

ARCL2028: Current Issues in Archaeological Theory

Undergraduate: Year 2 core: 0.5 units

[View Online](#)



1

Greene, Kevin, Moore, Tom. Archaeology: an introduction. 5th ed. London: : Routledge 2010.

2

Henson D. Doing archaeology: a subject guide for students. London: : Routledge 2012.
<https://www-taylorfrancis-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/books/mono/10.4324/9780203109878/archaeology-donald-henson>

3

Hodder I, Hutson S. Reading the past: current approaches to interpretation in archaeology. Third edition. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2003.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511814211>

4

Hodder, Ian. The archaeological process: an introduction. Oxford: : Blackwell 1999.

5

Hodder, Ian. Archaeological theory today. Cambridge: : Polity 2001.
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1101335&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

6

Johnson, Matthew. Archaeological theory: an introduction. 2nd ed. Chichester: : Wiley-Blackwell 2010.

7

Trigger, Bruce G. A history of archaeological thought. 2nd ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2006. <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511813016>

8

Urban, Patricia A., Schortman, Edward M. Archaeological theory in practice. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast Press 2012.

9

Hodder I. Towards a reflexive method. In: The archaeological process: an introduction. Oxford: : Blackwell 1999. 80–104.

10

Johnson M. Common sense is not enough. In: Archaeological theory: an introduction. Chichester: : Wiley-Blackwell 2010. 1–11.

11

Redman C. The development of archaeological theory. In: Companion encyclopedia of archaeology. London: : Routledge 1999. 48–80.

12

Bentley R, Alexander M. Introduction on archaeological theories. In: Handbook of archaeological theories. Lanham, MD: : AltaMira Press 2008.

13

Clarke DL. Archaeology: The loss of innocence. *Antiquity* 1973;47:6–18.

14

Margaret W. Conkey. Questioning Theory: Is There a Gender of Theory in Archaeology? Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory 2007; **14**:285–310.

15

Greene K. Chapter 6: making sense of the past. In: Archaeology: an introduction. London: : Routledge 2010.
249–312. <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780203835975>

16

Hodder I. Introduction: a review of contemporary theoretical debate in archaeology. In: Archaeological theory today. Cambridge: : Polity 2001.
1–13. <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&d=b=nlebk&AN=1101335&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

17

Meskell, Lynn, Preucel, Robert W. A companion to social archaeology. Malden, MA.: : Blackwell Pub. Ltd 2004. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/9780470693605>

18

O'Brien, Michael J., Lyman, R. Lee, Schiffer, Michael B. Archaeology as a process: processualism and its progeny. Salt Lake City: : University of Utah Press 2005.

19

Praetzellis, Adrian. Death by theory: a tale of mystery and archaeological theory. Oxford: : AltaMira Press 2000.

20

Thomas J. Where are we now? Archaeological theory in the 1990s. In: Theory in archaeology: a world perspective. London: : Routledge 1994. 343-62.

21

Trigger, Bruce G. A history of archaeological thought. 2nd ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2006. <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511813016>

22

VanPool, Todd L., VanPool, Christine S. Essential tensions in archaeological method and theory. Salt Lake City: : University of Utah Press 2003.

23

Yoffee, Norman, Sherratt, Andrew. Archaeological theory: who sets the agenda? Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1993.

24

Childe VG. What happens in prehistory? In: Piecing together the past: the interpretation of archaeological data. London: : Routledge & Kegan Paul 1956.

135-58.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c1eea4f3-9536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

25

Hawkes C. Wenner-Gren Foundation Supper Conference - Archaeological theory and method: Some suggestions from the Old World. American Anthropologist 1954;**56** :155-68.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/664357>

26

Trigger BG. Culture-historical archaeology. In: A history of archaeological thought. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2006. 211-313.

27

Childe VG. Preface and chapter 1. In: The Danube in prehistory. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1929. v-xii-1-7.

28

Gordon Childe V. Changing aims and methods in prehistory. Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society 1935;1:1-15.http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2028_47033.pdf

29

Clark, Grahame. Archaeology and society. 3rd ed. London: : Methuen 1960.

30

Diaz-Andreu M. Britain and the Other: the archaeology of imperialism. In: History, nationhood, and the question of Britain. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2004. 227-41.

31

Díaz-Andreu García, Margarita. A world history of nineteenth-century archaeology: nationalism, colonialism, and the past. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.
<https://academic-oup-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/40265>

32

Fagan, Brian M. Grahame Clark: an intellectual biography of an archaeologist. Boulder, Colo: : Westview 2001.

33

Harris, David R., Childe, V. Gordon, V. Gordon Childe Centennial Conference, et al. The archaeology of V. Gordon Childe: contemporary perspectives : proceedings of the V. Gordon Childe Centennial Conference held at the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, 8-9 May 1992 under the auspices of the Institute of Archaeology and the Prehistoric Society. London: : UCL Press 1994.

34

Hingley R. Chapters 9 and 10. In: Roman officers and English gentlemen: the imperial origins of Roman archaeology. New York: : Routledge 2000.

35

Jones S. Chapter 2. In: The archaeology of ethnicity: constructing identities in the past and present. London: : Routledge 1997.

36

Lyman, R. Lee, O'Brien, Michael J., Dunnell, Robert C. The rise and fall of culture history. London: : Plenum Press 1997.

37

Lyman RL, O'Brien MJ. A history of normative theory in Americanist archaeology. Journal of archaeological method and theory 2004;11:369–96.

38

Patterson, Thomas Carl. Marx's ghost: conversations with archaeologists. Oxford: : Berg 2003.

39

Renfrew C. Beyond diffusion. In: Before civilization: the radiocarbon revolution and prehistoric Europe. London: : Pimlico 1999. 109–19.

40

Schnapp A. Between antiquarians and archaeologists - Continuities and ruptures. Antiquity 2002;76:134–40.

41

Shennan SJ. Introduction: archaeological approaches to cultural identity. In: Archaeological approaches to cultural identity. London: : Routledge 1994. 1-32.

42

Spaulding AC. Statistical techniques for the discovery of artifact types. American Antiquity 1953; **18**:305-13.

43

Taylor WW. Chapter 6. In: A study of archaeology. [S.I.]: : American Anthropological Association 1948.

44

Webster G. Culture history: a culture-historical approach. In: Handbook of archaeological theories. Lanham, MD: : AltaMira Press 2008.
11-27. <https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=1>

45

Wheeler, Robert Eric Mortimer. Archaeology from the earth. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1954.

46

Binford LR. Archaeological perspectives. In: New perspectives in archeology. Chicago: : Aldine 1968. 5-32.

47

Binford LR. A consideration of archaeological research design. American Antiquity 1964; **29**:425-41.

48

Trigger BG. Current trends in American archaeology. In: Time and traditions: essays in archaeological interpretation. Edinburgh: : Edinburgh University Press 1978. 2-18.

49

Binford LR. Archaeology as anthropology. *American Antiquity* 1962;28:217-25.

50

Clarke DL. Archaeology: The loss of innocence. *Antiquity* 1973;47:6-18.

51

Clarke, David L., Chapman, Bob. Analytical archaeology. 2nd ed. London: : Methuen 1978.

52

Clark G. Economic approach to prehistory. In: Economic prehistory: papers on archaeology. Cambridge [Cambridgeshire]: : Cambridge University Press 1989. 149-68.

53

Dunnell RC. Five decades of American archaeology. In: American archaeology, past and future: a celebration of the Society for American Archaeology, 1935-1985. London: : Smithsonian Institution Press 1986.

54

Flannery KV. Culture history vs. culture process: A Debate in American archaeology. *Scientific American* 1967;2:119-22.

55

Flannery KV. Archaeological systems theory and early Mesoamerica. In: Anthropological archeology in the Americas. Washington, DC: : The Anthropological Society of Washington 1968. 67-87.

56

Lyman RL. What is the 'process' in cultural process and in processual archaeology? *Anthropological Theory* 2007;7:217–50. doi:10.1177/1463499607077299

57

David J. Meltzer. Paradigms and the Nature of Change in American Archaeology. *American Antiquity* 1979;44:644–57.

