

# LAWSG155: Advanced Issues in Family Law: Globalisation, Multiculturalism and Religion : Alison Diduck

---

View Online



1

Course information.

2

Beck-Gernsheim E. On the Way to a Post-Familial Family: From a Community of Need to Elective Affinities. *Theory, Culture & Society* 1998;**15**:53–70.  
doi:10.1177/0263276498015003004

3

Dewar J. The Normal Chaos of Family Law. *Modern Law Review* 1998;**61**:467–85.  
doi:10.1111/1468-2230.00157

4

Büchler A. Islamic family law in Europe? From dichotomies to discourse – or: beyond cultural and religious identity in family law. *International Journal of Law in Context* 2012;**8**:196–210. doi:10.1017/S1744552312000043

5

Morgan DHJ. *Family connections: an introduction to family studies*. Cambridge, UK: : Polity Press 1996.

6

Diduck A. Shifting Familiarity. *Current Legal Problems* 2005;**58**:235–54.  
doi:10.1093/clp/58.1.235

7

Smart C. *Personal life: new directions in sociological thinking*. Cambridge: : Polity 2007.

8

Fineman M. *The neutered mother, the sexual family, and other twentieth century tragedies*. New York: : Routledge 1995.

9

Fineman M. *The autonomy myth: a theory of dependency*. New York: : New Press 2004.

10

Janet Finch. *Displaying Families*. *Sociology* 2007;**41**  
:65–81.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/42856961>

11

E. Silva, C. Smart. *The 'New' Practices and Politics of Family Life*. In: *The new family?*  
London: : Sage 1999.

12

J. Weeks. *Elective Families: lesbian and gay life experiments*. In: *Analysing families: morality and rationality in policy and practice*. London: : Routledge 2002.

13

*Green Paper on the Family*.  
<http://www.centreforsocialjustice.org.uk/publications/green-paper-on-the-family>

14

Family Law Online.

[https://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_navParentTopic\\_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2000\\_06\\_3.dita.xml&docid=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2000\\_06\\_3&inner\\_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2000\\_06\\_3](https://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2000_06_3.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2000_06_3&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2000_06_3)

15

Carol Smart. The Legal and Moral Ordering of Child Custody. *Journal of Law and Society* 1991; **18**:485–500. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/1410322?origin=crossref>

16

If only we can find the appropriate terms to use the issue will be solved': Law, identity and parenthood. *Family Law*

[http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family\\_FLJONLINE\\_FLJ\\_navParentTopic\\_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2007\\_12\\_3.dita.xml&docid=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2007\\_12\\_3&inner\\_id=&tid=7d179daf-e906-4718-81cb-857cf78cc6b1&query=%252B%2522If%2520only%2520we%2520can%2520find%2520the%2520appropriate%2520terms%2520to%2520use%2520the%2520issue%2520will%2520be%2520solved%27%253A%2520Law%252C%2520identity%2520and%2520parenthoo%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2007\\_12\\_3&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252B%2522If%2520only%2520we%2520can%2520find%2520the%2520appropriate%2520terms%2520to%2520use%2520the%2520issue%2520will%2520be%2520solved%27%253A%2520Law%252C%2520identity%2520and%2520parenthood%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D0%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide\\_excerpts%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achrono%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1413804344662&currentQuery=%252B%2522If%2520only%2520we%2520can%2520find%2520the%2520appropriate%2520terms%2520to%2520use%2520the%2520issue%2520will%2520be%2520solved%27%253A%2520Law%252C%2520identity%2520and%2520parenthood%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&currentScope=](http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2007_12_3.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2007_12_3&inner_id=&tid=7d179daf-e906-4718-81cb-857cf78cc6b1&query=%252B%2522If%2520only%2520we%2520can%2520find%2520the%2520appropriate%2520terms%2520to%2520use%2520the%2520issue%2520will%2520be%2520solved%27%253A%2520Law%252C%2520identity%2520and%2520parenthoo%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2007_12_3&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252B%2522If%2520only%2520we%2520can%2520find%2520the%2520appropriate%2520terms%2520to%2520use%2520the%2520issue%2520will%2520be%2520solved%27%253A%2520Law%252C%2520identity%2520and%2520parenthood%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D0%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide_excerpts%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achrono%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1413804344662&currentQuery=%252B%2522If%2520only%2520we%2520can%2520find%2520the%2520appropriate%2520terms%2520to%2520use%2520the%2520issue%2520will%2520be%2520solved%27%253A%2520Law%252C%2520identity%2520and%2520parenthood%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&currentScope=)

17

Boyd, Susan. Motherhood and Law: Constructing and Challenging Normativity. In: *The Ashgate research companion to feminist legal theory*. Farnham%2C%20Surrey%2C%20England%20%3B%20Burlington%2C%20VT%2C%20USA:

: Ashgate 2013.

<http://copac.ac.uk/search?title=The%20Ashgate%20Research%20Companion%20to%20Feminist%20Legal%20Theory%20&rn=1>

18

Esther Dermott. Linking fatherhood and motherhood. In: Intimate fatherhood: a sociological analysis. Abingdon: : Routledge 2008.

19

Diduck, Alison. Conceiving the Bad Mother: 'The focus should be on the child to be born'. University of British Columbia Law Review 1998;**32**:199-226.[http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ubclr32&div=13&collection=journals&set\\_as\\_cursor=0&men\\_tab=srchresults](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ubclr32&div=13&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults)

20

Wallbank JA. Challenging motherhood(s). Harlow: : Pearson Education 2001.

