

ANTH3001: Advanced Topics in Digital Culture

UG course in Advanced Digital Culture

View Online



» Abildegaard et al (2017) Five recent play dates - EASST. (n.d.).
<https://easst.net/article/five-recent-play-dates/>

23andMe Is Terrifying, but Not for the Reasons the FDA Thinks - Scientific American. (n.d.).
<https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/23andme-is-terrifying-but-not-for-the-reasons-the-fda-thinks/>

Abramson, Allen. (2016) What in/is the world in/of Big Data? Theorizing the Contemporary, Cultural Anthropology. (n.d.).
<https://culanth.org/fieldsights/833-what-in-is-the-world-is-of-big-data>

Adams, V. (2016). Metrics. Duke University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822374480>

After Kinship by Janet Carsten. (n.d.).
<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/after-kinship/BF660970EC79E6A4847E76A38CBE1DB9>

Amoore, L. (2006). Biometric borders: Governing mobilities in the war on terror. *Political Geography*, 25(3), 336–351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2006.02.001>

Amoore, L. (2011). Data Derivatives: On the Emergence of a Security Risk Calculus for Our Times. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 28(6), 24–43.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276411417430>

Anderson, C. (n.d.). The End of Theory: The Data Deluge Makes the Scientific Method Obsolete. *Wired*. <http://www.wired.com/2008/06/pb-theory/>

Anderson, K., Nafus, D., Rattenbury, T., & Aipperspach, R. (2009). Numbers Have Qualities Too: Experiences with Ethno-Mining. *Ethnographic Praxis in Industry Conference Proceedings*, 2009(1), 123–140. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-8918.2009.tb00133.x>

Anna Tsing. (2000). The Global Situation. *Cultural Anthropology*, 15(3), 327–360.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/656606?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

Annelise Riles. (1998). Infinity within the Brackets. *American Ethnologist*, 25(3), 378–398.
http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/645790?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

Antonia Walford. (2012). Data Moves: Taking Amazonian Climate Science Seriously. *The Cambridge Journal of Anthropology*, 30(2), 101–117.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/43610877?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

- Arturo Escobar. (1999). After Nature Steps to an Antiessentialist Political Ecology. *Current Anthropology*, 40(1), 1–30.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/515799?sid=primo&origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents
- Asdal, K. (2008). Enacting things through numbers: Taking nature into account/ing. *Geoforum*, 39(1), 123–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2006.11.004>
- Beaulieu, A. (2004). From brainbank to database: the informational turn in the study of the brain. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences*, 35(2), 367–390.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsc.2004.03.011>
- Beer, D. (2015). Productive measures: Culture and measurement in the context of everyday neoliberalism. *Big Data & Society*, 2(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951715578951>
- Beer, D. (2016). How should we do the history of Big Data? *Big Data & Society*, 3(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716646135>
- Beer, D., & Burrows, R. (2013). Popular Culture, Digital Archives and the New Social Life of Data. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 30(4), 47–71. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276413476542>
- Biagioli, M. (2013). Rights or rewards? Changing frameworks of scientific authorship. In *Scientific authorship: credit and intellectual property in science* (pp. 253–281). Routledge.
<http://www.tandfebooks.com/ISBN/9780203954270>
- Birchall, C. (2016). Shareveillance: Subjectivity between open and closed data. *Big Data & Society*, 3(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716663965>
- Blok, A., & Pedersen, M. A. (2014). Complementary social science? Quali-quantitative experiments in a Big Data world. *Big Data & Society*, 1(2).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951714543908>
- Boellstorff, T. (2012). Rethinking Digital Anthropology. In *Digital anthropology*. Berg.
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780857852922>
- Boellstorff, T. (2013). Making big data, in theory. *First Monday*, 18(10).
<https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v18i10.4869>
- Boellstorff, T., & Maurer, B. (Eds.). (2015a). Boellstorff, T. (2015) Making Big Data,. In *Data, now bigger and better! Vol. Paradigm*. Prickly Paradigm Press.
- Boellstorff, T., & Maurer, B. (Eds.). (2015b). Seaver, N. (2015) Bastard Algebra. In *Data, now bigger and better! Vol. Paradigm*. Prickly Paradigm Press.
- Bowker, G. (2005). Databasing the world: biodiversity and the 2000s. In *Memory practices in the sciences: Vol. Inside technology* (pp. 107–136). MIT Press.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=bb97c1ed-7efb-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

