

ANTH3001: Advanced Topics in Digital Culture

UG course in Advanced Digital Culture

View Online



1.

Beer D. How should we do the history of Big Data? *Big Data & Society*. 2016 Jan 5;3(1). doi:10.1177/2053951716646135

2.

Rubio FD, Baert P, editors. Leach, J. (2012) 'Step inside: knowledge freely available': The politics of (making) knowledge-objects'. In: *The politics of knowledge* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2012. Available from: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781134004386>

3.

Boellstorff T, Maurer B, editors. Seaver, N. (2015) *Bastard Algebra*. In: *Data, now bigger and better!* Chicago: Prickly Paradigm Press; 2015.

4.

Halpern O. *Beautiful Data*. Duke University Press; 2015. doi:10.1215/9780822376323-010

5.

Kitchin R. *The data revolution: big data, open data, data infrastructures and their consequences* [Internet]. Los Angeles: SAGE; 2014. Available from: <http://srmo.sagepub.com/view/the-data-revolution/SAGE.xml>

6.

Beer D. Productive measures: Culture and measurement in the context of everyday

neoliberalism. *Big Data & Society*. 2015 Jun 10;2(1). doi:10.1177/2053951715578951

7.

Striphas T. Algorithmic culture. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*. 2015 Aug;18(4-5):395-412. doi:10.1177/1367549415577392

8.

Latour B. Bruno Latour Pandora's Hope Ch 2: Circulating Reference. In: *Pandora's hope: essays on the reality of science studies* [Internet]. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1999. p. 24-79. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=a214d3b6-7cf1-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

9.

Rosenberg D. Data before the fact. In: Gitelman L, editor. 'Raw data' is an oxymoron [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2013. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/servlet/opac?bknumber=6451327>

10.

Chua L, Salmond A. Artefacts in anthropology. In: *SAGE handbook of social anthropology* [Internet]. SAGE; 2012. p. 101-15. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=8fd0b472-7efb-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

11.

Verran H. The changing lives of measures and values: from centre stage in the fading 'disciplinary' society to pervasive background instrument in the emergent 'control' society. *The Sociological Review*. 2011 Dec;59:60-72. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02059.x

12.

Hacking I. The argument. In: *The Taming of Chance* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1990. p. 1-10. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511819766>

13.

Porter TM. Trust in numbers: the pursuit of objectivity in science and public life. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press; 1995.

14.

Hui Y. What is a Digital Object? *Metaphilosophy*. 2012 Jul;43(4):380–95.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9973.2012.01761.x

15.

Halpern O. Cybernetic rationality. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*. 2014 May;15(2):223–38. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.923320

16.

Halpern O. Beautiful data: a history of vision and reason since 1945. *Experimental futures*. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press; 2014.

17.

Riles A. Introduction: in response. In: *Documents: artifacts of modern knowledge*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press; 2006. p. 1–38.

18.

Gitelman L. 'Raw data' is an oxymoron [Internet]. *Infrastructures series*. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 2013. Available from:
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/bkabstractplus.jsp?bkn=6451327>

19.

Manovich L. Database as Symbolic Form. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies*. 1999 Jun;5(2):80–99.
doi:10.1177/135485659900500206

20.

Elizabeth A. Povinelli. *The Woman on the Other Side of the Wall: Archiving the Otherwise in*

Postcolonial Digital Archives. *Differences: A Journal Of Feminist Cultural Studies*. 2011 Jan 1;22(1):146–71. doi:10.1215/10407391-1218274

21.

Hogan M. Data flows and water woes: The Utah Data Center. *Big Data & Society*. 2015 Dec 27;2(2). doi:10.1177/2053951715592429

22.

Geismar H, Mohns W. Social relationships and digital relationships: rethinking the database at the Vanuatu Cultural Centre. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. 2011 May;17:S133–55. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9655.2011.01693.x

23.

Dourish, P. (2014) No SQL: The Shifting Materialities of Databases. *Computational Culture: a Journal of Software Studies*. Issue 4. [Internet]. Available from: <http://computationalculture.net/article/no-sql-the-shifting-materialities-of-database-technology>

24.

Bowker G. Databasing the world: biodiversity and the 2000s. In: *Memory practices in the sciences* [Internet]. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 2005. p. 107–36. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=bb97c1ed-7efb-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

25.

Salmond AJM. Transforming translations (part I). *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory*. 2013 Dec 23;3(3). doi:10.14318/hau3.3.002

26.

Bowker GC, Star SL. *Sorting things out: classification and its consequences* [Internet]. Inside technology. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 1999. Available from: <http://cognet.mit.edu/book/sorting-things-out>

27.

