011095292>.

[88]

Cumming, K. and Picot, A. 2014. Reinventing appraisal. *Archives and Manuscripts*. 42, 2 (May 2014), 133–145. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2014.926824>.

[89]

Cunningham, A. 2008. Digital Curation/Digital Archiving: A View from the National Archives of Australia. *The American Archivist*. 71, 2 (Sep. 2008), 530–543. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.71.2.p0h0t68547385507>.

[90]

Currall, J. and Moss, M. 2008. We are archivists, but are we OK? *Records Management Journal*. 18, 1 (2008), 69–91. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565690810858532>.

[91]

Cynthia K. Sauer 2001. Doing the Best We Can? The Use of Collection Development Policies and Cooperative Collecting Activities at Manuscript Repositories. *The American Archivist*. 64, 2 (2001), 308–349.

[92]

Daines, J.G. and Nimer, C.L. 2011. Re-Imagining Archival Display: Creating User-Friendly Finding Aids. *Journal of Archival Organization*. 9, 1 (Jan. 2011), 4–31. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/15332748.2011.574019>.

[93]

Daniel, D. 2013. Archival representations of immigration and ethnicity in North American history: from the ethnicization of archives to the archivization of ethnicity. *Archival Science*. (Aug. 2013). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-013-9209-6>.

[94]

Daniels, M. and Yakel, E. 2010. Seek and You May Find: Successful Search in Online Finding Aid Systems. *The American Archivist*. 73, 2 (Sep. 2010), 535–568. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.73.2.p578900680650357>.

[95]

Danielson, Elena S. 2010. The ethical archivist. *Society of American Archivists*.

[96]

Derrida, Jacques and Prenowitz, Eric 1996. *Archive fever: a Freudian impression*. University of Chicago Press.

[97]

Dever, M. 2014. Photographs and manuscripts: working in the archive. *Archives and Manuscripts*. 42, 3 (Sep. 2014), 282–294.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2014.956322>.

[98]

Dingwall, G. 2004. Trusting Archivists: The Role of Archival Ethics Codes in Establishing Public Faith. *The American Archivist*. 67, 1 (Jan. 2004), 11–30.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.67.1.mw0914r2p52xx2t4>.

[99]

Diplomatics: New Uses for an Old Science 1: 1989. .

[100]

Diplomatics: New Uses for an Old Science 2: 1989. .

[101]

Diplomatics: New Uses for an Old Science 3: 1990. .

[102]

Diplomatics: New Uses for an Old Science 4: 1990. .

[103]

Diplomatics: New Uses for an Old Science 5: 1991. .

[104]

Dirks, J. 2004. Accountability, History, and Archives: Conflicting Priorities or Synthesized Strands? *Archivaria*. 57, (2004).

[105]

Dominy, G. 2005. 'We must remember our past so that we do not repeat it' Archiving and Accessing the Records of the South African Truth and Reconciliation Commission. (2005).

[106]

Duchemin, M. 1992. The History of European Archives and the Development of the Archival Profession in Europe. *The American Archivist*. 55, 1 (Jan. 1992), 14-25.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.55.1.k17n44g856577888>.

[107]

Duff, W. and Johnson, C. 2003. Where Is the List with All the Names? Information-Seeking Behavior of Genealogists. *The American Archivist*. 66, 1 (Jan. 2003), 79-95.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.66.1.l375uj047224737n>.

[108]

Duff, W.M. et al. 2013. Social justice impact of archives: a preliminary investigation. *Archival Science*. 13, 4 (Jan. 2013). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-012-9198-x>.

[109]

Duff, W.M. and Harris, V. 2002. Stories and names: Archival description as narrating records and constructing meanings. *Archival Science*. 2, 3-4 (Sep. 2002), 263-285.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02435625>.

[110]

Duranti, L. Archives as place. *Archives & Social Studies: A Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*.

[111]

Duranti, L. 2010. Concepts and principles for the management of electronic records, or records management theory is archival diplomatics. *Records Management Journal*. 20, 1

(2010), 78–95. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691011039852>.

[112]

Duranti, L. 1992. Origin and Development of the Concept of Archival Description | Duranti | *Archivaria*. *Archivaria*. 35, (1992).

[113]

Duranti, Luciana 1998. *Diplomatics: new uses for an old science*. Scarecrow Press.

[114]

Duranti, Luciana et al. 2008. International research on permanent authentic records in electronic systems (InterPARES) 2: Experimental, interactive and dynamic records. CLEUP.

[115]

Duranti, Luciana and Thibodeau, Kenneth 2006. The Concept of Record in Interactive, Experiential and Dynamic Environments: the View of InterPARES*. *Archival Science*. 6, 1 (Oct. 2006), 13–68. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-006-9021-7>.

[116]

Eastwood, T. and MacNeil, H. 2010. *Currents of archival thinking*. Libraries Unlimited.

[117]

EICHHORN, K. 2010. D.I.Y. Collectors, Archiving Scholars, and Activist Librarians: Legitimizing Feminist Knowledge and Cultural Production Since 1990. *Women's Studies*. 39, 6 (Jul. 2010), 622–646. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00497878.2010.490716>.

[118]

Eito-Brun, R. 2014. Context-based aggregation of archival data: the role of authority records in the semantic landscape. *Archival Science*. (Feb. 2014). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9215-3>.

[119]

Elizabeth, G. and Rebecca, S. 'Golden Age,' Quiescence, and Revival: How the Sociology of Professions Became the Study of Knowledge-Based Work.

[120]

Elke Zobl 2009. Cultural Production, Transnational Networking, and Critical Reflection in Feminist Zines. *Signs*. 35, 1 (2009), 1–12. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1086/599256>.

[121]

Evans, J. et al. 2015. Self-determination and archival autonomy: advocating activism. *Archival Science*. 15, 4 (Dec. 2015), 337–368. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9244-6>.

[122]

Eveleigh, A. 2012. Welcoming the World: An Exploration of Participatory Archives. (2012).

[123]

Eveleigh, A. 2012. Welcoming the World: An Exploration of Participatory Archives, ICA Congress Brisbane 2012.

[124]

Evidence of me . . . (first published in *Archives and Manuscripts*, 24 (1) 1996.): .

