

LAWSG155: Advanced Issues in Family Law: Globalisation, Multiculturalism and Religion : Alison Diduck

View Online



1.

Course information.

2.

Beck-Gernsheim E. On the Way to a Post-Familial Family: From a Community of Need to Elective Affinities. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 1998 Aug 1;15(3):53–70.

3.

Dewar J. The Normal Chaos of Family Law. *Modern Law Review*. 1998 Jul;61(4):467–85.

4.

Büchler A. Islamic family law in Europe? From dichotomies to discourse – or: beyond cultural and religious identity in family law. *International Journal of Law in Context*. 2012 Jun;8(02):196–210.

5.

Morgan DHJ. *Family connections: an introduction to family studies*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press; 1996.

6.

Diduck A. Shifting Familiarity. *Current Legal Problems*. 2005 Jan 1;58(1):235–54.

7.

Smart C. Personal life: new directions in sociological thinking. Cambridge: Polity; 2007.

8.

Fineman M. The neutered mother, the sexual family, and other twentieth century tragedies. New York: Routledge; 1995.

9.

Fineman M. The autonomy myth: a theory of dependency. New York: New Press; 2004.

10.

Janet Finch. Displaying Families. Sociology [Internet]. 2007;41(1):65–81. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/42856961>

11.

E. Silva, C. Smart. The `New' Practices and Politics of Family Life. In: The new family? London: Sage; 1999.

12.

J. Weeks. Elective Families: lesbian and gay life experiments. In: Analysing families: morality and rationality in policy and practice. London: Routledge; 2002.

13.

Green Paper on the Family [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.centreforsocialjustice.org.uk/publications/green-paper-on-the-family>

14.

Family Law Online. Available from: https://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CF LQ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2000_06_3.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2000_06_3&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=

&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2000_06_3

15.

Carol Smart. The Legal and Moral Ordering of Child Custody. *Journal of Law and Society* [Internet]. 1991;18(4):485–500. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/1410322?origin=crossref>

16.

If only we can find the appropriate terms to use the issue will be solved': Law, identity and parenthood. *Family Law* [Internet]. Available from: http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_navParentTopic_0#addHistory=true&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2007_12_3.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2007_12_3&inner_id=&tid=7d179daf-e906-4718-81cb-857cf78cc6b1&query=%252B%2522If%2520only%2520we%2520can%2520find%2520the%2520appropriate%2520terms%2520to%2520use%2520the%2520issue%2520will%2520be%2520solved%27%253A%2520Law%252C%2520identity%2520and%2520parenthoo%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2007_12_3&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252B%2522If%2520only%2520we%2520can%2520find%2520the%2520appropriate%2520terms%2520to%2520use%2520the%2520issue%2520will%2520be%2520solved%27%253A%2520Law%252C%2520identity%2520and%2520parenthood%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D0%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide_excerpts%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achron%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1413804344662¤tQuery=%252B%2522If%2520only%2520we%2520can%2520find%2520the%2520appropriate%2520terms%2520to%2520use%2520the%2520issue%2520will%2520be%2520solved%27%253A%2520Law%252C%2520identity%2520and%2520parenthood%2522%2520%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ¤tScope=

17.

Boyd, Susan. Motherhood and Law: Constructing and Challenging Normativity. In: *The Ashgate research companion to feminist legal theory* [Internet]. Farnham%2C%20Surrey%2C%20England%20%3B%20Burlington%2C%20VT%2C%20USA: Ashgate; 2013. Available from: <http://copac.ac.uk/search?title=The%20Ashgate%20Research%20Companion%20to%20Feminist%20Legal%20Theory%20&rn=1>

18.

Esther Dermott. Linking fatherhood and motherhood. In: *Intimate fatherhood: a sociological analysis*. Abingdon: Routledge; 2008.

19.

Diduck, Alison. Conceiving the Bad Mother: 'The focus should be on the child to be born'. *University of British Columbia Law Review* [Internet]. 1998;32(2):199-226. Available from: http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ubclr32&div=13&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

20.

Wallbank JA. *Challenging motherhood(s)*. Harlow: Pearson Education; 2001.

21.

Lewis J. *The politics of motherhood: child and maternal welfare in England, 1900-1939*. London: Croom Helm; 1980.

22.

Susan B. Boyd. *Child custody, law, and women's work*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

23.

K. O'Donovan, J. Marshall. After Birth: decisions about becoming a mother. In: *Feminist perspectives on family law*. Abingdon: Routledge-Cavendish; 2006.

24.

Millbank J. The Limits of Functional Family: Lesbian Mother Litigation in the Era of the Eternal Biological Family. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2008 Feb 1;22(2):149-77.

25.

Collier R. A Hard Time to Be a Father?: Reassessing the Relationship Between Law, Policy, and Family (Practices). *Journal of Law and Society*. 2001 Dec;28(4):520–45.

26.

E. Dermott. Paradoxes of contemporary Fatherhood'. In: *Intimate fatherhood: a sociological analysis* [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2008. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=788f581e-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

27.

