

LAWSG076: Law and Policy of International Courts and Tribunals: Philippe Sands

[View Online](#)

1

Mackenzie R, Romano C, Shany Y, et al. Manual on international courts and tribunals. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.

2

Romano C, Alter KJ, Shany Y, editors. The Oxford handbook of international adjudication. First edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2014.
<https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1093/law/9780199660681.001.0001>

3

Merrills JG. International dispute settlement. 5th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2011.

4

Collier JG, Lowe AV. The settlement of disputes in international law: institutions and procedures. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1999.

5

Webb P. International judicial integration and fragmentation. First edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2013. doi:10.1093/law/9780199671151.001.0001

6

Boisson de Chazournes L, Kohen MG, Viñuales JE. Diplomatic and judicial means of dispute settlement. Leiden: : Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 2013.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9789004209985>

7

Shany Y. Assessing the Effectiveness of International Courts. Oxford Scholarship 2013.
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199643295.001.0001/acprof-9780199643295>

8

Sands P. Lawless world: making and breaking global rules. London: : Penguin 2006.

9

Evans MD. Remedies in international law: the institutional dilemma. Oxford, England: : Hart Publishing 1998.

10

Treaty Series. No. 9. 1901. International Convention for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes; signed at the Hague, July 29, 1899. 20th Century House of Commons Sessional Papers
http://gateway.proquest.com/openurl?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&res_dat=xri:hcyp&rft_dat=xri:hcyp:rec:1902-001880

11

Treaty Series No. 6 (1971). Convention for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes, the Hague, 18th October, 1907. 20th Century House of Commons Sessional Papers;**I.643**
http://gateway.proquest.com/openurl?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&res_dat=xri:hcyp&rft_dat=xri:hcyp:rec:1970-059763

12

Treaty Series No. 32 (1931). General Act for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes (Geneva, September, 1928). 20th Century House of Commons Sessional Papers;

335

.http://gateway.proquest.com/openurl?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&res_dat=xri:hcpp&rft_dat=xri:hcpp:rec:1930-031285

13

Nations U. United Nations Charter. <http://www.un.org/en/index.html>

14

George H. Aldrich and Christine M. Chinkin. Introduction. The American Journal of International Law 2000;**94**
:1–3.http://www.jstor.org/stable/2555227?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

15

George H. Aldrich and Christine M. Chinkin. A Century of Achievement and Unfinished Work. The American Journal of International Law 2000;**94**
:90–8.http://www.jstor.org/stable/2555233?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

16

David D. Caron. War and International Adjudication: Reflections on the 1899 Peace Conference. The American Journal of International Law 2000;**94**
:4–30.http://www.jstor.org/stable/2555228?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

17

Synoptic Chart. <http://www.pict-pcti.org/>

18

Romano, Cesare P.R. Proliferation of International Judicial Bodies: The Pieces of the Puzzle, The. New York University Journal of International Law and Politics 1998;**31**
. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=709&handle=hein.journals%2Fnyuilm31&collection=journals>

19

Romano C. The Price of International Justice. The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals 2005;4:281–328. doi:10.1163/1571803054515311

20

International Convention for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes; signed at the Hague, July 29, 1899. 20th Century House of Commons Sessional Papers
http://gateway.proquest.com/openurl?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&res_dat=xri:hcpp&rft_dat=xri:hcpp:rec:1902-001880

21

Convention for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes. the Hague, 18th October 1907. 20th Century House of Commons Sessional Papers
http://gateway.proquest.com/openurl?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&res_dat=xri:hcpp&rft_dat=xri:hcpp:rec:1968-057818

22

Nations U. United Nations Charter. <http://www.un.org/en/>

23

Nations U. Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations - UN Documents: Gathering a body of global agreements. 1970.<http://www.un.org/>

24

Nations U. Manila Declaration on the Peaceful Settlement of Disputes. 1982.<http://www.un.org/en/>

25

London School of Economics and Political Science. Report of the Rainbow Warrior Affair.

International law reports; **74**.

26

Collier JG, Lowe AV. The settlement of disputes in international law: institutions and procedures. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1999.

27

Merrills JG. International dispute settlement. 5th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2011.

28

Anderson D. Negotiation and Dispute Settlement. Oxford, England: : Hart Publishing 1998.

29

Franck TM. Administrative Impartiality as Fairness: the UN Secretary-Generals Good Offices and other third party functions. In: Fairness in International Law and Institutions. Oxford Scholarship 1995.
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198267850.001.0001/acprof-9780198267850-chapter-6>

30

Bercovitch J. Conflict Resolution in the Twenty-first Century. University of Michigan Press 2009. <http://muse.jhu.edu/books/9780472022182>

31

S. Ahmed LB. In Pursuit of Sustainable Peace: the Seven Deadly Sins of Mediation. 2008. <http://peacemaker.un.org/>

32

Boisson de Chazournes L, Kohen MG, Viñuales JE. Diplomatic and judicial means of dispute settlement. Leiden: : Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 2013.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9789004209985>

33

Merrills JG. International dispute settlement. 5th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2011.

