

PUBLG052: International Law and Human Rights

[View Online](#)

-
1.
International Law and Human Rights - PUBLG052.
 2.
Alston P, Goodman R. International Human Rights: Text and Materials. Oxford University Press; 2012.
 3.
Harris DJ. Cases and Materials on International Law. 7th ed. Sweet & Maxwell; 2010.
 4.
Ghandhi PR. Blackstone's International Human Rights Documents. Vol Blackstone's statutes series. 7th ed. Oxford University Press; 2010.
 5.
Smith RKM. Texts and Materials on International Human Rights. 3rd ed. Routledge; 2013.
 6.
Başak Cali. International Law for International Relations. Oxford University Press; 2010.
 - 7.

Armstrong JD, Farrell T, Lambert H. International Law and International Relations. Vol Themes in international relations. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2012.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511894565>

8.

R.J. Vincent. Human Rights and International Relations. Published in association with the Royal Institute of International Affairs by Cambridge University Press; 1986.

9.

Forsythe DP. Human Rights in International Relations. Vol Themes in international relations. 3rd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2012.

10.

Beth A. Simmons, Richard H. Steinberg. International Law and International Relations. Vol International organization books. Cambridge University Press; 2006.

11.

Rosalyn Higgins. Problems and Process: International Law and How We Use It. Clarendon Press; 1994.

12.

White RCA, Ovey C, Jacobs FG, Ovey C. The European Convention on Human Rights. 5th ed. Oxford University Press; 2010.

13.

Malcolm N. Shaw. International Law. 6th ed. Cambridge University Press; 2008.

14.

Antonio Cassese. International Law. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2005.

15.

Alan E. Boyle, C.M. Chinkin. The Making of International Law. Vol Foundations of public international law. Oxford University Press; 2007.

16.

Hilary Charlesworth, C.M. Chinkin. The Boundaries of International Law: A Feminist Analysis . Vol Melland Schill studies in international law. Manchester University Press, Juris; 2000.

17.

Alston P, Goodman R. International Human Rights: Text and Materials. Oxford University Press; 2012.

18.

Crawford JR. The Identification of Customary International Law. Published online 23AD.
http://www.google.co.uk/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&ved=0CCMQFjAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.ila-hq.org%2Fdownload.cfm%2Fdocid%2FBC985B09-ACEA-4356-AD31C90620705001&ei=oQokVMPjJJPkAN7IgJgG&usg=AFQjCNFUoRnjms2d6l4IAoiq_ucrCBANzQ&bvm=bv.76247554,d.d2s

19.

Human Rights Committee. Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 24, CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.6. Published 4AD.
<http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/gencomm/hrcom24.htm>

20.

United Nations. Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Published 1948.
<http://www.un.org/en/documents/udhr/>

21.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. Published 1966.
<http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx>

22.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Published 1966.

<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/cescr.aspx>

23.

International Court of Justice. Advisory Opinion on Reservations to the Convention on Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide. Published 28AD.

<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=4&k=90&case=12&code=ppcg&p3=4>

24.

Aust A. Modern Treaty Law and Practice. 3rd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2013.

25.

Ed Bates. Avoiding Legal Obligations Created by Human Rights Treaties. The International and Comparative Law Quarterly. 57(4):751-788. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20488253>

26.

Besson S. Theorizing the Sources of International Law. In: The Philosophy of International Law. Oxford University Press; 2010.

27.

Bianchi A. Human Rights and the Magic of Jus Cogens. European Journal of International Law. 2008;19(3):491-508. doi:10.1093/ejil/chn026

28.

Cannizzaro E, Arsanjani MH. The Law of Treaties beyond the Vienna Convention. Oxford University Press; 2011. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199588916.001.0001>

29.

Corten O, Klein P. The Vienna Conventions on the Law of Treaties: A Commentary. Oxford University Press; 2011.

30.

Kingsbury B. Legal Positivism as Normative Politics: International Society, Balance of Power and Lassa Oppenheim's Positive International Law. *European Journal of International Law*. 2002;13(2):401-437. doi:10.1093/ejil/13.2.401

31.

Koskenniemi M. Hierarchy in International Law: A Sketch. *European Journal of International Law*. 1997;8(4):566-582. <http://ejil.oxfordjournals.org/content/8/4/566.abstract>

32.

Kolb R. Selected Problems in the Theory of Customary International Law. *Netherlands International Law Review*. 50(2):119-150. doi:10.1017/S0165070X03001190

33.

Meron T. Human Rights and Humanitarian Norms as Customary Law. Clarendon; 1989. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198257455.001.0001

34.

O'Connell ME, Cogens J. International Law's Higher Ethical Norms. In: *The Role of Ethics in International Law. Vol ASIL studies in international legal theory*. Cambridge University Press; 2012. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511978425>

35.

Perreau-Saussine A, Murphy JB. *The Nature of Customary Law*. Cambridge University Press; 2007.

36.

Akehurst M. The Hierarchy of the Sources of International Law. The British year book of international law. 1975;47(273):281-285.

37.

Alston P, Goodman R. International Human Rights: Text and Materials. Oxford University Press; 2012.

38.

R (Nicklinson & Another) v. Ministry of Justice, [2014] UKSC 38 (25 June).
https://www.supremecourt.uk/decided-cases/docs/uksc_2013_0235_judgment.pdf

39.

Nicklinson & Lamb v. UK, ECtHR App. Nos. 1787/15 & 2478/15 (2015) .

40.

Schutter O de. International Human Rights Law: Cases, Materials, Commentary. Second edition. Cambridge University Press; 2014.

41.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. Published 1966.
<http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx>

42.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Published 1966.
<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/cescr.aspx>

43.

European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. Published 1950.
http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=basictexts&c=#n1359128122487_pointer

44.

Bassiouni MC, Schabas W, eds. *New Challenges for the UN Human Rights Machinery: What Future for the UN Treaty Body System and the Human Rights Council Procedures?* Intersentia; 2011.

45.

Carozza PG. 'My Friend Is a Stranger': The Death Penalty and the Global Ius Commune of Human Rights. *Texas Law Review*. 81(4):1031-1089.
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=9240337&site=ehost-live>

46.

Carozza PG. Human Dignity and Judicial Interpretation of Human Rights: A Reply. *European Journal of International Law*. 2008;19(5):931-944. doi:10.1093/ejil/chn059

47.