R Collier. Engaging Fathers? Responsibility, Law and the "Problem of Fatherhood". In: *Responsibility, law and the family*. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2008.

28.

R Collier. Engaging Fathers, changing men? In: *Men, law and gender: essays on the 'man' of law*. Abingdon: Routledge-Cavendish; 2010.

29.

Collier R, Sheldon S. *Fragmenting fatherhood: a socio-legal study*. Oxford: Hart; 2008.

30.

Sheldon S. Fragmenting Fatherhood: The Regulation of Reproductive Technologies. *Modern Law Review*. 2005 Jul;68(4):523–53.

31.

B. Neale, C. Smart. *Caring, Earning and Changing*. In: *Analysing families: morality and rationality in policy and practice*. London: Routledge; 2002.

32.

S. Ruddick. The idea of Fatherhood'. In: *Feminism and families*. New York: Routledge; 1997.

33.

Glenda Wall and Stephanie Arnold. How Involved Is Involved Fathering?: An Exploration of the Contemporary Culture of Fatherhood. *Gender and Society* [Internet]. 2007;21(4):508-27. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/27640989>

34.

Gatrell C. Whose child is it anyway? The negotiation of paternal entitlements within marriage. *The Sociological Review*. 2007 May 10;55(2):352-72.

35.

Dermott E. *Intimate fatherhood: a sociological analysis*. Abingdon: Routledge; 2008.

36.

Research report 15 Work and care: a study of modern parents | Equality and Human Rights Commission [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.equalityhumanrights.com/publication/research-report-15-work-and-care-a-study-of-modern-parents>

37.

Working Better: Meeting the changing needs in the 21st century | Equality and Human Rights Commission [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.equalityhumanrights.com/publication/working-better-meeting-changing-needs-21st-century>

38.

J Scott, S Dex. Paid and Unpaid Work, Can policy improve gender inequalities? In: *Sharing lives, dividing assets: an inter-disciplinary study* [Internet]. Oxford: Hart Pub; 2009. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781847315274>

39.

Lewis J, Campbell M. UK Work/Family Balance Policies and Gender Equality, 1997-2005. *Social Politics: International Studies in Gender, State & Society*. 2007 Mar 23;14(1):4-30.

40.

LEWIS J. Childcare Policies and the Politics of Choice. *The Political Quarterly*. 2008 Dec 11;79(4):499-507.

41.

Haugen GMD. Caring children: exploring care in post-divorce families. *The Sociological Review*. 2007 Nov 6;55(4):653-70.

42.

James G. Mothers and fathers as parents and workers: family-friendly employment policies in an era of shifting identities. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2009 Sep;31(3):271-83.

43.

Allison James, Allison James. A New Paradigm for the Sociology of Childhood? Provenance, Promise and Problems. In: *Constructing and reconstructing childhood: contemporary issues in the sociological study of childhood* [Internet]. 2nd ed. London, UK: RoutledgeFalmer; 1997. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=f597fc54-6936-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

44.

Tisdall EKM, Punch S. Not so 'new'? Looking critically at childhood studies. *Children's Geographies*. 2012 Aug;10(3):249-64.

45.

Burman E. Deconstructing neoliberal childhood: Towards a feminist antipsychological approach. *Childhood*. 2012 Nov 1;19(4):423-38.

46.

James AL. Competition or integration? The next step in childhood studies? *Childhood*. 2010 Nov 1;17(4):485–99.

47.

Emily Buss. What the Law Should (And Should Not) Learn from Child Development Research. *Hofstra Law Review* [Internet]. 2009;38(1):13–68. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/hoflr38&id=15&collection=journals&index=journals/hoflr>

48.

Jans M. Children as Citizens: Towards a Contemporary Notion of Child Participation. *Childhood*. 2004 Feb 1;11(1):27–44.

49.

Ennew J.,. Time for Children or Time for Adults? In: *Childhood matters: social theory, practice and politics*. Aldershot: Avebury; 1994.

50.

Wendy Stainton Rogers. What is a Child? In: *Understanding childhood: an interdisciplinary approach*. Chichester: Wiley; 2003.

51.

Heywood C. Centuries of Childhood: An Anniversary—and an Epitaph? *The Journal of the History of Childhood and Youth*. 2010;3(3):341–65.

52.

Fass PS. *The damned and the beautiful: American youth in the 1920's*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1979.

53.

Holt JC. Escape from childhood: the needs and rights of children. Harmondsworth: Penguin; 1975.

54.

Sally Engle Merry. Legal Pluralism. Law & Society Review [Internet]. 1988;22(5):869–96. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3053638>

55.

Tamanaha, Brian Z. Understanding Legal Pluralism: Past to Present, Local to Global. Sydney Law review [Internet]. 2008;30(2):375–411. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/sydney30&id=373&collection=journals&index=journals/sydney>

56.

Roberts S. Against Legal Pluralism. The Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law. 1998 Jan;30(42):95–106.

57.