21

Lewis J. The politics of motherhood: child and maternal welfare in England, 1900-1939. London: : Croom Helm 1980.

22

Susan B. Boyd. Child custody, law, and women's work. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2003.

23

K. O'Donovan, J. Marshall. After Birth: decisions about becoming a mother. In: Feminist perspectives on family law. Abingdon: : Routledge-Cavendish 2006.

24

Millbank J. The Limits of Functional Family: Lesbian Mother Litigation in the Era of the Eternal Biological Family. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2008;**22**:149–77. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebn001

25

Collier R. A Hard Time to Be a Father?: Reassessing the Relationship Between Law, Policy, and Family (Practices). *Journal of Law and Society* 2001;**28**:520–45. doi:10.1111/1467-6478.00201

26

E. Dermott. Paradoxes of contemporary Fatherhood'. In: *Intimate fatherhood: a sociological analysis*. Abingdon: : Routledge 2008.  
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=788f581e-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

27

R Collier. Engaging Fathers? Responsibility, Law and the "Problem of Fatherhood". In: *Responsibility, law and the family*. Aldershot: : Ashgate 2008.

28

R Collier. Engaging Fathers, changing men? In: *Men, law and gender: essays on the 'man' of law*. Abingdon: : Routledge-Cavendish 2010.

29

Collier R, Sheldon S. *Fragmenting fatherhood: a socio-legal study*. Oxford: : Hart 2008.

30

Sheldon S. Fragmenting Fatherhood: The Regulation of Reproductive Technologies. *Modern Law Review* 2005;**68**:523–53. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2005.00550.x

31

B. Neale, C. Smart. Caring, Earning and Changing. In: *Analysing families: morality and*

rationality in policy and practice. London: : Routledge 2002.

32

S. Ruddick. The idea of Fatherhood'. In: Feminism and families. New York: : Routledge 1997.

33

Glenda Wall and Stephanie Arnold. How Involved Is Involved Fathering?: An Exploration of the Contemporary Culture of Fatherhood. Gender and Society 2007;**21**:508-27.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/27640989>

34

Gatrell C. Whose child is it anyway? The negotiation of paternal entitlements within marriage. The Sociological Review 2007;**55**:352-72.  
[doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2007.00709.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2007.00709.x)

35

Dermott E. Intimate fatherhood: a sociological analysis. Abingdon: : Routledge 2008.

36

Research report 15 Work and care: a study of modern parents | Equality and Human Rights Commission.  
<http://www.equalityhumanrights.com/publication/research-report-15-work-and-care-a-study-of-modern-parents>

37

Working Better: Meeting the changing needs in the 21st century | Equality and Human Rights Commission.  
<http://www.equalityhumanrights.com/publication/working-better-meeting-changing-needs-21st-century>

38

J Scott, S Dex. Paid and Unpaid Work, Can policy improve gender inequalities? In: Sharing lives, dividing assets: an inter-disciplinary study. Oxford: : Hart Pub 2009.  
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781847315274>

39

Lewis J, Campbell M. UK Work/Family Balance Policies and Gender Equality, 1997-2005. Social Politics: International Studies in Gender, State & Society 2007;**14**:4-30.  
doi:10.1093/sp/jxm005

40

LEWIS J. Childcare Policies and the Politics of Choice. The Political Quarterly 2008;**79**:499-507. doi:10.1111/j.1467-923X.2008.00962.x

41

Haugen GMD. Caring children: exploring care in post-divorce families. The Sociological Review 2007;**55**:653-70. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2007.00743.x

42

James G. Mothers and fathers as parents and workers: family-friendly employment policies in an era of shifting identities. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law 2009;**31**:271-83.  
doi:10.1080/09649060903354597

43

Allison James, Allison James. A New Paradigm for the Sociology of Childhood? Provenance, Promise and Problems. In: Constructing and reconstructing childhood: contemporary issues in the sociological study of childhood. London, UK: : RoutledgeFalmer 1997.  
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=f597fc54-6936-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

44

Tisdall EKM, Punch S. Not so 'new'? Looking critically at childhood studies. *Children's Geographies* 2012;**10**:249–64. doi:10.1080/14733285.2012.693376

45

Burman E. Deconstructing neoliberal childhood: Towards a feminist antipsychological approach. *Childhood* 2012;**19**:423–38. doi:10.1177/0907568211430767

46

James AL. Competition or integration? The next step in childhood studies? *Childhood* 2010;**17**:485–99. doi:10.1177/0907568209350783

47

Emily Buss. What the Law Should (And Should Not) Learn from Child Development Research. *Hofstra Law Review* 2009;**38**:13–68.<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/hoflr38&id=15&collection=journals&index=journals/hoflr>

48

Jans M. Children as Citizens: Towards a Contemporary Notion of Child Participation. *Childhood* 2004;**11**:27–44. doi:10.1177/0907568204040182

49

Ennew J.,. Time for Children or Time for Adults? In: *Childhood matters: social theory, practice and politics*. Aldershot: : Avebury 1994.

50

Wendy Stainton Rogers. What is a Child? In: *Understanding childhood: an interdisciplinary approach*. Chichester: : Wiley 2003.

