

# LAWSG155: Advanced Issues in Family Law: Globalisation, Multiculturalism and Religion : Alison Diduck

---

View Online



1.

Course information.

2.

Beck-Gernsheim E. On the Way to a Post-Familial Family: From a Community of Need to Elective Affinities. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 1998;15(3):53-70.  
doi:10.1177/0263276498015003004

3.

Dewar J. The Normal Chaos of Family Law. *Modern Law Review*. 1998;61(4):467-485.  
doi:10.1111/1468-2230.00157

4.

Büchler A. Islamic family law in Europe? From dichotomies to discourse – or: beyond cultural and religious identity in family law. *International Journal of Law in Context*. 2012;8(02):196-210. doi:10.1017/S1744552312000043

5.

Morgan DHJ. *Family Connections: An Introduction to Family Studies*. Polity Press; 1996.

6.

Diduck A. Shifting Familiarity. *Current Legal Problems*. 2005;58(1):235-254.

doi:10.1093/clp/58.1.235

7.

Smart C. *Personal Life: New Directions in Sociological Thinking*. Polity; 2007.

8.

Fineman M. *The Neutered Mother, the Sexual Family, and Other Twentieth Century Tragedies*. Routledge; 1995.

9.

Fineman M. *The Autonomy Myth: A Theory of Dependency*. New Press; 2004.

10.

Janet Finch. *Displaying Families*. *Sociology*. 2007;41(1):65-81.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/42856961>

11.

E. Silva, C. Smart. *The 'New' Practices and Politics of Family Life*. In: *The New Family?*. Sage; 1999.

12.

J. Weeks. *Elective Families: lesbian and gay life experiments*. In: *Analysing Families: Morality and Rationality in Policy and Practice*. Routledge; 2002.

13.

*Green Paper on the Family*.  
<http://www.centreforsocialjustice.org.uk/publications/green-paper-on-the-family>

14.

Family Law Online.

[https://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_navParentTopic\\_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2000\\_06\\_3.dita.xml&docid=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2000\\_06\\_3&inner\\_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2000\\_06\\_3](https://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2000_06_3.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2000_06_3&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2000_06_3)

15.

Carol Smart. The Legal and Moral Ordering of Child Custody. *Journal of Law and Society*. 1991;18(4):485-500. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/1410322?origin=crossref>

16.

If only we can find the appropriate terms to use the issue will be solved': Law, identity and parenthood. *Family Law*.

[http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family\\_FLJONLINE\\_FLJ\\_navParentTopic\\_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2007\\_12\\_3.dita.xml&docid=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2007\\_12\\_3&inner\\_id=&tid=7d179daf-e906-4718-81cb-857cf78cc6b1&query=%252B%2522If%2520only%2520we%2520can%2520find%2520the%2520appropriate%2520terms%2520to%2520use%2520the%2520issue%2520will%2520be%2520solved%27%253A%2520Law%252C%2520identity%2520and%2520parenthood%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2007\\_12\\_3&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252B%2522If%2520only%2520we%2520can%2520find%2520the%2520appropriate%2520terms%2520to%2520use%2520the%2520issue%2520will%2520be%2520solved%27%253A%2520Law%252C%2520identity%2520and%2520parenthood%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D0%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide\\_excerpts%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achrono%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1413804344662&currentQuery=%252B%2522If%2520only%2520we%2520can%2520find%2520the%2520appropriate%2520terms%2520to%2520use%2520the%2520issue%2520will%2520be%2520solved%27%253A%2520Law%252C%2520identity%2520and%2520parenthood%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&currentScope=](http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2007_12_3.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2007_12_3&inner_id=&tid=7d179daf-e906-4718-81cb-857cf78cc6b1&query=%252B%2522If%2520only%2520we%2520can%2520find%2520the%2520appropriate%2520terms%2520to%2520use%2520the%2520issue%2520will%2520be%2520solved%27%253A%2520Law%252C%2520identity%2520and%2520parenthood%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2007_12_3&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252B%2522If%2520only%2520we%2520can%2520find%2520the%2520appropriate%2520terms%2520to%2520use%2520the%2520issue%2520will%2520be%2520solved%27%253A%2520Law%252C%2520identity%2520and%2520parenthood%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D0%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide_excerpts%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achrono%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1413804344662&currentQuery=%252B%2522If%2520only%2520we%2520can%2520find%2520the%2520appropriate%2520terms%2520to%2520use%2520the%2520issue%2520will%2520be%2520solved%27%253A%2520Law%252C%2520identity%2520and%2520parenthood%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&currentScope=)

17.

Boyd, Susan. Motherhood and Law: Constructing and Challenging Normativity. In: *The Ashgate Research Companion to Feminist Legal Theory*. Ashgate; 2013.

<http://copac.ac.uk/search?title=The%20Ashgate%20Research%20Companion%20to%20Feminist%20Legal%20Theory%20&rn=1>

18.

Esther Dermott. Linking fatherhood and motherhood. In: *Intimate Fatherhood: A Sociological Analysis*. Routledge; 2008.

19.

Diduck, Alison. Conceiving the Bad Mother: 'The focus should be on the child to be born'. *University of British Columbia Law Review*. 1998;32(2):199-226.  
[http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ubclr32&div=13&collection=journals&set\\_as\\_cursor=0&men\\_tab=srchresults](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ubclr32&div=13&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults)

20.

Wallbank JA. *Challenging Motherhood(s)*. Pearson Education; 2001.

21.

Lewis J. *The Politics of Motherhood: Child and Maternal Welfare in England, 1900-1939*. Croom Helm; 1980.

