ARCL2028: Current Issues in Archaeological Theory

Undergraduate: Year 2 core: 0.5 units

View Online

[1]

Adam, B. 2002. Perceptions of time. Companion encyclopedia of anthropology. Routledge. 503–526.

[2]

Adam, Barbara 1995. Timewatch: the social analysis of time. Polity Press.

[3]

Alex Mesoudi, Andrew Whiten and Kevin N. Laland 2004. Perspective: Is Human Cultural Evolution Darwinian? Evidence Reviewed from the Perspective of 'The Origin of Species'. Evolution. 58, 1 (2004), 1–11.

[4]

Alison Wylie 2007. Doing Archaeology as a Feminist: Introduction. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory. 14, 3 (2007), 209–216.

[5]

Andersson, C. 2011. Paleolithic Punctuations and Equilibria: Did Retention Rather Than Invention Limit Technological Evolution? PaleoAnthropology. (2011), 243–259.

[6]

Andrén, Anders and Crozier, Alan 1998. Between artifacts and texts: historical archaeology

in global perspective. Plenum Press.

[7]

Andrews, G. et al. 2000. Interpretation not record: The practice of archaeology. Antiquity.
74, 285 (2000), 525–530.

[8]

Arnold, B. 1990. The past as propaganda: Totalitarian archaeology in Nazi Germany.
Antiquity. 64, 244 (1990), 464–478.

[9]

Arnold, D.E. 1985. Chapters 5, 8 and 9. Ceramic theory and cultural process. Cambridge
University Press.

[10]

Arnold III, P.J. and Wilkens, B.S. 2001. On the VanPools' 'scientific' post-processualism.
American Antiquity. 66, 2 (2001), 361–366.

[11]

Arnold, P. 2003. Back to basics: the middle-range program as pragmatic archaeology.
Essential tensions in archaeological method and theory. University of Utah Press. 55–66.

[12]

Ashmore, W. and Knapp, A.B. 1999. Archaeological landscapes: constructed,
conceptualised, ideational. Archaeologies of landscape: contemporary perspectives.
Blackwell Publishers. 1–30.

[13]

Atkinson, R. J. C. 1960. Stonehenge. Penquin Books in association with Hamish Hamilton.

[14]

Aunger, Robert 2000. Darwinizing culture: the status of memetics as a science. Oxford University Press.

[15]

Bailey, G. 2007. Time perspectives, palimpsests and the archaeology of time. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology. 26, 2 (Jun. 2007), 198–223. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaa.2006.08.002.

[16]

Bamforth, D.B. 2002. Evidence and metaphor in evolutionary archaeology. American Antiquity. 67, 3 (2002), 435–452.

[17]

Bamforth, D.B. 2003. What is archaeology? (Or confusion, sound and fury, signifying....). American Antiquity. 68, 3 (2003), 581–584.

[18]

Banks, Iain et al. 1996. Nationalism and archaeology: Scottish Archaeological Forum. Cruithne Press.

[19]

Barrett , J.C. 2012. Agency, the duality of structure and the problem of the archaeological record. Archaeological theory today. Polity. 141–164.

[20]

Barrett, J. 1999. The mythical landscapes of the British Iron Age. Archaeologies of landscape: contemporary perspectives. Blackwell Publishers. 253–265.

[21]

Barrett, J.C. 2014. The material constitution of humanness. Archaeological Dialogues. 21, 01 (Jun. 2014), 65–74. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S1380203814000105.

[22]

Barrett, John C. 1993. Fragments from antiquity: an archaeology of social life in Britain, 2900-1200 BC. Blackwell.

[23]

Barrett, John C. 1993. Fragments from antiquity: an archaeology of social life in Britain, 2900-1200 BC. Blackwell.

[24]

Bender, B. et al. 2007. Chapters 1 and 3. Stone worlds: narrative and reflexivity in landscape archaeology. Left Coast Press.

[25]

Bender, B. et al. 2007. Chapters 1 and 3. Stone worlds: narrative and reflexivity in landscape archaeology. Left Coast Press.

[26]

Bender, B. et al. 1997. Leskernick: Stone Worlds; Alternative Narratives; Nested Landscapes. Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society. 63, (1997), 147–178. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0079497X00002413.

[27]

Bender, B. 1992. Theorising landscapes, and the prehistoric landscape of Stonehenge. Man . 27, 4 (1992), 735–755.

[28]

Bender, B. 1992. Theorising landscapes, and the prehistoric landscape of Stonehenge. Man
. 27, 4 (1992), 735–755.

[29]

Bender, Barbara and Aitken, Paul 1998. Stonehenge: making space. Berg.

[30]

Bentley , A. 2011. 'Style versus function' 30 years on. Evolutionary and interpretive
archaeologies: a dialogue. Left Coast. 83–104.

[31]

Bentley, A. and Maschner, H.D.G. 2008. Complexity theory. Handbook of archaeological
theories. AltaMira Press. 245–270.

[32]

Bentley, R. Alexander et al. 2008. Handbook of archaeological theories. AltaMira Press.

[33]

Bentley, R. and Alexander, M. 2008. Introduction on archaeological theories. Handbook of
archaeological theories. AltaMira Press.

[34]

Bentley, R.A. et al. 2008. R. McGuire. Handbook of archaeological theories. AltaMira Press.
73–93.

[35]

Berggren, A. and Hodder, I. 2003. Social practice, method and some problems of field
archaeology. American Antiquity. 68, 3 (2003), 421–434.

[36]

Bernbeck, R. and Pollock, S. 2004. The political economy of archaeological practice and the production of heritage in the Middle East. A companion to social archaeology. Blackwell Pub. Ltd. 335–352.

[37]

Bettinger, R.L. 1991. Hunter-gatherers: archaeological and evolutionary theory. Plenum Press.

[38]

Binford, Lewis Roberts 2001. Constructing frames of reference: an analytical method for archaeological theory building using hunter-gatherer and environmental data sets. University of California Press.

[39]

Binford, L.R. 1964. A consideration of archaeological research design. American Antiquity. 29, 4 (1964), 425–441.

[40]

Binford, L.R. 1964. A consideration of archaeological research design. American Antiquity. 29, 4 (1964), 425–441.

[41]

Binford, L.R. 1968. Archaeological perspectives. New perspectives in archeology. Aldine. 5–32.

[42]

Binford, L.R. 1962. Archaeology as anthropology. American Antiquity. 28, 2 (1962), 217–225.

[43]

Binford, L.R. 1962. Archaeology as anthropology. American Antiquity. 28, 2 (1962), 217–225.

[44]

Binford, L.R. 1981. Behavioural archaeological and the 'Pompeii Premise' . Journal of Anthropological Research. 37, 3 (1981), 195–208.

[45]

Binford, L.R. 1977. General introduction. For theory building in archaeology: essays on faunal remains, aquatic resources, spatial analysis and systemic modeling. Academic Press. 1–10.

[46]

Binford, L.R. 1983. Interassemblage variability: The Mousterian and the functional argument. Working at archaeology. Academic Press. 131–153.

[47]

Binford, L.R. 1981. Relics to artifacts and monuments to assemblages: changing conceptual frameworks. Bones: ancient men and modern myths. Academic Press. 3–20.

[48]

Bintliff, J. L. 1991. The Annales school and archaeology. Leicester University Press.

[49]

Bintliff, J. L. and Pearce, Mark 2011. The death of archaeological theory?. Oxbow Books.

[50]

Boone, J.L. and Smith, E.A. 1998. Is It Evolution Yet? A Critique of Evolutionary Archaeology. Current Anthropology. 39, S1 (Jun. 1998), S141–S174. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1086/204693.

[51]

Borić, Dušan 2010. Archaeology and memory. Oxbow Books.

[52]

Boyd, Robert and Richerson, Peter J. 1985. Culture and the evolutionary process. University of Chicago Press.

[53]

Bradley, Richard 2002. The past in prehistoric societies. Routledge.

[54]

Bradley, Richard 1998. The significance of monuments: on the shaping of human experience in Neolithic and Bronze Age Europe. Routledge.

[55]

Bruce G. Trigger 1998. Archaeology and Epistemology: Dialoguing across the Darwinian Chasm. American Journal of Archaeology. 102, 1 (1998), 1–34.

[56]

Bruce G. Trigger 1993. Marxism in Contemporary Western Archaeology. Archaeological Method and Theory. 5, (1993), 159–200.

[57]

Brück, J. 2005. Experiencing the past? The development of a phenomenological archaeology in British prehistory. Archaeological Dialogues. 12, 01 (Aug. 2005), 45–72. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S1380203805001583.

[58]

Brück, J. 2005. Experiencing the past? The development of a phenomenological
archaeology in British prehistory. Archaeological Dialogues. 12, 1 (Aug. 2005), 45–72.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S1380203805001583.

[59]

Brück, J. 2001. Monuments, power and personhood in the British Neolithic. Journal of the
Royal Anthropological Institute. 7, 4 (Dec. 2001), 649–667.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9655.00082.

[60]

Brumfiel, E.M. 2000. On the archaeology of choice: agency studies as a research
strategem. Agency in archaeology. Routledge. 249–255.

[61]

Buchli, Victor et al. 2001. Archaeologies of the contemporary past. Routledge.

[62]

Butzer, Karl W. 1982. Archaeology as human ecology: method and theory for a contextual
approach. Cambridge University Press.

[63]

Carr, Lydia 2012. Tessa Verney Wheeler: women and archaeology before World War Two.
Oxford University Press.

[64]

Carrithers, M. et al. eds. 1985. The category of the person: anthropology, philosophy,
history. Cambridge University Press.

[65]

Casella, Eleanor Conlin and Fowler, Chris 2005. The archaeology of plural and changing identities: beyond identification. Kluwer Academic/Plenum.