58

O'Brien, Michael J., Lyman, R. Lee, Schiffer, Michael B. Archaeology as a process: processualism and its progeny. Salt Lake City: : University of Utah Press 2005.

59

Plog F. Laws, systems of laws and the explanation of observed variation. In: The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory. London: : Duckworth 1973. 649–61.

60

Redman CL. Distinguished lecture in archaeology: In defence of the seventies - The adolescence of New Archaeology. *American Anthropologist* 1991;93:295–307.

61

Renfrew C. Culture systems and the multiplier effect. In: The emergence of civilisation: the Cyclades and the Aegean in the Third Millennium B.C. London: : Methuen 1972. 19–44.

62

Renfrew C. Monuments, mobilization and social organization in Neolithic Wessex. In: The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory. London: : Duckworth 1973. 539–58.

63

Taylor WW. Chapter 6. In: A study of archaeology. [S.l.]: : American Anthropological Association 1948.

64

Taylor WW. Old wine and new skins: A contemporary parable. In: Contemporary archaeology: a guide to theory and contributions. Carbondale, Ill: : Feffer & Simons 1972. 28-33.

65

Trigger BG. Chapter 7. In: A history of archaeological thought. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511813016>

66

Trigger BG. Aims in prehistoric archaeology. *Antiquity* 1970;44:26-37.

67

Watson PJ. Processualism and after. In: Handbook of archaeological theories. Lanham, MD: : AltaMira Press 2008. 29-38.

68

Binford LR. Relics to artifacts and monuments to assemblages: changing conceptual frameworks. In: Bones: ancient men and modern myths. London: : Academic Press 1981. 3-20.

69

Flannery KV. The Golden Marshalltown: A Parable for the Archeology of the 1980s. *American Anthropologist* 1982;84:265-78.<https://doi.org/10.1525/aa.1982.84.2.02a00010>

70

Schiffer MB. Archaeological context and systemic context. *American Antiquity* 1972;37:156–65.

71

Arnold P. Back to basics: the middle-range program as pragmatic archaeology. In: *Essential tensions in archaeological method and theory*. Salt Lake City: : University of Utah Press 2003. 55–66.

72

Binford LR. General introduction. In: *For theory building in archaeology: essays on faunal remains, aquatic resources, spatial analysis and systemic modeling*. London: : Academic Press 1977. 1–10.

73

Binford LR. Behavioural archaeological and the ‘Pompeii Premise’ . *Journal of Anthropological Research* 1981;37:195–208.

74

Binford, Lewis Roberts. *Constructing frames of reference: an analytical method for archaeological theory building using hunter-gatherer and environmental data sets*. Berkeley: : University of California Press 2001.

75

Butzer, Karl W. *Archaeology as human ecology: method and theory for a contextual approach*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1982.

76

Courbin, Paul. *What is archaeology?: an essay on the nature of archaeological research*. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 1988.

77

Robert C. Dunnell. The Harvey Lecture Series. Science, Social Science, and Common Sense: The Agonizing Dilemma of Modern Archaeology. *Journal of Anthropological Research* 1982;38:1-25.

78

Hayden B, Cannon A. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 1983;2:117-63.
doi:10.1016/0278-4165(83)90010-7

79

Higgs ES, Vita-Finzi C. Prehistoric economies: A territorial approach. In: *Papers in economic prehistory: studies by members and associates of the British Academy Major Research Project in the Early History of Agriculture*. London: : Cambridge University Press 1972. 27-36.

80

LaMotta V, Schiffer M. Behavioral archaeology. Towards a new synthesis. In: *Archaeological theory today*. Cambridge: : Polity 2001.
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1101335&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

81

Leone, Mark P. *Contemporary archaeology: a guide to theory and contributions*. Carbondale, Ill: : Feffer & Simons 1972.

82

Patrik LE. Is there an archaeological record? *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory* 1985;8:27-62.

83

Price BJ. Cultural Materialism: A Theoretical Review. *American Antiquity* 1982;47:709-41.

84

Renfrew, Colin, Research Seminar in Archaeology and Related Subjects. The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory. London: : Duckworth 1973.

85

Schiffer, Michael B. Behavioral archaeology. London: : Academic Press 1976.

86

Schiffer MB. Is there a 'Pompeii Premise' in archaeology? *Journal of Anthropological Research* 1985;41:18-41.

87

Schiffer, Michael B. Behavioral archaeology: first principles. Salt Lake City: : University of Utah Press 1995.

88

Schiffer, Michael B. Formation processes of the archaeological record. Albuquerque, N.M.: : University of New Mexico Press 1987.

89

Shott MJ. Status and role of formation theory in contemporary archaeological practice. *Journal of archaeological research* 1998;6:299-329.

90

Trigger BG. Expanding middle-range theory. *Antiquity* 1995;69:449-58.

91

Wylie A. The reaction against analogy. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory* 1985;8:63-111.

92

Hodder I. Chapter 1: The problem. In: *Reading the past: current approaches to interpretation in archaeology*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2003. 1-19.

93

Leone M. Towards a critical archaeology. *Current Anthropology* 1987;28:283-302.

94

Shanks M, Tilley CY. Chapter 3: facts and values in archaeology. In: *Re-constructing archaeology: theory and practice*. London: : Routledge 1992. 46-67.

95

Wylie A. The interpretive dilemma. In: *Critical traditions in contemporary archaeology: essays in the philosophy, history and socio-politics of archaeology*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1989. 18-27.

96

Review by: Lewis R. Binford. *American Antiquity*. ;Vol. 53:875-6.

97

Cowgill GL. Distinguished lecture in archaeology: Beyond criticizing New Archaeology. *American Anthropologist*, New Series 1993;95:551-73.

98

Earle et. al. TK. Processual archaeology and the radical critique. *Current Anthropology*

1987; **28**:501-38.

99

Hodder I. Theoretical archaeology: A reactionary view. In: Symbolic and structural archaeology. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1982. 92-121.

100

Hodder I. Postprocessual archaeology. Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory 1985; **8**:1-26.

101

Johnson M. Chapters 6 and 7. In: Archaeological theory: an introduction. Chichester: : Wiley-Blackwell 2010.

102

Leone M. Symbolic, structural and critical archaeology. In: American archaeology, past and future: a celebration of the Society for American Archaeology, 1935-1985. London: : Smithsonian Institution Press 1986. 415-38.

103

Shanks M, Tilley CY. Archaeology and the politics of theory. In: Social theory and archaeology. Cambridge: : Polity in association with Blackwell 1987. 186-208.

104

Shanks, Michael, Tilley, Christopher Y. Re-constructing archaeology: theory and practice. 2nd ed. London: : Routledge 1992.

105

Shanks M. Post-processual archaeology and after. In: Handbook of archaeological theories. Lanham, MD: : AltaMira Press 2008. 133-44.

106

Tilley CY. Ideology and the legitimation of power in the middle Neolithic of southern Sweden. In: Ideology, power and prehistory. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1984. 111-45. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511897443

107

Trigger BG. Distinguished lecture in archaeology: Constraint and freedom - A new synthesis for archaeological explanation. American Anthropologist 1991;93:551-69.

108

Trigger BG. Archaeology at the Crossroads: What's New? Annual Review of Anthropology 1984;13:275-300. doi:10.1146/annurev.an.13.100184.001423

109

Trigger BG. Chapter 8: Processualism and post-processualism. In: A history of archaeological thought. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2006.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511813016>

110

Wylie A. On 'heavily decomposing red herrings'. Scientific method in archaeology and the ladening of evidence with theory. In: Metaarchaeology: reflections by archaeologists and philosophers. Dordrecht: : Kluwer Academic Publishers 1992. 145-57.

111

Shanks M, Hodder I. Processual, postprocessual and interpretive archaeologies. In: Interpreting archaeology: finding meaning in the past. London: : Routledge 1994. 3-29.

112

Shanks M, Tilley C. Comments on Archaeology into the 1990s. Norwegian Archaeological Review 1989;22:12-54.<https://www-tandfonline-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/toc/sarc20/22/1?nav=tocList>

113

Barrett, John C. *Fragments from antiquity: an archaeology of social life in Britain, 2900-1200 BC*. Cambridge, Mass.: Blackwell 1993.