34

Developments in Dispute settlement: Inter-State Arbitration since 1945. The British year book of international law 1992;**63**
[.http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=dedupmrg121768888&indx=1&recIds=dedupmrg121768888&recIdxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&dscnt=0&dum=true&frbg=&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&dstmp=1425307476866&srt=rank&vl\(freeText0\)=bRITISH%20YEARBOOK%20OF%20INTERNATIONAL%20LAW&vid=UCL_VU1&mode=Basic](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=dedupmrg121768888&indx=1&recIds=dedupmrg121768888&recIdxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&dscnt=0&dum=true&frbg=&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&dstmp=1425307476866&srt=rank&vl(freeText0)=bRITISH%20YEARBOOK%20OF%20INTERNATIONAL%20LAW&vid=UCL_VU1&mode=Basic)

35

Permanent Court of Arbitration. Hague Convention for the Pacific Settlement of Disputes, 1899. 1899.<http://www.pca-cpa.org/>

36

UNCITRAL. UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration 1985. 1985.http://www.uncitral.org/uncitral/en/uncitral_texts/arbitration/1985Model_arbitration.html

37

UN. UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, Annex VII.
http://www.un.org/Depts/los/convention_agreements/convention_overview_convention.htm

38

ICSID. ICSID Convention Arbitration Rules.

<https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/icsiddocs/Pages/ICSID-Convention-Arbitration-Rules.aspx>

39

Government of the Republic of Slovenia. Arbitration agreement between the government of the Republic of Slovenia and the government of the Republic of Croatia.

2009.http://www.vlada.si/fileadmin/dokumenti/si/projekti/2010/Arbitrazni_sporazum/10.a_Arbitrazni_sporazum_-_podpisan_EN.pdf

40

Commission O. OSPAR Convention - Convention on the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic.

1992.http://www.ospar.org/content/content.asp?menu=014812000000000_000000_000000

41

PCA. Ireland-UK, Rules of procedure for the Arbitral Tribunal Constituted under the OSPAR Convention pursuant to the Request of Ireland dated 15 June 2001.

2001.http://pca-cpa.org/showpage.asp?pag_id=1158

42

PCA. Republic of Ecuador v United States of America. <http://www.pca-cpa.org/>

43

Collier JG, Lowe AV. The settlement of disputes in international law: institutions and procedures. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1999.

44

Hirsch M. The International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes. In: The arbitration mechanism of the International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes. Dordrecht: : M. Nijhoff 1993.

45

ICC. ICC Statute - Rome Statute. http://www.icc-cpi.int/en_menus/icc/Pages/default.aspx

46

Mackenzie R, Romano C, Shany Y, et al. Manual on international courts and tribunals. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.

47

ICJ. ICJ Statute. <http://www.icj-cij.org/homepage/index.php>

48

ICC. ICC Statute - The Rome Statute.
http://www.icc-cpi.int/en_menus/icc/Pages/default.aspx

49

CCJ. Agreement establishing the Caribbean Court of Justice.
<http://www.caribbeancourtjustice.org/>

50

ECHR. ECHR, Advisory opinion on certain legal questions concerning the lists of candidates submitted with a view to the election of judges to the European Court of Human Rights, 12 February 2008. 2008.<http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=home&c=>

51

Council of Europe. Resolution CM/Res (2010) 26 on the establishment of an Advisory Panel of Experts on Candidates for Election as Judge to the European Court of Human Rights. 2010.<https://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?id=1704555&Site=CM>

52

CJEU. Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,. http://europa.eu/index_en.htm

53

Court S. Speech by Lord Mance - The Composition of the European Court of Justice, 19 October 2011. 2011.<https://www.supremecourt.uk/>

54

Sands, Philippe. Global Governance and the International Judiciary: Choosing Our Judges. Current Legal Problems 2003;**56**
. <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1564009330/86A77CD95B2247DDPQ/1?accountid=14511>

55

Selecting International Judges: Principle, Process, and Politics - Oxford Scholarship.
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199580569.001.0001/acprof-9780199580569>

56

Limbach J. Judicial Independence: Law and Practice of Appointments to the European Court of Human Rights. Interights <http://www.interights.org/jud-ind-en/index.html>

57

Mowbray A. The Consideration of Gender in the Process of Appointing Judges to the European Court of Human Rights. Human Rights Law Review 2008;**8**:549-59.
doi:10.1093/hrlr/ngn012

58

Kate Malleson. Promoting Judicial Independence in the International Courts: Lessons from the Caribbean. The International and Comparative Law Quarterly 2009;**58**
:671-87.http://www.jstor.org/stable/25622231?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

59

Lauterpacht E. The International Lawyer as Judge. In: The International Lawyer as Practitioner. London: : British Institute of International and Comparative Law 2000. 125–44.

