

ANTH3001: Advanced Topics in Digital Culture

UG course in Advanced Digital Culture

View Online



1

Beer D. How should we do the history of Big Data? *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**.
doi:10.1177/2053951716646135

2

Rubio FD, Baert P, editors. Leach, J. (2012) 'Step inside: knowledge freely available': The politics of (making) knowledge-objects'. In: *The politics of knowledge*. London: : Routledge 2012. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781134004386>

3

Boellstorff T, Maurer B, editors. Seaver, N. (2015) *Bastard Algebra*. In: *Data, now bigger and better!* Chicago: : Prickly Paradigm Press 2015.

4

Halpern O. *Beautiful Data*. Duke University Press 2015. doi:10.1215/9780822376323-010

5

Kitchin R. *The data revolution: big data, open data, data infrastructures and their consequences*. Los Angeles: : SAGE 2014.
<http://srmo.sagepub.com/view/the-data-revolution/SAGE.xml>

6

Beer D. Productive measures: Culture and measurement in the context of everyday neoliberalism. *Big Data & Society* 2015;**2**. doi:10.1177/2053951715578951

7

Striphas T. Algorithmic culture. *European Journal of Cultural Studies* 2015;**18**:395–412. doi:10.1177/1367549415577392

8

Latour B. Bruno Latour Pandora's Hope Ch 2: Circulating Reference. In: *Pandora's hope: essays on the reality of science studies*. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1999. 24–79. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=a214d3b6-7cf1-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

9

Rosenberg D. Data before the fact. In: Gitelman L, ed. 'Raw data' is an oxymoron. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 2013. <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/servlet/opac?bknumber=6451327>

10

Chua L, Salmond A. Artefacts in anthropology. In: *SAGE handbook of social anthropology*. SAGE 2012. 101–15. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=8fd0b472-7efb-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

11

Verran H. The changing lives of measures and values: from centre stage in the fading 'disciplinary' society to pervasive background instrument in the emergent 'control' society. *The Sociological Review* 2011;**59**:60–72. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02059.x

12

Hacking I. The argument. In: *The Taming of Chance*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1990. 1–10. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511819766>

13

Porter TM. Trust in numbers: the pursuit of objectivity in science and public life. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1995.

14

Hui Y. What is a Digital Object? *Metaphilosophy* 2012;**43**:380–95.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9973.2012.01761.x

15

Halpern O. Cybernetic rationality. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory* 2014;
15:223–38. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.923320

16

Halpern O. Beautiful data: a history of vision and reason since 1945. Durham, N.C.: : Duke University Press 2014.

17

Riles A. Introduction: in response. In: *Documents: artifacts of modern knowledge*. Ann Arbor: : University of Michigan Press 2006. 1–38.

18

Gitelman L. 'Raw data' is an oxymoron. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2013.
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/bkabstractplus.jsp?bkn=6451327>

19

Manovich L. Database as Symbolic Form. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies* 1999;**5**:80–99. doi:10.1177/135485659900500206

20

Elizabeth A. Povinelli. The Woman on the Other Side of the Wall: Archiving the Otherwise in Postcolonial Digital Archives. *Differences: A Journal Of Feminist Cultural Studies* 2011;**22**:146–71. doi:10.1215/10407391-1218274

21

Hogan M. Data flows and water woes: The Utah Data Center. *Big Data & Society* 2015;**2**. doi:10.1177/2053951715592429

22

Geismar H, Mohns W. Social relationships and digital relationships: rethinking the database at the Vanuatu Cultural Centre. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 2011;**17**:S133–55. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9655.2011.01693.x

23

Dourish, P. (2014) No SQL: The Shifting Materialities of Databases. *Computational Culture: a Journal of Software Studies*. Issue 4. <http://computationalculture.net/article/no-sql-the-shifting-materialities-of-database-technology>

24

Bowker G. Databasing the world: biodiversity and the 2000s. In: *Memory practices in the sciences*. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2005. 107–36. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=bb97c1ed-7efb-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

25

Salmond AJM. Transforming translations (part I). *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory* 2013;**3**. doi:10.14318/hau3.3.002

26

Bowker GC, Star SL. *Sorting things out: classification and its consequences*. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 1999. <http://cognet.mit.edu/book/sorting-things-out>