[125]

Exploring Heritage in Participatory Culture: The MuseumApp | [museumsandtheweb.com](http://www.museumsandtheweb.com): http://www.museumsandtheweb.com/mw2011/papers/exploring_heritage_in_participatory_culture_th.

[126]

Fear, K. 2010. User Understanding of Metadata in Digital Image Collections: Or, What Exactly Do You Mean by 'Coverage'? *The American Archivist*. 73, 1 (May 2010), 26–60. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.73.1.j00044lr77415551>.

[127]

Fear, K. and Donaldson, D.R. 2012. Provenance and credibility in scientific data repositories. *Archival Science*. 12, 3 (Sep. 2012), 319–339. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-012-9172-7>.

[128]

Findlay, C. 2016. Archival activism. *Archives and Manuscripts*. 44, 3 (Sep. 2016), 155–159. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2016.1263964>.

[129]

Findlay, C. 2013. People, records and power: what archives can learn from WikiLeaks. *Archives and Manuscripts*. 41, 1 (Mar. 2013), 7–22. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2013.779926>.

[130]

Findlay, C. 2013. People, records and power: what archives can learn from WikiLeaks. *Archives and Manuscripts*. 41, 1 (Mar. 2013), 7–22. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2013.779926>.

[131]

Flanagan, M. and Carini, P. 2012. How Games Can Help Us Access and Understand Archival Images. *The American Archivist*. 75, 2 (Oct. 2012), 514–537. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.75.2.b424537w27970gu4>.

[132]

Flinn, A. 2011. Archival Activism: Independent and Community-led Archives, Radical Public History and the Heritage Professions [eScholarship]. *interActions UCLA Journal of Education and Information Studies*. 7, 2 (2011).

[133]

Flinn, A. 2011. Chapter 8: The impact of independent and community archives on professional archival thinking and practice. *The future of archives and recordkeeping: a reader*. Facet. 145–169.

[134]

Flinn, A. 2007. Community Histories, Community Archives: Some Opportunities and Challenges. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 28, 2 (Oct. 2007), 151–176.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810701611936>.

[135]

Flinn, A. 2007. Community Histories, Community Archives: Some Opportunities and Challenges¹. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 28, 2 (Oct. 2007), 151–176.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810701611936>.

[136]

Flinn, A. 2010. Independent Community Archives and Community-Generated Content: 'Writing, Saving and Sharing our Histories'. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies*. 16, 1 (Feb. 2010), 39–51.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856509347707>.

[137]

Flinn, A. 2011. The Impact of independent and community archives on professional archival thinking and practice. *The future of archives and recordkeeping: a reader*. Facet.

[138]

Flinn, A. et al. 2009. Whose memories, whose archives? Independent community archives, autonomy and the mainstream. *Archival Science*. 9, 1–2 (Oct. 2009), 71–86.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-009-9105-2>.

[139]

Flinn, A. and Alexander, B. 2015. "Humanizing an inevitability political craft": Introduction to the special issue on archiving activism and activist archiving. *Archival Science*. 15, 4 (Dec. 2015), 329–335. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9260-6>.

[140]

Flinn, A. and Pick, G. 2009. Diversifying and deomcratising archive collections - an agenda for transformation. *Embedding shared heritage: the Heritage Diversity Task Force report*. Greater London Authority.

[141]

Flinn, A. and Stevens, M. 2009. "It is noh mistri, wi mekin histri". *Telling Our Own Story: Independent and Community Archives in the United Kingdom, Challenging and Subverting the Mainstream*. *Community archives: the shaping of memory*. Facet.

[142]

Flinn, Andrew 2011. Archival Activism: Independent and Community-led Archives, Radical Public History and the Heritage Professions. *Interactions: UCLA journal of education and information studies*. 7, 2 (2011).

[143]

FORTIER, N. 2005. Transparency, Compliance, and Accountability: Developing a Knowledge Infrastructure for Macroappraisal at Library and Archives Canada. *Archival Science*. 5, 2–4 (Dec. 2005), 343–360. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-005-9005-z>.

[144]

From Digital Diplomats to Digital Records Forensics:
<http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13229/14548>.

[145]

Fryer, C. 2015. Project to Production: Digital Preservation at the Houses of Parliament, 2010–2020. *International Journal of Digital Curation*. 10, 2 (Jun. 2015). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2218/ijdc.v10i2.378>.

[146]

Gale, C. 2006. Record-keeping as an ethical imperative. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 27, 1 (Apr. 2006), 17–27. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00039810600691221>.

[147]

Gartner, R. 2015. An XML schema for enhancing the semantic interoperability of archival description. *Archival Science*. 15, 3 (Sep. 2015), 295–313. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9225-1>.

[148]

Gauld, C. 2015. Democratising or privileging: the democratisation of knowledge and the role of the archivist. *Archival Science*. (Nov. 2015). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9262-4>.

[149]

Geoffrey Yeo The Conceptual Fonds and the Physical Collection.

[150]

Gilliland, A. 2011. Neutrality, social justice and the obligations of archival education and educators in the twenty-first century. *Archival Science*. 11, 3–4 (Aug. 2011), 193–209. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-011-9147-0>.

[151]

Gilliland, A. and Flinn, A. Community Archives: What are we really talking about? Nexus, Confluence, and Difference: Community Archives meets Community Informatics: Prato CIRN Conference Oct 28-30 2013,.

[152]

Gilliland, A.J. 2014. Moving past: probing the agency and affect of recordkeeping in individual and community lives in post-conflict Croatia. *Archival Science*. 14, 3–4 (Oct. 2014), 249–274. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9231-3>.

[153]

Gilliland, A.J. 2014. Moving past: probing the agency and affect of recordkeeping in individual and community lives in post-conflict Croatia. *Archival Science*. 14, 3–4 (Oct. 2014), 249–274. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9231-3>.

[154]

Gilliland, A.J. and Caswell, M. 2015. Records and their imaginaries: imagining the impossible, making possible the imagined. *Archival Science*. (Nov. 2015). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9259-z>.

[155]

Gilliland, A.J. and Halilovich, H. 2017. Migrating memories: transdisciplinary pedagogical approaches to teaching about diasporic memory, identity and human rights in archival studies. *Archival Science*. 17, 1 (Mar. 2017), 79–96. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-016-9265-9>.

[156]

Gilliland, Anne J The Role of Participatory Archives in Furthering Human Rights, Reconciliation and Recovery. *Atlanti: Review for Modern Archival Theory and Practice*,. 24.