Egan S. *The United Nations Human Rights Treaty System: Law and Procedure*. Bloomsbury Professional; 2011.

48.

Guzman AT, Meyer TL. *International Common Law: The Soft law of International Tribunals*. *Chicago journal of international law*. 9(2):515-536.
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fcjil&collection=journals>

49.

R.H. Helmholz. *Natural Human Rights: The Perspective of the IUS Commune*. *Catholic University Law Review*. 52(2).
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=301&handle=hein.journals%2Fcathu52&collection=journals#311>

50.

Isiksel T. Global legal pluralism as fact and norm. *Global Constitutionalism*. 2(2):160-195. doi:10.1017/S2045381713000130

51.

Isiksel T, Thies A. Changing subjects: Rights, remedies and responsibilities of individuals under global legal pluralism. *Global Constitutionalism*. 2(2):151-159. doi:10.1017/S2045381713000117

52.

McCrudden C. Human Dignity and Judicial Interpretation of Human Rights. *European Journal of International Law*. 2008;19(4):655-724. doi:10.1093/ejil/chn043

53.

Örücü E, United Kingdom National Committee of Comparative Law. Judicial Comparativism in Human Rights Cases. Vol United Kingdom comparative law series. United Kingdom National Committee of Comparative Law; 2003.

54.

Shelton D. *Regional Protection of Human Rights*. Oxford University Press; 2008.

55.

Stone Sweet A. A cosmopolitan legal order: Constitutional pluralism and rights adjudication in Europe. *Global Constitutionalism*. 1(1):53-90. doi:10.1017/S2045381711000062

56.

van Elsuwege P. New challenges for pluralist adjudication after Lisbon: the protection of fundamental rights in a *Ius commune Europaeum*. *Netherlands quarterly of human rights*. 2012;30(2):195-217.

<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fmethqur&collection=journals>

57.

United Nations. Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW). Published 1979. <http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/>

58.

European Convention on Human Rights & Fundamental Freedoms. Published 1950. <http://conventions.coe.int/Treaty/en/Treaties/Html/005.htm>

59.

United Nations. Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment. Published 1984. <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CAT.aspx>

60.

Alston P, Goodman R. International Human Rights: Text and Materials. Oxford University Press; 2012.

61.

Chinkin C. A critique of the public/private dimension. *European Journal of International Law* . 1999;10(2):387-395. doi:10.1093/ejil/10.2.387

62.

X & Y v. Netherlands, ECtHR, App No. 8978/80. Published online 1985. [http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-57603#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-57603%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-57603#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-57603%22]})

63.

Osman v. UK, ECtHR, App No. 87/1997/871/1083. Published online 1998. [http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-58257#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-58257%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-58257#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-58257%22]})

64.

Committee Against Torture, General Comment No. 2, Implementation of article 2 by States Parties. Published 2007.

http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/cat/general_comments/cat-gencom2.html

65.

Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 31, Nature of the General Legal Obligation Imposed on States Parties to the Covenant, CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.13. Published 2004. <http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/gencomm/hrcom31.html>

66.

M.M. v. Netherlands, ECtHR, App. No 39339/98. Published online 2003.

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-61002#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-61002%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-61002#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-61002%22]})

67.

International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination. Published 1965. <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CERD.aspx>

68.

Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 24: Issues relating to reservations made upon ratification or accession to the Covenant or the Optional Protocols thereto, or in relation to declarations under article 41 of the Covenant, U.N. Doc. CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.6. Published 1994.

<https://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/gencomm/hrcom24.htm>

69.

UNGA 'Report of the Committee for the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women' (1998). <http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/reports/18report.pdf>

70.

Belilos v. Switzerland, ECtHR App. No 10328/83 (1988).

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{"appno":\["10328/83"\],"itemid":\["001-57434"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{)

71.

Askin KD, Koenig DM. Women and International Human Rights Law. 3rd ed. Transnational; 1999.

72.

Charlesworth H, Chinkin C, Wright S. Feminist Approaches to International Law. The American Journal of International Law. 85(4):613-645.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2203269?origin=crossref>

73.

Charlesworth H, Chinkin CM. The Boundaries of International Law: A Feminist Analysis. Vol Melland Schill studies in international law. Manchester University Press; 2000.

74.

Clapham A. Human Rights Obligations of Non-State Actors. Vol The collected courses of the Academy of European Law. Oxford University Press; 2006.
[doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199288465.001.0001](https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199288465.001.0001)

75.

Krook ML. Quotas for Women in Politics: Gender and Candidate Selection Reform Worldwide. Oxford University Press; 2009.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195375671.001.0001>

76.

New Perspectives on the Public-Private Divide. Vol Legal dimensions series. UBC Press; 2003.

77.

Manjoo R. Violence Against Women in the United States and the State's Obligation to Protect. Published online 2011.
<http://www.reproductiverights.org/sites/crr.civicactions.net/files/documents/vaw.pdf>

78.

Meyersfeld B. Domestic Violence and International Law. Hart; 2010.

79.

Mullally S. Domestic Violence Asylum Claims and Recent Developments in International Human Rights Law: A Progress Narrative? International and Comparative Law Quarterly. 60(2):459-484. doi:10.1017/S0020589311000042

80.

Opuz v. Turkey, ECtHR, App. No. 33401/02. Published online 2009.
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-92945#{%22itemid%22:\[%2001-92945%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-92945#{%22itemid%22:[%2001-92945%22]})

81.

Shue H. Basic Rights: Subsistence, Affluence, and U.S. Foreign Policy. 2nd ed. Princeton University Press; 1996.

82.

Alston P, Goodman R. International Human Rights: Text and Materials. Oxford University Press; 2012.

83.

United Nations. Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Published 1948.
<http://www.un.org/en/universal-declaration-human-rights/>

84.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Published 1966.
<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/cescr.aspx>

85.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. Published 1966.

<http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx>

86.

European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. Published 1950.

http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=basictexts&c=#n1359128122487_pointer

87.

International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination. Published 1966. <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CERD.aspx>

88.

Öllinger v. Austria, ECtHR App. No. 76900/01. Published online 29AD.

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-76098#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-76098%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-76098#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-76098%22]})

89.