22.

Susan B. Boyd. *Child Custody, Law, and Women's Work*. Oxford University Press; 2003.

23.

K. O'Donovan, J. Marshall. After Birth: decisions about becoming a mother. In: *Feminist Perspectives on Family Law*. Routledge-Cavendish; 2006.

24.

Millbank J. The Limits of Functional Family: Lesbian Mother Litigation in the Era of the Eternal Biological Family. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2008;22(2):149-177. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebn001

25.

Collier R. A Hard Time to Be a Father?: Reassessing the Relationship Between Law, Policy, and Family (Practices). *Journal of Law and Society*. 2001;28(4):520-545.  
doi:10.1111/1467-6478.00201

26.

E. Dermott. Paradoxes of contemporary Fatherhood'. In: *Intimate Fatherhood: A Sociological Analysis*. Routledge; 2008.  
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=788f581e-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

27.

R Collier. Engaging Fathers? Responsibility, Law and the "Problem of Fatherhood". In: *Responsibility, Law and the Family*. Ashgate; 2008.

28.

R Collier. Engaging Fathers, changing men? In: *Men, Law and Gender: Essays on the 'man' of Law*. Vol A GlassHouse book. Routledge-Cavendish; 2010.

29.

Collier R, Sheldon S. *Fragmenting Fatherhood: A Socio-Legal Study*. Hart; 2008.

30.

Sheldon S. Fragmenting Fatherhood: The Regulation of Reproductive Technologies. *Modern Law Review*. 2005;68(4):523-553. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2005.00550.x

31.

B. Neale, C. Smart. *Caring, Earning and Changing*. In: *Analysing Families: Morality and Rationality in Policy and Practice*. Routledge; 2002.

32.

S. Ruddick. The idea of Fatherhood'. In: Feminism and Families. Vol Thinking gender. Routledge; 1997.

33.

Glenda Wall and Stephanie Arnold. How Involved Is Involved Fathering?: An Exploration of the Contemporary Culture of Fatherhood. Gender and Society. 2007;21(4):508-527.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/27640989>

34.

Gatrell C. Whose child is it anyway? The negotiation of paternal entitlements within marriage. The Sociological Review. 2007;55(2):352-372.  
[doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2007.00709.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2007.00709.x)

35.

Dermott E. Intimate Fatherhood: A Sociological Analysis. Routledge; 2008.

36.

Research report 15 Work and care: a study of modern parents | Equality and Human Rights Commission.  
<http://www.equalityhumanrights.com/publication/research-report-15-work-and-care-a-study-of-modern-parents>

37.

Working Better: Meeting the changing needs in the 21st century | Equality and Human Rights Commission.  
<http://www.equalityhumanrights.com/publication/working-better-meeting-changing-needs-21st-century>

38.

J Scott, S Dex. Paid and Unpaid Work, Can policy improve gender inequalities? In: Sharing Lives, Dividing Assets: An Inter-Disciplinary Study. Hart Pub; 2009.  
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView>

w/S9781847315274

39.

Lewis J, Campbell M. UK Work/Family Balance Policies and Gender Equality, 1997-2005. *Social Politics: International Studies in Gender, State & Society*. 2007;14(1):4-30. doi:10.1093/sp/jxm005

40.

LEWIS J. Childcare Policies and the Politics of Choice. *The Political Quarterly*. 2008;79(4):499-507. doi:10.1111/j.1467-923X.2008.00962.x

41.

Haugen GMD. Caring children: exploring care in post-divorce families. *The Sociological Review*. 2007;55(4):653-670. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2007.00743.x

42.

James G. Mothers and fathers as parents and workers: family-friendly employment policies in an era of shifting identities. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2009;31(3):271-283. doi:10.1080/09649060903354597

43.

Allison James, Allison James. A New Paradigm for the Sociology of Childhood? Provenance, Promise and Problems. In: *Constructing and Reconstructing Childhood: Contemporary Issues in the Sociological Study of Childhood*. 2nd ed. RoutledgeFalmer; 1997. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=f597fc54-6936-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

44.

Tisdall EKM, Punch S. Not so 'new'? Looking critically at childhood studies. *Children's Geographies*. 2012;10(3):249-264. doi:10.1080/14733285.2012.693376

45.

Burman E. Deconstructing neoliberal childhood: Towards a feminist antipsychological approach. *Childhood*. 2012;19(4):423-438. doi:10.1177/0907568211430767

46.

James AL. Competition or integration? The next step in childhood studies? *Childhood*. 2010;17(4):485-499. doi:10.1177/0907568209350783

47.

Emily Buss. What the Law Should (And Should Not) Learn from Child Development Research. *Hofstra Law Review*. 2009;38(1):13-68.  
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/hoflr38&id=15&collection=journals&index=journals/hoflr>

48.

Jans M. Children as Citizens: Towards a Contemporary Notion of Child Participation. *Childhood*. 2004;11(1):27-44. doi:10.1177/0907568204040182

49.

Ennew J.,. Time for Children or Time for Adults? In: *Childhood Matters: Social Theory, Practice and Politics*. Vol Public policy and social welfare. Avebury; 1994.

50.

Wendy Stainton Rogers. What is a Child? In: *Understanding Childhood: An Interdisciplinary Approach*. Vol Childhood. Wiley; 2003.

51.

Heywood C. Centuries of Childhood: An Anniversary—and an Epitaph? *The Journal of the History of Childhood and Youth*. 2010;3(3):341-365. doi:10.1353/hcy.2010.0000

52.



Fass PS. *The Damned and the Beautiful: American Youth in the 1920's*. Oxford University Press; 1979.