27

Chun WHK. The Enduring Ephemeral, or the Future Is a Memory. *Critical Inquiry* 2008;**35**:148–71. doi:10.1086/595632

28

J. Holt, Patrick Vondereau. Where the Internet Lives: Data Centers as Cloud Infrastructure. In: *Traffic signal timing manual*. [Washington, D.C.]: : U.S. Department of Transportation 2014.
http://app.knovel.com/hotlink/toc/id:kpTSTMPNF2/traffic_signal_timing_manual_publication_no_fhwahop08024

29

Fuller M, Goffey A. Digital Infrastructures and the Machinery of Topological Abstraction. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2012;**29**:311–33. doi:10.1177/0263276412450466

30

To the Cloud: Big Data in a Turbulent World. *Contemporary Sociology: A Journal of Reviews* 2015;**44**:436–7. doi:10.1177/0094306115579192c

31

Haraway D. Chapter 4: Gene. In: *Modest[Witness@Second[Millennium.FemaleMan[Meets[OncoMouse: feminism and technoscience*. New York: : Routledge 1997.

32

Strathern M, University of Cambridge. Department of Social Anthropology. *The relation: issues in complexity and scale*. Cambridge, U.K.: : Prickly Pear Press 1995.

33

Jensen CB, Morita A. Infrastructures as Ontological Experiments. *Engaging Science, Technology, and Society* 2015;**1**:81–7.<http://estsjournal.org/article/view/21>

34

Harvey P. The Topological Quality of Infrastructural Relation: An Ethnographic Approach. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2012;**29**:76–92. doi:10.1177/0263276412448827

35

Mackenzie A. Multiplying numbers differently: an epidemiology of contagious convolution. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory* 2014;**15**:189–207. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.922110

36

Verran H. On assemblage. *Journal of Cultural Economy* 2009;**2**:169–82. doi:10.1080/17530350903064188

37

Salmond AJM. Transforming translations (part I): 'The owner of these bones'. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory* 2013;**3**:1–32. doi:10.14318/hau3.3.002

38

Laidlaw J. A free gift makes no friends. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 2000;**6**:617–34. doi:10.1111/1467-9655.00036

39

Tsing, Anna. Sorting out commodities: How capitalist value is made through gifts. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory* 2013;**3**:21–43. https://search-proquest-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/docview/1850088542?rfr_id=info%3Axri%2Fsid%3Aprimo

40

John NA. Sharing and Web 2.0: The emergence of a keyword. *New Media & Society* 2013;**15**:167–82. doi:10.1177/1461444812450684

41

Maurer, W.M. Principles of Alliance and Descent for Big Data. Prickly Paradigm Press/University of Chicago 2015. 67–86.<http://escholarship.org/uc/item/41r1s161>

42

Van Dijck J, Poell T. Understanding the promises and premises of online health platforms. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716654173

43

S. Day, C. Lury. Biosensing: Tracking Persons. In: *Quantified: Biosensing Technologies in Everyday Life*. MIT Press 2016.
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/xpl/ebooks/bookPdfWithBanner.jsp?fileName=7580073.pdf&bkn=7580015&pdfType=chapter>

44

Janet Carsten. Ch. 4: The person. In: *After Kinship* by Janet Carsten. Cambridge University Press
83–108.<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/after-kinship/BF660970EC79E6A4847E76A38CBE1DB9>

45

Leonelli S. Why the Current Insistence on Open Access to Scientific Data? Big Data, Knowledge Production, and the Political Economy of Contemporary Biology. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society* 2013;**33**:6–11. doi:10.1177/0270467613496768

46

M. Fortun. What Toll Pursuit: Affective Assemblages in Genomics and Postgenomics. In: Richardson SS, Stevens H, eds. *Postgenomics*. Duke University Press 2015.
doi:10.1215/9780822375449

47

Biagioli M. Rights or rewards? Changing frameworks of scientific authorship. In: *Scientific authorship: credit and intellectual property in science*. New York: : Routledge 2013.

253-81.<http://www.tandfebooks.com/ISBN/9780203954270>

48

Richardson SS, Stevens H. Postgenomics: perspectives on biology after the genome. Durham: : Duke University Press 2015. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/9780822375449>

49

Weiner AB. Inalienable possessions: The forgotten dimension. In: Inalienable possessions: the paradox of keeping-while-giving. Berkeley: : University of California Press 1992. 23-43.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c630b45e-7ffb-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

50

Weiner AB. Reconfiguring Exchange Theory: The Maori Hau. In: Inalienable possessions: the paradox of keeping-while-giving. Berkeley: : University of California Press 1992.