60

Elsig M, Pollack MA. Agents, trustees, and international courts: The politics of judicial appointment at the World Trade Organization. *European Journal of International Relations* 2014;**20**:391–415. doi:10.1177/1354066112448201

61

Von Bogdandy A, Venzke I. On the Functions of International Courts: An Appraisal in Light of Their Burgeoning Public Authority. *Leiden Journal of International Law* 2013;**26**:49–72. doi:10.1017/S0922156512000647

62

Stephen M. Schwebel. Ad Hoc Chambers of the International Court of Justice. *The American Journal of International Law* 1987;**81**:831–54.http://www.jstor.org/stable/2203413?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

63

Valencia-Ospina E. The Use of Chambers of the International Court of Justice. In: Fifty years of the International Court of Justice: essays in honour of Sir Robert Jennings. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1996. 503–27. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511560101.030

64

Shelton D. Legal Norms for Independence and Accountability of International Tribunals. *The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals* 2003;**2**:27–62. doi:10.1163/157180303100420186

65

Brown C. Evolution and Application of Independence Rules of International Judiciary. The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals 2003;**2**:63–96.
doi:10.1163/157180303100420195

66

Guillaume G. Some Thoughts on Independence of International Judges. The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals 2003;**2**:163–8. doi:10.1163/157180303100420221

67

de Bertodano S. Judicial Independence in the International Criminal Court. Leiden Journal of International Law 2002;**15**:409–30. doi:10.1017/S0922156502000201

68

Charnovitz S. Judicial Independence in the World Trade Organization. In: International organizations and international dispute settlement: trends and prospects. Ardsley, N.Y.: : Transnational Publishers 2002.

69

Mackenzie, Ruth. International Courts and Tribunals and the Independence of the International Judge. Harvard International Law Journal 2003;**44**
.http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=271&handle=hein.journals%2Fhilj44&collection=journals

70

International Law Association Study Group on the Law and Procedure of International Tribunals. Burgh House Principles on the Independence of the International Judiciary.
http://www.ucl.ac.uk/laws/cict/

71

ICTY. Prosecutor v. Anto Furundzija, Appeals Chamber, Judgment of 21 July 2000.
21AD.http://www.icty.org/

72

ICJ. Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory.
<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=4&k=5a&PHPSESSID=63fe2ddc2f12b8922fe3eff89c4e0691&case=131&code=mwp&p3=3>

73

Prosecutor v Sesay, Decision on defence motion, seeking the disqualification of Justice Robertson from the Appeals Chamber, Special Court for Sierra Leone. 13AD;**16**
<http://www.lexisnexis.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4DN2-S820-TWP1-F0NG&csi=274794&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

74

Prosecutor v Sam Hinga Norman, Decision on Preliminary Motion Based on Lack of Jurisdiction (Judicial Independence), Appeals Chamber. 13AD;**3**
<http://www.lexisnexis.com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=531V-8V31-DYJ0-830D&csi=280207&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

75

ICSID. Urbaser S.A. and Consorcio de Aguas Bilbao Bizkaia, Bilbao Biskaia Ur Partzuergoa v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/26. Decision on Claimants' Proposal to Disqualify Professor Campbell McLachlan, Arbitrator.
 12AD.<https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/Pages/default.aspx>

76

PCA. Republic of Mauritius v United Kingdom, Reasoned Decision on Challenge to Judge Greenwood, 30 November 2011. 30AD.http://www.pca-cpa.org/showpage.asp?pag_id=363

77

Mackenzie R, Romano C, Shany Y, et al. Manual on international courts and tribunals. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.

78

Sands, Philippe. Treaty, Custom and the Cross-fertilization of International Law. 1998;**1**
. http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/yhurdv11&div=7&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=1&men_tab=srchresults&terms=Sands,%20Philippe&type=matchall

79

Collier JG, Lowe AV. The settlement of disputes in international law: institutions and procedures. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1999.

80

WTO. European Communities – Measures Affecting the Approval and Marketing of Biotech Products, WT/DS291/R, WT/DS292/R, WT/DS293/R, Reports of the Panel, 29 September 2006. 29AD. https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/cases_e/ds291_e.htm

81

WTO. United States – Import Prohibition of Certain Shrimp and Shrimp Products, DS58/AB/R, 12 October 1998. 12AD. https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/cases_e/ds58_e.htm

82

World Trade Organization Appellate body: report of the Appellate Body in United States - Standards for reformulated and conventional gasoline. International Legal Materials 1996; **35**:603–34. http://www.jstor.org/stable/20698564?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

83

ICJ. Case Concerning the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary/Slovakia), ICJ Reps 1997. 1997. <http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&case=92&code=hs&p3=4>

84

ICJ. Oil Platforms Case (Iran v US), Judgment of 6 November 2003. 6AD. <http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/?p1=3&p2=3&case=90&code=op&p3=4>

85

PCA. The MOX Plant Case (Ireland v United Kingdom), Annex VII Tribunal, Order of June 2003. http://www.pca-cpa.org/showpage.asp?pag_id=363

86

Guyana v Suriname, Arbitral Award of 17 September 2007. <http://www.pca-cpa.org/>

87

International Law Commission. Fragmentation of International Law: Difficulties arising from the Diversification and Expansion of International Law, 13 April 2006. 13AD.<http://www.un.org/law/ilc/>

88

Teitel, Ruti. Cross-Judging: Tribunalization in a Fragmented but Interconnected Global Order. New York University Journal of International Law and Politics 2009;**41**
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=959&handle=hein.journals%2Fnyuilm41&collection=journals>

89

Pellet A. The role of the international lawyer in international litigation. In: The International Lawyer as Practitioner. London: : British Institute of International and Comparative Law 2000. 147-62.

90

Bowett D. The Conduct of International Litigation. In: International Court of Justice: Process, Practise and Procedure. BIICL 1997. 1-20.