[157]

Gracy, K.F. 2015. Archival description and linked data: a preliminary study of opportunities and implementation challenges. *Archival Science*. 15, 3 (Sep. 2015), 239–294. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9216-2>.

[158]

Gray, V. 2008. 'Who's that Knocking on Our Door?': Archives, Outreach and Community. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 29, 1 (Apr. 2008), 1–8. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810802499652>.

[159]

Green, L.J.F. 2007. Cultural heritage, archives & citizenship:

1. Critical

Arts. 21, 2 (Nov. 2007), 308–320. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/02560040701810073>.

[160]

Greene, M. 2013. A Critique of Social Justice as an Archival Imperative: What It We're Doing That's All That Important? *The American Archivist*. 76, 2 (Sep. 2013), 302–334. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.76.2.14744l214663kw43>.

[161]

Groth, P. et al. 2012. Requirements for Provenance on the Web. *International Journal of Digital Curation*. 7, 1 (Mar. 2012). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2218/ijdc.v7i1.213>.

[162]

Guide to archival software: .

[163]

Halilovich, H. 2014. Reclaiming erased lives: archives, records and memories in post-war Bosnia and the Bosnian diaspora. *Archival Science*. 14, 3–4 (Oct. 2014), 231–247. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9227-z>.

[164]

Ham, G. 1981. Archival Strategies for the Post-Custodial Era. *The American Archivist*. 44–3, (1981).

[165]

Hamilton, Carolyn 2002. *Refiguring the archive*. Kluwer Academic.

[166]

Harris, V. 2014. Antonyms of our remembering. *Archival Science*. 14, 3–4 (Oct. 2014), 215–229. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9221-5>.

[167]

Harris, V. 1997. Claiming Less, Delivering More: A Critique of Positivist Formulations on Archives in South Africa. *Archivaria*. 44, (1997).

[168]

Harris, V. 2002. The archival sliver: Power, memory, and archives in South Africa. *Archival Science*. 2, 1–2 (Mar. 2002), 63–86. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02435631>.

[169]

Harvey, R. and Thompson, D. 2010. Automating the appraisal of digital materials. *Library Hi Tech*. 28, 2 (2010), 313–322. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/07378831011047703>.

[170]

Hawkins, D.T. 2013. Personal archiving: preserving our digital heritage. *Information Today, Inc.*

[171]

Heather, M. 2000. Conceptualizing an Authentic Electronic Record.

[172]

Henttonen, P. 2017. Privacy as an archival problem and a solution. *Archival Science*. 17, 3 (Sep. 2017), 285–303. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-017-9277-0>.

[173]

Higgins, S. 2011. Digital Curation: The Emergence of a New Discipline. *International Journal of Digital Curation*. 6, 2 (Oct. 2011). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2218/ijdc.v6i2.191>.

[174]

Hill, A. 2004. Serving the invisible researcher: Meeting the needs of online users. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 25, 2 (Oct. 2004), 139–148.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/0037981042000271466>.

[175]

Hill, Jennie 2011. *The future of archives and recordkeeping: a reader*. Facet.

[176]

Hohmann, P. 2016. On Impartiality and Interrelatedness: Reactions to Jenkinsonian Appraisal in the Twentieth Century. *The American Archivist*. 79, 1 (Jun. 2016), 14–25. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/0360-9081.79.1.14>.

[177]

Holmlund, Mona and Avery, Cheryl 2010. *Better off forgetting?: essays on archives, public policy, and collective memory*. University of Toronto Press.

[178]

Hopkins, I. 2008. Places From Which to Speak. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 29, 1 (Apr. 2008), 83–109. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810802515069>.

[179]

Hopkins, I. 2008. Places From Which to Speak. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 29, 1 (Apr. 2008), 83–109. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810802515069>.

[180]

Hurley, C. 2006. Archivists and Accountability. 34, 2 (2006).

[181]

Hurley, C. 2002. Recordkeeping, Document Destruction and the Law (Heiner, Enron and McCabe). 30, 2 (2002).

[182]

Huvila, I. 2008. Participatory archive: towards decentralised curation, radical user orientation, and broader contextualisation of records management. *Archival Science*. 8, 1 (Mar. 2008), 15–36. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-008-9071-0>.

[183]

Iacovino, L. 2010. Rethinking archival, ethical and legal frameworks for records of Indigenous Australian communities: a participant relationship model of rights and responsibilities. *Archival Science*. 10, 4 (Jun. 2010), 353–372. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-010-9120-3>.

[184]

Iacovino, L. 2010. Rethinking archival, ethical and legal frameworks for records of Indigenous Australian communities: a participant relationship model of rights and responsibilities. *Archival Science*. 10, 4 (Jun. 2010), 353–372. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-010-9120-3>.

[185]

Indigenous ways: assessing the awareness of and potential need for identifying content on indigenous world view in educating Libraries/Archives/Museum (LAM) entry level professionals: 2013. <http://library.ifla.org/108/>.

[186]

International Conference on the History of Records and Archives 2016. *Engaging with records and archives: histories and theories*. Facet Publishing.

[187]

International Conference on the History of Records and Archives 2016. *Engaging with records and archives: histories and theories*. Facet Publishing.

[188]

International Conference on the History of Records and Archives 2016. *Engaging with records and archives: histories and theories*. Facet Publishing.

[189]

International Council on Archives 1992. Statement of Principles Regarding Archival Description. *Archivaria*. 1, 34 (1992).

[190]

Ismail, A. and Jamaludin, A. 2011. Records professionals: an invisible profession in Malaysia. *Records Management Journal*. 21, 1 (2011), 69–75.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691111125116>.

[191]

Isto Huvila The Unbearable Lightness of Participating? Revisiting the discourses of 'participation' in archival literature.

[192]

Jacobsen, T. et al. 2013. Invoking "collective memory": mapping the emergence of a concept in archival science. *Archival Science*. 13, 2–3 (Jun. 2013), 217–251.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-013-9199-4>.

[193]

Jenkinson, H. 1965. A manual of archive administration. Lund, Humphries.

[194]

Jenkinson, Hilary 1948. The English archivist: a new profession. H.K. Lewis.

[195]

Jenkinson, Hilary and Carnegie Endowment for International Peace 1922. Manual of archive administration.