51

Lezaun J, Montgomery CM. The Pharmaceutical Commons: Sharing and Exclusion in Global Health Drug Development. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 2015;**40**:3-29. doi:10.1177/0162243914542349

52

Hilgartner S. Selective flows of knowledge in technoscientific interaction: information control in genome research. *The British Journal for the History of Science* 2012;**45**:267-80. doi:10.1017/S0007087412000106

53

Kelty CM. This is not an article: Model organism newsletters and the question of 'open science'. *BioSocieties* 2012;**7**:140-68. doi:10.1057/biosoc.2012.8

54

Hirsch E, Strathern M. Transactions and creations: property debates and the stimulus of Melanesia. New York: : Berghahn Books 2004. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctv287skdk>

55

Leach J. The Self of the Scientist, Material for the Artist: Emergent Distinctions in an Interdisciplinary Collaboration. *Social Analysis* 2011;**55**. doi:10.3167/sa.2011.550308

56

D. Miller. Alienable Gifts and Inalienable Commodities. In: *The empire of things: regimes of value and material culture*. Santa Fe, N.M.: : School of American Research Press 2001.

57

Gregg M. The Gift That Is Not Given. In: Boellstorff T, Maurer B, eds. *Data, now bigger and better!* Chicago: : Prickly Paradigm Press 2015.

58

Antonia Walford. Data Moves: Taking Amazonian Climate Science Seriously. *The Cambridge Journal of Anthropology* 2012;**30**
:101-17.http://www.jstor.org/stable/43610877?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

59

Arturo Escobar. After Nature Steps to an Antiessentialist Political Ecology. *Current Anthropology* 1999;**40**
:1-30.http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/515799?sid=primo&origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

60

Büscher B. Nature 2.0: Exploring and theorizing the links between new media and nature conservation. *New Media & Society* 2016;**18**:726-43. doi:10.1177/1461444814545841

61

Asdal K. Enacting things through numbers: Taking nature into account/ing. *Geoforum* 2008;

39:123–32. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2006.11.004

62

Kim Fortun. From Bhopal to the Informating of Environmentalism: Risk Communication in Historical Perspective. *Osiris* 2004;**19**:283–96. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/3655245?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

63

Gabrys J, Pritchard H, Barratt B. Just good enough data: Figuring data citizenships through air pollution sensing and data stories. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716679677

64

Whittington J. Carbon as a Metric of the Human. *PoLAR: Political and Legal Anthropology Review* 2016;**39**:46–63. doi:10.1111/plar.12130

65

Nadim T. Blind regards: Troubling data and their sentinels. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716666301

66

Garnett E. Developing a feeling for error: Practices of monitoring and modelling air pollution data. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716658061

67

Fortun K, Poirier L, Morgan A, et al. Pushback: Critical data designers and pollution politics. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716668903

68

Lippert I. Environment as datascape: Enacting emission realities in corporate carbon

accounting. *Geoforum* 2015;**66**:126–35. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2014.09.009

69

Dalsgaard S. The commensurability of carbon. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory* 2013;**3**:80–98. doi:10.14318/hau3.1.006

70

Grebowicz M. Glacial Time and Lonely Crowds: The social effects of climate change as internet spectacle. *Environmental Humanities* 2014;**5**:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1215/22011919-3615388>

71

Stefan Helmreich. From Spaceship Earth to Google Ocean: Planetary Icons, Indexes, and Infrastructures. *Social Research* 2011;**78**:1211–42. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/23349849?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

72

Gabrys J. *Program earth: environmental sensing technology and the making of a computational planet*. Minneapolis: : University of Minnesota Press 2016. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5749/j.ctt1b7x5gq>

73

Verran H. Number as Generative Device. In: Lury C, Wakeford N, eds. *Inventive methods: the happening of the social*. Abingdon: : Routledge 2014.