53.

Holt JC. *Escape from Childhood: The Needs and Rights of Children*. Penguin; 1975.

54.

Sally Engle Merry. Legal Pluralism. *Law & Society Review*. 1988;22(5):869-896.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3053638>

55.

Tamanaha, Brian Z. Understanding Legal Pluralism: Past to Present, Local to Global. *Sydney Law review*. 2008;30(2):375-411.  
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/sydney30&id=373&collection=journals&index=journals/sydney>

56.

Roberts S. Against Legal Pluralism. *The Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law*. 1998;30(42):95-106. doi:10.1080/07329113.1998.10756517

57.

Sally Falk Moore. Law and Social Change: The Semi-Autonomous Social Field as an Appropriate Subject of Study. *Law & Society Review*. 1973;7(4):719-746.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/3052967?origin=crossref>

58.

Griffiths, J. What is Legal Pluralism? *Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law*. 1986;24:1-56.  
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jlpul24&id=11&collection=journals&index=journals/jlpul>

59.

von Benda-Beckmann F. Who's Afraid of Legal Pluralism? The Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law. 2002;34(47):37-82. doi:10.1080/07329113.2002.10756563

60.

Shah P. Legal Pluralism in Conflict: Coping with Cultural Diversity in Law. Glass House; 2005.

61.

Melissaris, Emmanuel. The More the Merrier? A New Take on Legal Pluralism. Social and Legal Studies. 1AD;13(1):57-79.  
<https://www.swetswise.com/swoc-web/linkingDetails.html?openURL=false&issn=0964-6639&eissn=0964-6639&volume=13&issue=1&page=57>

62.

Douglas et al. G. The Role of Religious Tribunals in Regulating Marriage and Divorce. Child and Family Law Quarterly. 2012;24(2):139-156.  
[http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_navParentTopic\\_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2012\\_06\\_1&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252B%2522The%2520Role%2520of%2520Religious%2520Tribunals%2520in%2520Regulating%2520Marriage%2520and%2520Divorce%2522%2520%2520%2520%2520BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D0%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide\\_excerpt%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achronology%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1414143484547&currentQuery=%252B%2522The%2520Role%2520of%2520Religious%2520Tribunals%2520in%2520Regulating%2520Marriage%2520and%2520Divorce%2522%2520%2520%2520%2520BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&currentScope=&query=%252B%2522The%2520Role%2520of%2520Religious%2520Tribunals%2520in%2520Regulating%2520Marriage%2520and%2520Divorce%2522%2520%2520%2520%2520BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&filename=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2012\\_06\\_1.dita.xml&docid=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2012\\_06\\_1&inner\\_id=&tid=9eaad4aa-7dc0-4d12-a76e-cc399cbf07ee&addHistory=true&resource=&toc=false](http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_06_1&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252B%2522The%2520Role%2520of%2520Religious%2520Tribunals%2520in%2520Regulating%2520Marriage%2520and%2520Divorce%2522%2520%2520%2520%2520BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D0%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide_excerpt%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achronology%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1414143484547&currentQuery=%252B%2522The%2520Role%2520of%2520Religious%2520Tribunals%2520in%2520Regulating%2520Marriage%2520and%2520Divorce%2522%2520%2520%2520%2520BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&currentScope=&query=%252B%2522The%2520Role%2520of%2520Religious%2520Tribunals%2520in%2520Regulating%2520Marriage%2520and%2520Divorce%2522%2520%2520%2520%2520BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_06_1.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_06_1&inner_id=&tid=9eaad4aa-7dc0-4d12-a76e-cc399cbf07ee&addHistory=true&resource=&toc=false)

63.

Hirschl, Ran. Constitutional Courts vs. Religious Fundamentalism: Three Middle Eastern Tales. Texas Law Review. 82(7):1819-1860.  
<http://search.proquest.com/docview/203680245>

64.

Büchler A. Islamic family law in Europe? From dichotomies to discourse – or: beyond cultural and religious identity in family law. *International Journal of Law in Context*. 2012;8(02):196-210. doi:10.1017/S1744552312000043

65.

Ruru J. Indigenous Peoples and Family Law: Issues in Aotearoa/New Zealand. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2005;19(3):327-345. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebi026

66.

Andra Nahal Behrouz. Transforming Islamic Family Law: State Responsibility and the Role of Internal Initiative. *Columbia Law Review*. 2003;103(5):1136-1162.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/1123833?origin=crossref>

67.

Marc J. Swartz. Religious Courts, Community, and Ethnicity among the Swahili of Mombasa: An Historical Study of Social Boundaries. *Africa: Journal of the International African Institute*. 1979;49(1):29-41. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1159503>

68.

Giunchi E, ed. *Muslim Family Law in Western Courts*. Vol Durham modern Middle East and Islamic world series. Routledge; 2014.

69.

Rehman J. The Sharia, Islamic Family Laws and International Human Rights Law: Examining the Theory and Practice of Polygamy and Talaq. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2007;21(1):108-127. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebi023

70.

M. Malik. The branch on which we sit": Multiculturalism, Minority Women and Family Law. In: *Feminist Perspectives on Family Law*. Routledge-Cavendish; 2006.

71.

Hashem v Shayif & Anor [2008] EWHC 2380 (Fam) (22 September 2008).  
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Fam/2008/2380.html>

72.

Murphy J. Ethnic Minorities, Their Families and the Law. Hart; 2000.

73.

Sen A. Identity and Violence: The Illusion of Destiny. Penguin; 2007.

74.