114

Brück J. Experiencing the past? The development of a phenomenological archaeology in British prehistory. *Archaeological Dialogues* 2005;12:45–72.
doi:10.1017/S1380203805001583

115

Buchli, Victor, Lucas, Gavin, Cox, Margaret. *Archaeologies of the contemporary past*. London: Routledge 2001.

116

Chippindale C. Ambition, deference, discrepancy, comsumption: the intellectual background to a post-processual archaeology. In: *Archaeological theory: who sets the agenda?* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 1993. 27–36.

117

Dobres, Marcia-Anne. Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers 2000.

118

Dowson TA. Homosexuality, queer theory and archaeology. In: *Interpretive archaeology: a reader*. London: Leicester University Press 2000. 283–9.

119

Gero JM, Wright M. Tensions, pluralities and engendering archaeology: An introduction to Women and Prehistory. In: *Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory*. Oxford: Blackwell 1991. 3–30.

120

Hegmon M. Setting theoretical egos aside: Issues and theory in North American archaeology. *American Antiquity* 2003;68:213–43.

121

Hodder I. Interpretive archaeology and its role. *American Antiquity* 1991;56:7–18.

122

Hodder I. Material practice, symbolism and ideology. In: Theory and practice in archaeology. London: : Routledge 1992. 201–12.

123

Hodder, Ian. Interpreting archaeology: finding meaning in the past. London: : Routledge 1994.

124

Hodder I, Karlsson H, Olsen B. 40 Years of Theoretical Engagement: A Conversation with Ian Hodder. *Norwegian Archaeological Review* 2008;41:26–42.
doi:10.1080/00293650802181154

125

LaMotta V, Schiffer M. Behavioral archaeology. Towards a new synthesis. In: Archaeological theory today. Cambridge: : Polity 2012. 14–64.

126

Mackenzie, Iain M. Archaeological theory: progress or posture? Aldershot: : Avebury 1994.

127

Meskell, Lynn. *Archaeologies of social life: age, sex, class et cetera in ancient Egypt*. Oxford: : Blackwell 1999.

128

Preucel RW. The postprocessual condition. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 1995;3 :147-75.

129

Renfrew C. Towards a cognitive archaeology. In: *The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1994. 3-12.

130

Shanks M. Post-processual archaeology and after. In: *Handbook of archaeological theories*. Lanham, MD: : AltaMira Press 2008.
133-44.<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780759113602>

131

Tarlow, Sarah, West, Susie. *The familiar past?: archaeologies of later historical Britain*. London: : Routledge 1999.

132

Thomas J. Where are we now? Archaeological theory in the 1990s. In: *Theory in archaeology: a world perspective*. London: : Routledge 1994. 343-62.

133

Thomas, Julian. *Time, culture and identity: an interpretative archaeology*. London: : Routledge 1996.

134

Tilley C. Interpreting material culture. In: *The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression*. London: : Routledge 1989. 185–94.

135

Tilley, Christopher Y. Interpretative archaeology. New York: : Berg 1992.

136

Trigger BG. Post-processual developments in Anglo-American archaeology. *Norwegian Archaeological Review* 1991; **24**:65–76.

137

Trigger BG. Hyper-relativism, responsibility and the Social Sciences. In: *Artifacts & ideas: essays in archaeology*. London: : Transaction 2003. 113–31.

138

Trigger BG. Chapter 9 and 10. In: *A history of archaeological thought*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511813016>

139

Michelle Hegmon. Setting Theoretical Egos Aside: Issues and Theory in North American Archaeology. *American Antiquity* 2003; **68**:213–43.

140

Johnson M. On the nature of theoretical archaeology and archaeological theory. *Archaeological Dialogues* 2006; **13**:117–32. doi:10.1017/S138020380621208X

141

Kristiansen K. Genes versus agents: A discussion of the widening theoretical gap in

archaeology. *Archaeological Dialogues* 2004;11:77–99. doi:10.1017/S1380203805211509

142

Barrett JC. The material constitution of humanness. *Archaeological Dialogues* 2014;21:65–74. doi:10.1017/S1380203814000105

143

Bentley A, Maschner HDG. Complexity theory. In: *Handbook of archaeological theories*. Lanham, MD: : AltaMira Press 2008. 245–70.

144

Bintliff, J. L., Pearce, Mark. The death of archaeological theory? Oakville: : Oxbow Books 2011. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctvh1dk87>

145

Cochrane, Ethan E., Gardner, Andrew. Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast 2011.

146

Funari, Pedro Paulo A., Zarankin, Andrés, Stovel, Emily. *Global archaeological theory: contextual voices and contemporary thoughts*. London: : Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers 2005.

147

Zarankin, Andrés, Salerno, Melisa A., Funari, Pedro Paulo A. *Memories from darkness: archaeology of repression and resistance in Latin America*. London: : Springer 2009. <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1007/978-1-4419-0679-3>

148

Gaydarska B. A brief history of TAG. *Antiquity* 2009; **83**:1152–62.

149

Michelle Hegmon. No More Theory Wars: A Response to Moss. *American Antiquity* 2005; **70**:588–90.

150

Hodder I. Introduction: contemporary theoretical debate in archaeology. In: *Archaeological theory today*. Cambridge: : Polity 2012. 1–14.

151

Lydon, Jane, Rizvi, Uzma Z. *Handbook of postcolonial archaeology*. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast Press 2010.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=911816>

152

Knappett, Carl. *An archaeology of interaction: network perspectives on material culture and society*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011. <https://academic.oup.com/book/1407>

153

Mizoguchi, Kōji. *Archaeology, society and identity in modern Japan*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2006.

154

Madonna L. Moss. Rifts in the Theoretical Landscape of Archaeology in the United States: A Comment on Hegmon and Watkins. *American Antiquity* 2005; **70**:581–7.

155

Olsen B. Symmetrical archaeology. In: *Archaeological theory today*. Cambridge: : Polity

2012. 208-28.

156

Pauketat TR. Practice and history in archaeology: An emerging paradigm. *Anthropological Theory* 2001;1:73-98. doi:10.1177/146349960100100105

157

Preucel RW, Mrozowski SA. The new pragmatism. In: *Contemporary archaeology in theory: the new pragmatism*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell 2010. 3-49.

158

Robb J, Pauketat TR. Big histories, human lives: tackling problems of scale in archaeology. Santa Fe, N.M.: School for Advanced Research Press 2013.

159

Michael Shanks. Symmetrical Archaeology. *World Archaeology* 2007;39:589-96.

160

Smith, Laurajane. Archaeological theory and the politics of cultural heritage. London: Routledge 2004.

161

Spriggs M. Ethnographic parallels and the denial of history. *World Archaeology* 2008;40:538-52. doi:10.1080/00438240802453161

162

Bruce G. Trigger. Archaeology and Epistemology: Dialoguing across the Darwinian Chasm. *American Journal of Archaeology* 1998;102:1-34.

163

Ucko, Peter J., Theoretical Archaeology Group. *Theory in archaeology: a world perspective.* London: : Routledge 1994.

164

Watts CM. *Relational archaeologies: humans, animals, things.* London: : Routledge 2013.

165

Webmoor T, Witmore CL. Things Are Us! A Commentary on Human/Things Relations under the Banner of a 'Social' Archaeology. *Norwegian Archaeological Review* 2008; **41**:53-70.
doi:10.1080/00293650701698423

166

Andrews G, Barrett JC, Lewis JSC. Interpretation not record: The practice of archaeology. *Antiquity* 2000; **74**:525-30.

167

Hodder I. Towards a reflexive method. In: *The archaeological process: an introduction.* Oxford: : Blackwell 1999. 80-104.

168

Lucas G. Introduction: archaeology and the field. In: *Critical approaches to fieldwork: contemporary and historical archaeological practice.* London: : Routledge 2001. 1-17.

169

Roskams S. Future prospects. In: *Excavation.* Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2001. 267-90.

170

Bender B, Tilley CY, Anderson E, et al. Chapters 1 and 3. In: Stone worlds: narrative and reflexivity in landscape archaeology. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast Press 2007.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14615901840004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

171

Berggren A, Hodder I. Social practice, method and some problems of field archaeology. American Antiquity 2003;**68**:421-34.