[66]

Chadwick, A. 2003. Post-processualism, professionalization and archaeological methodologies. Towards reflexive and radical practice. Archaeological Dialogues. 10, 1 (2003), 97–117. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S1380203803001107.

[67]

Childe, V.G. 1929. Preface and chapter 1. The Danube in prehistory. Clarendon Press. v-xii-1–7.

[68]

Childe, V.G. 1956. What happens in prehistory? Piecing together the past: the interpretation of archaeological data. Routledge & Kegan Paul. 135–158.

[69]

Chilton, Elizabeth S. 1999. Material meanings: critical approaches to the interpretation of material culture. University of Utah Press.

[70]

Chippindale, C. 1993. Ambition, deference, discrepancy, comsumption: the intellectual background to a post-processual archaeology. Archaeological theory: who sets the agenda?. Cambridge University Press. 27–36.

[71]

Chippindale, Christopher 2004. Stonehenge complete. Thames & Hudson.

[72]

Chippindale, Christopher 1990. Who owns Stonehenge?. Batsford.

[73]

Chris Gosden 2005. What Do Objects Want? Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory. 12, 3 (2005), 193–211.

[74]

Clark, G. 1989. Economic approach to prehistory. Economic prehistory: papers on archaeology. Cambridge University Press. 149–168.

[75]

Clark, Grahame 1960. Archaeology and society. Methuen.

[76]

Clarke, David L. and Chapman, Bob 1978. Analytical archaeology. Methuen.

[77]

Clarke, D.L. 1973. Archaeology: The loss of innocence. Antiquity. 47, 185 (1973), 6–18.

[78]

Clarke, D.L. 1973. Archaeology: The loss of innocence. Antiquity. 47, 185 (1973), 6–18.

[79]

Clarke, D.L. 1973. Archaeology: the loss of innocence. Antiquity. 47, 185 (1973), 6–18.

[80]

Cleal, Rosamund et al. 1995. Stonehenge in its landscape: twentieth-century excavations.

English Heritage.

[81]

Cochrane, E.E. 2001. Style, function and systematic empiricism: the conflation of process and pattern. Style and function: conceptual issues in evolutionary archaeology. Bergin & Garvey. 183–202.

[82]

Cochrane, Ethan E. and Gardner, Andrew 2011. Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue. Left Coast.

[83]

Cochrane, Ethan E. and Gardner, Andrew 2011. Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue. Left Coast.

[84]

Collis, John 2001. Digging up the past: an introduction to archaeological excavation. Sutton.

[85]

Colwell-Chanthaphonh, C. 2012. Archaeology and indigenous collaboration. Archaeological theory today. Polity. 267–291.

[86]

Conkey, M.W. and Gero, J.M. 1997. Programme to Practice: Gender and Feminism in Archaeology. Annual Review of Anthropology. 26, 1 (Oct. 1997), 411–437. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.26.1.411.

[87]

Conkey, M.W. and Spector, J.D. 1984. Archaeology and the Study of Gender. Advances in

Archaeological Method and Theory. 7, (1984), 1–38.

[88]

Conolly, J. 2000. Catalhoyuk and the archaeological object. Towards reflexive method in archaeology: the example at Çatalhöyük : by members of the Çatalhöyük team. McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research. 51–56.

[89]

Cooper, Nicholas et al. 1996. Roman imperialism: post-colonial perspectives. School of Archaeological Studies, University of Leicester.

[90]

Cornell, P. and Fahlander, F. 2002. Microarchaeology, materiality and social practice. Current Swedish Archaeology. 10, (2002), 21–38.

[91]

Courbin, Paul 1988. What is archaeology?: an essay on the nature of archaeological research. University of Chicago Press.

[92]

Coward, F. et al. 2008. The spread of Neolithic plant economies from the Near East to northwest Europe: a phylogenetic analysis. Journal of Archaeological Science. 35, 1 (Jan. 2008), 42–56. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2007.02.022.

[93]

Cowgill, G.L. 1993. Distinguished lecture in archaeology: Beyond criticizing New Archaeology. American Anthropologist, New Series. 95, 3 (1993), 551–573.

[94]

Currie, T.E. and Mace, R. 2011. Mode and tempo in the evolution of socio-political

organization: reconciling 'Darwinian' and 'Spencerian' evolutionary approaches in anthropology. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 366, 1567 (Feb. 2011), 1108–1117. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0318.

[95]

David, B. and Thomas, J. 2008. Landscape archaeology: Introduction. Handbook of landscape archaeology. Left Coast Press. 27–43.

[96]

David J. Meltzer 1979. Paradigms and the Nature of Change in American Archaeology. American Antiquity. 44, 4 (1979), 644–657.

[97]

Díaz-Andreu García, Margarita 2007. A world history of nineteenth-century archaeology: nationalism, colonialism, and the past. Oxford University Press.

[98]

Díaz-Andreu García, Margarita 2005. The archaeology of identity: approaches to gender, age, status, ethnicity and religion. Routledge.

[99]

Diaz-Andreu, M. 2004. Britain and the Other: the archaeology of imperialism. History, nationhood, and the question of Britain. Palgrave Macmillan. 227–241.

[100]

Dobres, M.-A. 2000. Social agency and practice: the heart and soul of technology. Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology. Blackwell Publishers. 127–163.

[101]

Dobres, M.-A. and Hoffman, C.R. 1994. Social agency and the dynamics of prehistoric technology. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory. 1, 3 (1994), 211–258.

[102]

Dobres, M.-A. and Robb, J.E. 2000. Agency in archaeology: Paradigm or platitude? Agency in archaeology. Routledge. 3–17.

[103]

Dobres, Marcia-Anne 2000. Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology. Blackwell Publishers.

[104]

Dobres, Marcia-Anne 2000. Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology. Blackwell Publishers.

[105]

Dornan, J.L. 2002. Agency and archaeology: Past, present and future directions. Journal of archaeological method and theory. 9, 4 (2002), 303–329. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021318432161.

[106]

Dowson, T.A. 2000. Homosexuality, queer theory and archaeology. Interpretive archaeology: a reader. Leicester University Press. 283–289.

[107]

Dowson, T.A. 2000. Homosexuality, queer theory and archaeology. Interpretive archaeology: a reader. Leicester University Press. 283–289.

[108]

Dunnell, R.C. 1986. Five decades of American archaeology. American archaeology, past

and future: a celebration of the Society for American Archaeology, 1935-1985. Smithsonian Institution Press.

[109]

Dunnell, R.C. 1978. Style and function: A fundamental dichotomy. American Antiquity. 43, 2 (1978), 192–202.

[110]

Dyson, Stephen L. 2006. In pursuit of ancient pasts: a history of classical archaeology in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. Yale University Press.

[111]

Earle et. al., T.K. 1987. Processual archaeology and the radical critique. Current Anthropology. 28, 4 (1987), 501–538.

[112]

Edgeworth, Matt 2003. Acts of discovery: an ethnography of archaeological practice. Archaeopress.

[113]

Edgeworth, Matt 2006. Ethnographies of archaeological practice: cultural encounters, material transformations. Altamira Press.

[114]

Engelstad, E. 1991. Images of power and contradiction: Feminist theory and post-processual archaeology. Antiquity. 65, 248 (1991), 502–514.

[115]

Englehardt, J. 2013. Agency in ancient writing. University Press of Colorado.

[116]

Fagan, Brian M. 2001. Grahame Clark: an intellectual biography of an archaeologist. Westview.

[117]

Fagan, Garrett G. 2006. Archaeological fantasies: how pseudoarchaeology misrepresents the past and misleads the public. Routledge.

[118]

Ferguson, L. 1991. Struggling with pots in colonial South Carolina. The archaeology of inequality. Blackwell. 28–39.

[119]

Fewster, K.J. 2006. The Role of Agency and Material Culture in Remembering and Forgetting: An Ethnoarchaeological Case Study from Central Spain. Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology. 20, 1 (Jun. 2006). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1558//jmea.2007.v20i1.89.

[120]

Fisher, P. et al. 1997. Spatial Analysis of Visible Areas from the Bronze Age Cairns of Mull. Journal of Archaeological Science. 24, 7 (Jul. 1997), 581–592. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1006/jasc.1996.0142.

[121]

Flannery, K.V. 1968. Archaeological systems theory and early Mesoamerica. Anthropological archeology in the Americas. The Anthropological Society of Washington. 67–87.

[122]

Flannery, K.V. 1967. Culture history vs. culture process: A Debate in American

archaeology. Scientific American. 2, 2 (1967), 119–122.

[123]

Flannery, K.V. 1999. Process and Agency in Early State Formation. Cambridge
Archaeological Journal. 9, 1 (1999), 3–21.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959774300015183.

[124]

Flannery, K.V. 1999. Process and Agency in Early State Formation. Cambridge
Archaeological Journal. 9, 1 (1999), 3–21.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959774300015183.

[125]

Flannery, K.V. 1982. The Golden Marshalltown: A Parable for the Archeology of the 1980s.
American Anthropologist. 84, 2 (Jun. 1982), 265–278.

[126]

Fleming, A. 1999. Phenomenology and the Megaliths of Wales: A Dreaming Too Far? Oxford
Journal of Archaeology. 18, 2 (May 1999), 119–125.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0092.00074.

[127]

Fleming, A. 2006. Post-processual landscape archaeology: A critique. Cambridge
Archaeological Journal. 16, 3 (2006), 267–280.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959774306000163.

[128]

Fowler, C. 2001. Personhood and Social Relations in the British Neolithic with a Study from
the Isle of Man. Journal of Material Culture. 6, 2 (Jul. 2001), 137–163.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/135918350100600202.