TBB v. Germany, Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination Communication No. 48/2010, CERD/C/82/D/48/2010. Published online 4AD.

<http://www2.ohchr.org/English/bodies/cerd/docs/CERD-C-82-D-48-2010-English.pdf>

90.

Goekce v. Austria, Annex, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/39/D/5/2005. Published online 6AD.

http://www.iwraw-ap.org/protocol/doc/Sahide_Goekce_v_Austria.pdf

91.

Alston P, Goodman R. International Human Rights: Text and Materials. Oxford University Press; 2012.

92.

Eweida & others v. UK, ECtHR, App. Nos. 48420/10, 59842/10, 51671/10 and 36516/10. Published online 2013.
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-115881#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-115881%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-115881#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-115881%22]})

93.

Human Rights Committee. General Comment 34, U.N. Doc CCPR/C/GC/34. Published 2011.
<http://www.refworld.org/docid/4ed34b562.html>

94.

Koskeniemi M. Human Rights Mainstreaming as a Strategy for Institutional Power. *Humanity: An International Journal of Human Rights, Humanitarianism, and Development*. 1(1):47-58. doi:10.1353/hum.2010.0003

95.

Sweet AS, Matthews J. Proportionality Balancing and Global Constitutionalism. *Columbia Journal of Transnational Law*. 2008;47.
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=72&handle=hein.journals%2Fcjtl47&collection=journals#74>

96.

SAS v. France, ECtHR, App. No. 43835/11. Published online 2014.
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-145466#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-145466%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-145466#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-145466%22]})

97.

□□ Council of Europe Parliamentary Assembly, Committee on Legal Affairs and Human Rights. The Future of the European Court of Human Rights and the Brighton Declaration, AS/Jur. Published online 3AD. http://assembly.coe.int/CommitteeDocs/2012/ajdoc42_2012.pdf

98.

Protocol No. 15 Amending the Convention on the Protection of Human Rights and

Fundamental Freedoms. Published online 24AD.
<http://conventions.coe.int/Treaty/EN/Treaties/Html/213.htm>

99.

R (Nicklinson & Another) v. Ministry of Justice, [2014] UKSC 38 (25 June).
https://www.supremecourt.uk/decided-cases/docs/uksc_2013_0235_judgment.pdf

100.

Arosemena G. Conflicts of rights in international human rights: A meta-rule analysis. *Global Constitutionalism*. 2(1):6-36. doi:10.1017/S2045381712000214

101.

Foster L, Jacobs LA, Azmi S. *Balancing Competing Human Rights Claims in a Diverse Society: Institutions, Policy, Principles*. Irwin Law; 2012.

102.

Benvenisti E. Margin of Appreciation, Consensus and Universal Standards. *New York University journal of international law & politics*. 31(4):843-854.
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fnyuilm&collection=journals>

103.

Brady ADP. *Proportionality and Deference under the UK Human Rights Act: An Institutionally Sensitive Approach*. Cambridge University Press; 2012.

104.

Brems E, *International Conference on Conflicts Between Fundamental Rights. Conflicts between Fundamental Rights*. Intersentia; 2008.

105.

Başak Cali. Balancing Human Rights? Methodological Problems with Weights, Scales and Proportions. *Human Rights Quarterly*. 29(1):251-270. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20072795>

106.

Virgílio Afonso da Silva. Comparing the Incommensurable: Constitutional Principles, Balancing and Rational Decision. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 31(2):273-301.
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=61047869&site=ehost-live>

107.

Handyside v. UK, ECtHR, App. No. 5493/72. Published online 1976.
<http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-57499#%7B%22itemid%22:%5B%22001-57499%22%5D%7D>

108.

Kennedy D. *The Dark Sides of Virtue: Reassessing International Humanitarianism*. Princeton University Press; 2004. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt7rsjc>

109.

Kennedy D. *A Critique of Adjudication: Fin de Siècle*. Harvard University Press; 1997.

110.

Klare K. Legal Theory and Democratic Reconstruction. *University of British Columbia law review*. 1991;25(1):69-103.
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fubclr&collection=journals>

111.

Klatt M, Meister M. *The Constitutional Structure of Proportionality*. Oxford University Press; 2012. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199662463.001.0001>

112.

Onora O'Neill. *The Dark Side of Human Rights*. International Affairs (Royal Institute of

International Affairs 1944-). 81(2):427-439. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3568897>

113.

Samuels H. Feminizing Human Rights Adjudication: Feminist Method and the Proportionality Principle. *Feminist Legal Studies*. 21(1):39-60.
doi:10.1007/s10691-012-9225-6

114.

Cass R. Sunstein. Rights and Their Critics. *Notre Dame Law Review*. 1995;70(4):727-768.
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=727&handle=hein.journals%2Fndlr70&collection=journals#735>

115.

Stavros Tsakyrakis. Proportionality: An Assault on Human Rights? *International Journal of Constitutional Law*. 2009;7(3):468-493.
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=468&handle=hein.journals%2Finjcl7&collection=journals#474>

116.

Madhav Khosla. Proportionality: An Assault on Human Rights: A Reply. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*. 2010;8(2):293-306.
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=298&handle=hein.journals%2Finjcl8&collection=journals#302>

117.

Stavros Tsakyrakis. Proportionality: An Assault on Human Rights: A Rejoinder to Madhav Khosla. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*. 2010;8(2):307-310.
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=307&handle=hein.journals%2Finjcl8&collection=journals#311>

118.

Klatt M, Meister M. Proportionality--a benefit to human rights? Remarks on the I.CON controversy. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*. 2012;10(3):687-708.
doi:10.1093/icon/mos019

119.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. Published 1966.

<http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx>

120.

European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. Published 1950.

http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=basictexts&c=#n1359128122487_pointer

121.

Organization of American States. American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. Published 1948. http://www.hrcr.org/docs/OAS_Declaration/oasrights.html

122.

Geneva Convention (III) relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War. Published 1949.

<http://www.icrc.org/ihl/INTRO/375?OpenDocument>

123.

Protocol (I) Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflicts. Published 1977.

<http://www.icrc.org/ihl/INTRO/470>

124.

Geneva Convention (IV) relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War. Published 1949.

<http://www.icrc.org/ihl/385ec082b509e76c41256739003e636d/6756482d86146898c125641e004aa3c5>

125.