172

Binford LR. A consideration of archaeological research design. American Antiquity 1964;**29**:425-41.

173

Chadwick A. Post-processualism, professionalization and archaeological methodologies. Towards reflexive and radical practice. Archaeological Dialogues 2003;**10**:97-117.
doi:10.1017/S1380203803001107

174

Collis, John. Digging up the past: an introduction to archaeological excavation. Stroud: : Sutton 2001.

175

Conolly J. Catalhoyuk and the archaeological object. In: Towards reflexive method in archaeology: the example at Çatalhöyük : by members of the Çatalhöyük team. Cambridge: : McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research 2000.
51-6.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=533279b1-a506-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

176

Edgeworth, Matt. Acts of discovery: an ethnography of archaeological practice. Oxford: : Archaeopress 2003.

177

Edgeworth, Matt. Ethnographies of archaeological practice: cultural encounters, material transformations. Lanham: : Altamira Press 2006.

178

Gero JM. Archaeological practice and gendered encounters with field data. In: Gender and archaeology. Philadelphia: : University of Pennsylvania Press 1996. 251-80.

179

Hamilton S. Lost in translation? A comment on the excavation report. Papers from the Institute of Archaeology 1999;10:1-8. doi:10.5334/pia.140

180

Hamilton S, Whitehouse R, Brown K, et al. Phenomenology in Practice: Towards a Methodology for a 'Subjective' Approach. European Journal of Archaeology 2006;9:31-71. doi:10.1177/1461957107077704

181

Hassan FA. Beyond the surface: Comments on Hodder's 'reflexive excavation methodology'. Antiquity 1997;71:1020-5.

182

Hodder I. Writing archaeology: site reports in context. In: Theory and practice in archaeology. London: : Routledge 1992. 263-74.

183

Hodder I. Always momentary, fluid and flexible: Towards a reflexive excavation methodology. Antiquity 1997;71:691-700.

184

Jones, Andrew. Archaeological theory and scientific practice. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2001.

185

Lucas G. Understanding the archaeological record. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012. <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511845772>

186

Moshenska, Gabriel, Dhanjal, Sarah. Community archaeology: themes, methods and practices. Oxford: : Oxbow 2012.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=1154191>

187

Papaconstantinou, Demetra. Deconstructing context: a critical approach to archaeological practice. Oxford: : Oxbow 2006.

188

Parker Pearson M, Ramilisonina. Stonehenge for the ancestors: The stones pass on the message. *Antiquity* 1998;72:308-26.

189

Scarre G, Coningham R. Appropriating the past: philosophical perspectives on the practice of archaeology. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2013.
<https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9781139026932>

190

Quirke, Stephen. Hidden hands: Egyptian workforces in Petrie excavation archives, 1880-1924. London: : Gerald Duckworth 2010.

191

Shanks M, McGuire RH. The craft of archaeology. *American Antiquity* 1996;61:75–88.

192

Tilley C. Excavation as Theatre. *Antiquity* 1989;63:275–80.

193

Parker Pearson M, Ramilisonina. Stonehenge for the ancestors: The stones pass on the message. *Antiquity* 1998;72:308–26.

194

Parker Pearson et. al. M. Who was buried at Stonehenge? *Antiquity* 2009;83:23–39.

195

Pearson MP. Materializing Stonehenge: The Stonehenge Riverside Project and New Discoveries. *Journal of Material Culture* 2006;11:227–61. doi:10.1177/1359183506063024

196

Renfrew C. Monuments, mobilization and social organisation in Neolithic Wessex. In: *The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory*. London: : Duckworth 1973. 539–58.

197

Atkinson, R. J. C. Stonehenge. Harmondsworth: : Penguin Books in association with Hamish Hamilton 1960.

198

Bender B. Theorising landscapes, and the prehistoric landscape of Stonehenge. *Man* 1992;

27:735–55.

199

Bender, Barbara, Aitken, Paul. Stonehenge: making space. Oxford: : Berg 1998.

200

Bradley, Richard. The significance of monuments: on the shaping of human experience in Neolithic and Bronze Age Europe. London: : Routledge 1998.

201

Chippindale, Christopher. Stonehenge complete. New and expanded [3rd] ed. [London]: : Thames & Hudson 2004.

202

Chippindale, Christopher. Who owns Stonehenge? London: : Batsford 1990.

203

Cleal, Rosamund, Walker, K. E., Montague, R., et al. Stonehenge in its landscape: twentieth-century excavations. London: : English Heritage 1995.

204

Renfrew, Colin, Cunliffe, Barry W., British Academy. Science and Stonehenge. Oxford: : Oxford University Press for the British Academy 1997.

205

Parker Pearson et. al. M. The age of Stonehenge. *Antiquity* 2007;81:617–39.

206

Parker Pearson et. al. M. Stonehenge, its river and its landscape: Unravelling the mysteries of a prehistoric sacred place. Archäologischer Anzeiger: Beiblatt zum Jahrbuch des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts 2006;1:237–58.

207

Richards, Julian C., English Heritage. English Heritage book of Stonehenge. London: : B. T. Batsford Ltd./ English Heritage 1991.

208

Richards, Julian C., Allen, Mike, English Heritage. The Stonehenge environs project. London: : Historical Buildings & Monuments Commission for England 1990.

209

James S. Roman archaeology: Crisis and revolution. Antiquity 2003;**77**:178–84.<http://search.proquest.com/docview/217561304?accountid=14511>

210

Witcher R, Tolia-Kelly DP, Hingley R. Archaeologies of Landscape: Excavating the Materialities of Hadrian's Wall. Journal of Material Culture 2010;**15**:105–28. doi:10.1177/1359183510355228

211

Andrén, Anders, Crozier, Alan. Between artifacts and texts: historical archaeology in global perspective. London: : Plenum Press 1998.

212

Dyson, Stephen L. In pursuit of ancient pasts: a history of classical archaeology in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. London: : Yale University Press 2006. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1npzcm>

213

Frere SS. Roman Britain since Haverfield and Richmond. *History and Archaeology Review* 1988;3:31-6.

214

Gardner A. Seeking a material turn: the artefactuality of the Roman Empire. In: TRAC 2002: proceedings of the twelfth annual Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference which took place at the University of Kent at Canterbury 5-6 April 2002. Oxford: : Oxbow Books 2003. 1-13.

215

Hingley, Richard. Roman officers and English gentlemen: the imperial origins of Roman archaeology. New York: : Routledge 2000.

216

Hingley R. Hadrian's Wall in theory: pursuing new agendas. In: Understanding Hadrian's Wall: papers from a conference held at South Shields, 3rd-5th November 2006, to mark the publication of the 14th edition of the Handbook to the Roman Wall. South Shields: : Arbeia Society 2008. 25-8.

217

Hingley R. 'The most ancient Boundary between England and Scotland': Genealogies of the Roman Walls. *Classical Receptions Journal* 2010;2:25-43. doi:10.1093/crj/clq001

218

Hodder I. Bridging the divide: a commentary on theoretical Roman archaeology. In: Theoretical Roman archaeology: first conference proceedings. Aldershot: : Avebury 1993. xiii-xix.

219

Ian Hodder and Mark Hassall. The Non-Random Spacing of Romano-British Walled Towns. *Man* 1971;6:391-407.

220

James S. Writing the Legions: The Development and Future of Roman Military Studies in Britain. *Archaeological Journal* 2002; **159**:1–58. doi:10.1080/00665983.2002.11020514

221

James, Simon, Millett, Martin, Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference, et al. Britons and Romans: advancing an archaeological agenda. York: : Council for British Archaeology 2001.

222

Johnson MH. Rethinking historical archaeology. In: Historical archaeology: back from the edge. New York: : Routledge 1999. 23–36.

223

Moore T. Detribalizing the later prehistoric past: Concepts of tribes in Iron Age and Roman studies. *Journal of Social Archaeology* 2011; **11**:334–60. doi:10.1177/1469605311403861

224

Nesbitt C, Tolia-Kelly D. Hadrian's Wall: Embodied archaeologies of the linear monument. *Journal of Social Archaeology* 2009; **9**:368–90. doi:10.1177/1469605309338428

225

Glenn R. Storey. Archaeology and Roman Society: Integrating Textual and Archaeological Data. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 1999; **7**:203–48.