[129]

Fowler, C. 2000. The individual, the subject and archaeological interpretation: reading Luce Irigaray and Judith Butler. Philosophy and archaeological practice: perspectives for the 21st century. Bricoleur Press. 107–122.

[130]

Fowler, Chris 2004. The archaeology of personhood: an anthropological approach. Routledge.

[131]

Fowler, D. 2008. Archaeological ethics in context and practice. Handbook of archaeological theories. AltaMira Press. 409–422.

[132]

Frere, S.S. 1988. Roman Britain since Haverfield and Richmond. History and Archaeology Review. 3, (1988), 31–36.

[133]

Funari, Pedro Paulo A. et al. 2005. Global archaeological theory: contextual voices and contemporary thoughts. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.

[134]

G. N. Bailey 1983. Concepts of Time in Quaternary Prehistory. Annual Review of Anthropology. 12, (1983), 165–192.

[135]

Gardner, A. 2011. Action and structure in interpretive archaeologies. Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue. Left Coast. 63–82.

[136]

Gardner, A. 2008. Agency. Handbook of archaeological theories. AltaMira Press. 95–108.

[137]

Gardner, A. 2003. Seeking a material turn: the artefactuality of the Roman Empire. TRAC 2002: proceedings of the twelfth annual Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference which took place at the University of Kent at Canterbury 5-6 April 2002. Oxbow Books. 1–13.

[138]

Gardner, A. 2012. Time and empire in the Roman world. Journal of Social Archaeology. 12, 2 (Jun. 2012), 145–166. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1469605312439971.

[139]

Gardner, Andrew 2007. An archaeology of identity: soldiers and society in late Roman Britain. Left Coast Press.

[140]

Gardner, Andrew 2007. An archaeology of identity: soldiers and society in late Roman Britain. Left Coast Press.

[141]

Gaydarska, B. 2009. A brief history of TAG. Antiquity. 83, 322 (2009), 1152–1162.

[142]

Gell, Alfred 1992. The anthropology of time: cultural constructions of temporal maps and images. Berg.

[143]

Geller, P.L. 2009. Identity and Difference: Complicating Gender in Archaeology. Annual Review of Anthropology. 38, 1 (Oct. 2009), 65–81.

DOI:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-anthro-091908-164414.

[144]

Geoff Emberling 1997. Ethnicity in Complex Societies: Archaeological Perspectives. Journal of Archaeological Research. 5, 4 (1997), 295–344.

[145]

Gero, J.M. 1996. Archaeological practice and gendered encounters with field data. Gender and archaeology. University of Pennsylvania Press. 251–280.

[146]

Gero, J.M. and Wright, M. 1991. Tensions, pluralities and engendering archaeology: An introduction to Women and Prehistory. Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory. Blackwell. 3–30.

[147]

Gheorghiu, D. and Nash, G. 2013. Place as material culture: objects, geographies and the construction of time. Cambridge Scholars Pub.

[148]

Gilchrist, R. 2004. Archaeology and the life course: a time and age for gender. A companion to social archaeology. Blackwell Pub. Ltd. 142–160.

[149]

Gilchrist, R. 1991. Women's archaeology? Political feminism, gender theory and historical revision. Antiquity. 65, 248 (1991), 495–501.

[150]

Glenn R. Storey 1999. Archaeology and Roman Society: Integrating Textual and Archaeological Data. Journal of Archaeological Research. 7, 3 (1999), 203–248.

[151]

Gordon Childe, V. 1935. Changing aims and methods in prehistory. Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society. 1, (1935), 1–15.

[152]

Gosden, C. 2012. Postcolonial archaeology. Issues of culture, identity and knowledge. Archaeological theory today. Polity. 241–261.

[153]

Gosden, C. 2004. The past and foreign countries: colonial and post-colonial archaeology and anthropology. A companion to social archaeology. Blackwell Pub. Ltd. 161–178.

[154]

Gosden, C. and Marshall, Y. 1999. The cultural biography of objects. World Archaeology. 31, 2 (1999), 169–178.

[155]

Gosden, Chris 1994. Social being and time. Blackwell.

[156]

Graves-Brown, P. 1996. In search of the watchmaker: attribution of agency in natural and cultural selection. Darwinian archaeologies. Plenum Press. 165–181.

[157]

Greene, K. 2010. Chapter 6: making sense of the past. Archaeology: an introduction. Routledge. 249–312.

[158]

Greene, Kevin and Moore, Tom 2010. Archaeology: an introduction. Routledge.

[159]

Greg Woolf 2004. The Present State and Future Scope of Roman Archaeology: A Comment. American Journal of Archaeology. 108, 3 (2004), 417–428.

[160]

Hales, Shelley and Hodos, Tamar 2010. Material culture and social identities in the ancient world. Cambridge University Press.

[161]

Hamilton et al., S. 2008. Quarried away: thinking about landscapes of megalithic construction on Rapa Nui (Easter Island). Handbook of landscape archaeology. Left Coast Press. 176–186.

[162]

Hamilton, S. et al. 2007. Introduction and section 1. Archaeology and women: ancient & modern issues. Left Coast Press. 13–40.

[163]

Hamilton, S. 1999. Lost in translation? A comment on the excavation report. Papers from the Institute of Archaeology. 10, (Aug. 1999), 1–8. DOI:https://doi.org/10.5334/pia.140.

[164]

Hamilton, S. et al. 2006. Phenomenology in Practice: Towards a Methodology for a `Subjective' Approach. European Journal of Archaeology. 9, 1 (Apr. 2006), 31–71. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1461957107077704.

[165]

Hamilton, S. et al. 2006. Phenomenology in Practice: Towards a Methodology for a

`Subjective' Approach. European Journal of Archaeology. 9, 1 (Apr. 2006), 31–71. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1461957107077704.

[166]

Hamilton, S. 2011. The ambiguity of landscape: discussing points of relatedness in concepts and methods. Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue. Left Coast. 263–280.

[167]

Hannah, Robert 2009. Time in antiquity. Routledge.

[168]

Harding, J. 2005. Rethinking the Great Divide: Long-Term Structural History and the Temporality of Event. Norwegian Archaeological Review. 38, 2 (Nov. 2005), 88–101. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/00293650510032707.

[169]

Harris, David R. et al. 1994. The archaeology of V. Gordon Childe: contemporary perspectives : proceedings of the V. Gordon Childe Centennial Conference held at the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, 8-9 May 1992 under the auspices of the Institute of Archaeology and the Prehistoric Society. UCL Press.

[170]

Hassan, F. 1997. Beyond the surface: Comments on Hodder's reflexive excavation methodology. Antiquity. 71, 274 (1997), 1020–1025.

[171]

Hassan, F.A. 1997. Beyond the surface: Comments on Hodder's 'reflexive excavation methodology'. Antiquity. 71, 274 (1997), 1020–1025.

[172]

Hastorf, C. 1991. Gender, space and food in prehistory. Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory. Blackwell. 132–159.

[173]

Hastorf, Christine Ann and Conkey, Margaret Wright 1989. The uses of style in archaeology. Cambridge University Press.

[174]

Hawkes, C. 1954. Wenner-Gren Foundation Supper Conference - Archaeological theory and method: Some suggestiongs from the Old World. American Anthropologist. 56, 2 (1954), 155–168.

[175]

Hayden, B. and Cannon, A. 1983. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology. 2, 2 (Jun. 1983), 117–163. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4165(83)90010-7.

[176]

Hays-Gilpin, K. 2008. Gender. Handbook of archaeological theories. AltaMira Press. 335–349.

[177]

Hegmon, M. 1992. Archaeological research on style. Annual Review of Anthropology. 21, (1992), 517–536. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.an.21.100192.002505.

[178]

Hegmon, M. 2003. Setting theoretical egos aside: Issues and theory in North American archaeology. American Antiquity. 68, 2 (2003), 213–243.

[179]

Henson, D. 2012. Doing archaeology: a subject guide for students. Routledge.

[180]

Higgs, E.S. and Vita-Finzi, C. 1972. Prehistoric economies: A territorial approach. Papers in economic prehistory: studies by members and associates of the British Academy Major Research Project in the Early History of Agriculture. Cambridge University Press. 27–36.

[181]

Hill, E. 1998. Gender-informed archaeology: The priority of definition, the use of analogy, and the multivariate approach. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory. 5, 1 (Mar. 1998), 99–128. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02428417.

[182]

Hill, J.N. and Evans, R.K. 1972. A model for classification and typology. Models in archaeology. Methuen. 231–273.

[183]

Hingley, R. 2000. Chapters 9 and 10. Roman officers and English gentlemen: the imperial origins of Roman archaeology. Routledge.

[184]

Hingley, R. 2008. Hadrian's Wall in theory: pursuing new agendas. Understanding Hadrian's Wall: papers from a conference held at South Shields, 3rd-5th November 2006, to mark the publication of the 14th edition of the Handbook to the Roman Wall. Arbeia Society. 25–28.

[185]

Hingley, R. 2010. 'The most ancient Boundary between England and Scotland': Genealogies of the Roman Walls. Classical Receptions Journal. 2, 1 (May 2010), 25–43. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/crj/clq001.

[186]

Hingley, Richard 2000. Roman officers and English gentlemen: the imperial origins of Roman archaeology. Routledge.

[187]

Hodder, I. et al. 2008. 40 Years of Theoretical Engagement: A Conversation with Ian Hodder. Norwegian Archaeological Review. 41, 1 (Jun. 2008), 26–42. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/00293650802181154.

[188]

Hodder, I. 1997. Always momentary, fluid and flexible: Towards a reflexive excavation methodology. Antiquity. 71, 273 (1997), 691–700.

[189]

Hodder, I. 1997. 'Always momentary, fluid and flexible': Towards a reflexive excavation methodology. Antiquity. 71, 273 (1997), 691–700.