51



Heywood C. Centuries of Childhood: An Anniversary—and an Epitaph? *The Journal of the History of Childhood and Youth* 2010;**3**:341–65. doi:10.1353/hcy.2010.0000

52

Fass PS. *The damned and the beautiful: American youth in the 1920's*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1979.

53

Holt JC. *Escape from childhood: the needs and rights of children*. Harmondsworth: : Penguin 1975.

54

Sally Engle Merry. Legal Pluralism. *Law & Society Review* 1988;**22**:869–96.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3053638>

55

Tamanaha, Brian Z. Understanding Legal Pluralism: Past to Present, Local to Global. *Sydney Law review* 2008;**30**:375–411.<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/sydney30&id=373&collection=journals&index=journals/sydney>

56

Roberts S. Against Legal Pluralism. *The Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law* 1998;**30**:95–106. doi:10.1080/07329113.1998.10756517

57

Sally Falk Moore. Law and Social Change: The Semi-Autonomous Social Field as an Appropriate Subject of Study. *Law & Society Review* 1973;**7**:719–46.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/3052967?origin=crossref>

58

Griffiths, J. What is Legal Pluralism? Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law 1986;**24**  
:1–56.<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jlpul24&id=11&collection=journals&index=journals/jlpul>

59

von Benda-Beckmann F. Who's Afraid of Legal Pluralism? The Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law 2002;**34**:37–82. doi:10.1080/07329113.2002.10756563

60

Shah P. Legal pluralism in conflict: coping with cultural diversity in law. London: : Glass House 2005.

61

Melissaris, Emmanuel. The More the Merrier? A New Take on Legal Pluralism. Social and Legal Studies 1AD;**13**  
:57–79.<https://www.swetswise.com/swoc-web/linkingDetails.html?openURL=false&issn=0964-6639&eissn=0964-6639&volume=13&issue=1&page=57>

62

Douglas et al. G. The Role of Religious Tribunals in Regulating Marriage and Divorce. Child and Family Law Quarterly 2012;**24**  
:139–56.[http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_navParentTopic\\_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2012\\_06\\_1&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252B%2522The%2520Role%2520of%2520Religious%2520Tribunals%2520in%2520Regulating%2520Marriage%2520and%2520Divorce%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D0%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide\\_excerpt%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achronology%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1414143484547&currentQuery=%252B%2522The%2520Role%2520of%2520Religious%2520Tribunals%2520in%2520Regulating%2520Marriage%2520and%2520Divorce%2522%2520%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&currentScope=&query=%252B%2522The%2520Role%2520of%2520Religious%2520Tribunals%2520in%2520Regulating%2520Marriage%2520and%2520Divorce%2522%2520%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&filename=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2012\\_06\\_1.dita.xml&docid=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2012\\_06\\_1&inner\\_id=&tid=9eaad4aa-7dc0-4d12-a76e-cc399cbf07ee&addHistory=true&resource=&toc=false](http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_06_1&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252B%2522The%2520Role%2520of%2520Religious%2520Tribunals%2520in%2520Regulating%2520Marriage%2520and%2520Divorce%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D0%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide_excerpt%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achronology%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1414143484547&currentQuery=%252B%2522The%2520Role%2520of%2520Religious%2520Tribunals%2520in%2520Regulating%2520Marriage%2520and%2520Divorce%2522%2520%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&currentScope=&query=%252B%2522The%2520Role%2520of%2520Religious%2520Tribunals%2520in%2520Regulating%2520Marriage%2520and%2520Divorce%2522%2520%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_06_1.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_06_1&inner_id=&tid=9eaad4aa-7dc0-4d12-a76e-cc399cbf07ee&addHistory=true&resource=&toc=false)

63

Hirschl, Ran. Constitutional Courts vs. Religious Fundamentalism: Three Middle Eastern Tales. *Texas Law Review*; **82**:1819–60. <http://search.proquest.com/docview/203680245>

64

Büchler A. Islamic family law in Europe? From dichotomies to discourse – or: beyond cultural and religious identity in family law. *International Journal of Law in Context* 2012; **8**:196–210. doi:10.1017/S1744552312000043

65

Ruru J. Indigenous Peoples and Family Law: Issues in Aotearoa/New Zealand. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2005; **19**:327–45. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebi026

66

Andra Nahal Behrouz. Transforming Islamic Family Law: State Responsibility and the Role of Internal Initiative. *Columbia Law Review* 2003; **103**:1136–62. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/1123833?origin=crossref>

67

Marc J. Swartz. Religious Courts, Community, and Ethnicity among the Swahili of Mombasa: An Historical Study of Social Boundaries. *Africa: Journal of the International African Institute* 1979; **49**:29–41. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1159503>

68

Giunchi E, editor. *Muslim family law in Western courts*. Abingdon, Oxon: : Routledge 2014.

69

Rehman J. The Sharia, Islamic Family Laws and International Human Rights Law: Examining the Theory and Practice of Polygamy and Talaq. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2007; **21**:108–27. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebi023

70

M. Malik. The branch on which we sit": Multiculturalism, Minority Women and Family Law. In: Feminist perspectives on family law. Abingdon: : Routledge-Cavendish 2006.

71

Hashem v Shayif & Anor [2008] EWHC 2380 (Fam) (22 September 2008).  
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Fam/2008/2380.html>

72

Murphy J. Ethnic minorities, their families and the law. Oxford: : Hart 2000.

73

Sen A. Identity and violence: the illusion of destiny. London: : Penguin 2007.