226

Mason, David J. P., Symonds, Matthew F. A. Frontiers of knowledge: a research framework for Hadrian's Wall, part of the Frontiers of the Roman Empire World Heritage site. Durham: : Durham County Council and Durham University 2009.

227

Cooper, Nicholas, Webster, Jane, University of Leicester. Roman imperialism: post-colonial perspectives. Leicester: : School of Archaeological Studies, University of Leicester 1996.

228

Wilmott T. Collapse theory and the end of Birdoswald. In: Theoretical Roman archaeology: second conference proceedings. Aldershot: : Avebury 1995. 59–69.

229

Wilmott, Tony, English Heritage. Hadrian's Wall: archaeological research by English Heritage 1976-2000. Swindon: : English Heritage 2009.

230

Witcher R. The Fabulous Tales of the Common People, Part 1: Representing Hadrian's Wall. Public Archaeology 2010;9:126–52. doi:10.1179/146551810X12822101587138

231

Greg Woolf. The Present State and Future Scope of Roman Archaeology: A Comment. American Journal of Archaeology 2004;108:417–28.

232

Tim Ingold. The Temporality of the Landscape. World Archaeology 1993;25:152–74. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/124811>

233

Lucas G. Time and Archaeological Event. Cambridge Archaeological Journal 2008;18:59–65. doi:10.1017/S095977430800005X

234

Mytum H. Materiality and memory: an archaeological perspective on the popular adoption of linear time in Britain. *Antiquity* 2007; **81**: 381–96. <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217581355?accountid=14511>

235

Adam B. Perceptions of time. In: Companion encyclopedia of anthropology. London: : Routledge 2002.
503–26. https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/permalink/f/1klfcc3/TN_cdi_proquest_ebookcentral_EBC169490

236

Adam, Barbara. Timewatch: the social analysis of time. Cambridge: : Polity Press 1995.

237

G. N. Bailey. Concepts of Time in Quaternary Prehistory. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 1983; **12**: 165–92. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2155645>

238

Bailey G. Time perspectives, palimpsests and the archaeology of time. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 2007; **26**: 198–223. doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2006.08.002

239

Barrett, John C. Fragments from antiquity: an archaeology of social life in Britain, 2900–1200 BC. Oxford: : Blackwell 1993.

240

Rosen, Ralph Mark, University of Pennsylvania. Time and temporality in the ancient world. Philadelphia: : University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology 2004.

241

Bintliff, J. L. *The Annales school and archaeology*. London: : Leicester University Press 1991.

242

Borić, Dušan. *Archaeology and memory*. Oxford: : Oxbow Books 2010.

243

Bradley, Richard. *The past in prehistoric societies*. London: : Routledge 2002.

244

Gardner A. Time and empire in the Roman world. *Journal of Social Archaeology* 2012; **12**:145-66. doi:10.1177/1469605312439971

245

Gell, Alfred. *The anthropology of time: cultural constructions of temporal maps and images*. Oxford: : Berg 1992.

246

Gosden, Chris. *Social being and time*. Oxford: : Blackwell 1994.

247

Hannah, Robert. *Time in antiquity*. Abingdon: : Routledge 2009.

248

Harding J. Rethinking the Great Divide: Long-Term Structural History and the Temporality of Event. *Norwegian Archaeological Review* 2005; **38**:88-101.
doi:10.1080/00293650510032707

249

Holdaway, Simon, Wandsnider, LuAnn. Time in archaeology: time perspectivism revisited. Salt Lake City: : University of Utah Press 2008.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=3443820>

250

Karlsson, Håkan. It's about time: the concept of time in archaeology. Göteborg: : Bricoleur 2001.

251

Redman, Charles L. Social archeology: beyond subsistence and dating. New York: : Academic Press 1978.

252

Lucas, Gavin. The archaeology of time. London: : Routledge 2005.

253

Nancy D. Munn. The Cultural Anthropology of Time: A Critical Essay. Annual Review of Anthropology 1992;21:93-123.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2155982>

254

Murray, Tim, World Archaeological Congress. Time and archaeology. London: : Routledge 1999.

255

Nanni G. Time, empire and resistance in settler-colonial Victoria. Time & Society 2011;20:5-33. doi:10.1177/0961463X10369765

256

Shanks M, Tilley C. Abstract and Substantial Time. *Archaeological Review From Cambridge* 1987;6:32–41.

257

Mackenzie, Iain M. *Archaeological theory: progress or posture?* Aldershot: : Avebury 1994.

258

Thomas, Julian. *Time, culture and identity: an interpretative archaeology.* New York: : Routledge 1996.

259

Van Dyke, Ruth, Alcock, Susan E. *Archaeologies of memory.* Malden, MA: : Blackwell 2003.

260

Whittle A, Bayliss A, Healy F. The Timing and Tempo of Change: Examples from the Fourth Millennium cal. BC in Southern England. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 2008;18:65–70.
doi:10.1017/S0959774308000061

261

David B, Thomas J. Landscape archaeology: Introduction. In: *Handbook of landscape archaeology.* Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast Press 2008.
27–43. <https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315427737.ch1>

262

Hamilton S. The ambiguity of landscape: discussing points of relatedness in concepts and methods. In: *Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue.* Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast 2011. 263–80.

263

Thomas J. Archaeologies of place and landscape. In: Archaeological theory today. Cambridge: : Polity 2012. 165–86.

264

Tilley CY. Space, place, landscape and perception: phenomenological perspectives. In: A phenomenology of landscape: places, paths, and monuments. Oxford: : Berg 1994. 7–34.

265

Ashmore W, Knapp AB. Archaeological landscapes: constructed, conceptualised, ideational. In: Archaeologies of landscape: contemporary perspectives. Malden, Mass: : Blackwell Publishers 1999. 1–30.

266

Barrett J. The mythical landscapes of the British Iron Age. In: Archaeologies of landscape: contemporary perspectives. Malden, Mass: : Blackwell Publishers 1999. 253–65.

267

Bender B. Theorising landscapes, and the prehistoric landscape of Stonehenge. *Man* 1992; **27**:735–55.

268

Bender B, Tilley CY, Anderson E, et al. Chapters 1 and 3. In: Stone worlds: narrative and reflexivity in landscape archaeology. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast Press 2007.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14615901840004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

269

Bender B, Hamilton S, Tilley C. Leskernick: Stone Worlds; Alternative Narratives; Nested Landscapes. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* 1997; **63**:147–78.
doi:10.1017/S0079497X00002413

270

Brück J. Experiencing the past? The development of a phenomenological archaeology in British prehistory. *Archaeological Dialogues* 2005; **12**:45–72.
doi:10.1017/S1380203805001583

271

Thomas, Julian, David, Bruno. *Handbook of landscape archaeology*. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast Press 2008.
<https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315427737.ch1>

272

Fleming A. Phenomenology and the Megaliths of Wales: A Dreaming Too Far? *Oxford Journal of Archaeology* 1999; **18**:119–25. doi:10.1111/1468-0092.00074

273

Fleming A. Post-processual landscape archaeology: A critique. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 2006; **16**:267–80. doi:10.1017/S0959774306000163

274

Gheorghiu D, Nash G. Place as material culture: objects, geographies and the construction of time. Newcastle: : Cambridge Scholars Pub 2013.

275

Hamilton et al. S. Quarried away: thinking about landscapes of megalithic construction on Rapa Nui (Easter Island). In: *Handbook of landscape archaeology*. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast Press 2008.
176–86.<https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315427737.ch1>

276

Hamilton S, Whitehouse R, Brown K, et al. Phenomenology in Practice: Towards a

Methodology for a 'Subjective' Approach. European Journal of Archaeology 2006; **9**:31–71.
doi:10.1177/1461957107077704

277

Wagstaff, J. Malcolm. Landscape and culture: geographical and archaeological perspectives . Oxford: : Basil Blackwell 1987.

278

Ingold T. The temporality of the landscape. World Archaeology 1993; **25**:152–74.

279

Lake M. Viewing space. World Archaeology 2007; **39**:1–3.