[196]

Jennifer Meehan 2010. Rethinking Original Order and Personal Records. *Archivaria*. 70, (2010), 27–44.

[197]

Jimerson, R. 2013. Archivists and Social Responsibility: A Response to Mark Greene. *The American Archivist*. 76, 2 (Sep. 2013), 335–345.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.76.2.2627p15350572t21>.

[198]

Jimerson, Randall C. and Society of American Archivists 2009. *Archives power: memory, accountability, and social justice*. Society of American Archivists.

[199]

Jimerson, R.C. 2006. Ethical Concerns for Archivists. *The Public Historian*. 28, 1 (Feb. 2006), 87–92. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1525/tph.2006.28.1.87>.

[200]

Jimerson, R.C. 2011. Ripples across the pond: global implications of the Heiner Affair. 39, 1 (2011).

[201]

Jonker, A.E.M. 2009. No Privileged Past—Acquisition Revisited. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 30, 1 (Apr. 2009), 67–80. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810903264625>.

[202]

Joseph, P. et al. 2012. Paradigm shifts in recordkeeping responsibilities: implications for ISO 15489's implementation. *Records Management Journal*. 22, 1 (2012), 57–75.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691211222108>.

[203]

Kahle, B. 2007. Universal Access to All Knowledge. *The American Archivist*. 70, 1 (Jan.

2007), 23–31. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.70.1.u114006770252845>.

[204]

Kalfatovic, M.R. et al. 2008. Smithsonian Team Flickr: a library, archives, and museums collaboration in web 2.0 space. *Archival Science*. 8, 4 (Dec. 2008), 267–277. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-009-9089-y>.

[205]

Kallberg, M. 2012. Archivists 2.0: redefining the archivist's profession in the digital age. *Records Management Journal*. 22, 2 (2012), 98–115. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691211268162>.

[206]

Kärberg, T. 2015. Digital preservation of knowledge in the public sector: a pre-ingest tool. *Archival Science*. 15, 1 (Mar. 2015), 83–95. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-013-9211-z>.

[207]

Ketelaar, E. 2008. Archives as Spaces of Memory. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 29, 1 (Apr. 2008), 9–27. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810802499678>.

[208]

Ketelaar, E. 2012. Cultivating archives: meanings and identities. *Archival Science*. 12, 1 (Mar. 2012), 19–33. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-011-9142-5>.

[209]

Kim, Y. and Ross, S. 2013. Closing the loop: Assisting archival appraisal and information retrieval in one sweep. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*. 50, 1 (2013), 1–10. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1002/meet.14505001042>.

[210]

Kirk, D. and Sellen, A. On Human Remains: Values and Practice in the Home Archiving of Cherished Objects. Microsoft Research.

[211]

Krebs, A.B. 2012. Native America's twenty-first-century right to know. *Archival Science*. 12, 2 (Jun. 2012), 173–190. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-011-9161-2>.

[212]

Kretzschmar, R. 2006. Archival Appraisal in Germany: A Decade of Theory, Strategies, and Practices. *Archival Science*. 5, 2–4 (Jul. 2006), 219–238. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-005-9014-y>.

[213]

Lager Vestberg, N. 2008. Archival Value. *Photographies*. 1, 1 (Mar. 2008), 49–65. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/17540760701786725>.

[214]

Lappin, J. 2010. What will be the next records management orthodoxy? *Records Management Journal*. 20, 3 (2010), 252–264. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691011095283>.

[215]

Lau, A.J. et al. 2012. NATURALIZING COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT IN INFORMATION STUDIES. *Information, Communication & Society*. 15, 7 (Sep. 2012), 991–1015. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2011.630404>.

[216]

Legislation and regulations | The National Archives:
<http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/information-management/legislation/>.

[217]

Leighton John, J. 2010. Digital lives - an initial synthesis Version 0.1. British Library.

[218]

Lemieux, V.L. 2010. The records-risk nexus: exploring the relationship between records and risk. *Records Management Journal*. 20, 2 (2010), 199–216.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691011064331>.

[219]

Longmore, B. 2013. The Public Records (Scotland) Act 2011: creating a culture that values public records. *Archives and Records*. 34, 2 (Oct. 2013), 248–262.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/23257962.2013.830067>.

[220]

MacNeil, H. 2011. Trust and professional identity: narratives, counter-narratives and lingering ambiguities. *Archival Science*. 11, 3–4 (Oct. 2011), 175–192.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-011-9150-5>.

[221]

MacNeil, H. *Trusting Records in a Postmodern World*.

[222]

MacNeil, H. 2001. *Trusting Records in a Postmodern World*. *Archivaria*. 51, (2001).

[223]

MacNeil, H. 2012. What finding aids do: archival description as rhetorical genre in traditional and web-based environments. *Archival Science*. 12, 4 (Dec. 2012), 485–500.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-012-9175-4>.

[224]

MacNeil, H. and Eastwood, T. eds. 2017. *Currents of archival thinking*. Libraries Unlimited.

[225]

MacNeil, H. and Eastwood, T. eds. 2017. Currents of archival thinking. Libraries Unlimited.

[226]

MacNeil, H. and Eastwood, T. eds. 2017. Currents of archival thinking. Libraries Unlimited.

[227]

MacNeil, H. and Eastwood, T. eds. 2017. Currents of archival thinking. Libraries Unlimited.

[228]

MacNeil, H. and Eastwood, T. eds. 2017. Currents of archival thinking. Libraries Unlimited.

[229]

MacNeil, H. and Eastwood, T. eds. 2017. Currents of archival thinking. Libraries Unlimited.

[230]

MacNeil, Heather 1992. Without consent: the ethics of disclosing personal information in public archives. Scarecrow Press.

[231]

MacNeil, Heather and Eastwood, Terry 2010. Currents of archival thinking vol 1. Libraries Unlimited.

[232]

MacNeil, Heather and Eastwood, Terry 2010. Currents of archival thinking vol 1. Libraries Unlimited.

[233]

Mak, B. On the Uses of Authenticity.

[234]

Mancuso, L. 2013. Archival appraisal in Brazil. *Archives and Manuscripts*. 41, 2 (Jul. 2013), 146–159. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2013.801101>.