- Bowker, G. C. (2014). The Theory/Data Thing. *International Journal of Communication*, 8. <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2190>
- Bowker, G. C., & Star, S. L. (1999). *Sorting things out: classification and its consequences: Vol. Inside technology*. MIT Press. <http://cognet.mit.edu/book/sorting-things-out>
- boyd, D., & Crawford, K. (2012). Critical Questions for Big Data. *Information, Communication & Society*, 15(5), 662–679. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2012.678878>
- Breiger, R. L. (2015). Scaling down. *Big Data & Society*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951715602497>
- Browne, S. (2015). *Dark Matters*. Duke University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822375302>
- Büscher, B. (2016). Nature 2.0: Exploring and theorizing the links between new media and nature conservation. *New Media & Society*, 18(5), 726–743. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444814545841>
- Candea, M. (2007). Arbitrary locations: in defence of the bounded field-site. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 13(1), 167–184. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9655.2007.00419.x>
- Chua, L., & Salmond, A. (2012). Artefacts in anthropology. In *SAGE handbook of social anthropology* (pp. 101–115). SAGE. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=8fd0b472-7efb-e711-80cd-005056af4099>
- Chun, W. H. K. (2008). The Enduring Ephemeral, or the Future Is a Memory. *Critical Inquiry*, 35(1), 148–171. <https://doi.org/10.1086/595632>
- Coopmans, C. (2014). Visual analytics as artful revelation. In C. Coopmans (Ed.), *Representation in scientific practice revisited: Vol. Inside technology*. The MIT Press. http://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt9qf5hv.6?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents
- Couldry, N., & Powell, A. (2014). Big Data from the bottom up. *Big Data & Society*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951714539277>
- D. Haggerty, Richard V. Ericson, K. (2000). The surveillant assemblage. *British Journal of Sociology*, 51(4), 605–622. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071310020015280>
- D. Miller. (2001). Alienable Gifts and Inalienable Commodities. In *The empire of things: regimes of value and material culture: Vol. School of American Research advanced seminar series*. School of American Research Press.
- Dalsgaard, S. (2013). The commensurability of carbon. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory*, 3(1), 80–98. <https://doi.org/10.14318/hau3.1.006>
- Dalsgaard, S., & Nielsen, M. (2013). Introduction: Time and the Field. *Social Analysis*, 57(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3167/sa.2013.570101>
- Dawn Nafus. (2014). *Big Data, Big Questions - This One Does Not Go Up To 11: The*

Quantified Self Movement as an Alternative Big Data Practice. *International Journal of Communication*, 8. <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2170>

Doe, G. (2014, September 9). With genetic testing, I gave my parents the gift of divorce - Vox. *Vox Genetics*.
<http://www.vox.com/2014/9/9/5975653/with-genetic-testing-i-gave-my-parents-the-gift-of-divorce-23andme>

Dourish, P. (2014) No SQL: The Shifting Materialities of Databases. *Computational Culture: a Journal of Software Studies*. Issue 4. (n.d.).
<http://computationalculture.net/article/no-sql-the-shifting-materialities-of-database-technology>

Elizabeth A. Povinelli. (2011). The Woman on the Other Side of the Wall: Archiving the Otherwise in Postcolonial Digital Archives. *Differences: A Journal Of Feminist Cultural Studies*, 22(1), 146–171. <https://doi.org/10.1215/10407391-1218274>

Emily Martin. (1992). The End of the Body? *American Ethnologist*, 19(1), 121–140.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/644828?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

Evelyn Ruppert. (2011). Population Objects: Interpassive Subjects. *Sociology*, 45(2), 218–233. http://www.jstor.org/stable/42857535?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

Fairhead, J., Leach, M., & Scoones, I. (2012). Green Grabbing: a new appropriation of nature? *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 39(2), 237–261.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2012.671770>

Faubion, J. D., & Marcus, G. E. (2009). *Fieldwork is not what it used to be: learning anthropology's method in a time of transition*. Cornell University Press.