Eekelaar J. Family Law and Personal Life. Oxford University Press; 2007.  
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199535422.001.0001

75.

Maclean M, Eekelaar J. Managing Family Justice in Diverse Societies. Vol Oñati international series in law and society. Hart Publishing; 2013.

76.

Witte J. From Sacrament to Contract: Marriage, Religion, and Law in the Western Tradition. 2nd ed.; 2012.

77.

Nichols JA. Marriage and Divorce in a Multicultural Context: Multi-Tiered Marriage and the Boundaries of Civil Law and Religion. Cambridge University Press; 2012.

78.

The Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination Against Women.  
<http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/text/econvention.htm>

79.

International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.  
<http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/cescr.aspx>

80.

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.  
<http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx>

81.

Convention on the Rights of the Child.  
<http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/crc.aspx>

82.

European Convention on Human Rights - Convention.  
[http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Convention\\_ENG.pdf](http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Convention_ENG.pdf)

83.

Stark, Barbara. When Globalization Hits Home: International Family Law Comes of Age.  
Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law. 2006;(5):1551-1604.  
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/vantl39&id=1563&collection=journals#1563>

84.

JANET HALLEY and KERRY RITTICH. Critical Directions in Comparative Family Law:  
Genealogies and Contemporary Studies of Family Law Exceptionalism. The American  
Journal of Comparative Law. 2010;58(4):753-775. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25766167>

85.

Hilary Charlesworth, Christine Chinkin and Shelley Wright. Feminist Approaches to International Law. *The American Journal of International Law*. 1991;85(4):613-645.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2203269?origin=crossref>

86.

Rose N. Beyond the Public/Private Division: Law, Power and the Family. *Journal of Law and Society*. 1987;14(1). doi:10.2307/1410297

87.

Jane Liddy. The concept of family life under the ECHR. *European Human Rights Law Review*. Published online 1998.

88.

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. *Family Justice: The Work of Family Judges in Uncertain Times*. Hart Publishing; 2013.

89.

Eva Brems. A Noble Cause: A Case Study of Discrimination, Symbols and Reciprocity. In: *Diversity and European Human Rights: Rewriting Judgments of the ECHR*. Cambridge University Press; 2013.

90.

Estin, Ann Loquer. Family Law, Pluralism, and Human Rights. *Emory International Law Review*. 2011;25(2):811-829.  
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/emint25&id=815&collection=journals&index=journals/emint>

91.

Durrant J. Legal reform and attitudes toward physical punishment in Sweden. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*. 2003;11(2):147-173.  
doi:10.1163/092755603322397241

92.

Rogerson P, Collier JG. Collier's Conflict of Laws. 4th ed. Cambridge University Press; 2013.  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9781107255173>

93.

Briggs A. The Conflict of Laws. Vol Clarendon law series. 3rd ed. Oxford University Press; 2013.

94.

Büchler A. Islamic family law in Europe? From dichotomies to discourse – or: beyond cultural and religious identity in family law. International Journal of Law in Context. 2012;8(02):196-210. doi:10.1017/S1744552312000043

95.

CASE OF ŞERİFE YİĞİT v. TURKEY (Application no. 3976/05).  
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-101579#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-101579%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-101579#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-101579%22]})

96.

Foblets M.-Cl. Migrant Women Caught between Islamic Family Law and Women's Rights: the Search for the Appropriate 'Connecting Factor' in International Family Law. In: The Multi-Cultural Family. Vol The family, law&society. Ashgate; 2008.

97.

Foblets, Marie-Claire. Migrant Women Caught between Islamic Family Law and Women's Rights. The Search for the Appropriate 'Connecting Factor'. Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law. 2000;7(1):11-34.  
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/maastje7&id=13&collection=journals&index=journals/maastje>

98.

Dukali v Lamrani [2012] EWHC 1748 (Fam) (15 March 2012).  
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Fam/2012/1748.html>

99.

Hyde v. Hyde and Woodmansee. <http://www.uniset.ca/other/ths/LR1PD130.html>

100.

Family Law Week: Holliday v Musa [2010] EWCA Civ 335.  
<http://www.familylawweek.co.uk/site.aspx?i=ed56141>

101.

Kymlicka W. Multicultural citizenship within multination states. *Ethnicities*.  
2011;11(3):281-302. doi:10.1177/1468796811407813

102.

Miller RA, Zumbansen PC, eds. *Comparative Law as Transnational Law*. Oxford University Press; 2011. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199795208.001.0001

103.

Twining W. *General Jurisprudence: Understanding Law from a Global Perspective*. Vol Law in context. Cambridge University Press; 2009.

104.

Nabi v Heaton (Inspector of Taxes) - [1981] STC 375.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4D2W-3NY0-TWP1-S0W5&csi=274713&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

105.



Fawcett JJ, Carruthers JM, North PM. Cheshire, North & Fawcett: Private International Law. 14th ed. Oxford University Press; 2008.

106.

Marella MR. The Non-Subversive Function of European Private Law: The Case of Harmonisation of Family Law. *European Law Journal*. 2006;12(1):78-105. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2006.00308.x

107.

David Bradley. A Family Law for Europe? Sovereignty, Political Economy and Legitimation. : *Global Jurist Frontiers*.  
<http://www.degruyter.com/view/j/gj.2004.4.1/gj.2004.4.1.1121/gj.2004.4.1.1121.xml>

108.

Aude Fiorini. The Codification of Private International Law in Europe - Could the Community Learn from the Experience of Mixed Jurisdictions. *Tulane European and civil law forum*. 2008;23:89-110.  
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/teclf23&id=91&collection=journals&index=journals/teclf>

109.