[235]

Marsden, C. 2001. Sectors and Domains: Some reflections on co-operation and integration. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 22, 1 (Apr. 2001), 17–23. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810120037469>.

[236]

Marty, Paul F 2008. An introduction to digital convergence: libraries, archives, and museums in the information age. *Archival Science*. 8, 4 (2008), 247–250.

[237]

Marty, P.F. 2010. An Introduction to Digital Convergence: Libraries, Archives, and Museums in the Information Age. *The Library Quarterly*. 80, 1 (2010), 1–5. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1086/648549>.

[238]

Matthews, R.J. 2015. Is the archivist a "radical atheist" now? Deconstruction, its new wave, and archival activism. *Archival Science*. (Jun. 2015). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9248-2>.

[239]

McKemmish, S. et al. 2011. Distrust in the archive: reconciling records. *Archival Science*.

11, 3–4 (Nov. 2011), 211–239. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-011-9153-2>.

[240]

McKemmish, S. et al. eds. 2017. Research in the archival multiverse. Monash University Publishing.

[241]

McKemmish, S. et al. eds. 2017. Research in the archival multiverse. Monash University Publishing.

[242]

McKemmish, S. and Piggott, M. 2013. Toward the Archival Multiverse: Challenging the Binary Opposition of the Personal and Corporate Archive in Modern Archival Theory and Practice. *Archivaria*. 76, (2013).

[243]

McKemmish, Sue et al. 1994. The Records continuum: Ian Maclean and Australian Archives first fifty years. Ancora Press in association with Australian Archives.

[244]

McKemmish, Sue and Charles Sturt University 2005. Archives: recordkeeping in society. Centre for Information Studies, Charles Sturt University.

[245]

McKemmish, Sue and Charles Sturt University 2005. Archives: recordkeeping in society. Centre for Information Studies, Charles Sturt University.

[246]

McLeod, J. 2012. Thoughts on the opportunities for records professionals of the open access, open data agenda. *Records Management Journal*. 22, 2 (2012), 92–97. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691211268711>.

[247]

McLeod, J. and Hare, C. 2010. Development of RMJ: A mirror of the development of the profession and discipline of records management. *Records Management Journal*. 20, 1 (2010), 9–40. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691011036215>.

[248]

Meijer, A. Accountability in an Information Age: Opportunities and Risks for Records Management - ProQuest.

[249]

Menne-Haritz, A. 2001. Access — The reformulation of an archival paradigm. *Archival Science*. 1, 1 (Mar. 2001), 57–82. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02435639>.

[250]

Menne-Haritz, Angelika 2004. *Business processes: an archival science approach to collaborative decision making, records, and knowledge management*. Kluwer Academic Publishers.

[251]

Metadata Object Description Schema: MODS (Library of Congress):
<http://www.loc.gov/standards/mods/>.

[252]

Michele V. Cloonan 2011. The Boundaries of Preservation and Conservation Research. *Libraries & the Cultural Record*. 46, 2 (2011), 220–229.

[253]

Millar, Laura *Explaining Ourselves: 40 Years of Archivaria*.

[254]

Moore, S. and Pell, S. 2010. Autonomous archives. *International Journal of Heritage Studies* . 16, 4–5 (Jul. 2010), 255–268. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13527251003775513>.

[255]

Moss, M. 2005. The Hutton Inquiry, the President of Nigeria and What the Butler Hoped to See. *The English Historical Review*. 120, 487 (Jun. 2005), 577–592. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/ehr/cei121>.

[256]

Muller, Samuel et al. 2003. Manual for the arrangement and description of archives. Society of American Archivists.

[257]

Museum Management and Curatorship - Volume 24, Issue 4:
<https://www.tandfonline.com/toc/rmmc20/24/4>.

[258]

Nailing a Little Jelly to the Wall of Archival Studies: 1993. .

[259]

Neazor, M. 2007. Recordkeeping Professional Ethics and their Application. (2007).

[260]

New Partnerships for Old Sibling Rivals: The Development of Integrated Access Systems for the Holdings of Archives, Libraries and Museums:
<http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13230/14549>.

[261]

Newman, J. 2012. Revisiting Archive Collections: Developing Models for Participatory Cataloguing. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 33, 1 (Apr. 2012), 57–73.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379816.2012.666404>.

[262]

Niu, J. 2014. Event-based archival information organization. *Archival Science*. (Jun. 2014).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9222-4>.

[263]

Niu, J. 2016. Organisation and description of datasets. *Archives and Manuscripts*. 44, 2 (May 2016), 73–85. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2016.1179585>.

[264]

O'Meara, E. and Tuomala, M. Finding Balance between Archival Principles and Real-Life Practices in an Institutional Repository.

[265]

Open Government Data | Open Knowledge Foundation Blog: .

[266]

Orders of Value: Probing the Theoretical Terms of Archival Practice: 1991. .

[267]

Ormond-Parker, L. and Sloggett, R. 2012. Local archives and community collecting in the digital age. *Archival Science*. 12, 2 (Jun. 2012), 191–212.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-011-9154-1>.

[268]

paradigm | workbook on digital private papers: .

[269]

Participatory Appraisal and Arrangement for Multicultural Archival Collections: 2007. .

[270]

Pearce-Moses, R. 2007. Janus in Cyberspace: Archives on the Threshold of the Digital Era. *The American Archivist*. 70, 1 (Jan. 2007), 13–22.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.70.1.n7121165223j6t83>.

[271]

Personal Digital Archiving: <http://www.dpconline.org/advice/technology-watch-reports>.

[272]

Practice Makes Perfect, Theory Makes Theorists: .

[273]

Procter, M. 2010. What's an 'Archivist'? Some Nineteenth-Century Perspectives. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 31, 1 (Apr. 2010), 15–27.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379811003658476>.

[274]

Procter, Margaret et al. 2000. New directions in archival research. Liverpool University Centre for Archive Studies.

[275]

Procter, Margaret et al. 2005. Political pressure and the archival record. *Society of American Archivists*.

[276]

Prom, C. 2011. Using Web Analytics to Improve Online Access to Archival Resources. *The American Archivist*. 74, 1 (Apr. 2011), 158–184.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.74.1.h56018515230417v>.

[277]

Protocols for libraries and archives in Australia: incorporating Indigenous perspectives in the information field: 2013. <http://library.ifla.org/99/>.

[278]

Protocols for Native American Archival Materials: .