Alston P, Goodman R. International Human Rights: Text and Materials. Oxford University

Press; 2012.

126.

OLC Memo for Alberto R. Gonzales & William J. Haynes II. Published online 22AD.
<http://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/olc/legacy/2009/08/24/memo-laws-taliban-detainees.pdf>

127.

OLC Memo for Alberto R. Gonzales. Published online 7AD.
http://lawofwar.org/Bush_torture_memo.htm

128.

Memo from the President to national security advisors. Published online 7AD.
<http://www2.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/NSAEBB/NSAEBB127/02.02.07.pdf>

129.

Al-Jedda v. UK, ECtHR, [GC] App. no. 27021/08 (2011).
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{\"dmdocnumber\":\"887954\"},\"itemid\":\"001-105612\"}\]](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{\)

130.

Hassan v. UK, ECtHR [GC], no. 29750/09 (2014). Published online 2014.
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{\"documentcollectionid2\":\"GRANDCHAMBER\",\"CHAMBER\"},\"itemid\":\"001-146501\"}\]](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{\)

131.

Alston P, Goodman R. International Human Rights: Text and Materials. Oxford University Press; 2012.

132.

Statement of Attorney General Eric Holder on Closure of Investigation into the Interrogation of Certain Detainees, Department of Justice Office of Public Affairs (August

30, 2012).

<http://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/statement-attorney-general-eric-holder-closure-investigation-interrogation-certain-detainees>

133.

OLC Memo for Alberto R. Gonzales, Counsel to the President, Re: Standards of Conduct for Interrogation Under 18 USC §§ 2340-2340A, August 1, 2002.

<http://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/olc/legacy/2010/08/05/memo-gonzales-aug2002.pdf>

134.

CIA Office of General Counsel, Draft: Legal Appendix: Paragraph 5-Hostile Interrogations: Legal Considerations for CIA Officers, November 26, 2002.

135.

Abella v. Argentina, Case 11.137, Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, Report No. 55/97. Published 1997. <http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/cases/1997/argentina55-97a.html>

136.

Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (DRC v. Uganda), ICJ Rep. 168. Published 2005. <http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=3&code=co&case=116&k=51/>

137.

Abresch W. A Human Rights Law of Internal Armed Conflict: The European Court of Human Rights in Chechnya. *European Journal of International Law*. 16(4):741-767.

doi:10.1093/ejil/chi139

138.

Arnold R, Quénivet NNR. *International Humanitarian Law and Human Rights Law: Towards a New Merger in International Law*. Martinus Nijhoff; 2008.

139.

Inter-American Court of Human Rights. *Bámaca Velásquez v. Guatemala*, Case No. 11/129 (Merits), Inter-Am. Ct. H.R., (Ser. C) No. 70. Published 2000.
<http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/iachr/C/70-ing.html>

140.

Bullard A. *Human Rights in Crisis*. Ashgate; 2008.

141.

Coard v. the United States of America, Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, Case 10.951. Published 1999.
<https://www.cidh.oas.org/annualrep/99eng/Merits/UnitedStates10.951.htm>

142.

Doswald-Beck L, Vité S. International Humanitarian Law and Human Rights Law. *International Review of the Red Cross*. 33(293):94-119. doi:10.1017/S0020860400071539

143.

Cordula Droege. The Interplay between International Humanitarian Law and International Human Rights Law in Situations of Armed Conflict. *Israel Law Review*. 40(2):310-355.
doi:10.1017/S0021223700013376

144.

Goldsmith JL. *Power and Constraint: The Accountable Presidency after 9/11*. W. W. Norton & Co; 2012.

145.

Greenberg KJ. *The Least Worst Place: Guantanamo's First 100 Days*. Oxford University Press; 2009.

146.

Hampson F. The Relationship between International Human Rights Law and International Humanitarian Law, E/CN.4/Sub.2/2005/14. Published 2005.
<http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/subcom/57/aevdoc.htm>

147.

Heintze HJ. On the relationship between human rights law protection and international humanitarian law. *Revue Internationale de la Croix-Rouge/International Review of the Red Cross*. 86(856):789-814. doi:10.1017/S1560775500180460

148.

Kolb R. The relationship between international humanitarian law and human rights law: A brief history of the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the 1949 Geneva Conventions. *International Review of the Red Cross*. 38(324):409-419.
doi:10.1017/S002086040009121X

149.

Las Palmeras v. Colombia (Preliminary Objections, February 4, 2000), Inter-Am. Ct. H.R., (Ser. C) No. 67. Published 2000. <http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/iachr/C/67-ing.html>

150.

International Court of Justice. Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, Advisory Opinion, ICJ Rep. 136. Published 2004.
<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=4&case=131&p3=4>

151.

Levinson S. *Torture: A Collection*. Oxford University Press; 2004.

152.

Meron T. Convergence of International Humanitarian Law and Human Rights Law. In: *Human Rights and Humanitarian Law: The Quest for Universality*. Vol Nijhoff law specials. M. Nijhoff; 1997.

153.

Theodor Meron. The Humanization of Humanitarian Law. *The American Journal of International Law*. 94(2):239-278. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2555292>

154.

Orakhelashvili A. The Interaction between Human Rights and Humanitarian Law: Fragmentation, Conflict, Parallelism, or Convergence? *European Journal of International Law*. 2008;19(1):161-182. doi:10.1093/ejil/chm055

155.

Roth K, Worden M, Bernstein AD. Torture: Does It Make Us Safer? Is It Ever OK? : A Human Rights Perspective. New Press in conjunction with Human Rights Watch; 2005.

156.

Sands P. Torture Team: Rumsfeld's Memo and the Betrayal of American Values. Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

157.

Johan Steyn. Guantanamo Bay: The Legal Black Hole. *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 53(1):1-15. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3663134>

158.

United Nations. International Legal Protection of Human Rights in Armed Conflict. United Nations; 2011.

159.

Alston P, Goodman R. International Human Rights: Text and Materials. Oxford University Press; 2012.

160.

Dinstein Y. *The Conduct of Hostilities under the Law of International Armed Conflict*. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2010.

161.

Supreme Court of Israel. *Public Committee Against Torture in Israel v. Israel*, Supreme Court of Israel, HCJ 769/02. Published online 2006.
http://www.haguejusticeportal.net/Docs/NLP/Israel/Targetted_Killings_Supreme_Court_13-12-2006.pdf

162.