Legrand P. European Legal Systems are not Converging. *International & Comparative Law Quarterly*. 1996;45(01). doi:10.1017/S0020589300058656

110.

Wilkinson v Kitzinger and Another (Same-sex marriage) - (2006) Times, 21 August.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4MDR-DHX0-TWP1-X17J&csi=274676&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

111.

Borg-Barthet J. The Principled Imperative to Recognise Same-Sex Unions in the EU. *Journal of Private International Law*. 2012;8(2):359-388. doi:10.5235/JPRIVINTL.8.2.359

112.

Peter McEleavy. The Brussels II Regulation: How the European Community Has Moved into Family Law. *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 2002;51(4):883-908.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3663191>

113.

ENGLAND Paula. *Separative and Soluble Selves. Dichotomous Thinking in Economics*. In: *Feminism Confronts Homo Economicus: Gender, Law, and Society*. Cornell University Press; 2005.

114.

G. Straetmans. Non economic free movement of EU citizens and family law matters. In: *International Family Law for the European Union*. Intersentia; 2007.

115.

Martin C. The Pacs and marriage and cohabitation in France. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2001;15(1):135-158. doi:10.1093/lawfam/15.1.135

116.

Millbank, Jenni. The role of the "functional family" in same-sex family recognition trends. *Child and family law quarterly*. 2008;20(2):155-182.  
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq20&id=155&collection=journals&index=journals/chilflq>

117.

C. Stychin. *Family Friendly? Rights, Responsibilities and Relationship*. In: *Feminist Perspectives on Family Law*. Routledge-Cavendish; 2006.

118.

Richards C. *The Legal Recognition of Same-Sex Couples—The French Perspective*.

International & Comparative Law Quarterly. 2002;51(02). doi:10.1093/iclq/51.2.305

119.

CASE OF SCHALK AND KOPF v. AUSTRIA (Application no. 30141/04).  
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx#{%22appno%22:\[%2230141/04%22\],%22itemid%22:\[%22001-99605%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx#{%22appno%22:[%2230141/04%22],%22itemid%22:[%22001-99605%22]})

120.

Wilkinson v Kitzinger [2006] EWHC 2022 (Fam).  
<http://www.familylawweek.co.uk/site.aspx?i=ed2118>

121.

Adam BD. Care, Intimacy and Same-Sex Partnership in the 21st Century. *Current Sociology*. 2004;52(2):265-279. doi:10.1177/0011392104041813

122.

PARKER S. THE MARRIAGE ACT 1753: A CASE STUDY IN FAMILY LAW-MAKING.  
'International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family'. 1987;1(1):133-154.  
doi:10.1093/lawfam/1.1.133

123.

JDiduck A. A Family by any other Name ... or Starbucks™ comes to England. *Journal of Law and Society*. 2001;28(2):290-310. doi:10.1111/1467-6478.00190

124.

Wright WK. The Tide in Favour of Equality: Same-Sex Marriage in Canada and England and Wales. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2006;20(3):249-285.  
doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebi008

125.

Fineman M. *The Autonomy Myth: A Theory of Dependency*. New Press; 2004.

126.

Murphy, John. Same-Sex Marriage in England: A Role for Human Rights? *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. 2004;16(3):245-260.  
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq16&id=251&collection=journals&index=journals/chilflq>

127.

Kofman E. Gendered Global Migrations. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*. 2004;6(4):643-665. doi:10.1080/1461674042000283408

128.

Skrbiš Z. Transnational Families: Theorising Migration, Emotions and Belonging. *Journal of Intercultural Studies*. 2008;29(3):231-246. doi:10.1080/07256860802169188

129.

Ruth Zafran. Children's Rights as Relational Rights: The Case of Relocation. *American University Journal of Gender, Social Policy & the Law*. 2009;18(2):163-218.  
[http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ajgsp18&div=13&g\\_sent=1&collection=journals](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ajgsp18&div=13&g_sent=1&collection=journals)

130.

Ehrenreich B, Hochschild AR. *Global Woman: Nannies, Maids and Sex Workers in the New Economy*. Granta Books; 2003.

131.

Ronen Y. The ties that bind: family and private life as bars to the deportation of immigrants. *International Journal of Law in Context*. 2012;8(02):283-296.  
doi:10.1017/S1744552312000092

132.

Choudhry, Shazia. Adoption and Children Act 2002, the Welfare Principle and the Human Rights Act 1998 - A Missed Opportunity. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. 2003;15(2):119-138.  
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq15&id=123&collection=journals&index=journals/chilflq>

133.

Dey I. Adapting Adoption: A Case of Closet Politics? *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2005;19(3):289-309. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebi024

134.

Dowling M, Brown G. Globalization and international adoption from China. *Child & Family Social Work*. 2009;14(3):352-361. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2206.2008.00607.x

135.

Eekelaar J. CHILDREN BETWEEN CULTURES. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2004;18(2):178-194. doi:10.1093/lawfam/18.2.178

136.

Harris-Short S. Making and Breaking Family Life: Adoption, the State, and Human Rights. *Journal of Law and Society*. 2008;35(1):28-51. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6478.2008.00413.x

137.

Ishaque S. Islamic Principles On Adoption: Examining The Impact Of Illegitimacy And Inheritance Related Concerns In Context Of A Child'S Right To An Identity. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2008;22(3):393-420. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebn009

138.

Lewis J. ADOPTION: THE NATURE OF POLICY SHIFTS IN ENGLAND AND WALES, 1972-2002. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2004;18(2):235-255.  
doi:10.1093/lawfam/18.2.235

139.