[279]

Providing Grounds for Trust II: The Findings of the Authenticity Task Force of InterPARES: .

[280]

Public Sector Information | Europa - Information Society: .

[281]

Ramírez, M. 2009. The Task of the Latino/a Archivist: On Archiving Identity and Community. *Studies [eScholarship]. InterActions: UCLA Journal of Education and Information Studies*, 5(1), Article 3. (2009).

[282]

Ray, J. 2009. Sharks, digital curation, and the education of information professionals. *Museum Management and Curatorship*. 24, 4 (Dec. 2009), 357–368.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09647770903314720>.

[283]

Reed, B. 2014. Reinventing access. *Archives and Manuscripts*. 42, 2 (May 2014), 123–132.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2014.926823>.

[284]

Reflections on the Goal of Archival Appraisal in Democratic Societies: .

[285]

Rekrut, A. 2014. Matters of substance: materiality and meaning in historical records and their digital images. *Archives and Manuscripts*. 42, 3 (Sep. 2014), 238–247.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2014.958865>.

[286]

Reliability and Authenticity: The Concepts and Their Implications: .

[287]

Ricardo, P. and Michelle, C. Critical Directions for Archival Approaches to Social Justice. *The Library Quarterly*.

[288]

Ridener, John 2009. *From Polders to postmodernism: a concise history of archival theory*. Litwin Books.

[289]

Riley, J. and Shepherd, K. 2009. A Brave New World: Archivists and Shareable Descriptive Metadata - *American Archivist* - Volume 72, Number 1 / Spring/Summer 2009 - Society of American Archivists. (2009).

[290]

Riley, T. 2009. Prospects in First Nations Archives: Reflections based on my participation in the Tribal Archives, Libraries and Museums Institutes and Conference programs.

[291]

Riva A. Pollard 2001. *The Appraisal of Personal Papers: A Critical Literature Review*.

Archivaria. 52, (2001).

[292]

Robinson, G. 2014. Break the rules, save the records: human rights archives and the search for justice in East Timor. *Archival Science*. 14, 3-4 (Oct. 2014), 323-343. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9228-y>.

[293]

Robinson, H. 2012. Remembering things differently: museums, libraries and archives as memory institutions and the implications for convergence. *Museum Management and Curatorship*. 27, 4 (Oct. 2012), 413-429. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09647775.2012.720188>.

[294]

Rolan, G. 2015. Towards Archive 2.0: issues in archival systems interoperability. *Archives and Manuscripts*. 43, 1 (Jan. 2015), 42-60. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2014.959535>.

[295]

Ruddock, B. 2011. Linked Data and the LOCAH project. *Business Information Review*. 28, 2 (Jun. 2011), 105-111. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0266382111404013>.

[296]

Sachs, A. 2006. Archives, Truth, and Reconciliation. *Archivaria*. 62, (2006).

[297]

Sachs, H.R. 2008. Reconstructing a Life: The Archival Challenges of Women's History. *Library Trends*. 56, 3 (2008), 650-666. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1353/lib.2008.0018>.

[298]

Samuels, H. 1991. Improving our disposition: documentation strategy. *Archivaria*. 33,

(1991).

[299]

Scanlan, K. 2011. ARMA v. SAA: The History and Heart of Professional Friction. *The American Archivist*. 74, (2011).

[300]

Schellenberg, T. R. 1956. *Modern archives: principles and techniques*. F.W. Cheshire.

[301]

Schellenberg, T. R. 1956. *Modern archives: principles and techniques*. F.W. Cheshire.

[302]

Schwartz, J.M. and Cook, T. 2002. Archives, records, and power: The making of modern memory. *Archival Science*. 2, 1-2 (Mar. 2002), 1-19.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02435628>.

[303]

Schwartz, J.M. and Cook, T. 2002. Archives, records, and power: The making of modern memory. *Archival Science*. 2, 1-2 (Mar. 2002), 1-19.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02435628>.

[304]

SCOTT, M. 1997. Functional appraisal of records: a methodology. *Records Management Journal*. 7, 2 (1997), 101-114. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/eb027107>.

[305]

Sellie, A. et al. 2015. Interference Archive: a free space for social movement culture. *Archival Science*. 15, 4 (Dec. 2015), 453-472.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9245-5>.

[306]

Sexton, A. et al. 2004. Understanding users: a prerequisite for developing new technologies. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 25, 1 (Apr. 2004), 33–49.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/0037981042000199133>.

[307]

Shepherd, E. 2009. *Archives and archivists in 20th century England*. Ashgate.

[308]

Shepherd, E. 2007. Freedom of Information and Records Management in the UK: What has been the Impact? *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 28, 2 (Oct. 2007), 125–138.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810701607736>.

[309]

Shepherd, E. 2015. Freedom of Information, Right to Access Information, Open Data: Who is at the Table? *The Round Table*. 104, 6 (Nov. 2015), 715–726.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00358533.2015.1112101>.

[310]

Shepherd, E. 2017. Pioneering women archivists in England: Ethel Stokes (1870–1944), record agent. *Archival Science*. 17, 2 (Jun. 2017), 175–194.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-016-9272-x>.

[311]

Shepherd, E. et al. 2011. Records management in English local government: the effect of freedom of information. *Records Management Journal*. 21, 2 (2011), 122–134.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691111152053>.

[312]

Shepherd, E. et al. 2009. The Impact of Freedom of Information on Records Management and Record Use in Local Government: A Literature Review. *Journal of the Society of*

Archivists. 30, 2 (Oct. 2009), 227–248. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810903445000>.

[313]

Shepherd, Elizabeth 2009. Gatekeepers to the Profession: Archival education, 1880–1980. Archives and archivists in 20th century England. Ashgate.

[314]

Shilton, K. and Srinivasan, R. 2007. Participatory Appraisal and Arrangement for Multicultural Archival Collections. Archivaria. 63, (2007).

[315]

Should Users Have a Role in Determining the Future Archive? The Approach Adopted by the Public Record Office, the UK National Archive, to the Selection of Records for Permanent Preservation | Honer | LIBER Quarterly: .

[316]

Sims, F. 2002. A new appraisal approach for English Heritage. Records Management Journal. 12, 1 (2002), 8–13. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565690210427743>.