[190]

Hodder, I. 1993. Bridging the divide: a commentary on theoretical Roman archaeology. Theoretical Roman archaeology: first conference proceedings. Avebury. xiii–xix.

[191]

Hodder, I. 2003. Chapter 1: The problem. Reading the past: current approaches to interpretation in archaeology. Cambridge University Press. 1–19.

[192]

Hodder, I. 2012. Entangled: an archaeology of the relationships between humans and things. Wiley-Blackwell.

[193]

Hodder, I. 2011. Human-thing entanglement: towards an integrated archaeological

perspective. Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute. 17, 1 (2011), 154–177. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9655.2010.01674.x.

[194]

Hodder, I. 1991. Interpretive archaeology and its role. American Antiquity. 56, 1 (1991), 7–18.

[195]

Hodder, I. 2001. Introduction: a review of contemporary theoretical debate in archaeology. Archaeological theory today. Polity. 1–13.

[196]

Hodder, I. 2012. Introduction: contemporary theoretical debate in archaeology. Archaeological theory today. Polity. 1–14.

[197]

Hodder, I. 1992. Material practice, symbolism and ideology. Theory and practice in archaeology. Routledge. 201–212.

[198]

Hodder, I. 1992. Material practice, symbolism and ideology. Theory and practice in archaeology. Routledge. 201–212.

[199]

Hodder, I. 1989. Post-modernism, post-structuralism and post-processual archaeology. The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression. Unwin Hyman, HarperCollins Academic. 64–78.

[200]

Hodder, I. 1985. Postprocessual archaeology. Advances in Archaeological Method and

Theory. 8, (1985), 1–26.

[201]

Hodder, I. 1982. Theoretical archaeology: A reactionary view. Symbolic and structural archaeology. Cambridge University Press. 92–121.

[202]

Hodder, I. 1999. Towards a reflexive method. The archaeological process: an introduction. Blackwell. 80–104.

[203]

Hodder, I. 1999. Towards a reflexive method. The archaeological process: an introduction. Blackwell. 80–104.

[204]

Hodder, I. 1998. Whose rationality? A response to Fekri Hassan. Antiquity. 72, 275 (1998), 213–217.

[205]

Hodder, I. 1992. Writing archaeology: site reports in context. Theory and practice in archaeology. Routledge. 263–274.

[206]

Hodder, I. and Hutson, S. 2003. Reading the past: current approaches to interpretation in archaeology. Cambridge University Press.

[207]

Hodder, Ian 2001. Archaeological theory today. Polity.

[208]

Hodder, Ian 1994. Interpreting archaeology: finding meaning in the past. Routledge.

[209]

Hodder, Ian 1982. Symbols in action: ethnoarchaeological studies of material culture. Cambridge University Press.

[210]

Hodder, Ian 1999. The archaeological process: an introduction. Blackwell.

[211]

Hoffman, Christopher R. and Dobres, Marcia-Anne 1999. The social dynamics of technology: practice, politics, and world views. Smithsonian Institution Press.

[212]

Holdaway, Simon and Wandsnider, LuAnn 2008. Time in archaeology: time perspectivism revisited. University of Utah Press.

[213]

Hutson, S.R. 2001. Synergy through disunity, science as social practice: Comments on VanPool and VanPool. American Antiquity. 66, 2 (2001), 349–360.

[214]

Ian Hodder 1985. Postprocessual Archaeology. Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory. 8, (1985), 1–26.

[215]

Ian Hodder and Mark Hassall 1971. The Non-Random Spacing of Romano-British Walled Towns. Man. 6, 3 (1971), 391–407.

[216]

Ingold, T. 2007. Materials against materiality. Archaeological Dialogues. 14, 1 (2007), 1–16. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S1380203807002127.

[217]

Ingold, T. 1993. The temporality of the landscape. World Archaeology. 25, 2 (1993), 152–174.

[218]

James R. Sackett 1977. The Meaning of Style in Archaeology: A General Model. American Antiquity. 42, 3 (1977), 369–380.

[219]

James, S. 1998. Celts, politics and motivation in archaeology. Antiquity. 72, 275 (1998), 200–209.

[220]

James, S. 2003. Roman archaeology: Crisis and revolution. Antiquity. 77, 295 (2003), 178–184.

[221]

James, S. 2002. Writing the Legions: The Development and Future of Roman Military Studies in Britain. Archaeological Journal. 159, 1 (Jan. 2002), 1–58. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/00665983.2002.11020514.

[222]

James, Simon et al. 2001. Britons and Romans: advancing an archaeological agenda. Council for British Archaeology.

[223]

James, Simon 1999. The Atlantic Celts: ancient people or modern invention?. British
Museum Press.

[224]

Johnson, M. 2010. Chapters 6 and 7. Archaeological theory: an introduction.
Wiley-Blackwell.

[225]

Johnson, M. 2010. Common sense is not enough. Archaeological theory: an introduction.
Wiley-Blackwell. 1–11.

[226]

Johnson, M. 2006. On the nature of theoretical archaeology and archaeological theory.
Archaeological Dialogues. 13, 2 (2006), 117–132.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S138020380621208X.

[227]

Johnson, Matthew 2010. Archaeological theory: an introduction. Wiley-Blackwell.

[228]

Johnson, M.H. 1989. Conceptions of agency in archaeological interpretation. Journal of
Anthropological Archaeology. 8, 2 (Jun. 1989), 189–211.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4165(89)90024-X.

[229]

Johnson, M.H. 1999. Rethinking historical archaeology. Historical archaeology: back from
the edge. Routledge. 23–36.

[230]

Jones, Andrew 2001. Archaeological theory and scientific practice. Cambridge University Press.

[231]

Jones, S. 1997. Chapter 2. The archaeology of ethnicity: constructing identities in the past and present. Routledge.

[232]

Jones, S. 2000. Discourses of identity in the interpretation of the past. Interpretive archaeology: a reader. Leicester University Press. 445–457.

[233]

Jones, S. 2008. Ethnicity: theoretical approaches, methodological implications. Handbook of archaeological theories. AltaMira Press. 321–333.

[234]

Jones, S. 1997. The archaeology of ethnicity: constructing identities in the past and present . Routledge.

[235]

Joyce, R. 2004. Embodied subjectivity: gender, feminity, masculinity, sexuality. A companion to social archaeology. Blackwell Pub. Ltd. 82–95.

[236]

Joyce, R.A. 2005. Archaeology of the Body. Annual Review of Anthropology. 34, 1 (Oct. 2005), 139–158. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.33.070203.143729.

[237]

Joyce, R.A. 2004. Unintended Consequences? Monumentality as a Novel Experience in Formative Mesoamerica. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory. 11, 1 (2004), 5–29.

[238]

Karlin, C. and Julien, M. 1994. Prehistoric technology: a cognitive science? The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology. Cambridge University Press. 152–163.

[239]

Karlsson, Håkan 2001. It's about time: the concept of time in archaeology. Bricoleur.

[240]

Kent G. Lightfoot, Antoinette Martinez and Ann M. Schiff 1998. Daily Practice and Material Culture in Pluralistic Social Settings: An Archaeological Study of Culture Change and Persistence from Fort Ross, California. American Antiquity. 63, 2 (1998), 199–222.

[241]

Knapp, A.B. and van Dommelen, P. 2008. Past Practices: Rethinking Individuals and Agents in Archaeology. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 18, 01 (Feb. 2008), 15–34. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959774308000024.

[242]

Knapp, A.B. and Meskell, L. 1997. Bodies of Evidence on Prehistoric Cyprus. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 7, 02 (1997), 183–204. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959774300001931.

[243]

Knappet, C. 2012. Materiality. Archaeological theory today. Polity. 188–207.

[244]

Knappett, C. 2002. Photographs, Skeuomorphs and Marionettes: Some Thoughts on Mind, Agency and Object. Journal of Material Culture. 7, 1 (Mar. 2002), 97–117.

DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1359183502007001307.

[245]

Knappett, Carl 2011. An archaeology of interaction: network perspectives on material culture and society. Oxford University Press.

[246]

Knappett, Carl 2005. Thinking through material culture: an interdisciplinary perspective. University of Pennsylvania Press.

[247]

Knappett, Carl and Malafouris, Lambros 2008. Material agency: towards a non-anthropocentric approach. Springer.

[248]

Kohl, P.L. 1998. Nationalism and archaeology: On the Constructions of Nations and the Reconstructions of the Remote Past. Annual Review of Anthropology. 27, 1 (Oct. 1998), 223–246. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.27.1.223.

[249]

Kohl, P.L. 1998. Nationalism and Archaeology: On the Constructions of Nations and the Reconstructions of the Remote Past. Annual Review of Anthropology. 27, 1 (Oct. 1998), 223–246. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.27.1.223.

[250]

Kohl, P.L. and Fawcett, C. 1995. Archaeology in the service of the state: theoretical considerations. Nationalism, politics, and the practice of archaeology. Cambridge University Press. 3–18.

[251]

Kristiansen, K. 2004. Genes versus agents: A discussion of the widening theoretical gap in archaeology. Archaeological Dialogues. 11, 2 (2004), 77–99. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S1380203805211509.

[252]

Kristiansen, K. 2004. Genes versus agents. A discussion of the widening theoretical gap in archaeology. Archaeological Dialogues. 11, 2 (Dec. 2004), 77–99. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S1380203805211509.

[253]

Lake, M. 2007. Viewing space. World Archaeology. 39, 1 (2007), 1–3.

[254]

LaMotta, V. and Schiffer, M. 2001. Behavioral archaeology. Towards a new synthesis. Archaeological theory today. Polity.

[255]

LaMotta, V. and Schiffer, M. 2012. Behavioral archaeology. Towards a new synthesis. Archaeological theory today. Polity. 14–64.