Chun WHK. The Enduring Ephemeral, or the Future Is a Memory. *Critical Inquiry*. 2008 Sep;35(1):148–71. doi:10.1086/595632

28.

J. Holt, Patrick Vondereau. Where the Internet Lives: Data Centers as Cloud Infrastructure. In: Traffic signal timing manual [Internet]. [Washington, D.C.]: U.S. Department of Transportation; 2014. Available from: http://app.knovel.com/hotlink/toc/id:kpTSTMPNF2/traffic_signal_timing_manual_publication_no_fhwahop08024

29.

Fuller M, Goffey A. Digital Infrastructures and the Machinery of Topological Abstraction. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2012 Jul 1;29(4-5):311–33. doi:10.1177/0263276412450466

30.

To the Cloud: Big Data in a Turbulent World. *Contemporary Sociology: A Journal of Reviews*. 2015 May 1;44(3):436–7. doi:10.1177/0094306115579192c

31.

Haraway D. Chapter 4: Gene. In: *Modest[Witness@Second]Millennium.FemaleMan[Meets]OncoMouse: feminism and technoscience*. New York: Routledge; 1997.

32.

Strathern M, University of Cambridge. Department of Social Anthropology. The relation: issues in complexity and scale. Prickly Pear pamphlet. Cambridge, U.K.: Prickly Pear Press; 1995.

33.

Jensen CB, Morita A. Infrastructures as Ontological Experiments. *Engaging Science, Technology, and Society* [Internet]. 2015 Nov 8;1:81–7. Available from: <http://estsjournal.org/article/view/21>

34.

Harvey P. The Topological Quality of Infrastructural Relation: An Ethnographic Approach. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2012 Jul 1;29(4-5):76-92. doi:10.1177/0263276412448827

35.

Mackenzie A. Multiplying numbers differently: an epidemiology of contagious convolution. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*. 2014 May 4;15(2):189-207. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.922110

36.

Verran H. On assemblage. *Journal of Cultural Economy*. 2009 Jul;2(1-2):169-82. doi:10.1080/17530350903064188

37.

Salmond AJM. Transforming translations (part I): 'The owner of these bones'. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory*. 2013 Dec 23;3(3):1-32. doi:10.14318/hau3.3.002

38.

Laidlaw J. A free gift makes no friends. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. 2000 Dec;6(4):617-34. doi:10.1111/1467-9655.00036

39.

Tsing, Anna. Sorting out commodities: How capitalist value is made through gifts. *HAU : Journal of Ethnographic Theory* [Internet]. 2013;3(1):21-43. Available from: https://search-proquest-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/docview/1850088542?rfr_id=info%3Axri%2Fsid%3Aprimo

40.

John NA. Sharing and Web 2.0: The emergence of a keyword. *New Media & Society*. 2013 Mar;15(2):167-82. doi:10.1177/1461444812450684

41.

Maurer, W.M. Principles of Alliance and Descent for Big Data. In: Prickly Paradigm Press/University of Chicago; 2015. p. 67–86. Available from: <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/41r1s161>

42.

Van Dijck J, Poell T. Understanding the promises and premises of online health platforms. *Big Data & Society*. 2016 Jan 5;3(1). doi:10.1177/2053951716654173

43.

S. Day, C. Lury. Biosensing: Tracking Persons. In: *Quantified: Biosensing Technologies in Everyday Life* [Internet]. MIT Press; 2016. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/xpl/ebooks/bookPdfWithBanner.jsp?fileName=7580073.pdf&bkn=7580015&pdfType=chapter>

44.

Janet Carsten. Ch. 4: The person. In: *After Kinship* by Janet Carsten [Internet]. Cambridge University Press. p. 83–108. Available from: <https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/after-kinship/BF660970EC79E6A4847E76A38CBE1DB9>

45.

Leonelli S. Why the Current Insistence on Open Access to Scientific Data? Big Data, Knowledge Production, and the Political Economy of Contemporary Biology. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society*. 2013 Feb 1;33(1–2):6–11. doi:10.1177/0270467613496768

46.

M. Fortun. What Toll Pursuit: Affective Assemblages in Genomics and Postgenomics. In: Richardson SS, Stevens H, editors. *Postgenomics* [Internet]. Duke University Press; 2015. Available from: <https://read.dukeupress.edu/books/book/160/> doi:10.1215/9780822375449

47.

Biagioli M. Rights or rewards? Changing frameworks of scientific authorship. In: Scientific authorship: credit and intellectual property in science [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2013. p. 253–81. Available from: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9780203954270-15>
doi:10.4324/9780203954270

48.

Richardson SS, Stevens H. Postgenomics: perspectives on biology after the genome [Internet]. Durham: Duke University Press; 2015. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/9780822375449>

49.