Sally Falk Moore. Law and Social Change: The Semi-Autonomous Social Field as an Appropriate Subject of Study. Law & Society Review [Internet]. 1973;7(4):719–46. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/3052967?origin=crossref>

58.

Griffiths, J. What is Legal Pluralism? Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law [Internet]. 1986;24:1–56. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jlpul24&id=11&collection=journals&index=journals/jlpul>

59.

von Benda-Beckmann F. Who's Afraid of Legal Pluralism? The Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law. 2002 Jan;34(47):37-82.

60.

Shah P. Legal pluralism in conflict: coping with cultural diversity in law. London: Glass House; 2005.

61.

Melissaris, Emmanuel. The More the Merrier? A New Take on Legal Pluralism. Social and Legal Studies [Internet]. 1AD;13(1):57-79. Available from:
<https://www.swetswise.com/swoc-web/linkingDetails.html?openURL=false&issn=0964-6639&eissn=0964-6639&volume=13&issue=1&page=57>

62.

Douglas et al. G. The Role of Religious Tribunals in Regulating Marriage and Divorce. Child and Family Law Quarterly [Internet]. 2012;24(2):139-56. Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_06_1&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252B%2522The%2520Role%2520of%2520Religious%2520Tribunals%2520in%2520Regulating%2520Marriage%2520and%2520Divorce%2522%2520%2520%2520%2520BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D0%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide_excerpt%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achronology%26chunk%3D25%26qqprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1414143484547¤tQuery=%252B%2522The%2520Role%2520of%2520Religious%2520Tribunals%2520in%2520Regulating%2520Marriage%2520and%2520Divorce%2522%2520%2520%2520%2520BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ¤tScope=&query=%252B%2522The%2520Role%2520of%2520Religious%2520Tribunals%2520in%2520Regulating%2520Marriage%2520and%2520Divorce%2522%2520%2520%2520%2520BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_06_1.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2012_06_1&inner_id=&tid=9eaad4aa-7dc0-4d12-a76e-cc399cbf07ee&addHistory=true&resource=&toc=false

63.

Hirschl, Ran. Constitutional Courts vs. Religious Fundamentalism: Three Middle Eastern Tales. Texas Law Review [Internet]. 82(7):1819-60. Available from:
<http://search.proquest.com/docview/203680245>

64.

Büchler A. Islamic family law in Europe? From dichotomies to discourse – or: beyond cultural and religious identity in family law. *International Journal of Law in Context*. 2012 Jun;8(02):196–210.

65.

Ruru J. Indigenous Peoples and Family Law: Issues in Aotearoa/New Zealand. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2005 Dec 1;19(3):327–45.

66.

Andra Nahal Behrouz. Transforming Islamic Family Law: State Responsibility and the Role of Internal Initiative. *Columbia Law Review* [Internet]. 2003;103(5):1136–62. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/1123833?origin=crossref>

67.

Marc J. Swartz. Religious Courts, Community, and Ethnicity among the Swahili of Mombasa: An Historical Study of Social Boundaries. *Africa: Journal of the International African Institute* [Internet]. 1979;49(1):29–41. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1159503>

68.

Giunchi E, editor. Muslim family law in Western courts. Vol. Durham modern Middle East and Islamic world series. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2014.

69.

Rehman J. The Sharia, Islamic Family Laws and International Human Rights Law: Examining the Theory and Practice of Polygamy and Talaq. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2007 Mar 6;21(1):108–27.

70.

M. Malik. The branch on which we sit": Multiculturalism, Minority Women and Family Law. In: *Feminist perspectives on family law*. Abingdon: Routledge-Cavendish; 2006.

71.

Hashem v Shayif & Anor [2008] EWHC 2380 (Fam) (22 September 2008) [Internet].
Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Fam/2008/2380.html>

72.

Murphy J. Ethnic minorities, their families and the law. Oxford: Hart; 2000.

73.

Sen A. Identity and violence: the illusion of destiny. London: Penguin; 2007.

74.

Eekelaar J. Family Law and Personal Life [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2007.
Available from:
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199535422.001.0001/acprof-9780199535422>

75.

Maclean M, Eekelaar J. Managing family justice in diverse societies. Vol. Oñati international series in law and society. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2013.

76.

Witte J. From sacrament to contract: marriage, religion, and law in the Western tradition. 2nd ed. Louisville, KY; 2012.

77.

Nichols JA. Marriage and divorce in a multicultural context: multi-tiered marriage and the boundaries of civil law and religion. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2012.

78.

The Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination Against Women [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/text/econvention.htm>

79.

International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/cescr.aspx>

80.

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx>

81.

Convention on the Rights of the Child [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/crc.aspx>

82.

European Convention on Human Rights - Convention [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Convention_ENG.pdf

83.

Stark, Barbara. When Globalization Hits Home: International Family Law Comes of Age. *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law* [Internet]. 2006;(5):1551-604. Available from:
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/vantl39&id=1563&collection=journals#1563>

84.