[256]

Layton, R. 2006. Structuralism and semiotics. Handbook of material culture. SAGE. 29–42.

[257]

Layton, Robert 1994. Who needs the past?: indigenous values and archaeology. Routledge.

[258]

van der Leeuw, S. 1984. Dust to dust: a transformational view of the ceramic cycle. The

many dimensions of pottery: ceramics in archaeology and anthropology. Universiteit van Amsterdam. 709–733.

[259]

Lemonnier, P. 1986. The study of material culture today: Toward an anthropology of technical systems. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology. 5, 2 (Jun. 1986), 147–186. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4165(86)90012-7.

[260]

Leone et al., M. 1994. Can an African-American historical archaeology be an alternative voice? Interpreting archaeology: finding meaning in the past. Routledge. 110–124.

[261]

Leone, M. 1986. Symbolic, structural and critical archaeology. American archaeology, past and future: a celebration of the Society for American Archaeology, 1935-1985. Smithsonian Institution Press. 415–438.

[262]

Leone, M. 1987. Towards a critical archaeology. Current Anthropology. 28, 3 (1987), 283–302.

[263]

Leone, M. and Preucel, R. 1992. Archaeology in a democratic society: a critical perspective. Quandaries and quests: visions of archaeology's future. Center for Archaeological Investigations, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale. 115–135.

[264]

Leone, Mark P. 1972. Contemporary archaeology: a guide to theory and contributions. Feffer & Simons.

[265]

Leone, Mark P. 2005. The archaeology of liberty in an American capital: excavations in Annapolis. University of California Press.

[266]

Lipo, Carl P. and Society for American Archaeology 2006. Mapping our ancestors: phylogenetic approaches in anthropology and prehistory. Aldine Transaction.

[267]

Llobera, M. 1996. Exploring the topography of mind: GIS, social space and archaeology. Antiquity. 70, 269 (1996), 612–622.

[268]

Lucas, G. 2001. Introduction: archaeology and the field. Critical approaches to fieldwork: contemporary and historical archaeological practice. Routledge. 1–17.

[269]

Lucas, G. 2008. Time and Archaeological Event. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 18, 01 (Feb. 2008), 59–65. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S095977430800005X.

[270]

Lucas, G. 2012. Understanding the archaeological record. Cambridge University Press.

[271]

Lucas, Gavin 2005. The archaeology of time. Routledge.

[272]

Lydon, Jane and Rizvi, Uzma Z. 2010. Handbook of postcolonial archaeology. Left Coast Press.

[273]

Lyman, R. Lee et al. 1997. The rise and fall of culture history. Plenum Press.

[274]

Lyman, R.L. 2007. What is the `process' in cultural process and in processual archaeology? Anthropological Theory. 7, 2 (Jun. 2007), 217–250. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1463499607077299.

[275]

Lyman, R.L. and O'Brien, M.J. 2004. A history of normative theory in Americanist archaeology. Journal of archaeological method and theory. 11, 4 (2004), 369–396.

[276]

Lyman, R.L. and O'Brien, M.J. 2000. Measuring and Explaining Change in Artifact Variation with Clade-Diversity Diagrams. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology. 19, 1 (Mar. 2000), 39–74. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1006/jaar.1999.0339.

[277]

Mace, Ruth et al. 2005. The evolution of cultural diversity: a phylogenetic approach. UCL Press.

[278]

MacGregor, G. 1994. Post-processual archaeology: the hidden agenda of the secret agent. Archaeological theory: progress or posture?. Avebury. 79–91.

[279]

Mackenzie, Iain M. 1994. Archaeological theory: progress or posture?. Avebury.

[280]

Mackenzie, Iain M. 1994. Archaeological theory: progress or posture?. Avebury.

[281]

Madonna L. Moss 2005. Rifts in the Theoretical Landscape of Archaeology in the United
States: A Comment on Hegmon and Watkins. American Antiquity. 70, 3 (2005), 581–587.

[282]

Margaret W. Conkey 2007. Questioning Theory: Is There a Gender of Theory in
Archaeology? Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory. 14, 3 (2007), 285–310.

[283]

Margaret W. Conkey 2007. Questioning Theory: Is There a Gender of Theory in
Archaeology? Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory. 14, 3 (2007), 285–310.

[284]

Martinón-Torres, M. 2002. Chaîne Opératoire: The concept and its aplications within the
study of technology. Gallaecia. 21, (2002), 29–43.

[285]

Maschner, Herbert D. G. et al. 2008. Handbook of archaeological theories. AltaMira Press.

[286]

Mason, David J. P. and Symonds, Matthew F. A. 2009. Frontiers of knowledge: a research
framework for Hadrian's Wall, part of the Frontiers of the Roman Empire World Heritage
site. Durham County Council and Durham University.

[287]

McGlade, J. 1999. Archaeology and the evolution of cultural landscapes: towards an

interdisciplinary agenda. The archaeology and anthropology of landscape: shaping your landscape. Routledge. 458–482.

[288]

McGuire, R. 2004. Contested pasts: archaeology and Native Americans. A companion to social archaeology. Blackwell Pub. Ltd. 374–395.

[289]

McGuire, Randall H. 2008. Archaeology as political action. University of California Press.

[290]

Megaw, J.V.S. and Megaw, M.R. 1996. Ancient Celts and Modern Ethnicity. Antiquity. 70, 267 (1996), 175–181.

[291]

Meskell, L. 2012. Archaeologies of identity. Archaeological theory today. Polity. 187–213.

[292]

Meskell, L. 2002. The Intersections of Identity and Politics in Archaeology. Annual Review of Anthropology. 31, 1 (Oct. 2002), 279–301. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.31.040402.085457.

[293]

Meskell, Lynn 2006. Archaeologies of materiality. Blackwell.

[294]

Meskell, Lynn 1999. Archaeologies of social life: age, sex, class et cetera in ancient Egypt. Blackwell.

[295]

Meskell, Lynn 1999. Archaeologies of social life: age, sex, class et cetera in ancient Egypt. Blackwell.

[296]

Meskell, Lynn 1999. Archaeologies of social life: age, sex, class et cetera in ancient Egypt. Blackwell.

[297]

Meskell, Lynn 1998. Archaeology under fire: nationalism, politics and heritage in the Eastern Mediterranean and Middle East. Routledge.

[298]

Meskell, Lynn 2004. Object worlds in ancient Egypt: material biographies past and present. Berg.

[299]

Meskell, Lynn and Joyce, Rosemary A. 2003. Embodied lives: figuring ancient Maya and Egyptian experience. Routledge.

[300]

Meskell, Lynn and Joyce, Rosemary A. 2003. Embodied lives: figuring ancient Maya and Egyptian experience. Routledge.

[301]

Meskell, Lynn and Preucel, Robert W. 2004. A companion to social archaeology. Blackwell Pub. Ltd.

[302]

Michael Shanks 2007. Symmetrical Archaeology. World Archaeology. 39, 4 (2007),
589–596.

[303]

Michelle Hegmon 2005. No More Theory Wars: A Response to Moss. American Antiquity.
70, 3 (2005), 588–590.

[304]

Michelle Hegmon 2003. Setting Theoretical Egos Aside: Issues and Theory in North
American Archaeology. American Antiquity. 68, 2 (2003), 213–243.

[305]

Miller, D. 2002. Artefacts and the meaning of things. Companion encyclopedia of
anthropology. Routledge. 396–419.

[306]

Miller, D. and Tilley, C.Y. 1984. Ideology, power and prehistory: An introduction. Ideology,
power and prehistory. Cambridge University Press. 1–15.

[307]

Miller, Daniel 2005. Materiality. Duke University Press.

[308]

Mithen, S.J. 1996. The prehistory of the mind: a search for the origins of art, religion and
science. Thames & Hudson.

[309]

Mithen, S.J. 1990. Thoughtful foragers: a study of prehistoric decision making. Cambridge

University Press.

[310]

Mizoguchi, Kōji 2006. Archaeology, society and identity in modern Japan. Cambridge University Press.

[311]

Moore, H.L. 1994. Bodies on the move: gender, power and material culture. A passion for difference: essays in anthropology and gender. Polity. 71–85.

[312]

Moore, T. 2011. Detribalizing the later prehistoric past: Concepts of tribes in Iron Age and Roman studies. Journal of Social Archaeology. 11, 3 (Oct. 2011), 334–360. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1469605311403861.

[313]

Moshenska, Gabriel and Dhanjal, Sarah 2012. Community archaeology: themes, methods and practices. Oxbow.

[314]

Murray, T. 1993. Communication and the importance of disciplinary communities: who owns the past? Archaeological theory: who sets the agenda?. Cambridge University Press. 105–116.

[315]

Murray, Tim and World Archaeological Congress 1999. Time and archaeology. Routledge.

[316]

Mytum, H. 2007. Materiality and memory: an archaeological perspective on the popular

adoption of linear time in Britain. Antiquity. 81, 312 (2007), 381–396.

[317]

Nancy D. Munn 1992. The Cultural Anthropology of Time: A Critical Essay. Annual Review of Anthropology. 21, (1992), 93–123.

[318]

Nanni, G. 2011. Time, empire and resistance in settler-colonial Victoria. Time & Society. 20, 1 (Mar. 2011), 5–33. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/0961463X10369765.

[319]

Nelson, M.C. 1991. The study of technological organization. Archaeological Method and Theory. 3, (1991), 57–100.

[320]

Nelson, Sarah M. 2006. Handbook of gender in archaeology. AltaMira Press.

[321]

Nesbitt, C. and Tolia-Kelly, D. 2009. Hadrian's Wall: Embodied archaeologies of the linear monument. Journal of Social Archaeology. 9, 3 (Sep. 2009), 368–390. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1469605309338428.