91

Shaw, Malcolm N. International Court of Justice: A Practical Perspective, The. International and Comparative Law Quarterly 1997;**46**

<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=831&handle=hein.journals%2Fincolq46&collection=journals>

92

WTO. European Communities Regime for the Importation, Sale and Distribution of Bananas, 2 August 1997, WT/DS27/AB/R, 9 September 1997.
9AD.https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/cases_e/ds27_e.htm

93

ICJ. ICJ, Practice Directions VII and VIII.
2002.<http://www.icj-cij.org/homepage/index.php?lang=en>

94

ICC. Code of Professional Conduct for Counsel, Resolution 1 of the fourth meeting of the Assembly of States Parties to the ICC Statute. <http://www.icc-cpi.int/Pages/default.aspx>

95

International Law Association Study Group on the Practice and Procedure of International Tribunals. The Hague Principles on Ethical Standards for Counsel appearing before International Courts and Tribunals. 2010.<http://www.ucl.ac.uk/laws/cict/>

96

Mackenzie R, Romano C, Shany Y, et al. Manual on international courts and tribunals. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.

97

Collier JG, Lowe AV. The settlement of disputes in international law: institutions and procedures. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1999.

98

Mackenzie R, Romano C, Shany Y, et al. Manual on international courts and tribunals. 2nd

ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.

99

Merrills. The Optional Clause Today. The British year book of international law 1979;**50**.

100

Merrills. The Optional Clause Revisited. The British year book of international law 1993;**64**.

101

ICJ. Speech of HE Judge Rosalyn Higgins, President of the ICJ, to the Sixth Committee of the United Nations, 31 October 2008. 31AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/presscom/files/1/14841.pdf>

102

ICJ. ICJ, Fisheries Jurisdiction Case (Spain v Canada), Jurisdiction of the Court, Judgment of 4 December 1998.
4AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=ac&case=96&code=ec&p3=4>

103

ICJ. Application of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (Georgia v Russian Federation), Judgment on Preliminary Objections, 1 April 2011.
1AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=1&case=140&code=GR&p3=4>

104

ICJ. Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (New Application 2002) (Democratic Republic of Congo v. Rwanda) Judgment on Jurisdiction and Admissibility, 3 February 2006.
3AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=19&case=126&code=crw&p3=4>

105

PCA. The Republic of Mauritius v. The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland. <http://pca-cpa.org/>

106

PCA. Mauritius v United Kingdom (Procedural Order No. 2) 15th of January 2013, Decision on Bifurcation (joining jurisdiction to the merits). 15AD.<http://www.pca-cpa.org/>

107

ITLOS. ARA Libertad (Ghana v Argentina) Order of the 15th December 2012. 15AD.<https://www.itlos.org/en/main/latest-news/>

108

ICSID. Southern Bluefin Tuna Case - Australia and New Zealand v. Japan. <https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/about/Pages/Southern-Bluefin-Tuna-Case---Australia-and-New-Zealand-v.-Japan.aspx>

109

ITLOS. MOX Plant Case (Ireland v. UK) ITLOS, Order of 3 Dec. 2001. 3AD.<https://www.itlos.org/>

110

ECHR. Bankovic v. Belgium et al, Eur.Ct.H.R. Admissibility Decision, 12th Dec. 2001. 12AD.<http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=home>

111

ICJ. Case concerning Application of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, (Georgia v. Russia), Judgment on Preliminary Objections, 1 April 2011. 1AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=4d&case=140&code=GR&p3=4>

112

ICJ. Application of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (Georgia v. Russian Federation).
<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=4d&case=140&code=GR&p3=1>

113

ICJ, ICJ. Oral Proceedings, CR 2010/9, 14 September 2010.
14AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=4d&case=140&code=GR&p3=2>

114

ICJ. Oral Proceedings, CR 2010/10, 15 September 2010.
15AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=4d&case=140&code=GR&p3=2>

115

ICJ. Oral Proceedings, CR 2010/11, 16 September 2010.
16AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=4d&case=140&code=GR&p3=2>

116

Collier JG, Lowe AV. The settlement of disputes in international law: institutions and procedures. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1999.

117

Mackenzie R, Romano C, Shany Y, et al. Manual on international courts and tribunals. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.

118

Collier JG, Lowe AV. The settlement of disputes in international law: institutions and procedures. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1999.

119

Jennings R. Reflections on the Term 'Dispute'. In: Essays in Honour of Wang Tieya.

120

ICJ. Case Concerning East Timor (Portugal v. Australia) 1995 ICJ Rep. 13.
<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&case=84&code=pa&p3=4>

121

ICJ. US Diplomatic and Consular Staff in Tehran (United States v. Iran) 1980.
<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&case=64&code=usir&p3=4>

122

ICJ. Nuclear Tests Case (Australia v. France; New Zealand v. France) 1974.
<http://www.icj-cij.org/>

123

ICJ. Legal Consequences for States of the Continued Presence of South Africa in Namibia (South West Africa) notwithstanding Security Council Resolution 276 (1970) (1970-1971) 1970.
<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=4&k=a7&case=53&code=nam&p3=4>

124

ICJ. Legality of the Use of Force (Yugoslavia v. Belgium) 1999 ICJ Rep.
<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=25&case=105&code=ybe&p3=3>

125

ICJ. Nottebohm Case, 1955 ICJ Reports, 4.
<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=5&p3=-1&y=1955>

126

Barcelona Traction, Light and Power Company, Limited (New Application: 1962) (Belgium v. Spain) 1970, ICJ Reports 3.