JANET HALLEY and KERRY RITTICH. Critical Directions in Comparative Family Law: Genealogies and Contemporary Studies of Family Law Exceptionalism. *The American Journal of Comparative Law* [Internet]. 2010;58(4):753-75. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/25766167>

85.

Hilary Charlesworth, Christine Chinkin and Shelley Wright. Feminist Approaches to International Law. *The American Journal of International Law* [Internet]. 1991;85(4):613-45. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2203269?origin=crossref>

86.

Rose N. Beyond the Public/Private Division: Law, Power and the Family. *Journal of Law and Society*. 1987 Spring;14(1).

87.

Jane Liddy. The concept of family life under the ECHR. *European Human Rights Law Review*. 1998;

88.

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. *Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times*. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2013.

89.

Eva Brems. A Noble Cause: A Case Study of Discrimination, Symbols and Reciprocity. In: *Diversity and European human rights: rewriting judgments of the ECHR*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013.

90.

Estin, Ann Loquer. Family Law, Pluralism, and Human Rights. *Emory International Law Review* [Internet]. 2011;25(2):811-29. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/emint25&id=815&collection=journals&index=journals/emint>

91.

Durrant J. Legal reform and attitudes toward physical punishment in Sweden. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*. 2003 Jun 1;11(2):147-73.

92.

Rogerson P, Collier JG. *Collier's conflict of laws* [Internet]. 4th ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013. Available from:
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9781107255173>

93.

Briggs A. *The conflict of laws*. 3rd ed. Vol. Clarendon law series. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

94.

Büchler A. Islamic family law in Europe? From dichotomies to discourse – or: beyond cultural and religious identity in family law. *International Journal of Law in Context*. 2012 Jun;8(02):196-210.

95.

CASE OF ŞERİFE YİĞİT v. TURKEY (Application no. 3976/05) [Internet]. Available from:
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-101579#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-101579%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-101579#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-101579%22]})

96.

Foblets M.-Cl. Migrant Women Caught between Islamic Family Law and Women's Rights: the Search for the Appropriate 'Connecting Factor' in International Family Law. In: *The multi-cultural family*. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2008.

97.

Foblets, Marie-Claire. Migrant Women Caught between Islamic Family Law and Women's Rights. The Search for the Appropriate 'Connecting Factor'. *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law* [Internet]. 2000;7(1):11-34. Available from:
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/maastje7&id=13&collection=jo>

urnals&index=journals/maastje

98.

Dukali v Lamrani [2012] EWHC 1748 (Fam) (15 March 2012) [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Fam/2012/1748.html>

99.

Hyde v. Hyde and Woodmansee [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.uniset.ca/other/thr/LR1PD130.html>

100.

Family Law Week: Holliday v Musa [2010] EWCA Civ 335 [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.familylawweek.co.uk/site.aspx?i=ed56141>

101.

Kymlicka W. Multicultural citizenship within multination states. *Ethnicities*. 2011 Sep 1;11(3):281–302.

102.

Miller RA, Zumbansen PC, editors. *Comparative Law as Transnational Law* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2011. Available from:
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199795208.001.0001/acprof-9780199795208>

103.

Twining W. *General jurisprudence: understanding law from a global perspective*. Vol. Law in context. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2009.

104.

Nabi v Heaton (Inspector of Taxes) - [1981] STC 375. Available from:

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4D2W-3NY0-TWP1-S0W5&csi=274713&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

105.

Fawcett JJ, Carruthers JM, North PM. Cheshire, North & Fawcett: private international law. 14th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008.

106.

Marella MR. The Non-Subversive Function of European Private Law: The Case of Harmonisation of Family Law. *European Law Journal*. 2006 Jan;12(1):78–105.

107.

David Bradley. A Family Law for Europe? Sovereignty, Political Economy and Legitimation. : *Global Jurist Frontiers*. Available from:
<http://www.degruyter.com/view/j/gj.2004.4.1.1121/gj.2004.4.1.1121.xml>

108.

Aude Fiorini. The Codification of Private International Law in Europe - Could the Community Learn from the Experience of Mixed Jurisdictions. *Tulane European and civil law forum* [Internet]. 2008;23:89–110. Available from:
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/teclf23&id=91&collection=journals&index=journals/teclf>

109.

Legrand P. European Legal Systems are not Converging. *International & Comparative Law Quarterly*. 1996 Jan;45(01).

110.

Wilkinson v Kitzinger and Another (Same-sex marriage) - (2006) *Times*, 21 August. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4MDR-DHX0-TWP1-X17J&csi=274676&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

111.

Borg-Barthet J. The Principled Imperative to Recognise Same-Sex Unions in the EU. *Journal of Private International Law*. 2012 Aug 15;8(2):359–88.

112.

Peter McEleavy. The Brussels II Regulation: How the European Community Has Moved into Family Law. *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly* [Internet]. 2002;51(4):883–908. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3663191>

113.

ENGLAND Paula. Separative and Soluble Selves. Dichotomous Thinking in Economics. In: *Feminism confronts homo economicus: gender, law, and society*. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press; 2005.