280

Llobera M. Exploring the topography of mind: GIS, social space and archaeology. Antiquity 1996; **70**:612–22.

281

McGlade J. Archaeology and the evolution of cultural landscapes: towards an interdisciplinary agenda. In: The archaeology and anthropology of landscape: shaping your landscape. London: : Routledge 1999. 458–82.

282

Thomas JS. The politics of vision and the archaeologies of landscape. In: Landscape: politics and perspectives. New York: : Berg 1993. 19–48.

283

Tilley C, Bennett W. An archaeology of super-natural places: the case of West Penwith. Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute 2001; **7**:335–62.

284

Tilley CY. The powers of rocks: Topography and monument construction on Bodmin Moor. *World Archaeology* 1996; **28**:161–76.

285

Tilley C. Round Barrows and Dykes as Landscape Metaphors. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 2004; **14**:185–203. doi:10.1017/S0959774304000125

286

Tilley CY. Phenomenological approaches to landscape archaeology. In: *Handbook of landscape archaeology*. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast Press 2008. 271–6.<https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315427737.ch1>

287

Ucko PJ, Layton R. Introduction: gazing on the landscape and encountering the environment. In: *The archaeology and anthropology of landscape: shaping your landscape*. London: : Routledge 1999. 1–20.

288

Wheatley D. Cumulative viewshed analysis: a GIS-based method for investigating inter-visibility and its archaeological implication. In: *Archaeology and geographical information systems: a European perspective*. Bristol, PA: : Taylor & Francis 1995. 171–86.

289

Binford LR. Interassemblage variability: The Mousterian and the functional argument. In: *Working at archaeology*. New York: : Academic Press 1983. 131–53.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ffc747cb-4b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

290

Dobres M-A, Hoffman CR. Social agency and the dynamics of prehistoric technology. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 1994;1:211-58.

291

Schiffer MB, Skibo JM. The explanation of artifact variability. *American Antiquity* 1997;62:27-50.

292

SILLAR B, TITE MS. THE CHALLENGE OF 'TECHNOLOGICAL CHOICES'FOR MATERIALS SCIENCE APPROACHES IN ARCHAEOLOGY. *Archaeometry* 2000;42:2-20.
doi:10.1111/j.1475-4754.2000.tb00863.x

293

Arnold DE. Chapters 5, 8 and 9. In: Ceramic theory and cultural process. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1985.

294

Binford LR. Archaeology as anthropology. *American Antiquity* 1962;28:217-25.

295

Hastorf, Christine Ann, Conkey, Margaret Wright. The uses of style in archaeology. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1989.

296

Dobres M-A. Social agency and practice: the heart and soul of technology. In: Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology. Oxford: : Blackwell Publishers 2000. 127-63.

297

Hoffman, Christopher R., Dobres, Marcia-Anne. The social dynamics of technology:

practice, politics, and world views. London: : Smithsonian Institution Press 1999.

298

Robb JE, Dobres M-A. Agency in archaeology: Paradigm or Platitude? In: Agency in archaeology. London: : Routledge 2000. 3-17.

299

Dunnell RC. Style and function: A fundamental dichotomy. American Antiquity 1978;**43** :192-202.

300

Hegmon M. Archaeological research on style. Annual Review of Anthropology 1992;**21** :517-36. doi:10.1146/annurev.an.21.100192.002505

301

Hill JN, Evans RK. A model for classification and typology. In: Models in archaeology. London: : Methuen 1972. 231-73.

302

Hodder I. Material practice, symbolism and ideology. In: Theory and practice in archaeology. London: : Routledge 1992.
201-12.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=6f7f0b8f-5136-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

303

Hodder, Ian. Symbols in action: ethnoarchaeological studies of material culture. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1982.

304

Hodder I. Post-modernism, post-structuralism and post-processual archaeology. In: The

meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression. London: : Unwin Hyman, HarperCollins Academic 1989. 64–78.

305

Karlin C, Julien M. Prehistoric technology: a cognitive science? In: The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1994. 152–63.

306

Lemonnier P. The study of material culture today: Toward an anthropology of technical systems. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 1986;5:147–86.
doi:10.1016/0278-4165(86)90012-7

307

Martinón-Torres M. Chaîne Opératoire: The concept and its applications within the study of technology. *Gallaecia* 2002;21:29–43.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=fb4bab91-db3d-ee11-8457-0050f2f06092>

308

Nelson MC. The study of technological organization. *Archaeological Method and Theory* 1991;3:57–100.

309

Pfaffenberger B. Social Anthropology of Technology. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 1992;21:491–516. doi:10.1146/annurev.an.21.100192.002423

310

James R. Sackett. The Meaning of Style in Archaeology: A General Model. *American Antiquity* 1977;42:369–80.

311

Schlanger N. Mindful technology: unleashing the Chaine operatoire for an archaeology of mind. In: *The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1994. 143–51.

312

Sigault F. Technology. In: *Companion encyclopedia of anthropology*. London: : Routledge 2002.
420-59.https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/permalink/f/1klfcc3/TN_cdi_proquest_ebookcentral_EBC169490

313

Sinclair A. Constellations of knowledge: human agency and material affordance in lithic technology. In: *Agency in archaeology*. London: : Routledge 2000. 196–212.

314

Tilley, Christopher Y. Metaphor and material culture. Oxford: : Blackwell Publishers 1999.

315

van der Leeuw S. Dust to dust: a transformational view of the ceramic cycle. In: *The many dimensions of pottery: ceramics in archaeology and anthropology*. Amsterdam: : Universiteit van Amsterdam 1984. 709–33.

316

Wiessner P. Style and changing relations between the individual and society. In: *The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression*. London: : Unwin Hyman, HarperCollins Academic 1989. 56–63.

317

Wobst HM. Stylistic behavior ad information exchange. In: *For the director: research essays in honor of James B. Griffin*. Ann Arbor: : Museum of Anthropology, University of

Michigan 1977. 317-42.

318

Wobst HM. Style in archaeology or archaeologists in style. In: Material meanings: critical approaches to the interpretation of material culture. Salt Lake City: : University of Utah Press 1999. 118-32.

319

Chris Gosden. What Do Objects Want? *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 2005; **12**:193-211.

320

Robb JE. The archaeology of symbols. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 1998; **27**:329-46.
doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.27.1.329

321

Sillar B. The Social Agency of Things? Animism and Materiality in the Andes. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 2009; **19**:367-77. doi:10.1017/S0959774309000559

322

Bentley A. 'Style versus function' 30 years on. In: Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast 2011. 83-104.

323

Chilton, Elizabeth S. Material meanings: critical approaches to the interpretation of material culture. Salt Lake City: : University of Utah Press 1999.

324

Cochrane EE. Style, function and systematic empiricism: the conflation of process and pattern. In: Style and function: conceptual issues in evolutionary archaeology. London: :

Bergin & Garvey 2001. 183-202.

325

Renfrew, Colin, DeMarrais, Elizabeth, Gosden, Chris. Rethinking materiality: the engagement of mind with the material world. Cambridge: : McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research 2004.

326

Ferguson L. Struggling with pots in colonial South Carolina. In: The archaeology of inequality. Oxford: : Blackwell 1991. 28-39.

327

Gosden C, Marshall Y. The cultural biography of objects. World Archaeology 1999; **31**:169-78.

328

Hodder I. Human-thing entanglement: towards an integrated archaeological perspective. Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute 2011; **17**:154-77.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9655.2010.01674.x

329

Hodder I. Entangled: an archaeology of the relationships between humans and things. Chichester: : Wiley-Blackwell 2012.

330

Ingold T. Materials against materiality. Archaeological Dialogues 2007; **14**:1-16.
doi:10.1017/S1380203807002127

331

Thomas, Julian, Jorge, Vítor Oliveira, Theoretical Archaeology Group (England). Overcoming

the modern invention of material culture: proceedings of the TAG session, Exeter 2006.
Porto: : ADECAP 2007.

332

Knappett C. Photographs, Skeuomorphs and Marionettes: Some Thoughts on Mind, Agency and Object. *Journal of Material Culture* 2002;7:97-117.
doi:10.1177/1359183502007001307

333

Knappett, Carl. Thinking through material culture: an interdisciplinary perspective.
Philadelphia: : University of Pennsylvania Press 2005.