Ford, H. (2014). Big Data and Small: Collaborations between ethnographers and data scientists. *Big Data & Society*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951714544337>

Fortun, K., Poirier, L., Morgan, A., Costelloe-Kuehn, B., & Fortun, M. (2016). Pushback: Critical data designers and pollution politics. *Big Data & Society*, 3(2).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716668903>

Franklin, S. (2001). Biologization Re-visited: Kinship Theory. In *Relative values: reconfiguring kinship studies* (pp. 302–325). Duke University Press.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma9931045211204761&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=any,contains,Relative%20values:%20reconfiguring%20kinship%20studies&sortby=date_d&facet=frbrgroupid,include,61215140135456524&offset=0

Franklin, S. (2003). Re-thinking nature-culture: Anthropology and the new genetics. *Anthropological Theory*, 3(1), 65–85. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1463499603003001752>

Fujimara, J. (1999). The Practice of Producing Meaning in Bioinformatics. In *The practices of human genetics: Vol. Sociology of the sciences* (pp. 49–87). Kluwer Academic.

- Fuller, M., & Goffey, A. (2012a). Leak early, leak often. In *Evil media* (pp. 100–104). The MIT Press.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=15040989580004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true
- Fuller, M., & Goffey, A. (2012b). Digital Infrastructures and the Machinery of Topological Abstraction. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 29(4–5), 311–333.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276412450466>
- Gabrys, J. (2016). *Program earth: environmental sensing technology and the making of a computational planet: Vol. Electronic mediations*. University of Minnesota Press.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5749/j.ctt1b7x5gq>
- Gabrys, J. (2017). Powering the digital: From energy ecologies to electronic environmentalism. In R. Maxwell, J. Raundalen, & N. L. Vestberg (Eds.), *Media and the Ecological Crisis* (pp. 3–18). Routledge.
- Gabrys, J., Pritchard, H., & Barratt, B. (2016). Just good enough data: Figuring data citizenships through air pollution sensing and data stories. *Big Data & Society*, 3(2).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716679677>
- Garnett, E. (2016). Developing a feeling for error: Practices of monitoring and modelling air pollution data. *Big Data & Society*, 3(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716658061>
- Geismar, H., & Mohns, W. (2011). Social relationships and digital relationships: rethinking the database at the Vanuatu Cultural Centre. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 17, S133–S155. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9655.2011.01693.x>
- George E. Marcus and Marcelo Pizarro. (2008). The End(s) of Ethnography: Social/Cultural Anthropology's Signature Form of Producing Knowledge in Transition. *Cultural Anthropology*, 23(1), 1–14.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/20484493?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents
- Gerlitz, C., & Lury, C. (2014). Social media and self-evaluating assemblages: on numbers, orderings and values. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*, 15(2), 174–188.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1600910X.2014.920267>
- Gilles Deleuze. (1992). Postscript on the Societies of Control. *October*, 59, 3–7.
http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/778828?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents
- Gitelman, L. (2013). 'Raw data' is an oxymoron: Vol. *Infrastructures series*. MIT Press.
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/bkabstractplus.jsp?bkn=6451327>
- Grebowicz, M. (2014). Glacial Time and Lonely Crowds: The social effects of climate change as internet spectacle. *Environmental Humanities*, 5, 1–11.
<https://doi.org/10.1215/22011919-3615388>
- Greenfield, D. (2016) Deep Data: Notes on the n of 1. In 'Quantified: biosensing technologies in everyday life' ed. Dawn Nafus. MIT Press. (n.d.).
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/xpl/ebooks/bookPdfWithBanner.jsp?fileName=7580296.pdf&bkn=7580015&pdfType=chapter>