114.

G. Straetmans. Non economic free movement of EU citizens and family law matters. In: *International family law for the European Union*. Antwerpen: Intersentia; 2007.

115.

Martin C. The Pacs and marriage and cohabitation in France. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2001 Apr 1;15(1):135–58.

116.

Millbank, Jenni. The role of the "functional family" in same-sex family recognition trends. *Child and family law quarterly* [Internet]. 2008;20(2):155–82. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq20&id=155&collection=journals&index=journals/chilflq>

117.

C. Stychin. Family Friendly? Rights, Responsibilities and Relationship. In: *Feminist*

perspectives on family law. Abingdon: Routledge-Cavendish; 2006.

118.

Richards C. The Legal Recognition of Same-Sex Couples—The French Perspective. *International & Comparative Law Quarterly*. 2002 Apr;51(02).

119.

CASE OF SCHALK AND KOPF v. AUSTRIA (Application no. 30141/04) [Internet]. Available from:
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx#{%22appno%22:\[%2230141/04%22\],%22itemid%22:\[%22001-99605%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx#{%22appno%22:[%2230141/04%22],%22itemid%22:[%22001-99605%22]})

120.

Wilkinson v Kitzinger [2006] EWHC 2022 (Fam) [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.familylawweek.co.uk/site.aspx?i=ed2118>

121.

Adam BD. Care, Intimacy and Same-Sex Partnership in the 21st Century. *Current Sociology*. 2004 Mar 1;52(2):265–79.

122.

PARKER S. THE MARRIAGE ACT 1753: A CASE STUDY IN FAMILY LAW-MAKING. 'International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family'. 1987;1(1):133–54.

123.

JDiduck A. A Family by any other Name ... or Starbucks™ comes to England. *Journal of Law and Society*. 2001 Jun;28(2):290–310.

124.

Wright WK. The Tide in Favour of Equality: Same-Sex Marriage in Canada and England and

Wales. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2006 Apr 11;20(3):249–85.

125.

Fineman M. *The autonomy myth: a theory of dependency*. New York: New Press; 2004.

126.

Murphy, John. Same-Sex Marriage in England: A Role for Human Rights? *Child and Family Law Quarterly* [Internet]. 2004;16(3):245–60. Available from:
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq16&id=251&collection=journals&index=journals/chilflq>

127.

Kofman E. Gendered Global Migrations. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*. 2004 Jan;6(4):643–65.

128.

Skrbiš Z. Transnational Families: Theorising Migration, Emotions and Belonging. *Journal of Intercultural Studies*. 2008 Aug;29(3):231–46.

129.

Ruth Zafran. Children's Rights as Relational Rights: The Case of Relocation. *American University Journal of Gender, Social Policy & the Law* [Internet]. 2009;18(2):163–218. Available from:
http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ajgsp18&div=13&g_sent=1&collection=journals

130.

Ehrenreich B, Hochschild AR. *Global woman: nannies, maids and sex workers in the new economy*. London: Granta Books; 2003.

131.

Ronen Y. The ties that bind: family and private life as bars to the deportation of immigrants. *International Journal of Law in Context*. 2012 Jun;8(02):283–96.

132.

Choudhry, Shazia. Adoption and Children Act 2002, the Welfare Principle and the Human Rights Act 1998 - A Missed Opportunity. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* [Internet]. 2003;15(2):119–38. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq15&id=123&collection=journals&index=journals/chilflq>

133.

Dey I. Adapting Adoption: A Case of Closet Politics? *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2005 Dec 1;19(3):289–309.

134.

Dowling M, Brown G. Globalization and international adoption from China. *Child & Family Social Work*. 2009 Aug;14(3):352–61.

135.

Eekelaar J. CHILDREN BETWEEN CULTURES. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2004 Aug 1;18(2):178–94.

136.

Harris-Short S. Making and Breaking Family Life: Adoption, the State, and Human Rights. *Journal of Law and Society*. 2008 Mar;35(1):28–51.

137.

Ishaque S. Islamic Principles On Adoption: Examining The Impact Of Illegitimacy And Inheritance Related Concerns In Context Of A Child'S Right To An Identity. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2008 Dec 1;22(3):393–420.

138.

Lewis J. ADOPTION: THE NATURE OF POLICY SHIFTS IN ENGLAND AND WALES, 1972-2002. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2004 Aug 1;18(2):235-55.

139.

Lind J, Johansson S. Preservation of the Child's Background in In- and Intercountry Adoption. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*. 2009 Apr 1;17(2):235-60.

140.

Mezmur BD. From Angelina (To Madonna) to Zoe's ark: What are the 'A-Z' Lessons for Intercountry Adoptions in Africa? *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2009 Aug 1;23(2):145-73.

141.

Palmer, Michael. Rethinking Children's Rights and Interests: Economic Reform, Social Protection and Legal Culture in Post-Mao China. *Journal of Comparative Law* [Internet]. 2010;5. Available from: http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jrnatila5&div=42&g_sent=1&collection=journals

142.