Lind J, Johansson S. Preservation of the Child's Background in In- and Inter-country Adoption. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*. 2009;17(2):235-260. doi:10.1163/157181808X389245

140.

Mezmur BD. From Angelina (To Madonna) to Zoe's ark: What are the 'A-Z' Lessons for Inter-country Adoptions in Africa? *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2009;23(2):145-173. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebn013

141.

Palmer, Michael. Rethinking Children's Rights and Interests: Economic Reform, Social Protection and Legal Culture in Post-Mao China. *Journal of Comparative Law*. 2010;5. [http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jrnatila5&div=42&g\\_sent=1&collection=journals](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jrnatila5&div=42&g_sent=1&collection=journals)

142.

Roby, Jini L. From Rhetoric to Best Practice: Children's Rights in Inter-country Adoption. *Children's Legal Rights Journal*. 2007;27. [http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/clrj27&div=29&g\\_sent=1&collection=journals](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/clrj27&div=29&g_sent=1&collection=journals)

143.

Tobin J, Mcnair R. Public International Law and the Regulation of Private Spaces: Does The Convention on the Rights of the Child Impose an Obligation on States to Allow Gay and Lesbian Couples to Adopt? *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2009;23(1):110-131. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebn020

144.

ECJ 04.10.1991 C-159/90 Judgment of the Court of 4 October 1991. *The Society for the Protection of Unborn Children Ireland Ltd v Stephen Grogan and others*. Published online 4AD. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4KWJ-F0W0-TXHM-409G>

&csi=301393&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

145.

R v Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority, ex parte Blood - [1997] 2 All ER 687.  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-7P90-TWP1-61GW  
&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-7P90-TWP1-61GW&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t)

146.

A, B AND C v. IRELAND - 25579/05 [2010] ECHR 2032 (16 December 2010).  
<http://www.bailii.org/eu/cases/ECHR/2010/2032.html>

147.

de Londras F, Dzehtsiarou K. II. GRAND CHAMBER OF THE EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS, A, B & C v IRELAND, DECISION OF 17 DECEMBER 2010. International and Comparative Law Quarterly. 2013;62(01):250-262. doi:10.1017/S0020589312000620

148.

M. Hunter-Henin. Surrogacy: Is there Room for a New Liberty Between the French Prohibitive Position And the English Ambivalence? In: Law and Bioethics. Oxford University Press; 2008. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199545520.001.0001

149.

Diarmuid Rossa Phelan. Right to Life of the Unborn v Promotion of Trade in Services: The European Court of Justice and the Normative Shaping of the European Union. The Modern Law Review. 1992;55(5):670-689. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1096721>

150.

Morgan D, Lee RG. In the Name of the Father? Ex parte Blood: Dealing with Novelty and Anomaly. Modern Law Review. 1997;60(6):840-856. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.00119

151.

Hervey T. Buy baby: the European union and regulation of human reproduction. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 1998;18(2):207-234. doi:10.1093/ojls/18.2.207

152.

Margaret Jane Radin. Market-Inalienability. Harvard Law Review. 1987;100(8):1849-1937.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/1341192?origin=crossref>

153.

Evans v United Kingdom (Application 6339/05) (2007) 46 EHRR 728, [2007] 2 FCR 5. Court: European Court of Human Rights.  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20880888532&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20880888533&backKey=20\\_T20880888534&csi=279841&docNo=1&hitNo=ORIGHIT\\_2&scrollToPosition=114](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20880888532&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20880888533&backKey=20_T20880888534&csi=279841&docNo=1&hitNo=ORIGHIT_2&scrollToPosition=114)

154.

Evans v United Kingdom (Application 6339/05) (2007) 46 EHRR 728, [2007] 2 FCR 5. Court: European Court of Human Rights.  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20880888532&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20880888533&backKey=20\\_T20880888534&csi=279841&docNo=1&hitNo=ORIGHIT\\_2&scrollToPosition=114](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20880888532&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20880888533&backKey=20_T20880888534&csi=279841&docNo=1&hitNo=ORIGHIT_2&scrollToPosition=114)

155.

Evans v Amicus Healthcare Ltd [2004] EWCA Civ 727, [2005] Fam 1. Court: Court of Appeal  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20880959400&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20880959401&backKey=20\\_T20880959402&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=228](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20880959400&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20880959401&backKey=20_T20880959402&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=228)

156.

Sheldon, Sally. Evans v Amicus Healthcare; Hadley v Midland Fertility Services - Revealing Cracks in the Twin Pillars. Child and Family Law Quarterly. 2004;16.  
[http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq16&div=43&g\\_sent=1&collection=journals](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq16&div=43&g_sent=1&collection=journals)



157.

Freeman M. Rethinking Gillick. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*. 2005;13(1):201-218. doi:10.1163/1571818054545178

158.

Tobin J. The International Obligation to Abolish Traditional Practices Harmful to Children's Health: What Does It Mean and Require of States? *Human Rights Law Review*. 2009;9(3):373-396. doi:10.1093/hrlr/ngp015

159.

Lewis, Hope. Between Irua and Female Genital Mutilation: Feminist Human Rights Discourse and the Cultural Divide. *Harvard human rights journal*. 1995;8. <http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?collection=journals&handle=hein.journals/hhrj8&div=5&id=&page=>

160.

Kristiansen M, Sheikh A. Legislation on male infant circumcision in Europe: a call to avoid paternalism and to promote evidence-based, patient-centred care. *Global Discourse*. 2013;3(2):342-347. doi:10.1080/23269995.2013.807658

161.