74

Eekelaar J. Family Law and Personal Life. Oxford University Press 2007.  
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199535422.001.0001

75

Maclean M, Eekelaar J. Managing family justice in diverse societies. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2013.

76

Witte J. From sacrament to contract: marriage, religion, and law in the Western tradition. 2nd ed. Louisville, KY: 2012.

77

Nichols JA. Marriage and divorce in a multicultural context: multi-tiered marriage and the boundaries of civil law and religion. New York: : Cambridge University Press 2012.

78

The Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination Against Women.  
<http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/text/econvention.htm>

79

International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.  
<http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/cescr.aspx>

80

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.  
<http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx>

81

Convention on the Rights of the Child.  
<http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/crc.aspx>

82

European Convention on Human Rights - Convention.  
[http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Convention\\_ENG.pdf](http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Convention_ENG.pdf)

83

Stark, Barbara. When Globalization Hits Home: International Family Law Comes of Age.  
Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law  
2006;:1551-604.<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/vantl39&id=1563&collection=journals#1563>

84

JANET HALLEY and KERRY RITTICH. Critical Directions in Comparative Family Law: Genealogies and Contemporary Studies of Family Law Exceptionalism. *The American Journal of Comparative Law* 2010;**58**:753–75.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/25766167>

85

Hilary Charlesworth, Christine Chinkin and Shelley Wright. Feminist Approaches to International Law. *The American Journal of International Law* 1991;**85**:613–45.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2203269?origin=crossref>

86

Rose N. Beyond the Public/Private Division: Law, Power and the Family. *Journal of Law and Society* 1987;**14**. doi:10.2307/1410297

87

Jane Liddy. The concept of family life under the ECHR. *European Human Rights Law Review* 1998.

88

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. *Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times*. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2013.

89

Eva Brems. A Noble Cause: A Case Study of Discrimination, Symbols and Reciprocity. In: *Diversity and European human rights: rewriting judgments of the ECHR*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2013.

90

Estin, Ann Loquer. Family Law, Pluralism, and Human Rights. *Emory International Law Review* 2011;**25**:811–29.<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/emint25&id=815&collection=journals&index=journals/emint>

91

Durrant J. Legal reform and attitudes toward physical punishment in Sweden. *The International Journal of Children's Rights* 2003;**11**:147–73.  
doi:10.1163/092755603322397241

92

Rogerson P, Collier JG. *Collier's conflict of laws*. 4th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2013.  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9781107255173>

93

Briggs A. *The conflict of laws*. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2013.

94

Büchler A. Islamic family law in Europe? From dichotomies to discourse – or: beyond cultural and religious identity in family law. *International Journal of Law in Context* 2012;**8**:196–210. doi:10.1017/S1744552312000043

95

CASE OF ŞERİFE YİĞİT v. TURKEY (Application no. 3976/05).  
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-101579#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-101579%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-101579#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-101579%22]})

96

Foblets M.-Cl. Migrant Women Caught between Islamic Family Law and Women's Rights: the Search for the Appropriate 'Connecting Factor' in International Family Law. In: *The multi-cultural family*. Aldershot: : Ashgate 2008.

97

Foblets, Marie-Claire. Migrant Women Caught between Islamic Family Law and Women's Rights. *The Search for the Appropriate 'Connecting Factor*. *Maastricht Journal of European*

and Comparative Law 2000;**7**

:11–34.<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/maastje7&id=13&collection=journals&index=journals/maastje>

98

Dukali v Lamrani [2012] EWHC 1748 (Fam) (15 March 2012).  
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Fam/2012/1748.html>

99

Hyde v. Hyde and Woodmansee. <http://www.uniset.ca/other/thz/LR1PD130.html>

100

Family Law Week: Holliday v Musa [2010] EWCA Civ 335.  
<http://www.familylawweek.co.uk/site.aspx?i=ed56141>

101

Kymlicka W. Multicultural citizenship within multination states. *Ethnicities* 2011;**11**:281–302. doi:10.1177/1468796811407813

102

Miller RA, Zumbansen PC, editors. *Comparative Law as Transnational Law*. Oxford University Press 2011. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199795208.001.0001

103

Twining W. *General jurisprudence: understanding law from a global perspective*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2009.

104

Nabi v Heaton (Inspector of Taxes) - [1981] STC 375.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4D2W-3NY0-TWP1-SOW>



5&csi=274713&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

105

Fawcett JJ, Carruthers JM, North PM. Cheshire, North & Fawcett: private international law. 14th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008.

106

Marella MR. The Non-Subversive Function of European Private Law: The Case of Harmonisation of Family Law. *European Law Journal* 2006;**12**:78-105.  
doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2006.00308.x

107

David Bradley. A Family Law for Europe? Sovereignty, Political Economy and Legitimation. : *Global Jurist Frontiers*.  
<http://www.degruyter.com/view/j/gj.2004.4.1/gj.2004.4.1.1121/gj.2004.4.1.1121.xml>

108

Aude Fiorini. The Codification of Private International Law in Europe - Could the Community Learn from the Experience of Mixed Jurisdictions. *Tulane European and civil law forum* 2008;**23**:89-110.  
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/teclf23&id=91&collection=journals&index=journals/teclf>

109

Legrand P. European Legal Systems are not Converging. *International & Comparative Law Quarterly* 1996;**45**. doi:10.1017/S0020589300058656

110

Wilkinson v Kitzinger and Another (Same-sex marriage) - (2006) *Times*, 21 August.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4MDR-DHX0-TWP1-X17J&csi=274676&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

111

Borg-Barthet J. The Principled Imperative to Recognise Same-Sex Unions in the EU. *Journal of Private International Law* 2012;**8**:359–88. doi:10.5235/JPRIVINTL.8.2.359

112

Peter McEleavy. The Brussels II Regulation: How the European Community Has Moved into Family Law. *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly* 2002;**51**:883–908.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3663191>

113

ENGLAND Paula. *Separative and Soluble Selves. Dichotomous Thinking in Economics*. In: *Feminism confronts homo economicus: gender, law, and society*. Ithaca, N.Y.: : Cornell University Press 2005.