Roby, Jini L. From Rhetoric to Best Practice: Children's Rights in Intercountry Adoption. *Children's Legal Rights Journal* [Internet]. 2007;27. Available from: http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/clrj27&div=29&g_sent=1&collection=journals

143.

Tobin J, McNair R. Public International Law and the Regulation of Private Spaces: Does The Convention on the Rights of the Child Impose an Obligation on States to Allow Gay and Lesbian Couples to Adopt? *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2009 Jan 29;23(1):110-31.

144.

ECJ 04.10.1991 C-159/90 Judgment of the Court of 4 October 1991. The Society for the Protection of Unborn Children Ireland Ltd v Stephen Grogan and others [Internet]. 4AD. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4KWJ-F0W0-TXHM-409G&csi=301393&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

145.

R v Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority, ex parte Blood - [1997] 2 All ER 687 [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-7P90-TWP1-61GW&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

146.

A, B AND C v. IRELAND - 25579/05 [2010] ECHR 2032 (16 December 2010) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/eu/cases/ECHR/2010/2032.html>

147.

de Londras F, Dzehtsiarou K. II. GRAND CHAMBER OF THE EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS, A, B & C v IRELAND, DECISION OF 17 DECEMBER 2010. International and Comparative Law Quarterly. 2013 Jan;62(01):250–62.

148.

M. Hunter-Henin. Surrogacy: Is there Room for a New Liberty Between the French Prohibitive Position And the English Ambivalence? In: Law and Bioethics [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2008. Available from:
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199545520.001.0001/acprof-9780199545520>

149.

Diarmuid Rossa Phelan. Right to Life of the Unborn v Promotion of Trade in Services: The European Court of Justice and the Normative Shaping of the European Union. The Modern Law Review [Internet]. 1992;55(5):670–89. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/1096721>

150.

Morgan D, Lee RG. In the Name of the Father? Ex parte Blood: Dealing with Novelty and Anomaly. *Modern Law Review*. 1997 Nov;60(6):840–56.

151.

Hervey T. Buy baby: the European union and regulation of human reproduction. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 1998 Jun 1;18(2):207–34.

152.

Margaret Jane Radin. Market-Inalienability. *Harvard Law Review* [Internet]. 1987;100(8):1849–937. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/1341192?origin=crossref>

153.

Evans v United Kingdom (Application 6339/05) (2007) 46 EHRR 728, [2007] 2 FCR 5 [Internet]. Court: European Court of Human Rights. Available from: http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20880888532&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20880888533&backKey=20_T20880888534&csi=279841&docNo=1&hitNo=ORIGHIT_2&scrollToPosition=114

154.

Evans v United Kingdom (Application 6339/05) (2007) 46 EHRR 728, [2007] 2 FCR 5 [Internet]. Court: European Court of Human Rights. Available from: http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20880888532&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20880888533&backKey=20_T20880888534&csi=279841&docNo=1&hitNo=ORIGHIT_2&scrollToPosition=114

155.

Evans v Amicus Healthcare Ltd [2004] EWCA Civ 727, [2005] Fam 1 [Internet]. Court: Court of Appeal. Available from: http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/results/enhdocview.do?docLinkInd=true&ersKey=23_T20880959400&format=GNBFULL&startDocNo=0&resultsUrlKey=0_T20880959401&backKey=20_T20880959402&csi=279841&docNo=2&scrollToPosition=228

156.

Sheldon, Sally. Evans v Amicus Healthcare; Hadley v Midland Fertility Services - Revealing Cracks in the Twin Pillars. Child and Family Law Quarterly [Internet]. 2004;16. Available from: http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq16&div=43&g_sent=1&collection=journals

157.

Freeman M. Rethinking Gillick. The International Journal of Children's Rights. 2005 Mar 1;13(1):201-18.

158.

Tobin J. The International Obligation to Abolish Traditional Practices Harmful to Children's Health: What Does It Mean and Require of States? Human Rights Law Review. 2009 Jan 1;9(3):373-96.

159.

Lewis, Hope. Between Irue and Female Genital Mutilation: Feminist Human Rights Discourse and the Cultural Divide. Harvard human rights journal [Internet]. 1995;8. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?collection=journals&handle=hein.journals/hhrj8&div=5&id=&page=>

160.

Kristiansen M, Sheikh A. Legislation on male infant circumcision in Europe: a call to avoid paternalism and to promote evidence-based, patient-centred care. Global Discourse. 2013 Jun;3(2):342-7.

161.

DeLaet DL. Genital Autonomy, Children's Rights, and Competing Rights Claims in International Human Rights Law *. The International Journal of Children's Rights. 2012 Jan 1;20(4):554-83.

162.

SS (Malaysia) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2013] EWCA Civ 888 (18 July 2013) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2013/888.html>

163.

Female genital mutilation/cutting | Child protection from violence, exploitation and abuse | UNICEF [Internet]. Available from: http://www.unicef.org/protection/57929_58002.html

164.