74

Knox H. Carbon, Convertibility, and the Technopolitics of Oil. In: Appel H, Mason A, Watts M, eds. *Subterranean estates: life worlds of oil and gas*. Ithaca: : Cornell University Press 2015. https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma9931090819204761&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=any,contains,990022437450204761&sortby=date_d&facet=frbr

groupid,include,9022657445453213247&offset=0

75

Gabrys J. Powering the digital: From energy ecologies to electronic environmentalism. In: Maxwell R, Raundalen J, Vestberg NL, eds. *Media and the Ecological Crisis*. London: : Routledge 2017. 3–18.

76

Sabina Leonelli. What Counts as Scientific Data? A Relational Framework. *Philosophy of Science* 2015;**82**:810–21. http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/684083?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

77

Strathern M. *After nature: English kinship in the late twentieth century*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1992.

78

Shackley S, Wynne B. Representing Uncertainty in Global Climate Change Science and Policy: Boundary-Ordering Devices and Authority. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 1996;**21**:275–302. doi:10.1177/016224399602100302

79

Fairhead J, Leach M, Scoones I. Green Grabbing: a new appropriation of nature? *Journal of Peasant Studies* 2012;**39**:237–61. doi:10.1080/03066150.2012.671770

80

Igoe J. The spectacle of nature in the global economy of appearances: Anthropological engagements with the spectacular mediations of transnational conservation. *Critique of Anthropology* 2010;**30**:375–97. doi:10.1177/0308275X10372468

81

Helmreich S. Blue-green Capital, Biotechnological Circulation and an Oceanic Imaginary: A Critique of Biopolitical Economy. *BioSocieties* 2007;**2**:287–302. doi:10.1017/S1745855207005753

82

Lehning M, Dawes N, Bavay et. al. M. Instrumenting the earth: Next-generation sensor networks and environmental science. In: *The fourth paradigm: data-intensive scientific discovery*. Redmond, Wash: : Microsoft Research 45–51.

83

Franklin S. Re-thinking nature-culture: Anthropology and the new genetics. *Anthropological Theory* 2003;**3**:65–85. doi:10.1177/1463499603003001752

84

Rapp R. Big data, small kids: Medico-scientific, familial and advocacy visions of human brains. *BioSocieties* Published Online First: 26 October 2015. doi:10.1057/biosoc.2015.33

85

Lupton, D. 2016 *You are Your Data: Self-tracking Practices and Concepts of Data*.

86

Greenfield, D. (2016) Deep Data: Notes on the n of 1. In 'Quantified: biosensing technologies in everyday life' ed. Dawn Nafus. MIT Press. <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/xpl/ebooks/bookPdfWithBanner.jsp?fileName=7580296.pdf&bkn=7580015&pdfType=chapter>

87

Tutton R, Prainsack B. Enterprising or altruistic selves? Making up research subjects in genetics research. *Sociology of Health & Illness* 2011;**33**:1081–95. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9566.2011.01348.x

88

Emily Martin. The End of the Body? *American Ethnologist* 1992; **19**:121–40. http://www.jstor.org/stable/644828?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

89

23andMe Is Terrifying, but Not for the Reasons the FDA Thinks - *Scientific American*.
<https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/23andme-is-terrifying-but-not-for-the-reasons-the-fda-thinks/>

90

With genetic testing, I gave my parents the gift of divorce - *Vox*.
<http://www.vox.com/2014/9/9/5975653/with-genetic-testing-i-gave-my-parents-the-gift-of-divorce-23andme>

91

Hippocratic Hacking | Platypus. <http://blog.castac.org/2016/10/hippocratic-hacking/>

92

The Healing Power of Your Own Medical Records - *The New York Times*.
http://www.nytimes.com/2015/04/01/technology/the-healing-power-of-your-own-medical-data.html?_r=0

93

Hayles NK. *How we became posthuman: virtual bodies in cybernetics, literature, and informatics*. Chicago: : University of Chicago P. 1999.