Weiner AB. Inalienable possessions: The forgotten dimension. In: Inalienable possessions: the paradox of keeping-while-giving [Internet]. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1992. p. 23–43. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c630b45e-7ffb-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

50.

Weiner AB. Reconfiguring Exchange Theory: The Maori Hau. In: Inalienable possessions: the paradox of keeping-while-giving. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1992.

51.

Lezaun J, Montgomery CM. The Pharmaceutical Commons: Sharing and Exclusion in Global Health Drug Development. *Science, Technology & Human Values*. 2015 Jan 1;40(1):3–29. doi:10.1177/0162243914542349

52.

Hilgartner S. Selective flows of knowledge in technoscientific interaction: information control in genome research. *The British Journal for the History of Science*. 2012 Jun;45(02):267–80. doi:10.1017/S0007087412000106

53.

Kelty CM. This is not an article: Model organism newsletters and the question of 'open science'. *BioSocieties*. 2012 Jun;7(2):140–68. doi:10.1057/biosoc.2012.8

54.

Hirsch E, Strathern M. Transactions and creations: property debates and the stimulus of Melanesia [Internet]. New York: Berghahn Books; 2004. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctv287skdk>

55.

Leach J. The Self of the Scientist, Material for the Artist: Emergent Distinctions in an Interdisciplinary Collaboration. *Social Analysis*. 2011 Jan 1;55(3). doi:10.3167/sa.2011.550308

56.

D. Miller. Alienable Gifts and Inalienable Commodities. In: *The empire of things: regimes of value and material culture*. Santa Fe, N.M.: School of American Research Press; 2001.

57.

Gregg M. The Gift That Is Not Given. In: Boellstorff T, Maurer B, editors. *Data, now bigger and better!* Chicago: Prickly Paradigm Press; 2015.

58.

Antonia Walford. Data Moves: Taking Amazonian Climate Science Seriously. *The Cambridge Journal of Anthropology* [Internet]. 2012;30(2):101-17. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/43610877?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

59.

Arturo Escobar. After Nature Steps to an Antiessentialist Political Ecology. *Current Anthropology* [Internet]. 1999;40(1):1-30. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/515799?sid=primo&origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

60.

Büscher B. *Nature 2.0: Exploring and theorizing the links between new media and nature*

conservation. *New Media & Society*. 2016 May;18(5):726–43.
doi:10.1177/1461444814545841

61.

Asdal K. Enacting things through numbers: Taking nature into account/ing. *Geoforum*. 2008 Jan;39(1):123–32. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2006.11.004

62.

Kim Fortun. From Bhopal to the Informing of Environmentalism: Risk Communication in Historical Perspective. *Osiris* [Internet]. 2004;19:283–96. Available from:
http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/3655245?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

63.

Gabrys J, Pritchard H, Barratt B. Just good enough data: Figuring data citizenships through air pollution sensing and data stories. *Big Data & Society*. 2016 Nov;3(2).
doi:10.1177/2053951716679677

64.

Whittington J. Carbon as a Metric of the Human. *PoLAR: Political and Legal Anthropology Review*. 2016 May;39(1):46–63. doi:10.1111/plar.12130

65.

Nadim T. Blind regards: Troubling data and their sentinels. *Big Data & Society*. 2016 Nov;3(2). doi:10.1177/2053951716666301

66.

Garnett E. Developing a feeling for error: Practices of monitoring and modelling air pollution data. *Big Data & Society*. 2016 Nov;3(2). doi:10.1177/2053951716658061

67.

Fortun K, Poirier L, Morgan A, Costelloe-Kuehn B, Fortun M. Pushback: Critical data designers and pollution politics. *Big Data & Society*. 2016 Nov;3(2). doi:10.1177/2053951716668903

68.

Lippert I. Environment as datascape: Enacting emission realities in corporate carbon accounting. *Geoforum*. 2015 Nov;66:126–35. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2014.09.009

69.

Dalsgaard S. The commensurability of carbon. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory*. 2013 Jun 18;3(1):80–98. doi:10.14318/hau3.1.006

70.

Grebowicz M. Glacial Time and Lonely Crowds: The social effects of climate change as internet spectacle. *Environmental Humanities* [Internet]. 2014;5:1–11. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1215/22011919-3615388>

71.

Stefan Helmreich. From Spaceship Earth to Google Ocean: Planetary Icons, Indexes, and Infrastructures. *Social Research* [Internet]. 2011;78(4):1211–42. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/23349849?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

72.

Gabrys J. Program earth: environmental sensing technology and the making of a computational planet [Internet]. *Electronic mediations*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press; 2016. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5749/j.ctt1b7x5gq>

73.