334

Knappett C. Materiality. In: *Archaeological theory today*. Cambridge: : Polity 2012. 188-207.

335

Knappett, Carl, Malafouris, Lambros. Material agency: towards a non-anthropocentric approach. New York: : Springer 2008.

336

Layton R. Structuralism and semiotics. In: *Handbook of material culture*. London: : SAGE 2006.
29-42.<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9781446206430>

337

Meskell, Lynn. Object worlds in ancient Egypt: material biographies past and present.
Oxford: : Berg 2004.

338

Meskell, Lynn. *Archaeologies of materiality*. Malden, Mass.: Blackwell 2006.

339

Miller D. Artefacts and the meaning of things. In: *Companion encyclopedia of anthropology*. London: Routledge 2002.
396–419.https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/permalink/f1klfcc3/TN_cdi_proquest_ebookcentral_EBC169490

340

Miller, Daniel. *Materiality*. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press 2005.

341

O'Brien MJ. Style, function, transmission: an introduction. In: *Style, function, transmission: evolutionary archaeological perspectives*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press 2003. 1–32.

342

Olsen B. Material culture after text: re-membering things. *Norwegian Archaeological Review* 2003;36:87–104. doi:10.1080/00293650310000650

343

Olsen B. *Archaeology: the discipline of things*. Berkeley: University of California Press 2012.

344

Preucel R, Bauer A. Archaeological Pragmatics. *Norwegian Archaeological Review* 2001;34:85–96. doi:10.1080/00293650127469

345

Preucel, Robert W. *Archaeological semiotics*. Oxford: Blackwell 2006.

346

Sørensen MLS. Reading Dress: The Construction of Social Categories and Identities in Bronze Age Europe. *Journal of European Archaeology* 1997; **5**:93–114.
doi:10.1179/096576697800703656

347

Taylor T. Materiality. In: *Handbook of archaeological theories*. Lanham, MD: : AltaMira Press 2008.
297-320.<https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

348

Thomas J. Culture and identity. In: *Companion encyclopedia of archaeology*. London: : Routledge 1999. 431–69.

349

Thomas, Julian. *Archaeology and modernity*. London: : Routledge 2004.

350

Bentley, R. Alexander, Maschner, Herbert D. G., Chippindale, Christopher. *Handbook of archaeological theories*. Lanham, Md: : AltaMira Press 2008.
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780759113602>

351

Steven Mithen. Understanding Mind and Culture: Evolutionary Psychology or Social Anthropology? *Anthropology Today* 1995; **11**:3–7. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2783517?origin=crossref>

352

Shennan, Stephen. Genes, memes and human history: Darwinian archaeology and cultural evolution. London: : Thames & Hudson 2002.

353

Bettinger RL. Hunter-gatherers: archaeological and evolutionary theory. New York: : Plenum Press 1991.

354

Boone JL, Smith EA. Is It Evolution Yet? A Critique of Evolutionary Archaeology. *Current Anthropology* 1998;39:S141–74. doi:10.1086/204693

355

Cochrane, Ethan E., Gardner, Andrew. Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast 2011.

356

Currie TE, Mace R. Mode and tempo in the evolution of socio-political organization: reconciling 'Darwinian' and 'Spencerian' evolutionary approaches in anthropology. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 2011;366:1108–17. doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0318

357

Kristiansen K. Genes versus agents. A discussion of the widening theoretical gap in archaeology. *Archaeological Dialogues* 2004;11:77–99. doi:10.1017/S1380203805211509

358

Mithen SJ. The prehistory of the mind: a search for the origins of art, religion and science. London: : Thames & Hudson 1996.

359

Mithen SJ. Thoughtful foragers: a study of prehistoric decision making. Cambridge [England]: : Cambridge University Press 1990.

360

Richerson, Peter J., Boyd, Robert. Not by genes alone: how culture transformed human evolution. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 2005.

361

Rowley-Conwy P, Layton R, Panter-Brick C. Hunter-gatherers: an interdisciplinary perspective. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2001.

362

Winterhalder B, Kennett DJ, Grote MN, et al. Ideal free settlement of California's Northern Channel Islands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 2010;29:469–90.
doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2010.07.001

363

Alex Mesoudi, Andrew Whiten and Kevin N. Laland. Perspective: Is Human Cultural Evolution Darwinian? Evidence Reviewed from the Perspective of 'The Origin of Species'. *Evolution* 2004;58:1–11. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3449291>

364

Shennan S. Descent with modification and the archaeological record. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 2011;366:1070–9.
doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0380

365

Shennan S. Evolution in Archaeology. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 2008;37:75–91.
doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.37.081407.085153

366

Andersson C. Paleolithic Punctuations and Equilibria: Did Retention Rather Than Invention Limit Technological Evolution? *PaleoAnthropology* 2011;:243–59. <http://www.paleoanthro.org/journal/volumes/2011/>

367

Aunger, Robert. *Darwinizing culture: the status of memetics as a science*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2000.

368

R. Alexander Bentley, Matthew W. Hahn and Stephen J. Shennan. Random Drift and Culture Change. *Proceedings: Biological Sciences* 2004;**271**:1443–50. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4142981>

369

Maschner, Herbert D. G., Chippindale, Christopher, Bentley, R. Alexander. *Handbook of archaeological theories*. Lanham, MD: : AltaMira Press 2008.

370

Boyd, Robert, Richerson, Peter J. *Culture and the evolutionary process*. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 1985.

371

Mace, Ruth, Holden, Clare Janaki, Shennan, Stephen. *The evolution of cultural diversity: a phylogenetic approach*. London: : UCL Press 2005.

372

Coward F, Shennan S, Colledge S, et al. The spread of Neolithic plant economies from the Near East to northwest Europe: a phylogenetic analysis. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 2008;**35**:42–56. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2007.02.022

373

Lipo, Carl P., Society for American Archaeology. Mapping our ancestors: phylogenetic approaches in anthropology and prehistory. New Brunswick, N.J.: : Aldine Transaction 2006.

374

Shennan, Stephen. Pattern and process in cultural evolution. Berkeley: : University of California Press 2009.

375

Lyman RL, O'Brien MJ. Measuring and Explaining Change in Artifact Variation with Clade-Diversity Diagrams. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 2000; **19**:39–74.
doi:10.1006/jaar.1999.0339

376

Powell A, Shennan S, Thomas MG. Late Pleistocene Demography and the Appearance of Modern Human Behavior. *Science* 2009; **324**:1298–301. doi:10.1126/science.1170165

377

Richerson PJ, Boyd R. Not by genes alone: how culture transformed human evolution. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 2005.

378

Shennan, Stephen. Genes, memes and human history: Darwinian archaeology and cultural evolution. London: : Thames & Hudson 2002.

379

Dobres M-A, Robb JE. Agency in archaeology: Paradigm or platitude? In: Agency in archaeology. London: : Routledge 2000. 3–17.

380

Dornan JL. Agency and archaeology: Past, present and future directions. *Journal of archaeological method and theory* 2002;9:303-29. doi:10.1023/A:1021318432161

381

Gardner A. Agency. In: *Handbook of archaeological theories*. Lanham, Md: : AltaMira Press 2008.
95-108.<https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

382

Barrett JC. Agency, the duality of structure and the problem of the archaeological record. In: *Archaeological theory today*. Cambridge: : Polity 2012. 141-64.

383

Brumfiel EM. On the archaeology of choice: agency studies as a research strategem. In: *Agency in archaeology*. London: : Routledge 2000. 249-55.

384

Cornell P, Fahlander F. Microarchaeology, materiality and social practice. *Current Swedish Archaeology* 2002;10:21-38.

385

Dobres, Marcia-Anne. *Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology*. Oxford: : Blackwell Publishers 2000.

386

Englehardt J. *Agency in ancient writing*. Boulder: : University Press of Colorado 2013.