- Gregg, M. (2015). The Gift That Is Not Given. In T. Boellstorff & B. Maurer (Eds.), *Data, now bigger and better! Vol. Paradigm*. Prickly Paradigm Press.
- Gross, A. (2011). The economy of social data: exploring research ethics as device. *The Sociological Review*, 59, 113–129. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02055.x>
- Hacking, I. (1990). The argument. In *The Taming of Chance: Vol. Ideas in Context* (pp. 1–10). Cambridge University Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511819766>
- Halpern, O. (2014a). *Beautiful data: a history of vision and reason since 1945: Vol. Experimental futures*. Duke University Press.
- Halpern, O. (2014b). Cybernetic rationality. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*, 15(2), 223–238. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1600910X.2014.923320>
- Halpern, O. (2015). *Beautiful Data*. Duke University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822376323-010>
- Haraway, D. (1997). Chapter 4: Gene. In *Modest[Witness@Second]Millennium.FemaleMan[Meets]OncoMouse: feminism and technoscience*. Routledge.
- Harvey, P. (2012). The Topological Quality of Infrastructural Relation: An Ethnographic Approach. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 29(4–5), 76–92. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276412448827>
- Hayles, N. K. (1999). *How we became posthuman: virtual bodies in cybernetics, literature, and informatics*. University of Chicago P.
- Helmreich, S. (2002). Kinship in Hypertext. In *Relative values: reconfiguring kinship studies* (pp. 116–143). Duke University Press. <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780822383222>
- Helmreich, S. (2007). Blue-green Capital, Biotechnological Circulation and an Oceanic Imaginary: A Critique of Biopolitical Economy. *BioSocieties*, 2(3), 287–302. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1745855207005753>
- Hilgartner, S. (2012). Selective flows of knowledge in technoscientific interaction: information control in genome research. *The British Journal for the History of Science*, 45 (02), 267–280. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007087412000106>
- Hippocratic Hacking | Platypus. (n.d.). <http://blog.castac.org/2016/10/hippocratic-hacking/>
- Hirsch, E., & Strathern, M. (2004). *Transactions and creations: property debates and the stimulus of Melanesia*. Berghahn Books. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctv287skdk>
- Hogan, M. (2015). Data flows and water woes: The Utah Data Center. *Big Data & Society*, 2 (2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951715592429>
- Hui, Y. (2012). What is a Digital Object? *Metaphilosophy*, 43(4), 380–395. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9973.2012.01761.x>

Igoe, J. (2010). The spectacle of nature in the global economy of appearances: Anthropological engagements with the spectacular mediations of transnational conservation. *Critique of Anthropology*, 30(4), 375–397. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308275X10372468>

Isin, E., & Ruppert, E. (2017). Digital Citizenship and Surveillance| Citizen Snowden. *International Journal of Communication*, 11. <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/5642>

J. Holt, & Patrick Vondereau. (2014). Where the Internet Lives: Data Centers as Cloud Infrastructure. In *Traffic signal timing manual* (Issue FHWA-HOP-08-024). U.S. Department of Transportation. http://app.knovel.com/hotlink/toc/id:kpTSTMPNF2/traffic_signal_timing_manual_publication_no_fhwahop08024

Jackson Jr., J. L. (n.d.). Ethnography is, ethnography ain't. *Cultural Anthropology*, 27(3), 480–497. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1548-1360.2012.01155.x>

Janet Carsten. (n.d.). Ch. 4: The person. In *After Kinship* by Janet Carsten (pp. 83–108). Cambridge University Press. <https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/after-kinship/BF660970EC79E6A4847E76A38CBE1DB9>

Jensen, C. B., & Morita, A. (2015). Infrastructures as Ontological Experiments. *Engaging Science, Technology, and Society*, 1, 81–87. <http://estsjournal.org/article/view/21>

John, N. A. (2013). Sharing and Web 2.0: The emergence of a keyword. *New Media & Society*, 15(2), 167–182. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444812450684>

Kaufmann, M. (2015). Resilience 2.0: social media use and (self-)care during the 2011 Norway attacks. *Media, Culture & Society*, 37(7), 972–987. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443715584101>

Kelty, C., Coleman, G., & Strassler, K. (2012, December 13). Commentary and Discussion on the Digital Form Curated Collection. Curated Collections, Cultural Anthropology Online. http://www.culanth.org/curated_collections/8-the-digital-form/discussions/15-commentary-and-discussion-on-the-digital-form-curated-collection

Kelty, C. M. (2012). This is not an article: Model organism newsletters and the question of 'open science'. *BioSocieties*, 7(2), 140–168. <https://doi.org/10.1057/biosoc.2012.8>

Kim Fortun. (2004). From Bhopal to the Informing of Environmentalism: Risk Communication in Historical Perspective. *Osiris*, 19, 283–296. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/3655245?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

Kirstie Ball ; Kevin D. Haggend David Lyon. (n.d.). Bruno, Fernanda. (2012) Surveillance and Participation on Web 2.0. In *Routledge Handbook of Surveillance Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203814949>

Kitchin, R. (2014a). The data revolution: big data, open data, data infrastructures and their consequences. SAGE. <http://srmo.sagepub.com/view/the-data-revolution/SAGE.xml>