Verran H. Number as Generative Device. In: Lury C, Wakeford N, editors. *Inventive methods: the happening of the social*. Abingdon: Routledge; 2014.

74.

Knox H. Carbon, Convertibility, and the Technopolitics of Oil. In: Appel H, Mason A, Watts M, editors. *Subterranean estates: life worlds of oil and gas* [Internet]. Ithaca: Cornell University Press; 2015. Available from: https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma9931090819204761&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=any,contains,990022437450204761&sortby=date_d&facet=frbrgroupid,include,9022657445453213247&offset=0

75.

Gabrys J. Powering the digital: From energy ecologies to electronic environmentalism. In: Maxwell R, Raundalen J, Vestberg NL, editors. *Media and the Ecological Crisis*. London: Routledge; 2017. p. 3–18.

76.

Sabina Leonelli. What Counts as Scientific Data? A Relational Framework. *Philosophy of Science* [Internet]. 2015;82(5):810–21. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/684083?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

77.

Strathern M. *After nature: English kinship in the late twentieth century. The Lewis Henry Morgan lectures*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1992.

78.

Shackley S, Wynne B. Representing Uncertainty in Global Climate Change Science and Policy: Boundary-Ordering Devices and Authority. *Science, Technology & Human Values*. 1996 Jul 1;21(3):275–302. doi:10.1177/016224399602100302

79.

Fairhead J, Leach M, Scoones I. Green Grabbing: a new appropriation of nature? *Journal of Peasant Studies*. 2012 Apr;39(2):237–61. doi:10.1080/03066150.2012.671770

80.

Igoe J. The spectacle of nature in the global economy of appearances: Anthropological engagements with the spectacular mediations of transnational conservation. *Critique of Anthropology*. 2010 Dec 1;30(4):375–97. doi:10.1177/0308275X10372468

81.

Helmreich S. Blue-green Capital, Biotechnological Circulation and an Oceanic Imaginary: A Critique of Biopolitical Economy. *BioSocieties*. 2007 Sep;2(3):287–302. doi:10.1017/S1745855207005753

82.

Lehning M, Dawes N, Bavay et. al. M. Instrumenting the earth: Next-generation sensor networks and environmental science. In: *The fourth paradigm: data-intensive scientific discovery*. Redmond, Wash: Microsoft Research. p. 45–51.

83.

Franklin S. Re-thinking nature-culture: Anthropology and the new genetics. *Anthropological Theory*. 2003 Mar 1;3(1):65–85. doi:10.1177/1463499603003001752

84.

Rapp R. Big data, small kids: Medico-scientific, familial and advocacy visions of human brains. *BioSocieties*. 2015 Oct 26. doi:10.1057/biosoc.2015.33

85.

Lupton, D. 2016 *You are Your Data: Self-tracking Practices and Concepts of Data*.

86.

Greenfield, D. (2016) Deep Data: Notes on the n of 1. In 'Quantified: biosensing technologies in everyday life' ed. Dawn Nafus. MIT Press [Internet]. Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/xpl/ebooks/bookPdfWithBanner.jsp?fileName=7580296.pdf&bkn=7580015&pdfType=chapter>

87.

Tutton R, Prainsack B. Enterprising or altruistic selves? Making up research subjects in genetics research. *Sociology of Health & Illness*. 2011 Nov;33(7):1081–95. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9566.2011.01348.x

88.

Emily Martin. The End of the Body? *American Ethnologist* [Internet]. 1992;19(1):121–40. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/644828?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

89.

23andMe Is Terrifying, but Not for the Reasons the FDA Thinks - *Scientific American* [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/23andme-is-terrifying-but-not-for-the-reasons-the-fda-thinks/>

90.

With genetic testing, I gave my parents the gift of divorce - *Vox* [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.vox.com/2014/9/9/5975653/with-genetic-testing-i-gave-my-parents-the-gift-of-divorce-23andme>

91.

Hippocratic Hacking | *Platypus* [Internet]. Available from: <http://blog.castac.org/2016/10/hippocratic-hacking/>

92.

The Healing Power of Your Own Medical Records - *The New York Times* [Internet]. Available from: http://www.nytimes.com/2015/04/01/technology/the-healing-power-of-your-own-medical-data.html?_r=0

93.

Hayles NK. *How we became posthuman: virtual bodies in cybernetics, literature, and informatics*. Chicago: University of Chicago P.; 1999.

94.

After Kinship by Janet Carsten [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/after-kinship/BF660970EC79E6A4847E76A38CBE1DB9>

95.

Ruckenstein M. Visualized and Interacted Life: Personal Analytics and Engagements with Data Doubles. *Societies*. 2014 Feb 18;4(1):68–84. doi:10.3390/soc4010068

96.