94

After Kinship by Janet Carsten.
<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/after-kinship/BF660970EC79E6A4847E76A38CBE1DB9>

95

Ruckenstein M. Visualized and Interacted Life: Personal Analytics and Engagements with Data Doubles. *Societies* 2014;**4**:68–84. doi:10.3390/soc4010068

96

Lupton D. Quantifying the body: monitoring and measuring health in the age of mHealth technologies. *Critical Public Health* 2013;**23**:393–403. doi:10.1080/09581596.2013.794931

97

Thacker E. What is Biomedica? *Configurations* 2003;**11**:47–79. doi:10.1353/con.2004.0014

98

Beaulieu A. From brainbank to database: the informational turn in the study of the brain. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 2004;**35**:367–90. doi:10.1016/j.shpsc.2004.03.011

99

Helmreich S. Kinship in Hypertext. In: *Relative values: reconfiguring kinship studies*. Durham, N.C.: : Duke University Press 2002. 116–43. <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780822383222>

100

Franklin S. Biologization Re-visited: Kinship Theory. In: *Relative values: reconfiguring kinship studies*. Durham [N.C.]: : Duke University Press 2001. 302–25. https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma9931045211204761&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=any,contains,Relative%20values:%20reconfiguring%20kinship%20studies&sortby=date_d&facet=frbrgroupid,include,61215140135456524&offset=0

101

Singer N. From knowing yourself to prodding yourself. The New York Times 2015.

102

Lupton D. The commodification of patient opinion: the digital patient experience economy in the age of big data. *Sociology of Health & Illness* 2014;**36**:856–69.
doi:10.1111/1467-9566.12109

103

Dawn Nafus. Big Data, Big Questions - This One Does Not Go Up To 11: The Quantified Self Movement as an Alternative Big Data Practice. *International Journal of Communication* 2014;**8**.<http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2170>

104

Doe G. With genetic testing, I gave my parents the gift of divorce - Vox. Vox Genetics. 2014.<http://www.vox.com/2014/9/9/5975653/with-genetic-testing-i-gave-my-parents-the-gift-of-divorce-23andme>

105

Fujimara J. The Practice of Producing Meaning in Bioinformatics. In: *The practices of human genetics*. Dordrecht: : Kluwer Academic 1999. 49–87.

106

Rabinow P. *Essays on the anthropology of reason*. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1996.

107

Gross A. The economy of social data: exploring research ethics as device. *The Sociological Review* 2011;**59**:113–29. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02055.x

108

Van Dijck J. Digital cadavers: the visible human project as anatomical theater. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 2000;**31**:271–85. doi:10.1016/S1369-8486(99)00020-5

109

Gerlitz C, Lury C. Social media and self-evaluating assemblages: on numbers, orderings and values. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory* 2014;**15**:174–88. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.920267

110

Kaufmann M. Resilience 2.0: social media use and (self-)care during the 2011 Norway attacks. *Media, Culture & Society* 2015;**37**:972–87. doi:10.1177/0163443715584101

111

Nafus D. Stuck data, dead data, and disloyal data: the stops and starts in making numbers into social practices. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory* 2014;**15**:208–22. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.920266

112

Sharp LA. The Commodification of the Body and its Parts. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 2000;**29**:287–328. doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.29.1.287

113

Strathern M. Chapter 5: Losing (out on) Intellectual Resources. In: *Kinship, Law and the Unexpected: Relatives are Always a Surprise*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2005. 111–34. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511614514>

114

Steinmetz G. Mitchell, T. (1999) Society, Economy and the State Effect. In *State/Culture: State Formation after the Cultural Turn*. In: *State/culture: state-formation after the cultural turn*. Ithaca, N.Y.: : Cornell University Press 1999. https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService∓package_service_id=14616198260004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

115

Browne S. *Dark Matters*. Duke University Press 2015. doi:10.1215/9780822375302

116

Amoore L. Biometric borders: Governing mobilities in the war on terror. *Political Geography* 2006;**25**:336–51. doi:10.1016/j.polgeo.2006.02.001

117

The World of Indicators edited by Richard Rottenburg.
<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/world-of-indicators/C7903E69E0329A256EF02C3CB5C918ED>

118

Birchall C. Shareveillance: Subjectivity between open and closed data. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716663965

119

Gilles Deleuze. Postscript on the Societies of Control. October 1992;**59**:3–7.
http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/778828?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

120

Adams V. *Metrics*. Duke University Press 2016. doi:10.1215/9780822374480

121

D. Haggerty, Richard V. Ericson K. The surveillant assemblage. *British Journal of Sociology* 2000;**51**:605–22. doi:10.1080/00071310020015280

122

Nafus, D ; Sherman, J. This One Does Not Go Up to 11: The Quantified Self Movement as an Alternative Big Data Practice.