<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&case=50&code=bt2&p3=4>

127

ICJ. Northern Cameroons, ICJ Rep. 1963, 15.

<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?sum=289&code=cuk&p1=3&p2=3&case=48&k=1c&p3=5>

128

ICJ, Nuclear Tests (Australia v France), ICJ Rep. 1974, 327.

<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=78&case=58&code=af&p3=4>

129

ECHR EC of HR. ECHR, Ireland v. United Kingdom,. 1978.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICAAE77E0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

130

ICJ. ICJ, South West Africa cases , ICJ Rep. 1966, 6 (Ethiopia v. South Africa) (Liberia v. South Africa). 1966.

<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=5&p3=-1&y=1966>

131

ICJ. ICJ, Barcelona Traction, Light and Power Company, Limited (New Application: 1962) (Belgium v. Spain) 1970 ICJ Reports, 3.

<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&case=50&code=bt2&p3=4>

132

Paulsson J. Jurisdiction and Admissibility. In: Global Reflections on International Law, Commerce and Dispute Resolution: Liber Amicorum in honour of Robert Briner. ICC Publishing 2005. <http://www.arbitration-icca.org/index.html>

133

Shany Y. Jurisdiction and Admissibility. In: Romano C, Alter KJ, Shany Y, eds. The Oxford handbook of international adjudication. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2014. 779–805. <https://academic.oup.com/edited-volume/42603/chapter/357546658>

134

ICJ. Monetary Gold Removed from Rome in 1943 (Italy v. France, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America) ICJ Reps 1954, 19. 15AD. <http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&case=19&code=gold&p3=4>

135

ICJ. Certain Phosphate Lands in Nauru (Nauru v. Australia) ICJ Reps 1992, 240. 26AD. <http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=e2&case=80&code=naus&p3=4>

136

ICJ. East Timor case (Portugal v Australia), ICJ Reps 1995 , 90. 30AD. <http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=66&case=84&code=pa&p3=4>

137

Mackenzie R, Romano C, Shany Y, et al. Manual on international courts and tribunals. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.

138

C. M. Chinkin. Third-Party Intervention Before the International Court of Justice. The American Journal of International Law 1986;**80**:495–531. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2201772?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_co

Contents

139

Chinkin CM. Third parties in international law. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1993.

140

Schwebel SM. Review of 'Third parties in International Law' by Christine Chinkin. The American Journal of International Law 1995;**89**
. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2203944?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

141

ICJ. Case concerning the Continental Shelf (Libya/Malta), Application for Permission to Intervene by Italy, 21 March 1984, 1984 ICJ Reps 3.
21AD. <http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&case=68&code=lm&p3=4>

142

ICJ. Case concerning the Land and Maritime Boundary between Cameroon and Nigeria, Order of 21 October 1999.
21AD. <http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&case=94&code=cn&p3=3>

143

ICJ. Case concerning Sovereignty over Pulau Ligitan and Pulau Sipadan, Application by the Philippines for Permission to Intervene, 23 October 2001.
23AD. <http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=df&case=102&code=inma&p3=4>

144

ICJ. Whaling in the Antarctic (Australia v Japan, New Zealand intervening), Declaration of Intervention by New Zealand, Order of 6 February 2013 (Article 63).
6AD. <http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=1&case=148&code=aj&p3=3>

145

Angell E. The Amicus Curiae American Development of English Institutions. The International and Comparative Law Quarterly 1967;**16**
:1017-44.http://www.jstor.org/stable/756883?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

146

Krislov S. The Amicus Curiae Brief: From Friendship to Advocacy. The Yale Law Journal 1963;**72**
:694-721.http://www.jstor.org/stable/794698?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

147

Dinah Shelton. The Participation of Nongovernmental Organizations in International Judicial Proceedings. The American Journal of International Law 1994;**88**
:611-42.http://www.jstor.org/stable/2204133?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

148

Mackenzie R. The Amicus Curiae in International Courts: Towards Common Procedural Approaches? In: Civil society, international courts and compliance bodies. The Hague: : T.M.C. Asser Press 2005.

149

De Brabandere, Eric. NGOs and the 'Public Interest': The Legality and Rationale of Amicus Curiae Interventions in International Economic and Investment Disputes. Chicago Journal of International Law;**12**
:85-113.<http://search.proquest.com/docview/883133824?accountid=14511>

150

Mackenzie R, Romano C, Shany Y, et al. Manual on international courts and tribunals. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.