Lupton D. Quantifying the body: monitoring and measuring health in the age of mHealth technologies. *Critical Public Health*. 2013 Dec;23(4):393–403.
doi:10.1080/09581596.2013.794931

97.

Thacker E. What is Biomedica? *Configurations*. 2003;11(1):47–79.
doi:10.1353/con.2004.0014

98.

Beaulieu A. From brainbank to database: the informational turn in the study of the brain. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences*. 2004 Jun;35(2):367–90.
doi:10.1016/j.shpsc.2004.03.011

99.

Helmreich S. Kinship in Hypertext. In: *Relative values: reconfiguring kinship studies* [Internet]. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press; 2002. p. 116–43. Available from:
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780822383222>

100.

Franklin S. Biologization Re-visited: Kinship Theory. In: *Relative values: reconfiguring kinship studies* [Internet]. Durham [N.C.]: Duke University Press; 2001. p. 302–25.

Available from:

https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma9931045211204761&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=any,contains,Relative%20values:%20reconfiguring%20kinship%20studies&sortby=date_d&facet=frbrgroupid,include,61215140135456524&offset=0

101.

Singer N. From knowing yourself to prodding yourself. The New York Times. 2015 Apr 19.

102.

Lupton D. The commodification of patient opinion: the digital patient experience economy in the age of big data. *Sociology of Health & Illness*. 2014 Jul;36(6):856–69.
doi:10.1111/1467-9566.12109

103.

Dawn Nafus. Big Data, Big Questions - This One Does Not Go Up To 11: The Quantified Self Movement as an Alternative Big Data Practice. *International Journal of Communication* [Internet]. 2014;8. Available from: <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2170>

104.

Doe G. Vox Genetics [Internet]. 2014. With genetic testing, I gave my parents the gift of divorce - Vox. Available from: <http://www.vox.com/2014/9/9/5975653/with-genetic-testing-i-gave-my-parents-the-gift-of-divorce-23andme>

105.

Fujimara J. The Practice of Producing Meaning in Bioinformatics. In: *The practices of human genetics*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic; 1999. p. 49–87.

106.

Rabinow P. *Essays on the anthropology of reason*. Princeton studies in

culture/power/history. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press; 1996.

107.

Gross A. The economy of social data: exploring research ethics as device. *The Sociological Review*. 2011 Dec;59:113–29. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02055.x

108.

Van Dijck J. Digital cadavers: the visible human project as anatomical theater. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences*. 2000 Jun;31(2):271–85. doi:10.1016/S1369-8486(99)00020-5

109.

Gerlitz C, Lury C. Social media and self-evaluating assemblages: on numbers, orderings and values. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*. 2014 May 4;15(2):174–88. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.920267

110.

Kaufmann M. Resilience 2.0: social media use and (self-)care during the 2011 Norway attacks. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2015 Oct 1;37(7):972–87. doi:10.1177/0163443715584101

111.

Nafus D. Stuck data, dead data, and disloyal data: the stops and starts in making numbers into social practices. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*. 2014 May 4;15(2):208–22. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.920266

112.

Sharp LA. The Commodification of the Body and its Parts. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 2000 Oct 21;29(1):287–328. doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.29.1.287

113.

Strathern M. Chapter 5: Losing (out on) Intellectual Resources. In: *Kinship, Law and the*

Unexpected: Relatives are Always a Surprise [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2005. p. 111–34. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511614514>

114.

Steinmetz G. Mitchell, T. (1999) Society, Economy and the State Effect. In State/Culture: State Formation after the Cultural Turn. In: State/culture: state-formation after the cultural turn [Internet]. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press; 1999. Available from: https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14616198260004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

115.

Browne S. Dark Matters [Internet]. Duke University Press; 2015. Available from: <http://read.dukeupress.edu/lookup/doi/10.1215/9780822375302>
[doi:10.1215/9780822375302?locatt=mode:legacy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/9780822375302?locatt=mode:legacy)

116.

Amoore L. Biometric borders: Governing mobilities in the war on terror. Political Geography. 2006 Mar;25(3):336–51. doi:10.1016/j.polgeo.2006.02.001

117.

The World of Indicators edited by Richard Rottenburg [Internet]. Available from: <https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/world-of-indicators/C7903E69E0329A256EF02C3CB5C918ED>

118.

Birchall C. Shareveillance: Subjectivity between open and closed data. Big Data & Society. 2016 Nov;3(2). doi:10.1177/2053951716663965

119.

Gilles Deleuze. Postscript on the Societies of Control. October [Internet]. 1992;59:3–7. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/778828?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

120.

Adams V. Metrics [Internet]. Duke University Press; 2016. Available from: <http://read.dukeupress.edu/lookup/doi/10.1215/9780822374480>
doi:10.1215/9780822374480

121.