- Kitchin, R. (2014b). Big Data, new epistemologies and paradigm shifts. *Big Data & Society*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951714528481>
- Knox, H. (2015). Carbon, Convertibility, and the Technopolitics of Oil. In H. Appel, A. Mason, & M. Watts (Eds.), *Subterranean estates: life worlds of oil and gas*. Cornell University Press.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma9931090819204761&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=any,contains,990022437450204761&sortby=date_d&facet=frbrgroupid,include,9022657445453213247&offset=0
- Laidlaw, J. (2000). A free gift makes no friends. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 6(4), 617–634. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9655.00036>
- Lake, R. W. (2017). Big Data, urban governance, and the ontological politics of hyperindividualism. *Big Data & Society*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716682537>
- Lash, S. (2007). Power after Hegemony: Cultural Studies in Mutation? *Theory, Culture & Society*, 24(3), 55–78. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276407075956>
- Latour, B. (1999). Bruno Latour Pandora's Hope Ch 2: Circulating Reference. In *Pandora's hope: essays on the reality of science studies* (pp. 24–79). Harvard University Press.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=a214d3b6-7cf1-e711-80cd-005056af4099>
- Latour, B., Jensen, P., Venturini, T., Grauwin, S., & Boullier, D. (2012). 'The whole is always smaller than its parts' - a digital test of Gabriel Tarde's monads. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 63(4), 590–615. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-4446.2012.01428.x>
- Leach, J. (2011). The Self of the Scientist, Material for the Artist: Emergent Distinctions in an Interdisciplinary Collaboration. *Social Analysis*, 55(3).
<https://doi.org/10.3167/sa.2011.550308>
- Lehning, M., Dawes, N., & Bavay et. al., M. (n.d.). Instrumenting the earth: Next-generation sensor networks and environmental science. In *The fourth paradigm: data-intensive scientific discovery* (pp. 45–51). Microsoft Research.
- Leonelli, S. (2013). Why the Current Insistence on Open Access to Scientific Data? *Big Data, Knowledge Production, and the Political Economy of Contemporary Biology*. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society*, 33(1-2), 6–11.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0270467613496768>
- Lezaun, J., & Montgomery, C. M. (2015). The Pharmaceutical Commons: Sharing and Exclusion in Global Health Drug Development. *Science, Technology & Human Values*, 40(1), 3–29. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0162243914542349>
- Lippert, I. (2015). Environment as datascape: Enacting emission realities in corporate carbon accounting. *Geoforum*, 66, 126–135.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2014.09.009>
- Lupton, D. (n.d.). Self-tracking modes: reflexive self-monitoring and data practices. In *Social life of big data symposium 2nd June 2015*. School of Communications and Arts, Edith Cowan University, Perth Western Australia.

https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2483549

Lupton, D. (2013). Quantifying the body: monitoring and measuring health in the age of mHealth technologies. *Critical Public Health*, 23(4), 393–403.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09581596.2013.794931>

Lupton, D. (2014). The commodification of patient opinion: the digital patient experience economy in the age of big data. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 36(6), 856–869.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.12109>

Lupton, D. 2016 *You are Your Data: Self-tracking Practices and Concepts of Data*. (n.d.).

LYON, D. (2008). BIOMETRICS, IDENTIFICATION AND SURVEILLANCE. *Bioethics*, 22(9), 499–508. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00697.x>

Lyon, D. (2008). Biometrics, Identification and Surveillance. *Bioethics*, 22(9), 499–508.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00697.x>

Lyon, D. (2010). Liquid Surveillance: The Contribution of Zygmunt Bauman to Surveillance Studies1. *International Political Sociology*, 4(4), 325–338.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-5687.2010.00109.x>

Lyon, D. (2014). Surveillance, Snowden, and Big Data: Capacities, consequences, critique. *Big Data & Society*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951714541861>

M. Fortun. (2015). What Toll Pursuit: Affective Assemblages in Genomics and Postgenomics. In S. S. Richardson & H. Stevens (Eds.), *Postgenomics*. Duke University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822375449>

Mackenzie, A. (2003). These Things Called Systems. *Social Studies of Science*, 33(3), 365–387. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03063127030333003>

Mackenzie, A. (2014). Multiplying numbers differently: an epidemiology of contagious convolution. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*, 15(2), 189–207.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1600910X.2014.922110>

Manovich, L. (1999). Database as Symbolic Form. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies*, 5(2), 80–99.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/135485659900500206>

Marcus, G. (2010). Holism and the Expectations of Critique in Post-1980s Anthropology; Notes and Queries, and an Epilogue. In *Experiments in holism: theory and practice in contemporary anthropology* (pp. 28–46). Wiley-Blackwell.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781444324426>