Office of the Assistant Attorney General, Memorandum for the Attorney General, July 16, 2010. <http://www.washingtonpost.com/r/2010-2019/WashingtonPost/2014/06/23/National-Security/Graphics/memodrones.pdf>

163.

The White House. *U.S. Policy Standards and Procedures for the Use of Force in Counterterrorism Operations Outside the United States and Areas of Active Hostilities*. <http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2013/05/23/fact-sheet-us-policy-standards-and-procedures-use-force-counterterrorism>

164.

Alston P, Goodman R. *International Human Rights: Text and Materials*. Oxford University Press; 2012.

165.

Human Rights Committee. HRC, General Comment No. 31: Nature of the General Legal Obligation Imposed on States Parties to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. Published 2004. <http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/gencomm/hrcom31.html>

166.

International Court of Justice. *Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory*, Advisory Opinion, ICJ Rep. 136. Published 2004.

<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/index.php?p1=3&p2=4&case=131&p3=4>

167.

U.S. Department of State. Fourth Periodic Report of the United States of America to the United Nations Committee on Human Rights Concerning the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. <http://www.state.gov/j/drl/rls/179781.htm>

168.

Supreme Court. Smith (No. 2) v. Ministry of Defence [2013] UKSC 41.(19AD).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I86722C10D8D111E2B4EC91F9DB95B3F4&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

169.

U.N. General Assembly. General Assembly Third Committee, The Right to Privacy in a Digital Age, UN Doc. A/C.3/68/L.45. Published online 20AD.
<http://daccess-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/LTD/N13/576/77/PDF/N1357677.pdf?OpenElement>

170.

MacAskill E, Ball J. UN surveillance resolution goes ahead despite attempts to dilute language. The Guardian. Published 21AD.
<http://www.theguardian.com/world/2013/nov/21/un-surveillance-resolution-us-uk-dilute-language>

171.

Milanovic M. Foreign Surveillance and Human Rights: Introduction. Published 25AD.
<http://www.ejiltalk.org/foreign-surveillance-and-human-rights-introduction/>

172.

Milanovic M. CRC Concluding Observations on the Holy See. Published 5AD.
<http://www.ejiltalk.org/crc-concluding-observations-on-the-holy-see/>

173.

The Right to Privacy in the Digital Age, Report of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, A/HRC/27/37 (June 30, 2014).

https://www.google.co.uk/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&ved=0CCEQFjAAahUKEwias4aYjf_HAhXFfhoKHXC5BFM&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.ohchr.org%2FEN%2FHRBodies%2FHRC%2FRegularSessions%2FSession27%2FDocuments%2FA-HRC-27-37_en.doc&usg=AFQjCNEV939mQ6D32744AZQmPV2V0ucLuA&sig2=s-h3KVwWowHyQFrxcxGadA

174.

Alston P. Study on Targeted Killings – Addendum to the Report of the Special Rapporteur on Extrajudicial, Summary or Arbitrary Executions.

<http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/hrcouncil/docs/14session/A.HRC.14.24.Add6.pdf>

175.

Alston P, Hunt P, Kälin W, Kothari M. Report of UN Special Rapporteurs on Mission To Lebanon And Israel, UN Doc A/HRC/2/7.

<http://daccess-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/G06/141/95/PDF/G0614195.pdf?OpenElement>

176.

Bothe M. The Protection of the Civilian Population and NATO Bombing on Yugoslavia:

Comments on a Report to the Prosecutor of the ICTY. *European Journal of International Law* . 2001;12(3):531-536. doi:10.1093/ejil/12.3.531

177.

International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia. Final Report to the Prosecutor by the Committee Established to Review the NATO Bombing Campaign Against the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia. Published 2000. <http://www.icty.org/sid/10052>

178.

Israeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs. Responding to Hizbullah attacks from Lebanon: Issues of proportionality. Published 25AD.

<http://www.mfa.gov.il/mfa/aboutisrael/state/law/pages/responding%20to%20hizbullah%20attacks%20from%20lebanon-%20issues%20of%20proportionality%20july%202006.aspx>

179.

Kretzmer D. Targeted Killing of Suspected Terrorists: Extra-Judicial Executions or Legitimate Means of Defence? *European Journal of International Law*. 16(2):171-212. doi:10.1093/ejil/chi114

180.

McCorquodale R, Simons P. Responsibility beyond Borders: State Responsibility for Extraterritorial Violations by Corporations of International Human Rights Law. *The Modern Law Review*. 70(4):598-625. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4543156>

181.

Melzer N. Interpretive guidance on the notion of direct participation in hostilities under international humanitarian law. Published 2009. <http://www.icrc.org/eng/resources/documents/publication/p0990.htm>

182.

International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia. Prosecutor v. Blaskić

,

Appeal Judgement, Case No. IT-95-14-A. <http://www.icty.org/case/blaskic/4>

183.

International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia. Prosecutor v. Gotovina, Appeals Judgment, Case No. IT-06-90-A. http://www.icty.org/x/cases/gotovina/acjug/en/121116_judgement.pdf

184.

International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia. Prosecutor v. Galić

, Trial Judgment, Case No. IT-98-29-T. Published online 2003. <http://www.icty.org/x/cases/galic/acjug/en/gal-acjud061130.pdf>

185.

Marco Roscini. Targeting and Contemporary Aerial Bombardment. *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 54(2):411-443. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3663255>

186.

Ruggie JG. Business and Human Rights: The Evolving International Agenda. *The American Journal of International Law*. 101(4):819-840. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40006320>

187.

Watkin K. Controlling the Use of Force: A Role for Human Rights Norms in Contemporary Armed Conflict. *The American Journal of International Law*. 98(1):1-34. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/3139252?origin=crossref>

188.

Business & Human Rights Resource Centre. Extraterritorial jurisdiction. <http://business-humanrights.org/en/special-representative/un-secretary-generals-special-representative-on-business-human-rights/materials-by-topic/extraterritorial-jurisdiction>

189.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Published 1966. <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/cescr.aspx>

190.

Alston P, Goodman R. *International Human Rights: Text and Materials*. Oxford University Press; 2012.

191.

Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, G.A. res. 63/117 (2008). <http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/cescr/docs/A-RES-63-117.pdf>

192.

African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (Banjul Charter / ACHPR) (1981).
http://www.achpr.org/files/instruments/achpr/banjul_charter.pdf

193.

American Convention on Human Rights (Pact of San Jose / ACHR) (1969).
http://www.oas.org/dil/treaties_B-32_American_Convention_on_Human_Rights.pdf

194.

Additional Protocol to the American Convention on Human Rights in the Area of Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (Protocol of San Salvador) (1988).
[http://www.oas.org/dil/1988%20Additional%20Protocol%20to%20the%20American%20Convention%20on%20Human%20Rights%20in%20the%20Area%20of%20Economic,%20Social%20and%20Cultural%20Rights%20\(Protocol%20of%20San%20Salvador\).pdf](http://www.oas.org/dil/1988%20Additional%20Protocol%20to%20the%20American%20Convention%20on%20Human%20Rights%20in%20the%20Area%20of%20Economic,%20Social%20and%20Cultural%20Rights%20(Protocol%20of%20San%20Salvador).pdf)

195.

African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, Principles and Guidelines on the Implementation of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights in the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (November 2010).
http://www.achpr.org/files/instruments/economic-social-cultural/achpr_instr_guide_draft_esc_rights_eng.pdf

196.

□□ Monim Elgak, Osman Hummeida and Amir Suliman (represented by FIDH and OMCT) v Sudan, African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, Communication 379/09 (10 March, 2015).
http://www.achpr.org/files/sessions/15th-eo/comunications/379.09/achpr15eos_decision_379_09_eng.pdf

197.

Acevedo Buendía v. Perú, IACtHR (1 July, 2009).
http://www.corteidh.or.cr/docs/casos/articulos/seriec_198_ing.pdf

198.

Committee on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights, General Comment No. 15 (2002).
<http://www.refworld.org/docid/4538838d11.html>

199.

Roth K. Defending Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: Practical Issues Faced by an International Human Rights Organization. 26(1).

200.

Roth K. Response to Leonard S. Rubenstein. Human Rights Quarterly. 2004;26(4):873-878.
doi:10.1353/hrq.2004.0055

201.

Rubenstein LS. How International Human Rights Organizations Can Advance Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: A Response to Kenneth Roth. Human Rights Quarterly. 2004;26(4):845-865. doi:10.1353/hrq.2004.0056

202.

de Albuquerque C. Chronicle of an Announced Birth: The Coming into Life of the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights—The Missing Piece of the International Bill of Human Rights. Human Rights Quarterly. 32(1):144-178. doi:10.1353/hrq.0.0137

203.

Philip Alston. Ships Passing in the Night: The Current State of the Human Rights and Development Debate Seen through the Lens of the Millennium Development Goals. Human Rights Quarterly. 27(3):755-829. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20069811>

204.

Alston P. A Human Rights Perspective on the Millennium Development Goals.
<http://www.appg-popdevrh.org.uk/Publications/Population%20Hearings/Evidence/UNHCHR%20report%202.pdf>

205.

De Schutter O, et al. Commentary to the Maastricht Principles on Extraterritorial Obligations of States in the Area of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. *Human Rights Quarterly*. 34(4):1084-1169. doi:10.1353/hrq.2012.0063

206.

Easterly W. How the Millennium Development Goals are Unfair to Africa. *World Development*. 37(1):26-35. doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2008.02.009

207.

Gauri V, Brinks DM. *Courting Social Justice: Judicial Enforcement of Social and Economic Rights in the Developing World*. Cambridge University Press; 2008.

208.

Langford M. *Social Rights Jurisprudence: Emerging Trends in International and Comparative Law*. Cambridge University Press; 2008.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/social-rights-jurisprudence/E2DFA5FC0E55678CAF3BFF0B7D6B68AD>

209.

Nelson PJ. Human Rights, the Millennium Development Goals, and the Future of Development Cooperation. *World Development*. 35(12):2041-2055.
doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2007.02.006

210.

Rosenberg GN. *The Hollow Hope: Can Courts Bring about Social Change?* Vol American politics and political economy series. University of Chicago; 1991.

211.

Sachs JD, McArthur JW. The Millennium Project: a plan for meeting the Millennium Development Goals. *Lancet*. 22AD;365(9456):347-353.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=15780027&site=ehost-live>

212.

Saith A. From Universal Values to Millennium Development Goals: Lost in Translation. *Development and Change*. 37(6):1167-1199. doi:10.1111/j.1467-7660.2006.00518.x

213.

Travis P, et al. Overcoming health-systems constraints to achieve the Millennium Development Goals. *The Lancet*. 364(9437):900-906. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16987-0

214.

Tushnet MV. *Weak Courts, Strong Rights: Judicial Review and Social Welfare Rights in Comparative Constitutional Law*. Princeton University Press; 2008.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt7s5kr>

215.

White L, Perelman J. *Stones of Hope: How African Activists Reclaim Human Rights to Challenge Global Poverty*. Vol Stanford studies in human rights. Stanford University Press; 2011.

216.

Yamin AE, Gloppen S. *Litigating Health Rights: Can Courts Bring More Justice to Health?* Vol Human Rights Program series. Harvard University Press; 2011.

217.

Young KG. *Constituting Economic and Social Rights*. Vol Oxford constitutional theory. Oxford University Press; 2012.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199641932.001.0001>

218.

Craven MCR. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: A Perspective on Its Development. Vol Oxford monographs in international law. [Paperback ed.]. Clarendon Press; 2002.

219.

Sepúlveda MM. The Nature of the Obligations under the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Vol School of Human Rights Research series. Intersentia; 2003.

220.

Tara Melish. Protecting economic, social and cultural rights in the Inter-American human rights system. Published online 2002.
https://law.yale.edu/system/files/documents/pdf/Intellectual_Life/MANUAL_OAS_INGLES.pdf

221.

United Nations. Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, General Comment No. 20, Non-Discrimination in Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (art. 2, para. 2) U.N. Doc. E/C.12/GC/20. Published online 2009.
<http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/gencomm/escgencom20.html>

222.

Young KG, Lemaitre J. The Comparative Fortunes of the Right to Health: Two Tales of Justiciability in Colombia and South Africa. Harvard Human Rights Journal. 2013;26(1):179-216. <http://harvardhrj.com/archive/>

223.