D. Haggerty, Richard V. Ericson K. The surveillant assemblage. *British Journal of Sociology*. 2000 Dec 1;51(4):605-22. doi:10.1080/00071310020015280

122.

Nafus, D ; Sherman, J. This One Does Not Go Up to 11: The Quantified Self Movement as an Alternative Big Data Practice [Internet]. Available from: [http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_wos000349010500023&indx=1&reclDs=TN_wos000349010500023&reclDxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl\(freeText0\)=%20Nafus%2C%20D.%20and%20J.%20Sherman%20%282014%29%20This%20One%20Does%20Not%20Go%20Up%20to%20Eleven%3A%20The%20Quantified%20Self%20Movement%20as%20an%20Alternative%20Big%20Data%20Practice.%20International%20Journal%20of%20Communication%2C%20Vol%208.&dstmp=1471435285056](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_wos000349010500023&indx=1&reclDs=TN_wos000349010500023&reclDxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl(freeText0)=%20Nafus%2C%20D.%20and%20J.%20Sherman%20%282014%29%20This%20One%20Does%20Not%20Go%20Up%20to%20Eleven%3A%20The%20Quantified%20Self%20Movement%20as%20an%20Alternative%20Big%20Data%20Practice.%20International%20Journal%20of%20Communication%2C%20Vol%208.&dstmp=1471435285056)

123.

Ruppert E, Savage M. Transactional politics. *The Sociological Review*. 2011 Dec;59:73-92. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02057.x

124.

Roderick L. Discipline and Power in the Digital Age: The Case of the US Consumer Data Broker Industry. *Critical Sociology*. 2014 Sep;40(5):729-46. doi:10.1177/0896920513501350

125.

M'charek A, Schramm K, Skinner D. Topologies of Race. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*. 2014 Jul;39(4):468–87. doi:10.1177/0162243913509493

126.

Sharma A, Gupta A. Sharma, A. and Gupta, A. (2006) Introduction: Re-thinking Theories of the State in an Age of Globalization. In: *The anthropology of the state: a reader*. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2006.

127.

Universitetet i Oslo. State formation: anthropological perspectives [Internet]. Krohn-Hansen C, Nustad KG, editors. *Anthropology, culture, and society*. London: Pluto Press; 2005. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt18fs5n7>

128.

Kirstie Ball ; Kevin D. Haggend David Lyon. Bruno, Fernanda. (2012) Surveillance and Participation on Web 2.0. In: *Routledge Handbook of Surveillance Studies*. doi:10.4324/9780203814949

129.

Lyon D. Surveillance, Snowden, and Big Data: Capacities, consequences, critique. *Big Data & Society*. 2014 Jul 10;1(2). doi:10.1177/2053951714541861

130.

Isin E, Ruppert E. Digital Citizenship and Surveillance| Citizen Snowden. *International Journal of Communication* [Internet]. 2017;11. Available from: <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/5642>

131.

LYON D. BIOMETRICS, IDENTIFICATION AND SURVEILLANCE. *Bioethics*. 2008 Nov;22(9):499–508. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00697.x

132.

Evelyn Ruppert. Population Objects: Interpassive Subjects. *Sociology* [Internet]. 2011;45(2):218–33. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/42857535?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

133.

Lupton D. Self-tracking modes: reflexive self-monitoring and data practices. Social life of big data symposium 2nd June 2015 [Internet]. School of Communications and Arts, Edith Cowan University, Perth Western Australia. Available from: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2483549

134.

Fuller M, Goffey A. Leak early, leak often. In: *Evil media* [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2012. p. 100–4. Available from: https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=15040989580004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

135.

Vertesi J. My Experiment Opting Out of Big Data Made Me Look Like a Criminal. *Time.com* [Internet]. 2014;1–1. Available from: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=bsu&AN=95911990&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

136.

Amoore L. Data Derivatives: On the Emergence of a Security Risk Calculus for Our Times. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2011 Nov 1;28(6):24–43. doi:10.1177/0263276411417430

137.

Strathern M. Parts and wholes: refiguring relationships in a post-plural world. In: *Conceptualizing society* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1992. p. 75–106. Available from: <http://www.tandfebooks.com/ISBN/9780203032244>

138.

Ruppert E. The Governmental Topologies of Database Devices. *Theory, Culture & Society*.

2012 Jul 1;29(4-5):116–36. doi:10.1177/0263276412439428

139.

Lyon D. Biometrics, Identification and Surveillance. *Bioethics*. 2008 Nov;22(9):499–508. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00697.x

140.

Lyon D. Liquid Surveillance: The Contribution of Zygmunt Bauman to Surveillance Studies1. *International Political Sociology*. 2010 Dec;4(4):325–38. doi:10.1111/j.1749-5687.2010.00109.x

141.