151

ICJ Practice Direction XII. <http://www.icj-cij.org/documents/index.php?p1=4&p2=4&p3=0>

152

WTO. US-Import Prohibition of Certain Shrimp and Shrimp Products, Report of the Appellate Body, WT/DS/58/AB/R, 12 October 1999.
12AD.http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/cases_e/ds58_e.htm

153

WTO. US – Imposition of Countervailing Duties on Certain Hot Rolled Lead and Bismuth Carbon Steel Products Originating in the UK, Report of the Appellate Body, WT/DS138/AB/R, 10 May 2000.
10AD.http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/cases_e/ds138_e.htm

154

WTO. WTO, European Communities – Measures Affecting Asbestos and Asbestos-containing Products – Communication from the Appellate Body, WT/DS135/9, 8 November 2000. 8AD.http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/cases_e/ds135_e.htm

155

Amicus Brief Storm Highlights WTO Unease with External Transparency. Bridges;**4**
. <http://www.ictsd.org/downloads/2009/03/bridges04-9.pdf>

156

Appleton, Arthur E. Amicus Curiae Submissions in the Carbon Steel Case: Another Rabbit from the Appellate Body's Hat. Journal of International Economic Law 2000;**3**
. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=691&handle=hein.journals%2Fjiel3&collection=journals>

157

Marceau, Gabrielle. Practical suggestions for amicus curiae briefs before WTO adjudicating bodies. Journal of International Economic Law;**4**

:155-87.<http://search.proquest.com/docview/218099523?OpenUrlRefId=info:xri/sid:primo&accountid=14511>

158

NAFTA. Methanex Corporation v. USA, Decision of the Tribunal on Petitions for Third Persons to Intervene as "Amici Curiae" 15 January 2001.
15AD.<http://www.naftaclaims.com/>

159

NAFTA. UPS v. Canada, Decision of the Tribunal on Petitions for Intervention and Participation as Amici Curiae, 17 October 2001 and Procedural Directions for Amicus Submissions, 4 April 2003. 17AD.<http://www.naftaclaims.com/>

160

ICSID. Aguas Argentinas S.A., Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona, S.A. and Vivendi Universal, S.A. v. Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19).
19AD.<https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/Pages/default.aspx>

161

Levine E. Amicus Curiae in International Investment Arbitration: The Implications of an Increase in Third-Party Participation. Berkeley Journal of International Law 2011;**29**
:200-24.<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=bsu&AN=60706503&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

162

Amerasinghe C. Evidence in International Litigation. Martinus Nijhoff 2005.

163

Hight K. Evidence and Proof of Facts. In: The International Court of Justice at a crossroads. Dobbs Ferry, N.Y.: Transnational Publishers 1987. 355-75.

164

May, Richard. Trends in International Criminal Evidence: Nuremberg, Tokyo, The Hague, and Arusha. *Columbia Journal of Transnational Law* 1998;**37**
.http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=725&handle=hein.journals%2Fcjtl37&collection=journals

165

Plant B. Evidence before the International Court of Justice. BIICL 2009.

166

Gattini, Andrea. Evidentiary Issues in the ICJ's Genocide Judgment. *Journal of International Criminal Justice* 2007;**5**
.http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=889&handle=hein.journals%2Fjicj5&collection=journals

167

Cheng B. General principles of law as applied by international courts and tribunals. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1994.

168

Grando, Michelle T. Allocating the Burden of Proof in WTO Disputes: A Critical Analysis. *Journal of International Economic Law*;**9**
.http://search.proquest.com/docview/218087369?accountid=14511

169

Keith Highet. Evidence, the Court, and the Nicaragua Case. *The American Journal of International Law* 1987;**81**
:1-56.http://www.jstor.org/stable/2202130?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

170

Kazazi M. Burden of Proof and Related Issues – A Study on Evidence Before International

Tribunals. Kluwer Law International 1996.

171

Kokott J. The burden of proof in comparative and international human rights law: civil and common law approaches with special reference to the American and German legal systems. The Hague: : Kluwer Law International 1998.

172

Lillich RB. Fact-Finding before International Tribunals. Transnational publications 1991.

173

May R, Wierda M. International Criminal Evidence. Transnational Publishers 2002.

174

Sandifer DV. Evidence before international tribunals. Rev. ed. Charlottesville: : University Press of Virginia 1975.

175

Wald, Patricia M. To Establish Incredible Events by Credible Evidence: The Use of Affidavit Testimony in Yugoslavia War Crimes Tribunal Proceedings. Harvard International Law Journal 2001;**42**
. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=535&handle=hein.journals%2Fhilj42&collection=journals>

176

ICJ. Case concerning Oil Platforms (Iran v US), Judgment of 6 November 2003.
6AD. <http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/?p1=3&p2=3&case=90&code=op&p3=4>

177

ICJ. Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Bosnia and Herzegovina v. Serbia and Montenegro), Judgment of 26 February

2007,. 26AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&case=91&p3=4>

178

ICJ. Case concerning Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Uganda), Judgment of 19 December 2005.
19AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=51/&case=116&code=co&p3=4>

179

ICTY. Prosecutor v. Brdanin, Trial Chamber Judgment of 1 September 2004.
1AD.<http://www.icty.org/sid/8368>

180

ECHR. Nachova and Others v. Bulgaria, Judgment of 6 July 2005.
6AD.<http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=home>

181

Inter-American Court of Human Rights. Case of Velásquez-Rodríguez v. Honduras, Judgment of July 29, 1988. 29AD.<http://www.corteidh.or.cr/index.php/en>

182

ICJ. Case Concerning Paramilitary Activities In and Against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States), Judgment of 17 June 1986. 27AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/?p1=3&p2=3&case=70&code=nus&p3=4>

183

ICJ. Application of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (Georgia v Russian Federation) Judgment of the 1st April 2011.
1AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=1&case=140&code=GR&p3=4>

184

ICJ. Whaling in the Antarctic (Australia v Japan, New Zealand intervening).
31AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=1&case=148&code=aj&p3=5>

185

ICTY. Prosecutor v. Tadic, Appeals Chamber Judgment of 15 July 1999,.
15AD.<http://www.icty.org/case/tadic/4>

186

Mackenzie R, Romano C, Shany Y, et al. Manual on international courts and tribunals. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.