[http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_wos000349010500023&indx=1&reclds=TN_wos000349010500023&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&scnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl\(freeText0\)=%20Nafus%2C%20D.%20and%20J.%20Sherman%20%282014%29%20This%20One%20Does%20Not%20Go%20Up%20to%20Eleven%3A%20The%20Quantified%20Self%20Movement%20as%20an%20Alternative%20Big%20Data%20Practice.%20International%20Journal%20of%20Communication%2C%20Vol%208.&dstmp=1471435285056](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_wos000349010500023&indx=1&reclds=TN_wos000349010500023&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&scnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl(freeText0)=%20Nafus%2C%20D.%20and%20J.%20Sherman%20%282014%29%20This%20One%20Does%20Not%20Go%20Up%20to%20Eleven%3A%20The%20Quantified%20Self%20Movement%20as%20an%20Alternative%20Big%20Data%20Practice.%20International%20Journal%20of%20Communication%2C%20Vol%208.&dstmp=1471435285056)

123

Ruppert E, Savage M. Transactional politics. *The Sociological Review* 2011;**59**:73–92. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02057.x

124

Roderick L. Discipline and Power in the Digital Age: The Case of the US Consumer Data Broker Industry. *Critical Sociology* 2014;**40**:729–46. doi:10.1177/0896920513501350

125

M'charek A, Schramm K, Skinner D. Topologies of Race. *Science, Technology, & Human Values* 2014;**39**:468–87. doi:10.1177/0162243913509493

126

Sharma A, Gupta A. Sharma, A. and Gupta, A. (2006) Introduction: Re-thinking Theories of the State in an Age of Globalization. In: *The anthropology of the state: a reader*. Malden, Mass: : Blackwell 2006.

127

Universitetet i Oslo. *State formation: anthropological perspectives*. London: : Pluto Press 2005. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt18fs5n7>

128

Kirstie Ball ; Kevin D. Haggend David Lyon. Bruno, Fernanda. (2012) Surveillance and Participation on Web 2.0. In: Routledge Handbook of Surveillance Studies. doi:10.4324/9780203814949

129

Lyon D. Surveillance, Snowden, and Big Data: Capacities, consequences, critique. *Big Data & Society* 2014;**1**. doi:10.1177/2053951714541861

130

Isin E, Ruppert E. Digital Citizenship and Surveillance| Citizen Snowden. *International Journal of Communication* 2017;**11**.<http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/5642>

131

LYON D. BIOMETRICS, IDENTIFICATION AND SURVEILLANCE. *Bioethics* 2008;**22**:499–508. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00697.x

132

Evelyn Ruppert. Population Objects: Interpassive Subjects. *Sociology* 2011;**45**:218–33.http://www.jstor.org/stable/42857535?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

133

Lupton D. Self-tracking modes: reflexive self-monitoring and data practices. *Social life of big data symposium* 2nd June 2015. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2483549

134

Fuller M, Goffey A. Leak early, leak often. In: *Evil media*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 2012. 100–4. https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=15040989580004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

135

Vertesi J. My Experiment Opting Out of Big Data Made Me Look Like a Criminal. Time.com 2014;:1-1.<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=bth&AN=95911990&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

136

Amoore L. Data Derivatives: On the Emergence of a Security Risk Calculus for Our Times. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2011;**28**:24-43. doi:10.1177/0263276411417430

137

Strathern M. Parts and wholes: refiguring relationships in a post-plural world. In: *Conceptualizing society*. London: : Routledge 1992. 75-106.<http://www.tandfebooks.com/ISBN/9780203032244>

138

Ruppert E. The Governmental Topologies of Database Devices. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2012;**29**:116-36. doi:10.1177/0263276412439428

139

Lyon D. Biometrics, Identification and Surveillance. *Bioethics* 2008;**22**:499-508. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00697.x

140

Lyon D. Liquid Surveillance: The Contribution of Zygmunt Bauman to Surveillance Studies1. *International Political Sociology* 2010;**4**:325-38. doi:10.1111/j.1749-5687.2010.00109.x

141

Anna Tsing. The Global Situation. *Cultural Anthropology* 2000;**15**:327-60.http://www.jstor.org/stable/656606?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

142

Coopmans C. Visual analytics as artful revelation. In: Coopmans C, ed. Representation in scientific practice revisited. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 2014.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt9qf5hv.6?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