[322]

O'Brien, Michael J. et al. 2005. Archaeology as a process: processualism and its progeny. University of Utah Press.

[323]

O'Brien, Michael J. et al. 2005. Archaeology as a process: processualism and its progeny. University of Utah Press.

[324]

O'Brien, M.J. 2003. Style, function, transmission: an introduction. Style, function, transmission: evolutionary archaeological perspectives. University of Utah Press. 1–32.

[325]

O'Brien, M.J. et al. 2003. What is evolution? A response to Bamforth. American Antiquity. 68, 3 (2003), 573–580.

[326]

Olsen, B. 2012. Archaeology: the discipline of things. University of California Press.

[327]

Olsen, B. 2003. Material culture after text: re-membering things. Norwegian Archaeological Review. 36, 2 (Oct. 2003), 87–104. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/00293650310000650.

[328]

Olsen, B. 2012. Symmetical archaeology. Archaeological theory today. Polity. 208–228.

[329]

Papaconstantinou, Demetra 2006. Deconstructing context: a critical approach to archaeological practice. Oxbow.

[330]

Parker Pearson et. al., M. 2006. Stonehenge, its river and its landscape: Unravelling the mysteries of a prehistoric sacred place. Archäologischer Anzeiger: Beiblatt zum Jahrbuch des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts. 1, (2006), 237–258.

[331]

Parker Pearson et. al., M. 2007. The age of Stonehenge. Antiquity. 81, 313 (2007), 617–639.

[332]

Parker Pearson et. al., M. 2009. Who was buried at Stonehenge? Antiquity. 83, 319 (2009), 23–39.

[333]

Parker Pearson, M. and Ramilisonina 1998. Stonehenge for the ancestors: The stones pass on the message. Antiquity. 72, 276 (1998), 308–326.

[334]

Parker Pearson, M. and Ramilisonina 1998. Stonehenge for the ancestors: The stones pass on the message. Antiquity. 72, 276 (1998), 308–326.

[335]

Patrik, L.E. 1985. Is there an archaeological record? Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory. 8, (1985), 27–62.

[336]

Patterson, T. 2004. Social archaeology and Marxist social thought. A companion to social archaeology. Blackwell Pub. Ltd. 66–81.

[337]

Patterson, Thomas Carl 2003. Marx's ghost: conversations with archaeologists. Berg.

[338]

Pauketat, T.R. 2001. Practice and history in archaeology: An emerging paradigm. Anthropological Theory. 1, 1 (Mar. 2001), 73–98. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/146349960100100105.

[339]

Pauketat, T.R. 2001. Practice and history in archaeology: An emerging paradigm.
Anthropological Theory. 1, 1 (Mar. 2001), 73–98.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/146349960100100105.

[340]

Pearson, M.P. 2006. Materializing Stonehenge: The Stonehenge Riverside Project and New
Discoveries. Journal of Material Culture. 11, 1–2 (Jul. 2006), 227–261.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1359183506063024.

[341]

Pearson, M.P. et al. 2011. Resolving the Human Remains Crisis in British Archaeology.
Papers from the Institute of Archaeology. 21, (2011), 5–9.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.5334/pia.369.

[342]

Pfaffenberger, B. 1992. Social Anthropology of Technology. Annual Review of Anthropology
. 21, 1 (Oct. 1992), 491–516. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.an.21.100192.002423.

[343]

Plog, F. 1973. Laws, systems of laws and the explanation of observed variation. The
explanation of culture change: models in prehistory. Duckworth. 649–661.

[344]

Pluciennik, Mark et al. 2002. Thinking through the body: archaeologies of corporeality.
Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.

[345]

Pope, R. 2011. Processual archaeology and gender politics. The loss of innocence.

Archaeological Dialogues. 18, 1 (2011), 59–86.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S1380203811000134.

[346]

Pope, R. 2011. Processual archaeology and gender politics. The loss of innocence.
Archaeological Dialogues. 18, 01 (Apr. 2011), 59–86.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S1380203811000134.

[347]

Powell, A. et al. 2009. Late Pleistocene Demography and the Appearance of Modern
Human Behavior. Science. 324, 5932 (Jun. 2009), 1298–1301.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1170165.

[348]

Praetzellis, Adrian 2000. Death by theory: a tale of mystery and archaeological theory.
AltaMira Press.

[349]

Preucel, R. and Bauer, A. 2001. Archaeological Pragmatics. Norwegian Archaeological
Review. 34, 2 (2001), 85–96. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/00293650127469.

[350]

Preucel, Robert W. 2006. Archaeological semiotics. Blackwell.

[351]

Preucel, R.W. 1995. The postprocessual condition. Journal of Archaeological Research. 3, 2
(1995), 147–175.

[352]

Preucel, R.W. and Mrozowski, S.A. 2010. The new pragmatism. Contemporary archaeology

in theory: the new pragmatism. Wiley-Blackwell. 3–49.

[353]

Price, B.J. 1982. Cultural Materialism: A Theoretical Review. American Antiquity. 47, 4 (1982), 709–741.

[354]

Quirke, Stephen 2010. Hidden hands: Egyptian workforces in Petrie excavation archives, 1880-1924. Gerald Duckworth.

[355]

R. Alexander Bentley, Matthew W. Hahn and Stephen J. Shennan 2004. Random Drift and Culture Change. Proceedings: Biological Sciences. 271, 1547 (2004), 1443–1450.

[356]

Ratnagar, S. 2004. Archaeology at the Heart of a Political Confrontation: The Case of Ayodhya. Current Anthropology. 45, 2 (Apr. 2004), 239–259. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1086/381044.

[357]

Rautman, Alison E. and Gender and Archaeology Conference 2000. Reading the body: representations and remains in the archaeological record. University of Pennsylvania Press.

[358]

Redman, C. 1999. The development of archaeological theory. Companion encyclopedia of archaeology. Routledge. 48–80.

[359]

Redman, Charles L. 1978. Social archeology: beyond subsistence and dating. Academic

Press.

[360]

Redman, C.L. 1991. Distinguished lecture in archaeology: In defence of the seventies - The adolescence of New Archaeology. American Anthropologist. 93, 2 (1991), 295–307.

[361]

Rega, E. 1996. Age, gender and biological reality in the Early Bronze Age cemetery at Mokrin. Invisible people and processes: writing gender and childhood into European archaeology. Leicester University Press. 229–247.

[362]

Reid, Donald M. 2002. Whose pharaohs?: archaeology, museums, and Egyptian national identity from Napoleon to World War I. University of California Press.

[363]

Reinhard Bernbeck and Susan Pollock 1996. Ayodhya, Archaeology, and Identity. Current Anthropology. 37, 1 (1996), S138–S142.

[364]

Renfrew, C. 1999. Beyond diffusion. Before civilization: the radiocarbon revolution and prehistoric Europe. Pimlico. 109–119.

[365]

Renfrew, C. 1972. Culture systems and the multiplier effect. The emergence of civilisation: the Cyclades and the Aegean in the Third Millennium B.C. Methuen. 19–44.

[366]

Renfrew, C. 1973. Monuments, mobilization and social organisation in Neolithic Wessex. The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory. Duckworth. 539–558.

[367]

Renfrew, C. 1973. Monuments, mobilization and social organization in Neolithic Wessex. The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory. Duckworth. 539–558.

[368]

Renfrew, C. 1994. Towards a cognitive archaeology. The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology. Cambridge University Press. 3–12.

[369]

Renfrew, Colin et al. 2004. Rethinking materiality: the engagement of mind with the material world. McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research.

[370]

Renfrew, Colin et al. 1997. Science and Stonehenge. Oxford University Press for the British Academy.

[371]

Renfrew, Colin and Research Seminar in Archaeology and Related Subjects 1973. The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory. Duckworth.

[372]

Review by: Lewis R. Binford American Antiquity. Vol. 53, No. 4, 875–876.

[373]

Richards, Julian C. et al. 1990. The Stonehenge environs project. Historical Buildings & Monuments Commission for England.

[374]

Richards, Julian C. and English Heritage 1991. English Heritage book of Stonehenge. B. T.
Batsford Ltd./ English Heritage.

[375]

Richerson, Peter J. and Boyd, Robert 2005. Not by genes alone: how culture transformed
human evolution. University of Chicago Press.

[376]

Richerson, P.J. and Boyd, R. 2005. Not by genes alone: how culture transformed human
evolution. University of Chicago Press.

[377]

Robb, J. and Pauketat, T.R. 2013. Big histories, human lives: tackling problems of scale in
archaeology. School for Advanced Research Press.

[378]

Robb, J.E. 1998. The archaeology of symbols. Annual Review of Anthropology. 27, (1998),
329–346. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.27.1.329.

[379]

Robb, J.E. and Dobres, M.-A. 2000. Agency in archaeology: Paradigm or Platitude? Agency
in archaeology. Routledge. 3–17.

[380]

Robert C. Dunnell 1982. The Harvey Lecture Series. Science, Social Science, and Common
Sense: The Agonizing Dilemma of Modern Archaeology. Journal of Anthropological
Research. 38, 1 (1982), 1–25.

[381]

Rosen, Ralph Mark and University of Pennsylvania 2004. Time and temporality in the

ancient world. University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology.

[382]

Roskams, S. 2001. Future prospects. Excavation. Cambridge University Press. 267–290.

[383]

Ross, Jennifer C. and Steadman, Sharon R. 2010. Agency and identity in the ancient Near East: new paths forward. Equinox.

[384]

Rowley-Conwy, P. et al. 2001. Hunter-gatherers: an interdisciplinary perspective. Cambridge University Press.

[385]

Saitta, Dean J. 2007. The archaeology of collective action. University Press of Florida.

[386]

Scarre, G. and Coningham, R. 2013. Appropriating the past: philosophical perspectives on the practice of archaeology. Cambridge University Press.