Yamin AE, Parra-Vera O. Judicial protection of the right to health in Colombia: From social demands to individual claims to public debates. Hastings International and Comparative Law Review. 33(2):431-459.
https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?lname=&public=false&collection=journals&handle=hein.journals/hasint33&men_hide=false&men_tab=toc&kind=&page=431

224.

ICCPR. <http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx>

225.

ACHPR. http://www.achpr.org/files/instruments/achpr/banjul_charter.pdf

226.

Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (1948).
<https://treaties.un.org/doc/Publication/UNTS/Volume%2078/volume-78-I-1021-English.pdf>

227.

Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, GA Res 61/295 (2007).
http://www.un.org/esa/socdev/unpfii/documents/DRIPS_en.pdf

228.

Kitok v. Sweden, HRC Comm. No. 197/1985, UN Doc. A/43/40 (1988).
http://www.worldcourts.com/hrc/eng/decisions/1988.07.27_Kitok_v_Sweden.htm

229.

Human Rights Committee, General Comment 23, UN Doc CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.5 (1994).
<http://www.refworld.org/docid/453883fc0.html>

230.

Human Rights Committee, General Comment 12 (1984), in , U.N. Doc. HRI/GEN/1/Rev.1 at 12. <http://www.refworld.org/docid/453883f822.html>

231.

Advisory Opinion of the African Commission on Human and Peoples ' Rights on the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (2007).
http://www.achpr.org/files/special-mechanisms/indigenous-populations/un_advisory_opinion_idp_eng.pdf

232.

Apirana Mahuika v. New Zealand, Human Rights Committee, Comm. No. 547/1993, UN Doc. CCPR/C/70/D/547/1993 (2000). <http://hrlibrary.umn.edu/undocs/547-1993.html>

233.

The Human Rights Situation of Indigenous People in the Americas, IACmHR, OEA/Ser.L/V/II/108, Doc. 62 (2000). <http://www.cidh.org/Indigenas/TOC.htm>

234.

Xákmok Kásek Indigenous Community v. Paraguay, IACtHR Ser. C No. 214 (24 August 2010). http://www.corteidh.or.cr/docs/casos/articulos/seriec_214_ing.pdf

235.

Saramaka People v. Suriname, IACtHR Ser. C No. 172 (28 November, 2007). http://www.corteidh.or.cr/docs/casos/articulos/seriec_172_ing.pdf

236.

Centre for Minority Rights Development (Kenya) and Minority Rights Group International on behalf of Endorois Welfare Council v. Kenya, Afr Comm'n HPR Case 276/03 (2010). http://www.achpr.org/files/sessions/46th/comunications/276.03/achpr46_276_03_eng.pdf

237.

African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, Principles and Guidelines on the Implementation of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights in the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (November 2010). http://www.achpr.org/files/instruments/economic-social-cultural/achpr_instr_guide_draft_esc_rights_eng.pdf

238.

□□Adeno Addis, Individualism, Communitarianism, and the Rights of Ethnic Minorities, 66

Notre Dame L. Rev. 1219 (1991).
<https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/tndl67&i=625>

239.

Alston P, Goodman R. International Human Rights: Text and Materials. Oxford University Press; 2012.

240.

Katangese Peoples' Congress v. Zaire, ACmHPR Comm. 75/92 (1995).
<http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/africa/comcases/75-92.html>

241.

Reference by the Governor in Council concerning Certain Questions relating to the Secession of Quebec from Canada ([1998] 2 Supreme Court Reporter (SCR) 217.
<http://scc-csc.lexum.com/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/1643/index.do>

242.

Report of the Special Envoy of the Secretary-General on Kosovo's future status, U.N. Doc. S/2007/168 (26 March, 2007). <https://www.osce.org/kosovo/24787?download=true>

243.

Accordance with international law of the unilateral declaration of independence in respect of Kosovo (Request for Advisory Opinion), 2010 ICJ Rep 403 (22 July).
<https://www.icj-cij.org/files/case-related/141/141-20100722-ADV-01-00-EN.pdf>

244.

Alston P, Goodman R. International Human Rights: Text and Materials. Oxford University Press; 2012.

245.

Protocol No. 13 to European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.

Published 2002.

<http://conventions.coe.int/Treaty/Commun/QueVoulezVous.asp?NT=187&CL=ENG>

246.

Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (1948).

<https://treaties.un.org/doc/Publication/UNTS/Volume%2078/volume-78-I-1021-English.pdf>

247.

European Court of Human Rights. *Al-Saadoon & Mufdhi v UK*, ECtHR (61498/08).(2AD).

<http://opil.ouplaw.com/view/10.1093/law:ihrl/3719echr10.case.1/law-ihrl-3719echr10>

248.

United Nations. Draft Articles on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts. Published online 2001.

http://legal.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/english/draft%20articles/9_6_2001.pdf

249.

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber). *El Masri v. Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia*, ECtHR, App No. 39630/09.(13AD).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I61847660F64A11E2879CAA2426866A35&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

250.

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber). *Ilașcu v. Moldova and Russia*, ECtHR, App No. 48787/99.(8AD).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC5FF47B1E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

251.

European Court of Human Rights. *Hussein v. Albania & Others*, ECtHR, Admissibility Decision, App No. 23276/04.(14AD).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA622AAF0FA9211DA9D7CC210083E0BB2&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

252.

Human Rights Watch. The EU's Dirty Hands.
<http://www.hrw.org/reports/2011/09/21/eu-s-dirty-hands-0>

253.

Al-Nashiri v. Poland, ECtHR, App no. 28761/11 (24 July, 2014).
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-146044#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-146044\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-146044#{\)

254.

Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Bosnia and Herzegovina v. Serbia and Montenegro), Judgment, 2007 ICJ Rep 43 (2007).
<https://www.icj-cij.org/files/case-related/91/091-20070226-JUD-01-00-EN.pdf>

255.

Aust HP. Complicity and the Law of State Responsibility. Vol Cambridge studies in international and comparative law. Cambridge University Press; 2011.
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511862632>

256.

Avbelj M, Fontanelli F, Martinico G, eds. Kadi on Trial: A Multifaceted Analysis of the Kadi Trial. Routledge; 2014. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203796191>

257.