143

Anderson C. The End of Theory: The Data Deluge Makes the Scientific Method Obsolete. Wired. <http://www.wired.com/2008/06/pb-theory/>

144

Annelise Riles. Infinity within the Brackets. *American Ethnologist* 1998;**25**:378–98.
http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/645790?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

145

Abramson, Allen. (2016) What in/is the world in/of Big Data? Theorizing the Contemporary, *Cultural Anthropology*.
<https://culanth.org/fieldsights/833-what-in-is-the-world-is-of-big-data>

146

Boellstorff T, Maurer B, editors. Boellstorff, T. (2015) Making Big Data,. In: Data, now bigger and better! Chicago: : Prickly Paradigm Press 2015.

147

Latour B, Jensen P, Venturini T, et al. 'The whole is always smaller than its parts' - a digital test of Gabriel Tardes' monads. *The British Journal of Sociology* 2012;**63**:590–615.
[doi:10.1111/j.1468-4446.2012.01428.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-4446.2012.01428.x)

148

Lake RW. Big Data, urban governance, and the ontological politics of hyperindividualism. *Big Data & Society* 2017;**4**. [doi:10.1177/2053951716682537](https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716682537)

149

boyd D, Crawford K. Critical Questions for Big Data. *Information, Communication & Society* 2012;**15**:662–79. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2012.678878

150

Seaver N. The nice thing about context is that everyone has it. *Media, Culture & Society* 2015;**37**:1101–9. doi:10.1177/0163443715594102

151

Breiger RL. Scaling down. *Big Data & Society* 2015;**2**. doi:10.1177/2053951715602497

152

Kitchin R. Big Data, new epistemologies and paradigm shifts. *Big Data & Society* 2014;**1**. doi:10.1177/2053951714528481

153

Strathern M. *Partial connections*. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, CA: : AltaMira Press 2004.

154

Strathern M. *Environments within: An ethnographic commentary on scale*. In: *Culture, landscape, and the environment: the Linacre lectures, 1997*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2000. 44–71.

155

Strathern M. *On Space and Depth*. In: *Complexities: social studies of knowledge practices*. Durham: : Duke University Press 2002. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/9780822383550>

156

Marr B. *The Difference Between Big Data and a Lot of Data*.

21AD.<http://data-informed.com/>

157

Marcus G. Holism and the Expectations of Critique in Post-1980s Anthropology; Notes and Queries, and an Epilogue. In: *Experiments in holism: theory and practice in contemporary anthropology*. Chichester, West Sussex: : Wiley-Blackwell 2010. 28–46.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781444324426>

158

Couldry N, Powell A. Big Data from the bottom up. *Big Data & Society* 2014;**1**.
[doi:10.1177/2053951714539277](https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951714539277)

159

Lash S. Power after Hegemony: Cultural Studies in Mutation? *Theory, Culture & Society* 2007;**24**:55–78. [doi:10.1177/0263276407075956](https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276407075956)

160

Bowker GC. The Theory/Data Thing. *International Journal of Communication* 2014;**8**.
[.http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2190](http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2190)

161

Beer D, Burrows R. Popular Culture, Digital Archives and the New Social Life of Data. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2013;**30**:47–71. [doi:10.1177/0263276413476542](https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276413476542)

162

Boellstorff T. Making big data, in theory. *First Monday* 2013;**18**.
[doi:10.5210/fm.v18i10.4869](https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v18i10.4869)

163

Riles A. *The network inside out*: Annelise Riles. Ann Arbor: : The University of Michigan Press 2000.

164

Wendy F. Hsu. Hsu, W.F (2016) A Performative Digital Ethnography. In 'The Routledge Companion to Digital Ethnography' eds. Hjorth, Horst, Galloway, Bell. Routledge. Published Online First: 2016. doi:10.4324/9781315673974.ch4

165

Mackenzie A. These Things Called Systems. *Social Studies of Science* 2003;**33**:365–87. doi:10.1177/03063127030333003

166

Seaver N. Algorithms as culture: Some tactics for the ethnography of algorithmic systems. *Big Data & Society* 2017;**4**. doi:10.1177/2053951717738104

167

Marcus GE. Ethnography in/of the World System: The Emergence of Multi-Sited Ethnography. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 1995;**24**:95–117. doi:10.1146/annurev.an.24.100195.000523

168

Candea M. Arbitrary locations: in defence of the bounded field-site. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 2007;**13**:167–84. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9655.2007.00419.x

169

Strathern M. The Limits of Auto-anthropology. In: *Anthropology at home*. London: : Tavistock Publications 1987. 16–37.