[387]

Schiffer, M.B. 1972. Archaeological context and systemic context. American Antiquity. 37, 2 (1972), 156–165.

[388]

Schiffer, M.B. 1985. Is there a 'Pompeii Premise' in archaeology? Journal of Anthropological Research. 41, 1 (1985), 18–41.

[389]

Schiffer, M.B. and Skibo, J.M. 1997. The explanation of artifact variability. American Antiquity. 62, 1 (1997), 27–50.

[390]

Schiffer, Michael B. 1976. Behavioral archaeology. Academic Press.

[391]

Schiffer, Michael B. 1995. Behavioral archaeology: first principles. University of Utah Press.

[392]

Schiffer, Michael B. 1987. Formation processes of the archaeological record. University of New Mexico Press.

[393]

Schlanger , N. 1994. Mindful technology: unleashing the Chaine operatoire for an archaeology of mind. The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology. Cambridge University Press. 143–151.

[394]

Schnapp, A. 2002. Between antiquarians and archaeologists - Continuities and ruptures. Antiquity. 76, 291 (2002), 134–140.

[395]

Shanks, M. 2008. Post-processual archaeology and after. Handbook of archaeological theories. AltaMira Press. 133–144.

[396]

Shanks, M. 2008. Post-processual archaeology and after. Handbook of archaeological theories. AltaMira Press. 133–144.

[397]

Shanks, M. and Hodder, I. 1994. Processual, postprocessual and interpretive archaeologies. Interpreting archaeology: finding meaning in the past. Routledge. 3–29.

[398]

Shanks, M. and McGuire, R.H. 1996. The craft of archaeology. American Antiquity. 61, 1 (1996), 75–88.

[399]

Shanks, M. and Tilley, C. 1987. Abstract and Substantial Time. Archaeological Review From Cambridge. 6, 1 (1987), 32–41.

[400]

Shanks, M. and Tilley, C. 1989. Comments on Archaeology into the 1990s. Norwegian Archaeological Review. 22, 1 (1989), 12–54.

[401]

Shanks, M. and Tilley, C.Y. 1987. Archaeology and the politics of theory. Social theory and archaeology. Polity in association with Blackwell. 186–208.

[402]

Shanks, M. and Tilley, C.Y. 1992. Chapter 3: facts and values in archaeology. Re-constructing archaeology: theory and practice. Routledge. 46–67.

[403]

Shanks, M. and Tilley, C.Y. 1987. The individual and the social. Social theory and archaeology. Polity in association with Blackwell. 61–78.

[404]

Shanks, Michael and Tilley, Christopher Y. 1992. Re-constructing archaeology: theory and practice. Routledge.

[405]

Shennan, S. 2011. Descent with modification and the archaeological record. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 366, 1567 (Apr. 2011), 1070–1079. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0380.

[406]

Shennan, S. 2008. Evolution in Archaeology. Annual Review of Anthropology. 37, 1 (Oct. 2008), 75–91. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.37.081407.085153.

[407]

Shennan, S.J. 2011. An evolutionary perspective on the goals of archaeology. Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue. Left Coast. 325–344.

[408]

Shennan, S.J. 1994. Introduction: archaeological approaches to cultural identity. Archaeological approaches to cultural identity. Routledge. 1–32.

[409]

Shennan, Stephen 2002. Genes, memes and human history: Darwinian archaeology and cultural evolution. Thames & Hudson.

[410]

Shennan, Stephen 2002. Genes, memes and human history: Darwinian archaeology and cultural evolution. Thames & Hudson.

[411]

Shennan, Stephen 2009. Pattern and process in cultural evolution. University of California Press.

[412]

Shott, M.J. 1998. Status and role of formation theory in contemporary archaeological practice. Journal of archaeological research. 6, 4 (1998), 299–329.

[413]

Sigault, F. 2002. Technology. Companion encyclopedia of anthropology. Routledge. 420–459.

[414]

Sillar, B. 2009. The Social Agency of Things? Animism and Materiality in the Andes. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 19, 03 (Oct. 2009), 367–377. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959774309000559.

[415]

SILLAR, B. and TITE, M.S. 2000. THE CHALLENGE OF 'TECHNOLOGICAL CHOICES'FOR MATERIALS SCIENCE APPROACHES IN ARCHAEOLOGY. Archaeometry. 42, 1 (Feb. 2000), 2–20. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4754.2000.tb00863.x.

[416]

Sinclair, A. 2000. Constellations of knowledge: human agency and material affordance in lithic technology. Agency in archaeology. Routledge. 196–212.

[417]

Smith, Laurajane 2004. Archaeological theory and the politics of cultural heritage. Routledge.

[418]

Smith, Laurajane 2004. Archaeological theory and the politics of cultural heritage. Routledge.

[419]

Smith, Stuart Tyson 2003. Wretched Kush: ethnic identities and boudaries in Egypt's Nubian empire. Routledge.

[420]

Sofaer, Joanna R. 2006. The body as material culture: a theoretical osteoarchaeology. Cambridge University Press.

[421]

Sørensen, Marie Louise Stig 2000. Gender archaeology. Polity Press.

[422]

Sørensen, M.L.S. 1997. Reading Dress: The Construction of Social Categories and Identities in Bronze Age Europe. Journal of European Archaeology. 5, 1 (1997), 93–114. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1179/096576697800703656.

[423]

Spaulding, A.C. 1953. Statistical techniques for the discovery of artifact types. American Antiquity. 18, 4 (1953), 305–313.

[424]

Spector, J. 1991. What this awl means: towards a feminist archaeology. Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory. Blackwell. 388–406.

[425]

Spriggs, M. 2008. Ethnographic parallels and the denial of history. World Archaeology. 40, 4 (2008), 538–552. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/00438240802453161.

[426]

Stark, Miriam T. 1998. The archaeology of social boundaries. Smithsonian Institution Press.

[427]

Steven Mithen 1995. Understanding Mind and Culture: Evolutionary Psychology or Social
Anthropology? Anthropology Today. 11, 6 (1995), 3–7.

[428]

Stottman, M. Jay 2010. Archaeologists as activists: can archaeologists change the world?.
University of Alabama Press.

[429]

Strauss, C. 2007. Blaming for Columbine. Current Anthropology. 48, 6 (Dec. 2007),
807–832. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1086/520975.

[430]

Tarlow, S. and Stutz, L.N. 2013. Can an archaeologist be a public intellectual?
Archaeological Dialogues. 20, 01 (Jun. 2013), 1–5.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S1380203813000032.

[431]

Tarlow, Sarah and West, Susie 1999. The familiar past?: archaeologies of later historical
Britain. Routledge.

[432]

Taylor, T. 2008. Materiality. Handbook of archaeological theories. AltaMira Press. 297–320.

[433]

Taylor, W.W. 1948. Chapter 6. A study of archaeology. American Anthropological Association.

[434]

Taylor, W.W. 1948. Chapter 6. A study of archaeology. American Anthropological Association.

[435]

Taylor, W.W. 1972. Old wine and new skins: A contemporary parable. Contemporary archaeology: a guide to theory and contributions. Feffer & Simons. 28–33.

[436]

Thomas, David Hurst 2000. Skull wars: Kennewick man, archaeology, and the battle for Native American identity. Basic Books.

[437]

Thomas, J. 2012. Archaeologies of place and landscape. Archaeological theory today. Polity. 165–186.

[438]

Thomas, J. 2004. Chapter 6. Archaeology and modernity. Routledge.

[439]

Thomas, J. 1999. Culture and identity. Companion encyclopedia of archaeology. Routledge. 431–469.

[440]

Thomas, J. 1999. Culture and identity. Companion encyclopedia of archaeology. Routledge.

431–469.

[441]

Thomas, J. 2004. Humanism and the individual: chapter 6. Archaeology and modernity. Routledge. 119–148.

[442]

Thomas, J. 1994. Where are we now? Archaeological theory in the 1990s. Theory in archaeology: a world perspective. Routledge. 343–362.

[443]

Thomas, J. 1994. Where are we now? Archaeological theory in the 1990s. Theory in archaeology: a world perspective. Routledge. 343–362.

[444]

Thomas, J.S. 1993. The politics of vision and the archaeologies of landscape. Landscape: politics and perspectives. Berg. 19–48.

[445]

Thomas, Julian 2004. Archaeology and modernity. Routledge.

[446]

Thomas, Julian et al. 2007. Overcoming the modern invention of material culture: proceedings of the TAG session, Exeter 2006. ADECAP.

[447]

Thomas, Julian 1996. Time, culture and identity: an interpretative archaeology. Routledge.

[448]

Thomas, Julian 1996. Time, culture and identity: an interpretative archaeology. Routledge.

[449]

Thomas, Julian and David, Bruno 2008. Handbook of landscape archaeology. Left Coast Press.

[450]

Tilley, C. 1989. Excavation as Theatre. Antiquity. 63, 239 (1989), 275–280.

[451]

Tilley, C. 1989. Interpreting material culture. The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression. Routledge. 185–194.

[452]

Tilley, C. 2004. Round Barrows and Dykes as Landscape Metaphors. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 14, 2 (Oct. 2004), 185–203. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959774304000125.

[453]

Tilley, C. 2004. Round Barrows and Dykes as Landscape Metaphors. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 14, 2 (Oct. 2004), 185–203. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959774304000125.

[454]

Tilley, C. and Bennett, W. 2001. An archaeology of super-natural places: the case of West Penwith. Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute. 7, 2 (2001), 335–362.

[455]

Tilley, Christopher Y. 1992. Interpretative archaeology. Berg.

[456]

Tilley, Christopher Y. 1999. Metaphor and material culture. Blackwell Publishers.