187

Rosenne S. Provisional Measures in International Law. Oxford Scholarship 2005.
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199268061.001.0001/acprof-9780199268061>

188

Collier JG, Lowe AV. The settlement of disputes in international law: institutions and procedures. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1999.

189

Collins L. Interim measures in International Tribunals. In: Essays in international litigation and the conflict of laws. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1993. 214–33.

190

J. G. Merrills. Interim Measures of Protection in the Recent Jurisprudence of the International Court of Justice. The International and Comparative Law Quarterly 1995;**44**:90–146.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/760861>

191

ICJ. Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Bosnia-Herzegovina v Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro)), Order of 8 April 1993.

8AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=f4&case=91&code=bhy&p3=3>

192

ITLOS. Southern Bluefin Tuna Cases (Australia and New Zealand v Japan), Order of 27 August 1999. 27AD.<http://www.itlos.org/en/cases/list-of-cases/case-no-3-4/>

193

ITLOS. MOX Plant case (Ireland v UK), Order of 3 December 2001. 3AD.<http://www.itlos.org/index.php?id=102>

194

ICJ. Pulp Mills on the River Uruguay (Argentina v Uruguay), Order of 13 July 2006, Request for the Indication of Provisional Measures. 13AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&case=135&code=au&p3=3>

195

ICJ. Request for interpretation of the Judgment of 15 June 1962 in the case concerning the Temple of Preah Vihear (Cambodia v. Thailand) (Cambodia v. Thailand). 18AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=1&k=89&case=151&code=ct2&p3=3>

196

ICJ. La Grand Case (Germany v USA), Order of 3 March 1999. 3AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&case=104&code=gus&p3=3>

197

ICJ. La Grand Case (Germany v USA), Judgment of 27 June 2001. 27AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&case=104&code=gus&p3=4>

198

ECHR. Mamatkulov and Askarov v Turkey, Judgment of 4 February 2005.
4AD.<http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=home>

199

Mackenzie R, Romano C, Shany Y, et al. Manual on international courts and tribunals. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.

200

Brown C. Remedies in International Adjudication. In: A Common Law of International Adjudication. OUP 2007.
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199206506.001.0001/acprof-9780199206506-chapter-7>

201

Gray C. Chapter 40: Remedies. In: Romano C, Alter KJ, Shany Y, eds. The Oxford handbook of international adjudication. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2014.
871–98.<https://academic.oup.com/edited-volume/42603/chapter/357546675>

202

Gray CD. International Arbitral practice. In: International Arbitral Practice. Clarendon 1990.
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198254324.001.0001/acprof-9780198254324-chapter-2>

203

ILC. International Law Commission - Articles on State Responsibility.
2001.<http://www.un.org/law/ilc/>

204

Schultz C. Compliance with Decisions of the International Court of Justice - Oxford Scholarship. Oxford University Press 2004.
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199276721.001.0001/acp>

rof-9780199276721

205

Llamzon A. Jurisdiction and Compliance in Recent Decisions of the International Court of Justice. *European Journal of International Law* 2007;**18**:815–52.<http://www.ejil.org/pdfs/18/5/250.pdf>

206

ICJ. La Grand Case (Germany v US).
<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&case=104&code=gus&p3=1>

207

Inter-American Court of Human Rights. Advisory Opinion of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights on the Right to Information on Consular Assistance in the Framework of the Guarantees of the Due Process of Law, Advisory Opinion OC-16/99 of October 1, 1999 Requested by the United Mexican States, Inter-American Court of Human Rights. 1AD.http://www.corteidh.or.cr/docs/opiniones/seriea_16_ing.pdf

208

ICJ. Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000 (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Belgium),. 14AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&case=121&p3=4>

209

Mackenzie R, Romano C, Shany Y, et al. Manual on international courts and tribunals. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.

210

Brown C. Power of International Courts to Interpret and Revise Judgments and Awards. A Common Law of International Adjudication. 2007.<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199206506.001.0001/acprof-9780199206506-chapter-6>

211

ICJ. Application for Revision and Interpretation of the Judgment of 24th Feb. 1982 in the Case Concerning the Continental Shelf (Tunisia/Libya) 10 December 1985.
10AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=05&case=71&code=tltl&p3=4>

212

ICJ. Application for Revision of the Judgment in the Genocide Case, Judgment of 3 February 2003.
3AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=8d&PHPSESSID=d8ad9d6013d807680991459a0b88d6f8&case=122&code=ybh&p3=4>

213

ICJ. Case concerning the Use of Force (Serbia and Montenegro v. Belgium), Judgment of 15 December 2004.
15AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=d6&case=105&code=ybe&p3=4>

214

ICJ. Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 11th June 1998 in the Case Concerning the Land and Maritime Boundary between Cameroon and Nigeria, Judgment of 25 March 1999.
25AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&k=52&case=101&code=nc&p3=4>

215

The Courts of British Columbia. United Mexican States v. Metalclad, 2001 BCSC 664.
2AD.<http://www.courts.gov.bc.ca/>