114

G. Straetmans. Non economic free movement of EU citizens and family law matters. In: *International family law for the European Union*. Antwerpen: : Intersentia 2007.

115

Martin C. The Pacs and marriage and cohabitation in France. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2001;**15**:135–58. doi:10.1093/lawfam/15.1.135

116

Millbank, Jenni. The role of the "functional family" in same-sex family recognition trends. *Child and family law quarterly* 2008;**20**:155–82.<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq20&id=155&collection=journals&index=journals/chilflq>

117

C. Stychin. *Family Friendly? Rights, Responsibilities and Relationship*. In: *Feminist perspectives on family law*. Abingdon: : Routledge-Cavendish 2006.

118

Richards C. The Legal Recognition of Same-Sex Couples—The French Perspective. *International & Comparative Law Quarterly* 2002;**51**. doi:10.1093/iclq/51.2.305

119

CASE OF SCHALK AND KOPF v. AUSTRIA (Application no. 30141/04).  
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx#{%22appno%22:\[%2230141/04%22\],%22itemid%22:\[%22001-99605%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx#{%22appno%22:[%2230141/04%22],%22itemid%22:[%22001-99605%22]})

120

Wilkinson v Kitzinger [2006] EWHC 2022 (Fam).  
<http://www.familylawweek.co.uk/site.aspx?i=ed2118>

121

Adam BD. Care, Intimacy and Same-Sex Partnership in the 21st Century. *Current Sociology* 2004;**52**:265–79. doi:10.1177/0011392104041813

122

PARKER S. THE MARRIAGE ACT 1753: A CASE STUDY IN FAMILY LAW-MAKING.  
'International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family' 1987;**1**:133–54.  
doi:10.1093/lawfam/1.1.133

123

JDiduck A. A Family by any other Name ... or Starbucks™ comes to England. *Journal of Law and Society* 2001;**28**:290–310. doi:10.1111/1467-6478.00190

124

Wright WK. The Tide in Favour of Equality: Same-Sex Marriage in Canada and England and Wales. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2006;**20**:249–85.  
doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebi008

125

Fineman M. The autonomy myth: a theory of dependency. New York: : New Press 2004.

126

Murphy, John. Same-Sex Marriage in England: A Role for Human Rights? Child and Family Law Quarterly 2004;**16**  
:245–60.<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq16&id=251&collection=journals&index=journals/chilflq>

127

Kofman E. Gendered Global Migrations. International Feminist Journal of Politics 2004;**6**  
:643–65. doi:10.1080/1461674042000283408

128

Skrbiš Z. Transnational Families: Theorising Migration, Emotions and Belonging. Journal of Intercultural Studies 2008;**29**:231–46. doi:10.1080/07256860802169188

129

Ruth Zafran. Children's Rights as Relational Rights: The Case of Relocation. American University Journal of Gender, Social Policy & the Law 2009;**18**  
:163–218.[http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ajgsp18&div=13&g\\_sent=1&collection=journals](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ajgsp18&div=13&g_sent=1&collection=journals)

130

Ehrenreich B, Hochschild AR. Global woman: nannies, maids and sex workers in the new economy. London: : Granta Books 2003.

131

Ronen Y. The ties that bind: family and private life as bars to the deportation of

immigrants. *International Journal of Law in Context* 2012;**8**:283–96.  
doi:10.1017/S1744552312000092

132

Choudhry, Shazia. Adoption and Children Act 2002, the Welfare Principle and the Human Rights Act 1998 - A Missed Opportunity. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* 2003;**15**:119–38. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq15&id=123&collection=journals&index=journals/chilflq>

133

Dey I. Adapting Adoption: A Case of Closet Politics? *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2005;**19**:289–309. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebi024

134

Dowling M, Brown G. Globalization and international adoption from China. *Child & Family Social Work* 2009;**14**:352–61. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2206.2008.00607.x

135

Eekelaar J. CHILDREN BETWEEN CULTURES. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2004;**18**:178–94. doi:10.1093/lawfam/18.2.178

136

Harris-Short S. Making and Breaking Family Life: Adoption, the State, and Human Rights. *Journal of Law and Society* 2008;**35**:28–51. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6478.2008.00413.x

137

Ishaque S. Islamic Principles On Adoption: Examining The Impact Of Illegitimacy And Inheritance Related Concerns In Context Of A Child'S Right To An Identity. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2008;**22**:393–420. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebn009

138

Lewis J. ADOPTION: THE NATURE OF POLICY SHIFTS IN ENGLAND AND WALES, 1972-2002. International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family 2004;**18**:235-55.  
doi:10.1093/lawfam/18.2.235