DeLaet DL. Genital Autonomy, Children's Rights, and Competing Rights Claims in International Human Rights Law \*. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*. 2012;20(4):554-583. doi:10.1163/15718182-55680007

162.

SS (Malaysia) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2013] EWCA Civ 888 (18 July 2013). <http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2013/888.html>

163.

Female genital mutilation/cutting | Child protection from violence, exploitation and abuse | UNICEF. [http://www.unicef.org/protection/57929\\_58002.html](http://www.unicef.org/protection/57929_58002.html)

164.

Bluebond-Langner M. *The Private Worlds of Dying Children*. Princeton University Press; 1978.

165.

Harris-Short S. International Human Rights Law: Imperialist, Inept and Ineffective? Cultural Relativism and the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. *Human Rights Quarterly*. 2003;25(1):130-181. doi:10.1353/hrq.2003.0004

166.

Sabatello M. *Children's Bioethics: The International Biopolitical Discourse on Harmful Traditional Practices and the Right of the Child to Cultural Identity*. Martinus Nijhoff; 2009.

167.

House of Lords - Regina v. Secretary of State for Education and Employment and others (Respondents) ex parte Williamson (Appellant) and others.  
<http://www.parliament.the-stationery-office.co.uk/pa/ld200405/ldjudgmt/jd050224/will-1.htm>

168.

House of Lords - R (on the application of Begum (by her litigation friend, Rahman)) (Respondent) v. Headteacher and Governors of Denbigh High School (Appellants).  
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200506/ldjudgmt/jd060322/begum-1.htm>

169.

Chamber judgments Dogru v. France and Kervanci v. France 4.12.08.  
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng-press/pages/search.aspx?i=003-2569490-2781270#{%22itemid%22:\[%22003-2569490-2781270%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng-press/pages/search.aspx?i=003-2569490-2781270#{%22itemid%22:[%22003-2569490-2781270%22]})

170.

Peter Cumper. Religious Education in Europe in the Twenty-First Century. In: Law, Religious Freedoms and Education in Europe. Vol Cultural diversity and law. Ashgate; 2011.

171.

Eric Barendt. Teaching Evolution, Creationism and Intelligent design in US Schools (with Some European Comparisons). In: Law, Religious Freedoms and Education in Europe. Vol Cultural diversity and law. Ashgate; 2011.

172.

Ahdar R, Leigh I. Religious Freedom in the Liberal State. Oxford University Press; 2013.  
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199606474.001.0001

173.

Eanmonn Callan. Discrimination and Religious Schooling. In: Citizenship in Diverse Societies / Edited by Will Kymlicka and Wayne Norman.  
[http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo\\_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=dedupmrg113933876&indx=1&reclds=dedupmrg113933876&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&dscnt=0&frbg=&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo\\_central\\_multiple\\_fe&tab=local&dstmp=1415271777447&srt=rank&mode=Basic&&dum=true&tb=t&vl\(freeText0\)=Diverse%20Societies&vid=UCL\\_VU1](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=dedupmrg113933876&indx=1&reclds=dedupmrg113933876&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&dscnt=0&frbg=&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&dstmp=1415271777447&srt=rank&mode=Basic&&dum=true&tb=t&vl(freeText0)=Diverse%20Societies&vid=UCL_VU1)

174.

Evans C. Religious Education in Public Schools: An International Human Rights Perspective. Human Rights Law Review. 2008;8(3):449-473. doi:10.1093/hrlr/ngn020

175.

Joel Feinberg. The Child's right to an open future. In: Whose Child?: Children's Rights, Parental Authority, and State Power. Vol A Littlefield, Adams quality paperback. Rowman and Littlefield; 1980.

176.

I. Leigh. Objective, Critical and Pluralistic? Religious education & Human Rights in the Public Sphere. In: Law, State and Religion in the New Europe: Debates and Dilemmas. Cambridge University Press; 2012.

177.

Temperman J. The Lautsi Papers: Multidisciplinary Reflections on Religious Symbols in the Public School Classroom. Vol Studies in religion, secular beliefs and human rights. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers; 2012.

178.

Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction.  
[http://www.hcch.net/index\\_en.php?act=conventions.text&cid=24](http://www.hcch.net/index_en.php?act=conventions.text&cid=24)

179.

Council Regulation (EC) 2201/2003.  
<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/NOT/?uri=CELEX:32003R2201>

180.

RE J (CHILD RETURNED ABROAD: CONVENTION RIGHTS) [2005] UKHL 40.  
<http://www.hcch.net/incadat/fullcase/0801.htm>

181.

E (Children), Re [2011] UKSC 27 (10 June 2011) Re E (Abduction: Custody Appeal) [2011] UKSC 27, [2012] 1 AC 144. <http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKSC/2011/27.html>

182.

Re LC (Children) (International Abduction: Child's Objections to Return) [2014] UKSC 1, [2014] 2 W.L.R. 124.  
[https://supremecourt.uk/decided-cases/docs/UKSC\\_2013\\_0221\\_Judgment.pdf](https://supremecourt.uk/decided-cases/docs/UKSC_2013_0221_Judgment.pdf)

183.

Nigel Lowe. A Supra-National Approach to Interpreting the 1980 Hague Convention – a Tale of Two European Courts' [2012]. *International Family Law*. 48:170-179.  
[http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family\\_IFLONLINE\\_IFL\\_2012\\_06\\_17](http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_IFLONLINE_IFL_2012_06_17)

184.

Ruth Lamont. Child Abduction in the European Union: Recognizing and Regulating Care and Migration. In: *Regulating Family Responsibilities*. Ashgate; 2011.