Bluebond-Langner M. The private worlds of dying children. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press; 1978.

165.

Harris-Short S. International Human Rights Law: Imperialist, Inept and Ineffective? Cultural Relativism and the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. Human Rights Quarterly. 2003;25(1):130-81.

166.

Sabatello M. Children's bioethics: the international biopolitical discourse on harmful traditional practices and the right of the child to cultural identity. Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff; 2009.

167.

House of Lords - Regina v. Secretary of State for Education and Employment and others (Respondents) ex parte Williamson (Appellant) and others [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.parliament.the-stationery-office.co.uk/pa/ld200405/ldjudgmt/jd050224/will-1.htm>

168.

House of Lords - R (on the application of Begum (by her litigation friend, Rahman)) (Respondent) v. Headteacher and Governors of Denbigh High School (Appellants) [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200506/ldjudgmt/jd060322/begum-1.htm>

169.

Chamber judgments Dogru v. France and Kervanci v. France 4.12.08 [Internet]. Available from:
[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng-press/pages/search.aspx?i=003-2569490-2781270#{%22itemid%22:\[%22003-2569490-2781270%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng-press/pages/search.aspx?i=003-2569490-2781270#{%22itemid%22:[%22003-2569490-2781270%22]})

170.

Peter Cumper. Religious Education in Europe in the Twenty-First Century. In: Law, religious freedoms and education in Europe. Farnham: Ashgate; 2011.

171.

Eric Barendt. Teaching Evolution, Creationism and Intelligent design in US Schools (with Some European Comparisons). In: Law, religious freedoms and education in Europe. Farnham: Ashgate; 2011.

172.

Ahdar R, Leigh I. Religious Freedom in the Liberal State [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2013. Available from:
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199606474.001.0001/acprof-9780199606474>

173.

Eanmonn Callan. Discrimination and Religious Schooling. In: Citizenship in diverse societies / edited by Will Kymlicka and Wayne Norman [Internet]. Available from:
[http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=dedupmrg113933876&indx=1&reclds=dedupmrg113933876&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&dscnt=0&frbg=&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&dstmp=1415271777447&srt=rank&mode=Basic&&dum=true&tb=t&vl\(freeText0\)=Diverse%20Societies&vid=UCL_VU1](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=dedupmrg113933876&indx=1&reclds=dedupmrg113933876&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&dscnt=0&frbg=&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tab=local&dstmp=1415271777447&srt=rank&mode=Basic&&dum=true&tb=t&vl(freeText0)=Diverse%20Societies&vid=UCL_VU1)

174.

Evans C. Religious Education in Public Schools: An International Human Rights Perspective. *Human Rights Law Review*. 2008 Jan 1;8(3):449–73.

175.

Joel Feinberg. The Child's right to an open future. In: *Whose child?: Children's rights, parental authority, and state power*. Totowa, N.J.: Rowman and Littlefield; 1980.

176.

I. Leigh. Objective, Critical and Pluralistic? Religious education & Human Rights in the Public Sphere. In: *Law, state and religion in the new Europe: debates and dilemmas*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.

177.

Temperman J. The Lautsi papers: multidisciplinary reflections on religious symbols in the public school classroom. Vol. *Studies in religion, secular beliefs and human rights*. Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers; 2012.

178.

Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction [Internet]. Available from: http://www.hcch.net/index_en.php?act=conventions.text&cid=24

179.

Council Regulation (EC) 2201/2003 [Internet]. Available from: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/NOT/?uri=CELEX:32003R2201>

180.

RE J (CHILD RETURNED ABROAD: CONVENTION RIGHTS) [2005] UKHL 40 [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.hcch.net/incadat/fullcase/0801.htm>

181.

E (Children), Re [2011] UKSC 27 (10 June 2011) Re E (Abduction: Custody Appeal) [2011] UKSC 27, [2012] 1 AC 144 [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKSC/2011/27.html>

182.

Re LC (Children) (International Abduction: Child's Objections to Return) [2014] UKSC 1, [2014] 2 W.L.R. 124 [Internet]. Available from:
https://supremecourt.uk/decided-cases/docs/UKSC_2013_0221_Judgment.pdf

183.

Nigel Lowe. A Supra-National Approach to Interpreting the 1980 Hague Convention – a Tale of Two European Courts' [2012]. International Family Law [Internet]. 48:170–9. Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_IFLONLINE_IFL_2012_06_17

184.

Ruth Lamont. Child Abduction in the European Union: Recognizing and Regulating Care and Migration. In: Regulating family responsibilities. Farnham: Ashgate; 2011.

185.

Schuz R. Hague child abduction convention: a critical analysis. Vol. Studies in private international law. Oxford: Hart Pub; 2013.

186.

Re K (Abduction: Rights of Custody) [2014] UKSC 29 [Internet]. Available from:
<http://cases.iclr.co.uk/Subscr/search.aspx?path=WLR+Dailies%2FWLRD+2011%2FwlrD2014-218>

187.