[317]

Sinn, D. and Syn, S.Y. 2013. Personal documentation on a social network site: Facebook, a collection of moments from your life? Archival Science. (Jul. 2013). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-013-9208-7>.

[318]

Smit, F. ed. 2017. Archives in Liquid Times. Dutch Society for Archival Publications (S@P).

[319]

Society and the Formation of a Documentary Heritage: Issues in the Appraisal of Archival

Sources: 1987. .

[320]

Stevens, M. et al. 2010. New frameworks for community engagement in the archive sector: from handing over to handing on. *International Journal of Heritage Studies*. 16, 1–2 (Jan. 2010), 59–76. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13527250903441770>.

[321]

Still Fuzzy, But More Accurate: Some Thoughts on the 'Ghosts' of Archival Theory: 1999. .

[322]

Stockholm Conference on Archival Science and the Concept of Record 1998. The concept of record: report from the second Stockholm Conference on Archival Science and the Concept of Record, 30-31 May 1996. Riksarkivet.

[323]

Stockholm Conference on Archival Theory and the Principle of Provenance and Sweden 1994. The principle of provenance: report from the First Stockholm Conference on Archival Theory and the Principle of Provenance 2-3 September 1993. Swedish National Archives.

[324]

Strauss, A. 2014. Treading the ground of contested memory: archivists and the human rights movement in Chile. *Archival Science*. (Sep. 2014). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9223-3>.

[325]

Strauss, A. 2014. Treading the ground of contested memory: archivists and the human rights movement in Chile. *Archival Science*. (Sep. 2014). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9223-3>.

[326]

Strauss, A. 2015. Treading the ground of contested memory: archivists and the human rights movement in Chile. *Archival Science*. 15, 4 (Dec. 2015), 369–397.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9223-3>.

[327]

Structuring the Records Continuum - Part One: Postcustodial principles and properties: 1996. .

[328]

Structuring the Records Continuum - Part One: Postcustodial principles and properties (Information Technology): 1996. .

[329]

Structuring the Records Continuum - Part One: Postcustodial principles and properties (Information Technology): 1996. .

[330]

Structuring the Records Continuum, Part Two: Structuration Theory and Recordkeeping: .

[331]

Studying Reality: The Application of Theory in an Aspect of UK Practice: .

[332]

Sue McKemmish 2005. Traces: Document, record, archive, archives. *Archives: recordkeeping in society*. Centre for Information Studies, Charles Sturt University. 1–20.

[333]

Supporting Democratic Values Through a Relevant Documentary Foundation - An Evolutionary Complex: .

[334]

Susan Pell 2015. Radicalizing the Politics of the Archive: An Ethnographic Reading of an Activist Archive. *Archivaria*. 80, (2015), 33–57.

[335]

Taavetti, R. 2015. A marshall in love. Remembering and forgetting queer pasts in the Finnish archives. *Archival Science*. (Jul. 2015).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9251-7>.

[336]

Taylor, I. 2016. The German appraisal discussion since 1990: an overview. *Archives and Manuscripts*. 44, 1 (Jan. 2016), 14–23.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01576895.2015.1136226>.

[337]

The American Archivist - Breaking the Language Barrier: Describing Chicano Archives with Bilingual Finding Aids:
<http://americanarchivist.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.17723/aarc.77.2.p66l555g15g981p6>.

[338]

The American Archivist - Crippling the Archives: Negotiating Notions of Disability in Appraisal and Arrangement and Description:
<http://americanarchivist.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.17723/aarc.75.1.c53h4712017n4728>.

[339]

The American Archivist - EAD Tag Usage: Community Analysis of the Use of Encoded Archival Description Elements:
<http://americanarchivist.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.17723/aarc.76.2.x4h78gx76780q072>.

[340]

The American Archivist - Leveraging Encoded Archival Description for Access to Digital Content: A Cost and Usability Analysis:
<http://americanarchivist.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.17723/aarc.75.1.5641v61p422u0u90>.

[341]

The Appraisal of Modern Records: .

[342]

The Appraisal of Personal Papers: A Critical Literature Review: .

[343]

The Archival Paradigm: The Genesis and Rationales of Archival Principles and Practices:
Council on Library and Information Resources:
<http://www.clir.org/pubs/reports/pub89/archival.html>.

[344]

The Death of the Fonds and the Resurrection of Provenance: Archival Context in Space and Time: .

[345]

The Documentation Strategy and Archival Appraisal Principles: A Different Perspective: .

[346]

The Last Dance of the Phoenix, or the De-discovery of the Archival Fonds: .

[347]

The National Archives The United Kingdom Report on the Re-use of Public Sector Information.

[348]

The Place of Theory in Archival Practice: 1999. .

[349]

The Protection of the Integrity of Electronic Records: An Overview of the UBC-MAS Research Project: .

[350]

Theimer, K. 2011. What Is the Meaning of Archives 2.0? *The American Archivist*. 74, 1 (Apr. 2011), 58–68. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.74.1.h7tn4m4027407666>.

[351]

Thurston, A. 2014. *Integrity in government through records management: essays in honour of Anne Thurston*. Ashgate.

[352]

Tom Nesmith 2002. Seeing Archives: Postmodernism and the Changing Intellectual Place of Archives. *American Archivist*. 65, (2002).

[353]

Tough, A. 2011. Accountability, open government and record keeping: time to think again? *Records Management Journal*. 21, 3 (2011), 225–236. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691111186894>.

[354]

Toward More Honest Description | *The American Archivist*:
<http://americanarchivist.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.17723/0360-9081.79.1.26>.

[355]

Towards an Archival Concept of Evidence: .

[356]

Trace, C.B. 2002. What is recorded is never simply 'what happened': Record keeping in modern organizational culture. *Archival Science*. 2, 1-2 (Mar. 2002), 137-159.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02435634>.

[357]

Tschan, R. 2002. A Comparison of Jenkinson and Schellenberg on Appraisal. *The American Archivist*. 65, 2 (Sep. 2002), 176-195.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.65.2.920w65g3217706l1>.

[358]

Upward, F. 2000. Modelling the continuum as paradigm shift in recordkeeping and archiving processes, and beyond: a personal reflection. *Records Management Journal*. 10, 3 (2000), 115-139. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM0000000007259>.