Bird A. Third State Responsibility for Human Rights Violations. European Journal of International Law. 2010;21(4):883-900. doi:10.1093/ejil/chq066

258.

Burns WCG, Osofsky HM. *Adjudicating Climate Change: State, National, and International Approaches*. Cambridge University Press; 2009.

259.

d'Aspremont J, Dopagne F. Kadi: The ECJ's Reminder of the Elementary Divide between Legal Orders. *International Organizations Law Review*. 2008;5(2):371-379. doi:10.1163/157237408X412970

260.

de Burca G. The European Court of Justice and the International Legal Order after Kadi. *Harvard International Law Journal*. 51:1-49. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=1&handle=hein.journals%2Fhilj51&collection=journals#3>

261.

de Wet E, Vidmar J. Conflicts between international paradigms: Hierarchy versus systemic integration. *Global Constitutionalism*. 2(2):196-217. doi:10.1017/S2045381713000129

262.

Duffy A. Expulsion to Face Torture? Non-refoulement in International Law. *International Journal of Refugee Law*. 2008;20(3):373-390. doi:10.1093/ijrl/een022

263.

Humphreys S. *Human Rights and Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press; 2010. <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780511765520>

264.

International Council on Human Rights Policy. *Beyond Technology Transfer: Protecting Human Rights in a Climate-Constrained World*. Published online 2011. <http://www.ichrp.org/>

265.

Isiksel NT. Fundamental rights in the EU after Kadi and Al Barakaat. *European Law Journal*. 16(5):551-577. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2010.00522.x

266.

Kokott J, Sobotta C. The Kadi Case - Constitutional Core Values and International Law - Finding the Balance? *European Journal of International Law*. 2012;23(4):1015-1024. doi:10.1093/ejil/chs063

267.

Thomas Nagel. The Problem of Global Justice. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 33(2):113-147. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3558011>

268.

Nollkaemper A, Jacobs D. Shared Responsibility in International Law. *Michigan journal of international law*. 34(2):359-438. <https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/mjil34&i=371>

269.

Noyes JE, Smith BD. State Responsibility and the Principle of Joint and Several Liability. *The Yale journal of international law*. 13(2):225-267. <https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/yjil13&i=231>

270.

Pogge TWM. *World Poverty and Human Rights: Cosmopolitan Responsibilities and Reforms*. 2nd ed. Polity; 2008.

271.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. Report of the UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights on the Relationship Between Climate Change and Human Rights, UN Doc. A/HRC/10/61. Published online 15AD. <http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Press/AnalyticalStudy.pdf>

272.

Ryngaert C. The European Court of Human Rights' approach to the responsibility of member states in connection with acts of international organizations. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 60(04):997-1016. doi:10.1017/S0020589311000467

273.

Aurel Sari. The Relationship Between Community Law and International Law after Kadi. In: *International Law in a Multipolar World*. Vol Routledge research in international law. Routledge; 2013.

274.

Symposium: International Human Rights and Climate Change. *Georgia journal of international and comparative law*. 2010;38(3):511-797.
<https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/gjicl38&i=521>

275.

Uvin P. *Human Rights and Development*. Kumarian Press; 2004.

276.

Venice Commission. Opinion on the International Legal Obligations of Council of Europe member states in respect of secret detention facilities and inter-state transport of prisoners, Opinion No. 363/2005, Doc. CDL-AD(2006)009. Published online 17AD.
[http://www.venice.coe.int/webforms/documents/default.aspx?pdffile=CDL-AD\(2006\)009-e](http://www.venice.coe.int/webforms/documents/default.aspx?pdffile=CDL-AD(2006)009-e)

277.

Vizard P. *Poverty and Human Rights: Sen's 'capability Perspective' Explored*. Oxford University Press; 2006. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199273874.001.0001>

278.

Ziegler KS. Strengthening the Rule of Law, but Fragmenting International Law: The Kadi Decision of the ECJ from the Perspective of Human Rights. *Human Rights Law Review*.

2009;9(2):288-305. doi:10.1093/hrlr/ngp010

279.

United Nations. UN Charter. Published online 1945.
<https://treaties.un.org/doc/publication/ctc/uncharter.pdf>

280.

Bosphorus Hava Yollari Turizm Ve Ticaret Anonim Sirketi v Ireland (Application 45036/98) 42 EHRR 1, 19 BHRC 299. European Court of Human Rights; 2005.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4J0Y-FKR0-TWW4-21GX&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

281.

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber). Al-Jedda v. UK, ECtHR, App. No. 27021/08.(7AD).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IBB549B50AE9111E0A66DDBD3FF9A602D&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

282.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). Kadi & Al Barakaat International Foundation v. Council of the EU & Commission of the EU, ECJ C-402/05 P & C-415/05 P.(3AD).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA427E020B5F311DDA170AC525BD9F690&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

283.

European Court of Justice (Grand Chamber). European Commission v. Kadi, ECJ C-584/10 P & C-595/10 P.(18AD).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I48A8EB6045D411E3B8A7AA586897ACCE&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

284.

Alston P, Goodman R. International Human Rights: Text and Materials. Oxford University Press; 2012.

285.

International Commission on Intervention and State Sovereignty. The Responsibility to Protect. <http://responsibilitytoprotect.org/ICISS%20Report.pdf>

286.

Gráinne de Búrca, The European Court of Justice and the International Legal Order after Kadi, 51 Harv. J. Int'l L. 1 (2010).
<http://www.eui.eu/Documents/MWP/ProgramActivities/MRW2014-2015/DeBurcaMasterClass.pdf>

287.

DE WET E, VIDMAR J. Conflicts between international paradigms: Hierarchy versus systemic integration. Global Constitutionalism. 2013;2(02):196-217.
doi:10.1017/S2045381713000129

288.

The Independent International Commission on Kosovo, The Kosovo Report (2000).
<http://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/6D26FF88119644CFC1256989005CD392-thekosovoreport.pdf>

289.

Alston P, Macdonald E. Human Rights, Intervention and the Use of Force. Vol The collected courses of the Academy of European Law. Oxford University Press; 2008.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199552719.001.0001>

290.

Nada v. Switzerland, ECtHR App no 10593/08 (12 September 2012).
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-113118#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-113118\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-113118#{\)