Anna Tsing. The Global Situation. *Cultural Anthropology* [Internet]. 2000;15(3):327–60. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/656606?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

142.

Coopmans C. Visual analytics as artful revelation. In: Coopmans C, editor. *Representation in scientific practice revisited* [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2014. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt9qf5hv.6?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

143.

Anderson C. Wired [Internet]. The End of Theory: The Data Deluge Makes the Scientific Method Obsolete. Available from: <http://www.wired.com/2008/06/pb-theory/>

144.

Annelise Riles. Infinity within the Brackets. *American Ethnologist* [Internet]. 1998;25(3):378–98. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/645790?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

145.

Abramson, Allen. (2016) What in/is the world in/of Big Data? Theorizing the Contemporary, Cultural Anthropology [Internet]. Available from:
<https://culanth.org/fieldsights/833-what-in-is-the-world-is-of-big-data>

146.

Boellstorff T, Maurer B, editors. Boellstorff, T. (2015) Making Big Data,. In: Data, now bigger and better! Chicago: Prickly Paradigm Press; 2015.

147.

Latour B, Jensen P, Venturini T, Grauwin S, Boullier D. 'The whole is always smaller than its parts' - a digital test of Gabriel Tardes' monads. The British Journal of Sociology. 2012 Dec;63(4):590-615. doi:10.1111/j.1468-4446.2012.01428.x

148.

Lake RW. Big Data, urban governance, and the ontological politics of hyperindividualism. Big Data & Society. 2017 Jun;4(1). doi:10.1177/2053951716682537

149.

boyd D, Crawford K. Critical Questions for Big Data. Information, Communication & Society. 2012 Jun;15(5):662-79. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2012.678878

150.

Seaver N. The nice thing about context is that everyone has it. Media, Culture & Society. 2015 Oct;37(7):1101-9. doi:10.1177/0163443715594102

151.

Breiger RL. Scaling down. Big Data & Society. 2015 Dec;2(2). doi:10.1177/2053951715602497

152.

Kitchin R. Big Data, new epistemologies and paradigm shifts. Big Data & Society. 2014 Apr

1;1(1). doi:10.1177/2053951714528481

153.

Strathern M. *Partial connections*. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press; 2004.

154.

Strathern M. *Environments within: An ethnographic commentary on scale*. In: *Culture, landscape, and the environment: the Linacre lectures, 1997*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2000. p. 44–71.

155.

Strathern M. *On Space and Depth*. In: *Complexities: social studies of knowledge practices* [Internet]. Durham: Duke University Press; 2002. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/9780822383550>

156.

Marr B. *The Difference Between Big Data and a Lot of Data* [Internet]. *Data Informed*; 21 AD. Available from: <http://data-informed.com/>

157.

Marcus G. *Holism and the Expectations of Critique in Post-1980s Anthropology; Notes and Queries, and an Epilogue*. In: *Experiments in holism: theory and practice in contemporary anthropology* [Internet]. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell; 2010. p. 28–46. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781444324426>

158.

Couldry N, Powell A. *Big Data from the bottom up*. *Big Data & Society*. 2014 Jul 1;1(2). doi:10.1177/2053951714539277

159.

Lash S. *Power after Hegemony: Cultural Studies in Mutation?* *Theory, Culture & Society*.

2007 May 1;24(3):55–78. doi:10.1177/0263276407075956

160.

Bowker GC. The Theory/Data Thing. *International Journal of Communication* [Internet]. 2014;8. Available from: <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2190>

161.

Beer D, Burrows R. Popular Culture, Digital Archives and the New Social Life of Data. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2013 Jul 1;30(4):47–71. doi:10.1177/0263276413476542

162.

Boellstorff T. Making big data, in theory. *First Monday*. 2013 Oct 7;18(10). doi:10.5210/fm.v18i10.4869

163.

Riles A. *The network inside out*: Annelise Riles. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press; 2000.

164.

Wendy F. Hsu. Hsu, W.F (2016) *A Performative Digital Ethnography*. In 'The Routledge Companion to Digital Ethnography' eds. Hjorth, Horst, Galloway, Bell. Routledge [Internet]. 2016. doi:10.4324/9781315673974.ch4

165.

Mackenzie A. These Things Called Systems. *Social Studies of Science*. 2003 Jun;33(3):365–87. doi:10.1177/03063127030333003

166.

Seaver N. Algorithms as culture: Some tactics for the ethnography of algorithmic systems. *Big Data & Society*. 2017 Dec;4(2). doi:10.1177/2053951717738104

167.