139

Lind J, Johansson S. Preservation of the Child's Background in In- and Inter-country Adoption. The International Journal of Children's Rights 2009;**17**:235-60.  
doi:10.1163/157181808X389245

140

Mezmur BD. From Angelina (To Madonna) to Zoe's ark: What are the 'A-Z' Lessons for Inter-country Adoptions in Africa? International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family 2009;**23**:145-73. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebn013

141

Palmer, Michael. Rethinking Children's Rights and Interests: Economic Reform, Social Protection and Legal Culture in Post-Mao China. Journal of Comparative Law 2010;**5**.  
[http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jrnatila5&div=42&g\\_sent=1&collection=journals](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jrnatila5&div=42&g_sent=1&collection=journals)

142

Roby, Jini L. From Rhetoric to Best Practice: Children's Rights in Inter-country Adoption. Children's Legal Rights Journal 2007;**27**.  
[http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/clrj27&div=29&g\\_sent=1&collection=journals](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/clrj27&div=29&g_sent=1&collection=journals)

143

Tobin J, McNair R. Public International Law and the Regulation of Private Spaces: Does The Convention on the Rights of the Child Impose an Obligation on States to Allow Gay and Lesbian Couples to Adopt? International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family 2009;**23**:110-31. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebn020

144

ECJ 04.10.1991 C-159/90 Judgment of the Court of 4 October 1991. The Society for the Protection of Unborn Children Ireland Ltd v Stephen Grogan and others.  
4AD.<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4KWJ-F0W0-TXHM-409G&csi=301393&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

145

R v Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority, ex parte Blood - [1997] 2 All ER 687.  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-7P90-TWP1-61GW&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

146

A, B AND C v. IRELAND - 25579/05 [2010] ECHR 2032 (16 December 2010).  
<http://www.bailii.org/eu/cases/ECHR/2010/2032.html>

147

de Londras F, Dzehtsiarou K. II. GRAND CHAMBER OF THE EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS, A, B & C v IRELAND, DECISION OF 17 DECEMBER 2010. International and Comparative Law Quarterly 2013;**62**:250-62. doi:10.1017/S0020589312000620

148

M. Hunter-Henin. Surrogacy: Is there Room for a New Liberty Between the French Prohibitive Position And the English Ambivalence? In: Law and Bioethics. Oxford University Press 2008. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199545520.001.0001

149

Diarmuid Rossa Phelan. Right to Life of the Unborn v Promotion of Trade in Services: The European Court of Justice and the Normative Shaping of the European Union. The Modern Law Review 1992;**55**:670-89.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/1096721>

150

Morgan D, Lee RG. In the Name of the Father? Ex parte Blood: Dealing with Novelty and Anomaly. Modern Law Review 1997;**60**:840-56. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.00119

151

Hervey T. Buy baby: the European union and regulation of human reproduction. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 1998;**18**:207–34. doi:10.1093/ojls/18.2.207

152

Margaret Jane Radin. Market-Inalienability. Harvard Law Review 1987;**100**:1849–937.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/1341192?origin=crossref>

153

Evans v United Kingdom (Application 6339/05) (2007) 46 EHRR 728, [2007] 2 FCR 5. Court: European Court of Human Rights.  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20880888532&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20880888533&backKey=20\\_T20880888534&csi=279841&docNo=1&hitNo=ORIG HIT\\_2&scrollToPosition=114](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20880888532&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20880888533&backKey=20_T20880888534&csi=279841&docNo=1&hitNo=ORIG HIT_2&scrollToPosition=114)

154

Evans v United Kingdom (Application 6339/05) (2007) 46 EHRR 728, [2007] 2 FCR 5. Court: European Court of Human Rights.  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20880888532&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20880888533&backKey=20\\_T20880888534&csi=279841&docNo=1&hitNo=ORIG HIT\\_2&scrollToPosition=114](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20880888532&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20880888533&backKey=20_T20880888534&csi=279841&docNo=1&hitNo=ORIG HIT_2&scrollToPosition=114)

155

Evans v Amicus Healthcare Ltd [2004] EWCA Civ 727, [2005] Fam 1. Court: Court of Appeal.  
[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23\\_T20880959400&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0\\_T20880959401&backKey=20\\_T20880959402&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=228](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20880959400&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20880959401&backKey=20_T20880959402&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=228)

156

Sheldon, Sally. Evans v Amicus Healthcare; Hadley v Midland Fertility Services - Revealing



Cracks in the Twin Pillars. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* 2004;**16**  
.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq16&div=43&g\_sent=1&collection=journals

157

Freeman M. Rethinking Gillick. *The International Journal of Children's Rights* 2005;**13**  
:201-18. doi:10.1163/1571818054545178

158

Tobin J. The International Obligation to Abolish Traditional Practices Harmful to Children's Health: What Does It Mean and Require of States? *Human Rights Law Review* 2009;**9**  
:373-96. doi:10.1093/hrlr/ngp015

159

Lewis, Hope. Between Irua and Female Genital Mutilation: Feminist Human Rights Discourse and the Cultural Divide. *Harvard human rights journal* 1995;**8**  
.http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?collection=journals&handle=hein.journals/hhrj8&div=5&id=&page=

160

Kristiansen M, Sheikh A. Legislation on male infant circumcision in Europe: a call to avoid paternalism and to promote evidence-based, patient-centred care. *Global Discourse* 2013;**3**:342-7. doi:10.1080/23269995.2013.807658

161

DeLaet DL. Genital Autonomy, Children's Rights, and Competing Rights Claims in International Human Rights Law \*. *The International Journal of Children's Rights* 2012;**20**  
:554-83. doi:10.1163/15718182-55680007

162

SS (Malaysia) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2013] EWCA Civ 888 (18 July 2013). <http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2013/888.html>

163

Female genital mutilation/cutting | Child protection from violence, exploitation and abuse | UNICEF. [http://www.unicef.org/protection/57929\\_58002.html](http://www.unicef.org/protection/57929_58002.html)

164

Bluebond-Langner M. The private worlds of dying children. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1978.