185.

Schuz R. *Hague Child Abduction Convention: A Critical Analysis*. Vol *Studies in private international law*. Hart Pub; 2013.

186.

Re K (Abduction: Rights of Custody) [2014] UKSC 29.  
<http://cases.iclr.co.uk/Subscr/search.aspx?path=WLR+Dailies%2FWLRD+2011%2FwlrD2014-218>

187.

A (Children), Re (Rev 1) [2013] UKSC 60 (09 September 2013).  
<http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKSC/2013/60.html>

188.

Schuz R. *Hague Child Abduction Convention: A Critical Analysis*. Vol *Studies in private international law*. Hart Pub; 2013.

189.

Trimmings K. *Child Abduction within the European Union*. Vol *Studies in private international law*. Hart Publishing; 2013.

190.

Stalford H. Children and the European Union: Rights, Welfare and Accountability. Vol  
Modern studies in European law. Hart; 2012.

191.

Operating the 1980 Hague Abduction Convention:The 2008 Statistics.  
[http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/media/en/FAMILYpa/FLJ\\_FLJ\\_2011\\_11\\_Articles\\_02\\_half\\_sys.pdf](http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/media/en/FAMILYpa/FLJ_FLJ_2011_11_Articles_02_half_sys.pdf)

192.

P v P [2001] EWCA Civ 166 (13 February 2001).  
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2001/166.html>

193.

MK v CK [2011] EWCA Civ 793 (07 July 2011).  
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2011/793.html>

194.

D v S [2001] NZCA 374; [2002] NZFLR 116; (2001) 21 FRNZ 331 (4 December 2001).  
[http://www.nzlii.org/cgi-bin/sinodisp/nz/cases/NZCA/2001/374.html?query=title\(%222002%20NZFLR%20116%22\)](http://www.nzlii.org/cgi-bin/sinodisp/nz/cases/NZCA/2001/374.html?query=title(%222002%20NZFLR%20116%22))

195.

George RH. Relocation Disputes: Law and Practice in England and New Zealand. Hart  
Publishing; 2014.

196.

George R. The international relocation debate. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law.  
2012;34(1):141-152. doi:10.1080/09649069.2012.675471

197.

Jonathan Herring, Rachel Taylor. Relocating Relocation. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. 2006;18(4):517-537.  
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq18&id=525&collection=journals&index=journals/chilflq>

198.

Parkinson, Patrick. Freedom of Movement in an Era of Shared Parenting: The Differences in Judicial Approaches to Relocation. *Federal Law Review*. 2008;36(2):145-172.  
[http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/fedlr36&div=12&collection=journals&set\\_as\\_cursor=0&men\\_tab=srchresults](http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/fedlr36&div=12&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults)

199.

AR (A Child: Relocation), Re [2010] EWHC 1346 (Fam) (10 June 2010).  
[http://www.bailii.org/cgi-bin/markup.cgi?doc=/ew/cases/EWHC/Fam/2010/1346.html&query=EWHC+and+1346+and+\(Fam\)&method=boolean](http://www.bailii.org/cgi-bin/markup.cgi?doc=/ew/cases/EWHC/Fam/2010/1346.html&query=EWHC+and+1346+and+(Fam)&method=boolean)

200.

K v B (Kacem v Bashir) — Courts of New Zealand.  
<https://www.courtsofnz.govt.nz/cases/k-v-b-kacem-v-bashir>

201.

George RH. Relocation Disputes: Law and Practice in England and New Zealand. Hart Publishing; 2014.

202.

Nicholas Bala, Andrea Wheeler. Canadian Relocation Cases: Heading Towards Guidelines. Published online 2012.  
<https://qspace.library.queensu.ca/bitstream/1974/7861/3/relocation-user-guide.pdf>

203.

Hayes M. Relocation cases: is the Court of Appeal applying the correct principles? *Child*

and Family Law Quarterly. 18(3).

[http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_navParentTopic\\_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2006\\_09\\_3&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D25%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide\\_excerpts%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achronology%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1415875654404&currentQuery=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&currentScope=&query=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&filename=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2006\\_09\\_3.dita.xml&docid=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2006\\_09\\_3&inner\\_id=&tid=27a3b1c3-3113-44f1-b469-84d78c3edb6c&addHistory=true&resource=&toc=false](http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2006_09_3&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D25%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide_excerpts%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achronology%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1415875654404&currentQuery=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&currentScope=&query=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2006_09_3.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2006_09_3&inner_id=&tid=27a3b1c3-3113-44f1-b469-84d78c3edb6c&addHistory=true&resource=&toc=false)

204.

Henaghan M. Relocation cases – the rhetoric and the reality of a child's best interests – a view from the bottom of the world. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*. 2011;23(2):153-274.  
[http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_navParentTopic\\_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2011\\_06\\_4&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D0%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide\\_excerpts%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achronology%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1415876219349&currentQuery=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&addHistory=true&filename=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2011\\_06\\_4.dita.xml&docid=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2011\\_06\\_4&inner\\_id=&tid=43f94fa3-b026-41d0-9b29-20a7f947cd91&query=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&resource=&toc=false&currentScope=](http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2011_06_4&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D0%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide_excerpts%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achronology%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1415876219349&currentQuery=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2011_06_4.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2011_06_4&inner_id=&tid=43f94fa3-b026-41d0-9b29-20a7f947cd91&query=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&resource=&toc=false&currentScope=)

205.

Lamont R. Free movement of persons, child abduction and relocation within the European Union. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2012;34(2):231-244.  
doi:10.1080/09649069.2012.718537