Marcus, G. E. (1995). Ethnography in/of the World System: The Emergence of Multi-Sited Ethnography. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 24(1), 95–117.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.an.24.100195.000523>

MARCUS, G. E. (2012). THE LEGACIES OF WRITING CULTURE AND THE NEAR FUTURE OF THE ETHNOGRAPHIC FORM: A Sketch. *Cultural Anthropology*, 27(3), 427–445.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1548-1360.2012.01152.x>

Marr, B. (21 C.E.). The Difference Between Big Data and a Lot of Data. Data Informed. <http://data-informed.com/>

Marres, N., & Weltevrede, E. (2013). Scraping the social? *Journal of Cultural Economy*, 6(3), 313–335. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17530350.2013.772070>

Maurer, W.M. (2015). Principles of Alliance and Descent for Big Data (pp. 67–86). Prickly Paradigm Press/University of Chicago. <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/41r1s161>

M'charek, A., Schramm, K., & Skinner, D. (2014). Topologies of Race. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, 39(4), 468–487. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0162243913509493>

Mike Savage and Roger Burrows. (2007). The Coming Crisis of Empirical Sociology. *Sociology*, 41(5), 885–899.

http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/42858273?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

Nadim, T. (2016). Blind regards: Troubling data and their sentinels. *Big Data & Society*, 3 (2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716666301>

Nafus, D. (2014). Stuck data, dead data, and disloyal data: the stops and starts in making numbers into social practices. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*, 15(2), 208–222. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1600910X.2014.920266>

Nafus, D. (2015, May 15). Data, Data, Everywhere, but Who Gets to Interpret It? | EPIC. EPIC; EPICPeople.org. <https://www.epicpeople.org/data-data-everywhere/>

Nafus, D ; Sherman, J. (n.d.). This One Does Not Go Up to 11: The Quantified Self Movement as an Alternative Big Data Practice.

[http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_wos000349010500023&indx=1&reclDs=TN_wos000349010500023&reclDxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl\(freeText0\)=%20Nafus%2C%20D.%20and%20J.%20Sherman%20%282014%29%20This%20One%20Does%20Not%20Go%20Up%20to%20Eleven%3A%20The%20Quantified%20Self%20Movement%20as%20an%20Alternative%20Big%20Data%20Practice.%20International%20Journal%20of%20Communication%2C%20Vol%208.&dstmp=1471435285056](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_wos000349010500023&indx=1&reclDs=TN_wos000349010500023&reclDxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl(freeText0)=%20Nafus%2C%20D.%20and%20J.%20Sherman%20%282014%29%20This%20One%20Does%20Not%20Go%20Up%20to%20Eleven%3A%20The%20Quantified%20Self%20Movement%20as%20an%20Alternative%20Big%20Data%20Practice.%20International%20Journal%20of%20Communication%2C%20Vol%208.&dstmp=1471435285056)

Porter, T. M. (1995). *Trust in numbers: the pursuit of objectivity in science and public life*. Princeton University Press.

Publications | Sciences Po | MedialabSciences Po | Medialab. (n.d.). <http://www.medialab.sciences-po.fr/publication/>

Rabinow, P. (1996). *Essays on the anthropology of reason: Vol. Princeton studies in culture/power/history*. Princeton University Press.

Rapp, R. (2015). Big data, small kids: Medico-scientific, familial and advocacy visions of human brains. *BioSocieties*. <https://doi.org/10.1057/biosoc.2015.33>

Richardson, S. S., & Stevens, H. (2015). *Postgenomics: perspectives on biology after the*

genome. Duke University Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/9780822375449>

Riles, A. (2000). *The network inside out*: Annelise Riles. The University of Michigan Press.

Riles, A. (2006). Introduction: in response. In *Documents: artifacts of modern knowledge* (pp. 1–38). University of Michigan Press.

Roderick, L. (2014). Discipline and Power in the Digital Age: The Case of the US Consumer Data Broker Industry. *Critical Sociology*, 40(5), 729–746.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0896920513501350>

Rosenberg, D. (2013). Data before the fact. In L. Gitelman (Ed.), 'Raw data' is an oxymoron: Vol. Infrastructures series. The MIT Press.

<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/servlet/opac?bknumber=6451327>

Rubio, F. D., & Baert, P. (Eds.). (2012). Leach, J. (2012) 'Step inside: knowledge freely available': The politics of (making) knowledge-objects'. In *The politics of knowledge*. Routledge.