A (Children), Re (Rev 1) [2013] UKSC 60 (09 September 2013) [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKSC/2013/60.html>

188.

Schuz R. Hague child abduction convention: a critical analysis. Vol. Studies in private international law. Oxford: Hart Pub; 2013.

189.

Trimmings K. Child abduction within the European Union. Vol. Studies in private international law. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2013.

190.

Stalford H. Children and the European Union: rights, welfare and accountability. Vol. Modern studies in European law. Oxford: Hart; 2012.

191.

Operating the 1980 Hague Abduction Convention: The 2008 Statistics [Internet]. Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/media/en/FAMILYpa/FLJ_FLJ_2011_11_Articles_02_half_sys.pdf

192.

P v P [2001] EWCA Civ 166 (13 February 2001) [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2001/166.html>

193.

MK v CK [2011] EWCA Civ 793 (07 July 2011). In. Available from:
<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2011/793.html>

194.

D v S [2001] NZCA 374; [2002] NZFLR 116; (2001) 21 FRNZ 331 (4 December 2001) [Internet]. Available from:
[http://www.nzlii.org/cgi-bin/sinodisp/nz/cases/NZCA/2001/374.html?query=title\(%222002%20NZFLR%20116%22\)](http://www.nzlii.org/cgi-bin/sinodisp/nz/cases/NZCA/2001/374.html?query=title(%222002%20NZFLR%20116%22))

195.

George RH. Relocation disputes: law and practice in England and New Zealand. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2014.

196.

George R. The international relocation debate. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law. 2012 Mar;34(1):141-52.

197.

Jonathan Herring, Rachel Taylor. Relocating Relocation. Child and Family Law Quarterly [Internet]. 2006;18(4):517-37. Available from:
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/chilflq18&id=525&collection=journals&index=journals/chilflq>

198.

Parkinson, Patrick. Freedom of Movement in an Era of Shared Parenting: The Differences in Judicial Approaches to Relocation. Federal Law Review [Internet]. 2008;36(2):145-72. Available from:
http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/fedlr36&div=12&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

199.

AR (A Child: Relocation), Re [2010] EWHC 1346 (Fam) (10 June 2010) [Internet]. Available from:
[http://www.bailii.org/cgi-bin/markup.cgi?doc=/ew/cases/EWHC/Fam/2010/1346.html&query=EWHC+and+1346+and+\(Fam\)&method=boolean](http://www.bailii.org/cgi-bin/markup.cgi?doc=/ew/cases/EWHC/Fam/2010/1346.html&query=EWHC+and+1346+and+(Fam)&method=boolean)

200.

K v B (Kacem v Bashir) — Courts of New Zealand [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.courtsofnz.govt.nz/cases/k-v-b-kacem-v-bashir>

201.

George RH. Relocation disputes: law and practice in England and New Zealand. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2014.

202.

Nicholas Bala, Andrea Wheeler. Canadian Relocation Cases: Heading Towards Guidelines. 2012; Available from:
<https://qspace.library.queensu.ca/bitstream/1974/7861/3/relocation-user-guide.pdf>

203.

Hayes M. Relocation cases: is the Court of Appeal applying the correct principles? Child and Family Law Quarterly [Internet]. 18(3). Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2006_09_3&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D25%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide_excerpts%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achronology%26chunk%3D25%26qpprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1415875654404¤tQuery=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ¤tScope=&query=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2006_09_3.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2006_09_3&inner_id=&tid=27a3b1c3-3113-44f1-b469-84d78c3edb6c&addHistory=true&resource=&toc=false

204.

Henaghan M. Relocation cases – the rhetoric and the reality of a child's best interests – a view from the bottom of the world. Child and Family Law Quarterly [Internet]. 2011;23(2):153–274. Available from:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/content/en/FAMILYpa/Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_navParentTopic_0#eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2011_06_4&url=/web/search.xql%3Fc%3Dt%26pub%3DFAMILYpa%26lang%3Den%26action%3Dsearch%26query%3D%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ%26scope%3D%26min%3D0%26max%3D0%26xslmode%3Dhide_excerpts%26sort%3Dcustom%3Achronology%26chunk%3D25%26qpprodname%3DQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&sid=lcSearch.runSearch1415876219349¤tQuery=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ¤tScope=&query=%252BRelocation%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&filename=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2011_06_4.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2011_06_4&inner_id=&tid=27a3b1c3-3113-44f1-b469-84d78c3edb6c&addHistory=true&resource=&toc=false

2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&addHistory=true&file
ame=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2011_06_4.dita.xml&docid=Family_CFLQONLINE_CFLQ_2
011_06_4&inner_id=&tid=43f94fa3-b026-41d0-9b29-20a7f947cd91&query=%252BReloca
tion%2520%252BCases%2520%252BQQChildandFamilyLawQuarterlyQQ&scope=&resourc
e=&toc=false¤tScope=

205.

Lamont R. Free movement of persons, child abduction and relocation within the European Union. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2012 Jun;34(2):231-44.