[457]

Tilley, C.Y. 1989. Archaeology as socio-political action in the present. Critical traditions in contemporary archaeology: essays in the philosophy, history and socio-politics of archaeology. Cambridge University Press. 104–116.

[458]

Tilley, C.Y. 1984. Ideology and the legitimation of power in the middle Neolithic of southern Sweden. Ideology, power and prehistory. Cambridge University Press. 111–145.

[459]

Tilley, C.Y. 2008. Phenomenological approaches to landscape archaeology. Handbook of landscape archaeology. Left Coast Press. 271–276.

[460]

Tilley, C.Y. 1994. Space, place, landscape and perception: phenomenological perspectives. A phenomenology of landscape: places, paths, and monuments. Berg. 7–34.

[461]

Tilley, C.Y. 1996. The powers of rocks: Topography and monument construction on Bodmin Moor. World Archaeology. 28, 2 (1996), 161–176.

[462]

Tim Ingold 1993. The Temporality of the Landscape. World Archaeology. 25, 2 (1993), 152–174.

[463]

Treherne, P. 1995. The warrior's beauty: the masculine body and self-identity in Bronze Age Europe. Journal of European archaeology: journal of the European Association of Archaeologists. 3, 1 (1995), 105–144.

[464]

Trigger, B.G. 1970. Aims in prehistoric archaeology. Antiquity. 44, 173 (1970), 26–37.

[465]

Trigger, B.G. 1984. Alternative archaeologies: Nationalist, colonialist, imperialist. Man. 19, 3 (1984), 355–370.

[466]

Trigger, B.G. 1980. Archaeology and the image of the American Indian. American Antiquity. 45, 4 (1980), 662–676.

[467]

Trigger, B.G. 1984. Archaeology at the Crossroads: What's New? Annual Review of Anthropology. 13, 1 (Oct. 1984), 275–300. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.an.13.100184.001423.

[468]

Trigger, B.G. 2006. Chapter 7. A history of archaeological thought. Cambridge University Press.

[469]

Trigger, B.G. 2006. Chapter 8: Processualism and post-processualism. A history of archaeological thought. Cambridge University Press.

[470]

Trigger, B.G. 2006. Chapter 9 and 10. A history of archaeological thought. Cambridge University Press.

[471]

Trigger, B.G. 2006. Culture-historical archaeology. A history of archaeological thought. Cambridge University Press. 211–313.

[472]

Trigger, B.G. 1978. Current trends in American archaeology. Time and traditions: essays in archaeological interpretation. Edinburgh University Press. 2–18.

[473]

Trigger, B.G. 1991. Distinguished lecture in archaeology: Constraint and freedom - A new synthesis for archaeological explanation. American Anthropologist. 93, 3 (1991), 551–569.

[474]

Trigger, B.G. 1995. Expanding middle-range theory. Antiquity. 69, 264 (1995), 449–458.

[475]

Trigger, B.G. 2003. Hyper-relativism, responsibility and the Social Sciences. Artifacts & ideas: essays in archaeology. Transaction. 113–131.

[476]

Trigger, B.G. 1991. Post-processual developments in Anglo-American archaeology. Norwegian Archaeological Review. 24, 2 (Jan. 1991), 65–76.

[477]

Trigger, Bruce G. 2006. A history of archaeological thought. Cambridge University Press.

[478]

Trigger, Bruce G. 2006. A history of archaeological thought. Cambridge University Press.

[479]

Tringham, R. 2000. Engendered places in prehistory. Interpretive archaeology: a reader. Leicester University Press. 329–357.

[480]

Ucko, Peter J. and Theoretical Archaeology Group 1994. Theory in archaeology: a world perspective. Routledge.

[481]

Ucko, P.J. and Layton, R. 1999. Introduction: gazing on the landscape and encountering the environment. The archaeology and anthropology of landscape: shaping your landscape. Routledge. 1–20.

[482]

Urban, Patricia A. and Schortman, Edward M. 2012. Archaeological theory in practice. Left Coast Press.

[483]

Van Dyke, Ruth and Alcock, Susan E. 2003. Archaeologies of memory. Blackwell.

[484]

VanPool, C.S. and VanPool, T.L. 1999. The scientific nature of post-processualism. American Antiquity. 64, 1 (1999), 33–53.

[485]

VanPool, T.L. and VanPool, C.S. 2003. Agency and evolution: the role of intended and unintended consequences of action. Essential tensions in archaeological method and theory. University of Utah Press. 89–113.

[486]

VanPool, T.L. and VanPool, C.S. 2001. Postprocessualism and the nature of science: A response to comments by Hutson and Arnold and Wilkens. American Antiquity. 66, 2 (2001), 367–375.

[487]

VanPool, Todd L. and VanPool, Christine S. 2003. Essential tensions in archaeological method and theory. University of Utah Press.

[488]

Wagstaff, J. Malcolm 1987. Landscape and culture: geographical and archaeological perspectives. Basil Blackwell.

[489]

Watson, P.J. 2008. Processualism and after. Handbook of archaeological theories. AltaMira Press. 29–38.

[490]

Watts, C.M. 2013. Relational archaeologies: humans, animals, things. Routledge.

[491]

Webmoor, T. and Witmore, C.L. 2008. Things Are Us! A Commentary on Human/Things Relations under the Banner of a 'Social' Archaeology. Norwegian Archaeological Review. 41, 1 (Jun. 2008), 53–70. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/00293650701698423.

[492]

Webster, G. 2008. Culture history: a culture-historical approach. Handbook of archaeological theories. AltaMira Press. 11–27.

[493]

Wheatley, D. 1995. Cumulative viewshed analysis: a GIS-based method for investigating inter-visibility and its archaeological implication. Archaeology and geographical information systems: a European perspective. Taylor & Francis. 171–186.

[494]

Wheeler, Robert Eric Mortimer 1954. Archaeology from the earth. Clarendon Press.

[495]

Whitehouse, R. 2011. Cultural and biological approaches to the body in archaeology: can they be reconciled?" . Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue. Left Coast. 227–244.

[496]

Whitehouse, R. 1998. Feminism and archaeology: An awkward relationship. Papers from the Institute of Archaeology. 9, (1998), 1–7.

[497]

Whitley, J. 2013. Homer's Entangled Objects: Narrative, Agency and Personhood In and Out of Iron Age Texts. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 23, 03 (Oct. 2013), 395–416. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S095977431300053X.

[498]

Whittle, A. et al. 2008. The Timing and Tempo of Change: Examples from the Fourth Millennium cal. BC in Southern England. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 18, 01 (2008), 65–70. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959774308000061.

[499]

Wiessner, P. 1989. Style and changing relations between the individual and society. The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression. Unwin Hyman, HarperCollins Academic. 56–63.

[500]

Wilkinson, D. 2013. The Emperor's New Body: Personhood, Ontology and the Inka Sovereign. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 23, 03 (Oct. 2013), 417–432. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959774313000541.

[501]

Wilmott, T. 1995. Collapse theory and the end of Birdoswald. Theoretical Roman archaeology: second conference proceedings. Avebury. 59–69.

[502]

Wilmott, Tony and English Heritage 2009. Hadrian's Wall: archaeological research by English Heritage 1976-2000. English Heritage.

[503]

Winterhalder, B. et al. 2010. Ideal free settlement of California's Northern Channel Islands. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology. 29, 4 (Dec. 2010), 469–490. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaa.2010.07.001.

[504]

Witcher, R. et al. 2010. Archaeologies of Landscape: Excavating the Materialities of Hadrian's Wall. Journal of Material Culture. 15, 1 (Mar. 2010), 105–128. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1359183510355228.

[505]

Witcher, R. 2010. The Fabulous Tales of the Common People, Part 1: Representing

Hadrian's Wall. Public Archaeology. 9, 3 (2010), 126–152.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1179/146551810X12822101587138.

[506]

Wobst, H.M. 1999. Style in archaeology or archaeologists in style. Material meanings:
critical approaches to the interpretation of material culture. University of Utah Press.
118–132.

[507]

Wobst, H.M. 1977. Stylistic behavior ad information exchange. For the director: research
essays in honor of James B. Griffin. Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan.
317–342.

[508]

Wylie, A. 1995. Alternative histories. Epistemic disunity and political integrity. Making
alternative histories: the practice of archaeology and history in non-Western settings.
School of American Research Press. 255–272.

[509]

Wylie, A. 1991. Gender theory and the archaeological record: Why is there no archaeology
of gender? Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory. Blackwell. 31–54.

[510]

Wylie, A. 1992. On 'heavily decomposing red herrings'. Scientific method in archaeology
and the ladening of evidence with theory. Metaarchaeology: reflections by archaeologists
and philosophers. Kluwer Academic Publishers. 145–157.

[511]

Wylie, A. 1992. The interplay of evidential constraints and political interests: Recent
archaeological research on gender. American Antiquity. 57, 1 (1992), 15–35.

[512]

Wylie, A. 1992. The interplay of evidential constraints and political interests: Recent archaeological research on gender. American Antiquity. 57, 1 (1992), 15–35.

[513]

Wylie, A. 1989. The interpretive dilemma. Critical traditions in contemporary archaeology: essays in the philosophy, history and socio-politics of archaeology. Cambridge University Press. 18–27.

[514]

Wylie, A. 1985. The reaction against analogy. Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory. 8, (1985), 63–111.

[515]

Yates, T. 1992. Frameworks for an archaeology of the body. Interpretative archaeology. Berg. 31–72.

[516]

Yoffee, Norman and Sherratt, Andrew 1993. Archaeological theory: who sets the agenda?. Cambridge University Press.

[517]

Zarankin, Andrés et al. 2009. Memories from darkness: archaeology of repression and resistance in Latin America. Springer.

[518]

Zimmerman, Larry J. et al. 2003. Ethical issues in archaeology. Altamira Press.