<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781134004386>

Ruckenstein, M. (2014). Visualized and Interacted Life: Personal Analytics and Engagements with Data Doubles. *Societies*, 4(1), 68–84.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/soc4010068>

Ruppert, E. (2012). The Governmental Topologies of Database Devices. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 29(4–5), 116–136. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276412439428>

Ruppert, E., Law, J., & Savage, M. (2013). Reassembling Social Science Methods: The Challenge of Digital Devices. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 30(4), 22–46.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276413484941>

Ruppert, E., & Savage, M. (2011). Transactional politics. *The Sociological Review*, 59, 73–92. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02057.x>

S. Day, & C. Lury. (2016). *Biosensing: Tracking Persons*. In *Quantified: Biosensing Technologies in Everyday Life*. MIT Press.

<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/xpl/ebooks/bookPdfWithBanner.jsp?fileName=7580073.pdf&bk=7580015&pdfType=chapter>

Sabina Leonelli. (2015). What Counts as Scientific Data? A Relational Framework. *Philosophy of Science*, 82(5), 810–821.

http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/684083?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

Salmond, A. J. M. (2013a). Transforming translations (part I). *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory*, 3(3). <https://doi.org/10.14318/hau3.3.002>

Salmond, A. J. M. (2013b). Transforming translations (part I): 'The owner of these bones'. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory*, 3(3), 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.14318/hau3.3.002>

Seaver, N. (2014, March 10). Studying up: the ethnography of technologists. *Ethnography Matters*. <http://ethnographymatters.net/blog/2014/03/10/studying-up/>

Seaver, N. (2015). The nice thing about context is that everyone has it. *Media, Culture & Society*, 37(7), 1101–1109. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443715594102>

- Seaver, N. (2017). Algorithms as culture: Some tactics for the ethnography of algorithmic systems. *Big Data & Society*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951717738104>
- Shackley, S., & Wynne, B. (1996). Representing Uncertainty in Global Climate Change Science and Policy: Boundary-Ordering Devices and Authority. *Science, Technology & Human Values*, 21(3), 275–302. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016224399602100302>
- Sharma, A., & Gupta, A. (2006). Sharma, A. and Gupta, A. (2006) Introduction: Re-thinking Theories of the State in an Age of Globalization. In *The anthropology of the state: a reader: Vol. Blackwell readers in anthropology*. Blackwell.
- Sharp, L. A. (2000). The Commodification of the Body and its Parts. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 29(1), 287–328. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.29.1.287>
- Singer, N. (2015). From knowing yourself to prodding yourself. *The New York Times*.
- Stefan Helmreich. (2011). From Spaceship Earth to Google Ocean: Planetary Icons, Indexes, and Infrastructures. *Social Research*, 78(4), 1211–1242. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/23349849?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents
- Steinmetz, G. (1999). Mitchell, T. (1999) Society, Economy and the State Effect. In *State/Culture: State Formation after the Cultural Turn*. In *State/culture: state-formation after the cultural turn: Vol. The Wilder House series in politics, history, and culture*. Cornell University Press. https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14616198260004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true
- Strathern, M. (1987). The Limits of Auto-anthropology. In *Anthropology at home: Vol. A.S.A. monographs* (pp. 16–37). Tavistock Publications.
- Strathern, M. (1992a). After nature: English kinship in the late twentieth century: Vol. The Lewis Henry Morgan lectures. Cambridge University Press.
- Strathern, M. (1992b). Parts and wholes: refiguring relationships in a post-plural world. In *Conceptualizing society* (pp. 75–106). Routledge. <http://www.tandfebooks.com/ISBN/9780203032244>
- Strathern, M. (1999). Chapter 1. In *Property, substance and effect: anthropological essays on persons and things*. Athlone.
- Strathern, M. (2000). Environments within: An ethnographic commentary on scale. In *Culture, landscape, and the environment: the Linacre lectures, 1997: Vol. The Linacre lectures* (pp. 44–71). Oxford University Press.
- Strathern, M. (2002). On Space and Depth. In *Complexities: social studies of knowledge practices: Vol. Science and cultural theory*. Duke University Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/9780822383550>
- Strathern, M. (2004). *Partial connections* (Updated ed). AltaMira Press.
- Strathern, M. (2005). Chapter 5: Losing (out on) Intellectual Resources. In *Kinship, Law and the Unexpected: Relatives are Always a Surprise* (pp. 111–134). Cambridge University

Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511614514>

Strathern, M. Abstraction and Decontextualisation; an anthropological comment. (n.d.). <http://virtualsociety.sbs.ox.ac.uk/GRpapers/strathern.htm>

Strathern, M. & University of Cambridge. Department of Social Anthropology. (1995). The relation: issues in complexity and scale: Vol. Prickly Pear pamphlet. Prickly Pear Press.