[359]

Valge, J. and Kibal, B. 2007. Restrictions on Access to Archives and Records in Europe: A History and the Current Situation. *Journal of the Society of Archivists*. 28, 2 (Oct. 2007), 193-214. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00379810701611951>.

[360]

Vilar, P. and Sauperl, A. 2015. Archives, Quo Vadis et Cum Quibus?: Archivists' self-perceptions and perceptions of users of contemporary archives. *International Journal of Information Management*. 35, 5 (Oct. 2015), 551-560.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2015.06.001>.

[361]

Vukliš, V Archival Activism: Emerging Forms, Local Applications.

[362]

Wakimoto, D.K. et al. 2013. Archivist as activist: lessons from three queer community archives in California. *Archival Science*. 13, 4 (Dec. 2013), 293–316. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-013-9201-1>.

[363]

Wallace, David A. and Cox, Richard J. 2002. *Archives and the public good: accountability and records in modern society*. Quorum Books.

[364]

Wallace, David A. and Cox, Richard J. 2002. *Archives and the public good: accountability and records in modern society*. Quorum Books.

[365]

Wamukoya, J. 2000. Records and archives as a basis for good government: implications and challenges for records managers and archivists in Africa. *Records Management Journal* . 10, 1 (2000), 23–33. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM0000000007254>.

[366]

What is Archival Theory and Why is it Important? .

[367]

What is Archival Theory and Why is it Important? Response to Terry Eastwood's Paper: .

[368]

When Archivists and Digital Asset Managers Collide: Tensions and Ways Forward | The American Archivist:
<http://americanarchivist.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.17723/0360-9081.79.1.121>.

[369]

Where's the Archivist in Digital Curation? Exploring the Possibilities through a Matrix of Knowledge and Skills:

<http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13362/14668>.

[370]

Wilson, J.Z. and Golding, F. 2015. Latent scrutiny: personal archives as perpetual mementos of the official gaze. *Archival Science*. (Oct. 2015).

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9255-3>.

[371]

Wisniewski, Timothy. 2008. Framers of the Kept: Against the Grain Appraisal of Ephemeral Moving Images. *The Moving Image*. 7, 2 (2008), 1-24.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1353/mov.2008.0002>.

[372]

Wood, S. et al. 2014. Mobilizing records: re-framing archival description to support human rights. *Archival Science*. 14, 3-4 (Oct. 2014), 397-419.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9233-1>.

[373]

Wood, S. et al. 2014. Mobilizing records: re-framing archival description to support human rights. *Archival Science*. 14, 3-4 (Oct. 2014), 397-419.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-014-9233-1>.

[374]

Wooldridge, J.M. 2013. *Introductory econometrics: a modern approach*. South-Western Cengage Learning.

[375]

WORTHY, B. 2010. More Open but Not More Trusted? The Effect of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 on the United Kingdom Central Government. *Governance*. 23, 4 (Sep.

2010), 561–582. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0491.2010.01498.x>.

[376]

Worthy, B. and Hazell, R. 2017. Disruptive, Dynamic and Democratic? Ten Years of FOI in the UK. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 70, 1 (Jan. 2017), 22–42.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsv069>.

[377]

Yaco, S. and Hardy, B.B. 2013. Historians, archivists, and social activism: benefits and costs. *Archival Science*. 13, 2–3 (Jun. 2013), 253–272.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-012-9187-0>.

[378]

Yakel, E. 2003. Archival representation. *Archival Science*. 3, 1 (Mar. 2003), 1–25.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02438926>.

[379]

Yakel, E. and Bost, L.L. Understanding Administrative Use and Users in University Archives - *American Archivist* - Volume 57, Number 4 / Fall 1994 - Society of American Archivists. *The American Archivist*.

[380]

Yakel, E. and Torres, D. 2003. AI: Archival Intelligence and User Expertise. *The American Archivist*. 66, 1 (Jan. 2003), 51–78.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.66.1.q022h85pn51n5800>.

[381]

Yeo, G. *Bringing Things Together: Aggregate Records in a Digital Age*.

[382]

Yeo, G. 2007. Concepts of Record (1): Evidence, Information, and Persistent

Representations. *The American Archivist*. 70, 2 (Sep. 2007), 315–343.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.70.2.u327764v1036756q>.

[383]

Yeo, G. 2008. Concepts of Record (2): Prototypes and Boundary Objects. *The American Archivist*. 71, 1 (Apr. 2008), 118–143.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.71.1.p0675v40tr14q6w2>.

[384]

Yeo, G. 2010. 'Nothing is the same as something else': significant properties and notions of identity and originality. *Archival Science*. 10, 2 (2010), 85–116.

[385]

Yeo, G. 2011. Rising to the level of a record? Some thoughts on records and documents. *Records Management Journal*. 21, 1 (2011), 8–27.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09565691111125071>.

[386]

Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow: A Continuum of Responsibility (Information Technology): 1997. .

[387]

Zhang, J. Original Order in Digital Archives.

[388]

An argument for Archiving Facebook as a Heterogeneous Personal Store.

[389]

2016. BS ISO 15489-1:2016 Information and documentation. Records management. Concepts and principles. BSI.

[390]

Describing Archives A Content Standard.

[391]

InterPARES 1 Template for Analysis.

[392]

InterPARES 3 Intellectual Framework.

[393]

Interview with Dr Anne Thurston on Open Government and trustworthy records | Gov 2.0 Radio.

[394]

Lost in Translation: Understanding the Possession of Digital Things in the Cloud.

[395]

Rethinking the Web as a Personal Archive. Microsoft Research.

[396]

'Say nothing': silenced records and the Boston College subpoenas.

[397]

Significance 2.0: a guide to assessing the significance of collections - significance-2.0.pdf.

[398]

1999. Standards for Archival Description: A Handbook. (1999).

[399]

2007. The Almost Accidental Archive and its Impact on Literary Subjects and Canonicity. *Journal of Canadian Studies/Revue d'études canadiennes*. 40, 2 (Aug. 2007), 96–108.

[400]

The Guide to Researching Audiences.

[401]

The Institute of Conservation Professional Standards in Conservation.

[402]

The National Archives Appraisal Policy Background Paper - The 'Grigg System' and Beyond.

[403]

2009. The National Geospatial Digital Archives—Collection Development: Lessons Learned. *Library Trends*. 57, 3 (2009), 490–515. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1353/lib.0.0049>.