165

Harris-Short S. International Human Rights Law: Imperialist, Inept and Ineffective? Cultural Relativism and the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. Human Rights Quarterly 2003;**25**:130–81. doi:10.1353/hrq.2003.0004

166

Sabatello M. Children's bioethics: the international biopolitical discourse on harmful traditional practices and the right of the child to cultural identity. Leiden: : Martinus Nijhoff 2009.

167

House of Lords - Regina v. Secretary of State for Education and Employment and others (Respondents) ex parte Williamson (Appellant) and others.  
<http://www.parliament.the-stationery-office.co.uk/pa/ld200405/ldjudgmt/jd050224/will-1.htm>

168

House of Lords - R (on the application of Begum (by her litigation friend, Rahman)) (Respondent) v. Headteacher and Governors of Denbigh High School (Appellants).  
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200506/ldjudgmt/jd060322/begum-1.htm>

169

Chamber judgments *Dogru v. France* and *Kervanci v. France* 4.12.08.

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng-press/pages/search.aspx?i=003-2569490-2781270#{%22itemid%22:\[%22003-2569490-2781270%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng-press/pages/search.aspx?i=003-2569490-2781270#{%22itemid%22:[%22003-2569490-2781270%22]})

170

Peter Cumper. *Religious Education in Europe in the Twenty-First Century*. In: *Law, religious freedoms and education in Europe*. Farnham: : Ashgate 2011.

171

Eric Barendt. *Teaching Evolution, Creationism and Intelligent design in US Schools (with Some European Comparisons)*. In: *Law, religious freedoms and education in Europe*. Farnham: : Ashgate 2011.

172

Ahdar R, Leigh I. *Religious Freedom in the Liberal State*. Oxford University Press 2013.  
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199606474.001.0001

173

Eanmonn Callan. *Discrimination and Religious Schooling*. In: *Citizenship in diverse societies* / edited by Will Kymlicka and Wayne Norman.

[http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo\\_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=dedupmrg113933876&indx=1&recIds=dedupmrg113933876&recIdxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&dscnt=0&frbg=&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo\\_central\\_multiple\\_fe&tab=local&dstmp=1415271777447&srt=rank&mode=Basic&&dum=true&tb=t&vl\(freeText0\)=Diverse%20Societies&vid=UCL\\_VU1](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=dedupmrg113933876&indx=1&recIds=dedupmrg113933876&recIdxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&dscnt=0&frbg=&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&dstmp=1415271777447&srt=rank&mode=Basic&&dum=true&tb=t&vl(freeText0)=Diverse%20Societies&vid=UCL_VU1)

174

Evans C. *Religious Education in Public Schools: An International Human Rights Perspective*. *Human Rights Law Review* 2008;**8**:449–73. doi:10.1093/hrlr/ngn020

175

Joel Feinberg. *The Child's right to an open future*. In: *Whose child?: Children's rights*,

parental authority, and state power. Totowa, N.J.: : Rowman and Littlefield 1980.

176

I. Leigh. Objective, Critical and Pluralistic? Religious education & Human Rights in the Public Sphere. In: Law, state and religion in the new Europe: debates and dilemmas. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012.

177

Temperman J. The Lautsi papers: multidisciplinary reflections on religious symbols in the public school classroom. Leiden: : Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 2012.

178

Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction.  
[http://www.hcch.net/index\\_en.php?act=conventions.text&cid=24](http://www.hcch.net/index_en.php?act=conventions.text&cid=24)

179

Council Regulation (EC) 2201/2003.  
<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/NOT/?uri=CELEX:32003R2201>

180

RE J (CHILD RETURNED ABROAD: CONVENTION RIGHTS) [2005] UKHL 40.  
<http://www.hcch.net/incadat/fullcase/0801.htm>

181

E (Children), Re [2011] UKSC 27 (10 June 2011) Re E (Abduction: Custody Appeal) [2011] UKSC 27, [2012] 1 AC 144. <http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKSC/2011/27.html>

182

Re LC (Children) (International Abduction: Child's Objections to Return) [2014] UKSC 1, [2014] 2 W.L.R. 124.

[https://supremecourt.uk/decided-cases/docs/UKSC\\_2013\\_0221\\_Judgment.pdf](https://supremecourt.uk/decided-cases/docs/UKSC_2013_0221_Judgment.pdf)

183

Nigel Lowe. A Supra-National Approach to Interpreting the 1980 Hague Convention – a Tale of Two European Courts' [2012]. International Family Law;**48**  
:170–9.[http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family\\_IFLONLINE\\_IFL\\_2012\\_06\\_17](http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_IFLONLINE_IFL_2012_06_17)

184

Ruth Lamont. Child Abduction in the European Union: Recognizing and Regulating Care and Migration. In: Regulating family responsibilities. Farnham: : Ashgate 2011.