170

Strathern M. Chapter 1. In: *Property, substance and effect: anthropological essays on persons and things*. London: : Athlone 1999.

171

Dalsgaard S, Nielsen M. Introduction: Time and the Field. *Social Analysis* 2013;**57**:1–19. doi:10.3167/sa.2013.570101

172

Underberg NM, Zorn E. Exploring Peruvian Culture through Multimedia Ethnography. *Visual Anthropology* 2013;**26**:1–17. doi:10.1080/08949468.2013.734760

173

Boellstorff T. Rethinking Digital Anthropology. In: *Digital anthropology*. London: : Berg 2012.
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780857852922>

174

MARCUS GE. THE LEGACIES OF WRITING CULTURE AND THE NEAR FUTURE OF THE ETHNOGRAPHIC FORM: A Sketch. *Cultural Anthropology* 2012;**27**:427–45. doi:10.1111/j.1548-1360.2012.01152.x

175

Jackson Jr. JL. Ethnography is, ethnography ain't. *Cultural Anthropology*; **27**:480–97. doi:10.1111/j.1548-1360.2012.01155.x

176

George E. Marcus and Marcelo Pizarro. The End(s) of Ethnography: Social/Cultural Anthropology's Signature Form of Producing Knowledge in Transition. *Cultural Anthropology* 2008;**23**:1–14.http://www.jstor.org/stable/20484493?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

177

Seaver N. Studying up: the ethnography of technologists. *Ethnography Matters*. 2014.<http://ethnographymatters.net/blog/2014/03/10/studying-up/>

178

Faubion JD, Marcus GE. Fieldwork is not what it used to be: learning anthropology's method in a time of transition. Ithaca: : Cornell University Press 2009.

179

Strathern, M. Abstraction and Decontextualisation; an anthropological comment. <http://virtualsociety.sbs.ox.ac.uk/GRpapers/strathern.htm>

180

Kelty C, Coleman G, Strassler K. Commentary and Discussion on the Digital Form Curated Collection. Curated Collections, Cultural Anthropology Online. 2012. http://www.culanth.org/curated_collections/8-the-digital-form/discussions/15-commentary-and-discussion-on-the-digital-form-curated-collection

181

Blok A, Pedersen MA. Complementary social science? Quali-quantitative experiments in a Big Data world. *Big Data & Society* 2014;**1**. doi:10.1177/2053951714543908

182

Anderson K, Nafus D, Rattenbury T, et al. Numbers Have Qualities Too: Experiences with Ethno-Mining. *Ethnographic Praxis in Industry Conference Proceedings* 2009;**2009**:123-40. doi:10.1111/j.1559-8918.2009.tb00133.x

183

Marres N, Weltevrede E. Scraping the social? *Journal of Cultural Economy* 2013;**6**:313-35. doi:10.1080/17530350.2013.772070

184

Mike Savage and Roger Burrows. The Coming Crisis of Empirical Sociology. *Sociology* 2007;**41**:885-99. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/42858273?seq=1#page_scan_tab_c

ontents

185

Why Big Data Needs Thick Data – Ethnography Matters – Medium.

<https://medium.com/ethnography-matters/why-big-data-needs-thick-data-b4b3e75e3d7>

186

Ruppert E, Law J, Savage M. Reassembling Social Science Methods: The Challenge of Digital Devices. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2013;**30**:22–46.

doi:10.1177/0263276413484941

187

Ford H. Big Data and Small: Collaborations between ethnographers and data scientists. *Big Data & Society* 2014;**1**. doi:10.1177/2053951714544337

188

Nafus D. Data, Data, Everywhere, but Who Gets to Interpret It? | EPIC. EPIC.

2015.<https://www.epicpeople.org/data-data-everywhere/>

189

» Abildegaard et al (2017) Five recent play dates - EASST.

<https://easst.net/article/five-recent-play-dates/>

190

Publications | Sciences Po | MedialabSciences Po | Medialab.

<http://www.medialab.sciences-po.fr/publication/>