Striphas, T. (2015). Algorithmic culture. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, 18(4-5), 395–412. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1367549415577392>

Thacker, E. (2003). What is Biomedica? *Configurations*, 11(1), 47–79. <https://doi.org/10.1353/con.2004.0014>

The Healing Power of Your Own Medical Records - The New York Times. (n.d.). http://www.nytimes.com/2015/04/01/technology/the-healing-power-of-your-own-medical-data.html?_r=0

The World of Indicators edited by Richard Rottenburg. (n.d.). <https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/world-of-indicators/C7903E69E0329A256EF02C3CB5C918ED>

To the Cloud: Big Data in a Turbulent World. (2015). *Contemporary Sociology: A Journal of Reviews*, 44(3), 436–437. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0094306115579192c>

Tsing, Anna. (2013). Sorting out commodities: How capitalist value is made through gifts. *HAU : Journal of Ethnographic Theory*, 3(1), 21–43. https://search-proquest-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/docview/1850088542?rfr_id=info%3Axri%2Fsid%3Aprimo

Tutton, R., & Prainsack, B. (2011). Enterprising or altruistic selves? Making up research subjects in genetics research. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 33(7), 1081–1095. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9566.2011.01348.x>

Underberg, N. M., & Zorn, E. (2013). Exploring Peruvian Culture through Multimedia Ethnography. *Visual Anthropology*, 26(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08949468.2013.734760>

Universitetet i Oslo. (2005). State formation: anthropological perspectives: Vol. Anthropology, culture, and society (C. Krohn-Hansen & K. G. Nustad, Eds.). Pluto Press. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt18fs5n7>

Van Dijck, J. (2000). Digital cadavers: the visible human project as anatomical theater. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences*, 31(2), 271–285. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-8486\(99\)00020-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-8486(99)00020-5)

Van Dijck, J., & Poell, T. (2016). Understanding the promises and premises of online health platforms. *Big Data & Society*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716654173>

Verran, H. (2009). On assemblage. *Journal of Cultural Economy*, 2(1–2), 169–182. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17530350903064188>

Verran, H. (2011). The changing lives of measures and values: from centre stage in the fading 'disciplinary' society to pervasive background instrument in the emergent 'control' society. *The Sociological Review*, 59, 60–72.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02059.x>

Verran, H. (2014). Number as Generative Device. In C. Lury & N. Wakeford (Eds.), *Inventive methods: the happening of the social: Vol. Culture, economy and the social*. Routledge.

Vertesi, J. (2014). My Experiment Opting Out of Big Data Made Me Look Like a Criminal. *Time.Com*, 1–1.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=bth&AN=95911990&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

Weiner, A. B. (1992a). Inalienable possessions: The forgotten dimension. In *Inalienable possessions: the paradox of keeping-while-giving* (pp. 23–43). University of California Press.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c630b45e-7ffb-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

Weiner, A. B. (1992b). Reconfiguring Exchange Theory: The Maori Hau. In *Inalienable possessions: the paradox of keeping-while-giving*. University of California Press.

Wendy F. Hsu. (2016). Hsu, W.F (2016) A Performative Digital Ethnography. In 'The Routledge Companion to Digital Ethnography' eds. Hjorth, Horst, Galloway, Bell. Routledge.

<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315673974.ch4>

Whittington, J. (2016). Carbon as a Metric of the Human. *PoLAR: Political and Legal Anthropology Review*, 39(1), 46–63. <https://doi.org/10.1111/plar.12130>

Why Big Data Needs Thick Data – Ethnography Matters – Medium. (n.d.).

<https://medium.com/ethnography-matters/why-big-data-needs-thick-data-b4b3e75e3d7>

With genetic testing, I gave my parents the gift of divorce - Vox. (n.d.).

<http://www.vox.com/2014/9/9/5975653/with-genetic-testing-i-gave-my-parents-the-gift-of-divorce-23andme>