Marcus GE. Ethnography in/of the World System: The Emergence of Multi-Sited Ethnography. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 1995 Oct;24(1):95–117. doi:10.1146/annurev.an.24.100195.000523

168.

Candea M. Arbitrary locations: in defence of the bounded field-site. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. 2007 Mar;13(1):167–84. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9655.2007.00419.x

169.

Strathern M. The Limits of Auto-anthropology. In: *Anthropology at home*. London: Tavistock Publications; 1987. p. 16–37.

170.

Strathern M. Chapter 1. In: *Property, substance and effect: anthropological essays on persons and things*. London: Athlone; 1999.

171.

Dalsgaard S, Nielsen M. Introduction: Time and the Field. *Social Analysis*. 2013 Mar 1;57(1):1–19. doi:10.3167/sa.2013.570101

172.

Underberg NM, Zorn E. Exploring Peruvian Culture through Multimedia Ethnography. *Visual Anthropology*. 2013 Jan;26(1):1–17. doi:10.1080/08949468.2013.734760

173.

Boellstorff T. Rethinking Digital Anthropology. In: *Digital anthropology* [Internet]. London: Berg; 2012. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/Abstrac>

tView/S9780857852922

174.

MARCUS GE. THE LEGACIES OF WRITING CULTURE AND THE NEAR FUTURE OF THE ETHNOGRAPHIC FORM: A Sketch. *Cultural Anthropology*. 2012 Aug;27(3):427-45. doi:10.1111/j.1548-1360.2012.01152.x

175.

Jackson Jr. JL. Ethnography is, ethnography ain't. *Cultural Anthropology*. 27(3):480-97. doi:10.1111/j.1548-1360.2012.01155.x

176.

George E. Marcus and Marcelo Pizarro. The End(s) of Ethnography: Social/Cultural Anthropology's Signature Form of Producing Knowledge in Transition. *Cultural Anthropology* [Internet]. 2008;23(1):1-14. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/20484493?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

177.

Seaver N. Ethnography Matters [Internet]. 2014. Studying up: the ethnography of technologists. Available from: <http://ethnographymatters.net/blog/2014/03/10/studying-up/>

178.

Faubion JD, Marcus GE. *Fieldwork is not what it used to be: learning anthropology's method in a time of transition*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press; 2009.

179.

Strathern, M. Abstraction and Decontextualisation; an anthropological comment [Internet]. Available from: <http://virtualsociety.sbs.ox.ac.uk/GRpapers/strathern.htm>

180.

Kelty C, Coleman G, Strassler K. Curated Collections, Cultural Anthropology Online [Internet]. 2012. Commentary and Discussion on the Digital Form Curated Collection. Available from:
http://www.culanth.org/curated_collections/8-the-digital-form/discussions/15-commentary-and-discussion-on-the-digital-form-curated-collection

181.

Blok A, Pedersen MA. Complementary social science? Quali-quantitative experiments in a Big Data world. *Big Data & Society*. 2014 Jul 1;1(2). doi:10.1177/2053951714543908

182.

Anderson K, Nafus D, Rattenbury T, Aipperspach R. Numbers Have Qualities Too: Experiences with Ethno-Mining. *Ethnographic Praxis in Industry Conference Proceedings*. 2009 Aug;2009(1):123–40. doi:10.1111/j.1559-8918.2009.tb00133.x

183.

Marres N, Weltevrede E. Scraping the social? *Journal of Cultural Economy*. 2013 Aug;6(3):313–35. doi:10.1080/17530350.2013.772070

184.

Mike Savage and Roger Burrows. The Coming Crisis of Empirical Sociology. *Sociology* [Internet]. 2007;41(5):885–99. Available from:
http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/42858273?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

185.

Why Big Data Needs Thick Data – Ethnography Matters – Medium [Internet]. Available from:
<https://medium.com/ethnography-matters/why-big-data-needs-thick-data-b4b3e75e3d7>

186.

Ruppert E, Law J, Savage M. Reassembling Social Science Methods: The Challenge of Digital Devices. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2013 Jul 1;30(4):22–46.

doi:10.1177/0263276413484941

187.

Ford H. Big Data and Small: Collaborations between ethnographers and data scientists. *Big Data & Society*. 2014 Jul 1;1(2). doi:10.1177/2053951714544337

188.

Nafus D. EPIC [Internet]. EPICPeople.org; 2015. Data, Data, Everywhere, but Who Gets to Interpret It? | EPIC. Available from: <https://www.epicpeople.org/data-data-everywhere/>

189.

» Abildegaard et al (2017) Five recent play dates - EASST [Internet]. Available from: <https://easst.net/article/five-recent-play-dates/>

190.

Publications | Sciences Po | MedialabSciences Po | Medialab [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.medialab.sciences-po.fr/publication/>