185

Schuz R. Hague child abduction convention: a critical analysis. Oxford: : Hart Pub 2013.

186

Re K (Abduction: Rights of Custody) [2014] UKSC 29.  
<http://cases.iclr.co.uk/Subscr/search.aspx?path=WLR+Dailies%2FWLRD+2011%2FwlrD2014-218>

187

A (Children), Re (Rev 1) [2013] UKSC 60 (09 September 2013).  
<http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKSC/2013/60.html>

188

Schuz R. Hague child abduction convention: a critical analysis. Oxford: : Hart Pub 2013.

189

Trimmings K. Child abduction within the European Union. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2013.

190

Stalford H. Children and the European Union: rights, welfare and accountability. Oxford: : Hart 2012.

191

Operating the 1980 Hague Abduction Convention:The 2008 Statistics.  
[http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/media/en/FAMILYpa/FLJ\\_FLJ\\_2011\\_11\\_Articles\\_02\\_half\\_sys.pdf](http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/media/en/FAMILYpa/FLJ_FLJ_2011_11_Articles_02_half_sys.pdf)

192

P v P [2001] EWCA Civ 166 (13 February 2001).  
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2001/166.html>

193

MK v CK [2011] EWCA Civ 793 (07 July 2011).  
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2011/793.html>

194

D v S [2001] NZCA 374; [2002] NZFLR 116; (2001) 21 FRNZ 331 (4 December 2001).  
[http://www.nzlii.org/cgi-bin/sinodisp/nz/cases/NZCA/2001/374.html?query=title\(%222002%20NZFLR%20116%22\)](http://www.nzlii.org/cgi-bin/sinodisp/nz/cases/NZCA/2001/374.html?query=title(%222002%20NZFLR%20116%22))

195

George RH. Relocation disputes: law and practice in England and New Zealand. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2014.

196

George R. The international relocation debate. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law* 2012;**34**:141–52. doi:10.1080/09649069.2012.675471

197

Jonathan Herring, Rachel Taylor. Relocating Relocation. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* 2006;**18**:517–37. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq18&id=525&collection=journals&index=journals/chilflq>

198

Parkinson, Patrick. Freedom of Movement in an Era of Shared Parenting: The Differences in Judicial Approaches to Relocation. *Federal Law Review* 2008;**36**:145–72. [http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/fedlr36&div=12&collection=journals&set\\_as\\_cursor=0&men\\_tab=srchresults](http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/fedlr36&div=12&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults)

199

AR (A Child: Relocation), Re [2010] EWHC 1346 (Fam) (10 June 2010). [http://www.bailii.org/cgi-bin/markup.cgi?doc=/ew/cases/EWHC/Fam/2010/1346.html&query=EWHC+and+1346+and+\(Fam\)&method=boolean](http://www.bailii.org/cgi-bin/markup.cgi?doc=/ew/cases/EWHC/Fam/2010/1346.html&query=EWHC+and+1346+and+(Fam)&method=boolean)

200

K v B (Kacem v Bashir) — Courts of New Zealand.  
<https://www.courtsofnz.govt.nz/cases/k-v-b-kacem-v-bashir>

201

George RH. Relocation disputes: law and practice in England and New Zealand. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2014.

202

Nicholas Bala, Andrea Wheeler. Canadian Relocation Cases: Heading Towards Guidelines. Published Online First: 2012. <https://qspace.library.queensu.ca/bitstream/1974/7861/3/relocation-user-guide.pdf>

203

Hayes M. Relocation cases: is the Court of Appeal applying the correct principles? Child and Family Law Quarterly; **18**

.[http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_navParentTopic\\_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2006\\_09\\_3&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D25%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide\\_excerpts%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achronology%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1415875654404&currentQuery=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&currentScope=&query=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&filename=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2006\\_09\\_3.dita.xml&docid=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2006\\_09\\_3&inner\\_id=&tid=27a3b1c3-3113-44f1-b469-84d78c3edb6c&addHistory=true&resource=&toc=false](http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2006_09_3&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D25%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide_excerpts%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achronology%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1415875654404&currentQuery=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&currentScope=&query=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2006_09_3.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2006_09_3&inner_id=&tid=27a3b1c3-3113-44f1-b469-84d78c3edb6c&addHistory=true&resource=&toc=false)

204

Henaghan M. Relocation cases – the rhetoric and the reality of a child’s best interests – a view from the bottom of the world. Child and Family Law Quarterly 2011; **23**

:153–274.[http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_navParentTopic\\_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2011\\_06\\_4&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D0%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide\\_excerpts%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achronology%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1415876219349&currentQuery=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&addHistory=true&filename=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2011\\_06\\_4.dita.xml&docid=Family\\_CFLQONLINE\\_CFLQ\\_2011\\_06\\_4&inner\\_id=&tid=43f94fa3-b026-41d0-9b29-20a7f947cd91&query=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&resource=&toc=false&currentScope=](http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2011_06_4&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D0%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide_excerpts%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achronology%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1415876219349&currentQuery=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2011_06_4.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2011_06_4&inner_id=&tid=43f94fa3-b026-41d0-9b29-20a7f947cd91&query=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&resource=&toc=false&currentScope=)

205

Lamont R. Free movement of persons, child abduction and relocation within the European Union. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law 2012; **34**:231–44.  
doi:10.1080/09649069.2012.718537