216

ECHR. Hatton and Others v. United Kingdom, European Court of Human Rights, 8 July 2003. 8AD.<http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=home>

217

WTO. Understanding on rules and procedures governing the settlement of disputes.
http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/dsu_e.htm

218

ICSID. ICSID Convention.
14AD.<https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/icsiddocs/Pages/ICSID-Convention.aspx>

219

Caron D. Reputation and Reality in the ICSID Annulment Process: Understanding the Distinction between Annulment and Appeal. ICSID Review: Foreign Investment Law Journal; **7**:21–56.<http://icsidreview.oxfordjournals.org/content/7/1.toc>

220

Schreuer C. From ICSID Annulment to Appeal Half Way Down the Slippery Slope. The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals 2011;**10**:211–25.
doi:10.1163/157180311X582125

221

ICSID. CMS Gas Transmission Company v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, Decision of the Ad Hoc Committee on the Application for Annulment of the Argentine Republic, 25 September 2007.
25AD.<https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/cases/Pages/casedetail.aspx?CaseNo=ARB/01/8&tab=DOC>

222

ICSID. Enron Corp. Ponderosa Asset, L.P. v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3, Decision on the Application for Annulment of the Argentine Republic, 30 July 2010.
30AD.<http://italaw.com/documents/EnronAnnulmentDecision.pdf>

223

ICSID. *Sempra Energy Int'l v Argentine Republic*, ICSID (W. Bank) Case No. ARB/02/16, Decision on Argentine Republic's Request for Annulment of the Award, June 2010.
29AD.<https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/cases/Pages/casedetail.aspx?CaseNo=ARB/02/16&tab=DOC>

224

ICSID. Secretariat, Background Paper on Annulment for the Administrative Council of ICSID, August 10, 2012.
10AD.<https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/resources/Pages/Background-Papers.aspx>

225

Collier JG, Lowe AV. *The settlement of disputes in international law: institutions and procedures*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1999.

226

ICJ. *Legal Consequences Of The Construction Of A Wall In The Occupied Palestinian Territory*, ICJ, Advisory Opinion, 9 July.
9AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=4&case=131&code=mwp&p3=4>

227

ICJ. *Western Sahara, Advisory Opinion*, I.C.J. Reports 1975.
16AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=4&case=61&code=sa&p3=4>

228

ICJ. *Legality of the Use by a State of Nuclear Weapons in Armed Conflict* ICJ, 8 July 1996.
8AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=4&k=e1&case=93&code=anw&p3=4>

229

ICJ. *Accordance with international law of the unilateral declaration of independence in respect of Kosovo*, Advisory Opinion of 22 July 2010.

22AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=4&case=141&p3=4>

230

Roberto Ago. 'Binding' Advisory Opinions of the International Court of Justice. The American Journal of International Law 1991;**85**
:439–51.http://www.jstor.org/stable/2203106?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

231

ITLOS. Request for Advisory Opinion from the Sub-Regional Fisheries Commission, 27 March 2013. 27AD.<https://www.itlos.org/en/cases/list-of-cases/>

232

ECHR. Decision on the Competence of the Court to Give an Advisory Opinion ECtHR, 2 June 2004.
2AD.http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=caselaw&c=#n1347456340010_pointer

233

IACHR. Other Treaties' subject to the consultative jurisdiction of the Court (art. 64 of the American Convention on Human Rights) Advisory Opinion OC-1/82, 24 September 1982.
24AD.<http://www.corteidh.or.cr/index.php/en/advisory-opinions>

234

ICJ. Speech of President Guillaume to the Sixth Committee of UN General Assembly, October 2000. <http://www.icj-cij.org/court/index.php?pr=85&pt=3&p1=1&p2=3&p3=1>

235

New York University Journal of International Law and Politics. 1999;**31**
. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fnyuilm&collection=journals>

236

Hague Academy of International Law. Is International Law Threatened by Multiple International Tribunals?,. *Recueil des cours de l'Académie de Droit International de la Haye: Collected courses of the Hague Academy of International L* 1998;**271**.

237

Rosalyn Higgins. A Babel of Judicial Voices? Ruminations from the Bench. *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly* 2006;**55**
:791-804.http://www.jstor.org/stable/4092619?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

238

Shany Y. *Competing Jurisdictions of International Courts and Tribunals*. Oxford Scholarship 2004.
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199274284.001.0001/acprof-9780199274284>

239

Webb P. *International judicial integration and fragmentation*. First edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2013. doi:10.1093/law/9780199671151.001.0001

240

ICJ. Questions relating to the Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v Senegal). 20AD.<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&case=144&code=bs&p3=4>

241

PCA. The MOX Plant case (Ireland v United Kingdom), Order No. 3, Suspension of Proceedings on Jurisdiction and Merits, and Request for Further Provisional Measures, 24 June 2003. 24AD.<http://www.pcacases.com/>

242

PCA. The MOX Plant case (Ireland v United Kingdom), Order No. 4, Further Suspension of Proceedings on Jurisdiction and Merits, 14 November 2003. 14AD.<http://www.pcacases.com/>

243

European Court of Justice. Commission v Ireland, Case C-459/03, Judgment of the Court of
30 May 2006. 30AD.http://curia.europa.eu/jcms/jcms/j_6/