387

Fewster KJ. *The Role of Agency and Material Culture in Remembering and Forgetting: An*

Ethnoarchaeological Case Study from Central Spain. *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology* 2006;20. doi:10.1558/jmea.2007.v20i1.89

388

Flannery KV. Process and Agency in Early State Formation. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 1999;9:3-21. doi:10.1017/S0959774300015183

389

Gardner, Andrew. An archaeology of identity: soldiers and society in late Roman Britain. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast Press 2007.
<https://www-taylorfrancis-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/books/mono/10.4324/9781315435091/archaeology-identity-andrew-gardner>

390

Gardner A. Action and structure in interpretive archaeologies. In: Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast 2011. 63-82.

391

Graves-Brown P. In search of the watchmaker: attribution of agency in natural and cultural selection. In: Darwinian archaeologies. London: : Plenum Press 1996. 165-81.

392

Johnson MH. Conceptions of agency in archaeological interpretation. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 1989;8:189-211. doi:10.1016/0278-4165(89)90024-X

393

Knapp AB, van Dommelen P. Past Practices: Rethinking Individuals and Agents in Archaeology. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 2008;18:15-34.
doi:10.1017/S0959774308000024

394

Kent G. Lightfoot, Antoinette Martinez and Ann M. Schiff. Daily Practice and Material Culture in Pluralistic Social Settings: An Archaeological Study of Culture Change and Persistence from Fort Ross, California. *American Antiquity* 1998; **63**:199–222.

395

MacGregor G. Post-processual archaeology: the hidden agenda of the secret agent. In: *Archaeological theory: progress or posture?* Aldershot: : Avebury 1994. 79–91.

396

Bentley RA, Maschner HDG, Chippindale C. R. McGuire. In: *Handbook of archaeological theories*. Lanham, Md: : AltaMira Press 2008.
73–93.<https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

397

Meskell, Lynn, Joyce, Rosemary A. *Embodied lives: figuring ancient Maya and Egyptian experience*. London: : Routledge 2003.

398

Meskell, Lynn. *Archaeologies of social life: age, sex, class et cetera in ancient Egypt*. Oxford: : Blackwell 1999.

399

Miller D, Tilley CY. Ideology, power and prehistory: An introduction. In: *Ideology, power and prehistory*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1984. 1–15.

400

Pauketat TR. Practice and history in archaeology: An emerging paradigm. *Anthropological Theory* 2001; **1**:73–98. doi:10.1177/146349960100100105

401

Patterson T. Social archaeology and Marxist social thought. In: A companion to social archaeology. Malden, MA.: : Blackwell Pub. Ltd 2004.
66–81.<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

402

Shanks M, Tilley CY. The individual and the social. In: Social theory and archaeology. Cambridge: : Polity in association with Blackwell 1987. 61–78.

403

Shennan SJ. An evolutionary perspective on the goals of archaeology. In: Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast 2011. 325–44.

404

Thomas J. Humanism and the individual: chapter 6. In: Archaeology and modernity. London: : Routledge 2004. 119–48.

405

Strauss C. Blaming for Columbine. *Current Anthropology* 2007;48:807–32.
doi:10.1086/520975

406

Bruce G. Trigger. Marxism in Contemporary Western Archaeology. *Archaeological Method and Theory* 1993;5:159–200.

407

VanPool TL, VanPool CS. Agency and evolution: the role of intended and unintended consequences of action. In: Essential tensions in archaeological method and theory. Salt Lake City: : University of Utah Press 2003. 89–113.

408

Brück J. Monuments, power and personhood in the British Neolithic. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 2001; **7**:649–67. doi:10.1111/1467-9655.00082

409

Fowler C. Personhood and Social Relations in the British Neolithic with a Study from the Isle of Man. *Journal of Material Culture* 2001; **6**:137–63. doi:10.1177/135918350100600202

410

Jones S. Discourses of identity in the interpretation of the past. In: Interpretive archaeology: a reader. London: : Leicester University Press 2000. 445–57.

411

Joyce RA. Archaeology of the Body. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 2005; **34**:139–58.
doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.33.070203.143729

412

Carrithers M, Collins S, Lukes S, editors. The category of the person: anthropology, philosophy, history. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1985.

413

Casella, Eleanor Conlin, Fowler, Chris. The archaeology of plural and changing identities: beyond identification. London: : Kluwer Academic/Plenum 2005.

414

Díaz-Andreu García, Margarita. The archaeology of identity: approaches to gender, age, status, ethnicity and religion. London: : Routledge 2005.

415

Geoff Emberling. Ethnicity in Complex Societies: Archaeological Perspectives. *Journal of*

Archaeological Research 1997;5:295-344.

416

Fowler, Chris. The archaeology of personhood: an anthropological approach. London: : Routledge 2004.

417

Fowler C. The individual, the subject and archaeological interpretation: reading Luce Irigaray and Judith Butler. In: Philosophy and archaeological practice: perspectives for the 21st century. Göteborg: : Bricoleur Press 2000. 107-22.

418

Gardner, Andrew. An archaeology of identity: soldiers and society in late Roman Britain. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast Press 2007.
<https://www-taylorfrancis-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/books/mono/10.4324/9781315435091/archaeology-identity-andrew-gardner>

419

Hales, Shelley, Hodos, Tamar. Material culture and social identities in the ancient world. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2010.

420

Jones, S. The archaeology of ethnicity: constructing identities in the past and present. London: : Routledge 1997.

421

Jones S. Ethnicity: theoretical approaches, methodological implications. In: Handbook of archaeological theories. Lanham, MD: : AltaMira Press 2008. 321-33.

422

Knapp AB, Meskell L. Bodies of Evidence on Prehistoric Cyprus. Cambridge Archaeological Journal 1997;7:183-204. doi:10.1017/S0959774300001931

423

Meskell, Lynn. Archaeologies of social life: age, sex, class et cetera in ancient Egypt. Oxford: : Blackwell 1999.

424

Meskell, Lynn, Joyce, Rosemary A. Embodied lives: figuring ancient Maya and Egyptian experience. London: : Routledge 2003.

425

Meskell L. Archaeologies of identity. In: Archaeological theory today. Cambridge: : Polity 2012. 187-213.

426

Pluciennik, Mark, Tarlow, Sarah, Hamilakis, Yannis. Thinking through the body: archaeologies of corporeality. London: : Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers 2002.

427

Rautman, Alison E., Gender and Archaeology Conference. Reading the body: representations and remains in the archaeological record. Philadelphia: : University of Pennsylvania Press 2000.

428

Ross, Jennifer C., Steadman, Sharon R. Agency and identity in the ancient Near East: new paths forward. London: : Equinox 2010.

429

Smith, Stuart Tyson. Wretched Kush: ethnic identities and boundaries in Egypt's Nubian

empire. London: : Routledge 2003.

430

Sofaer, Joanna R. The body as material culture: a theoretical osteoarchaeology. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2006.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511816666>

431

Stark, Miriam T. The archaeology of social boundaries. London: : Smithsonian Institution Press 1998.

432

Thomas J. Culture and identity. In: Companion encyclopedia of archaeology. London: : Routledge 1999. 431-69.

433

Thomas J. Chapter 6. In: Archaeology and modernity. London: : Routledge 2004.

434

Whitehouse R. Cultural and biological approaches to the body in archaeology: can they be reconciled?" . In: Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast 2011. 227-44.

435

Whitley J. Homer's Entangled Objects: Narrative, Agency and Personhood In and Out of Iron Age Texts. Cambridge Archaeological Journal 2013;23:395-416.
doi:10.1017/S095977431300053X

436

Wilkinson D. The Emperor's New Body: Personhood, Ontology and the Inka Sovereign.

Cambridge Archaeological Journal 2013;23:417–32. doi:10.1017/S0959774313000541

437

Yates T. Frameworks for an archaeology of the body. In: Interpretative archaeology. New York: : Berg 1992. 31–72.

438

Conkey MW, Gero JM. Programme to Practice: Gender and Feminism in Archaeology. Annual Review of Anthropology 1997;26:411–37. doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.26.1.411

439

Whitehouse R. Feminism and archaeology: An awkward relationship. Papers from the Institute of Archaeology 1998;9:1–7.

440

Wylie A. The interplay of evidential constraints and political interests: Recent archaeological research on gender. American Antiquity 1992;57:15–35.

441

Carr, Lydia. Tessa Verney Wheeler: women and archaeology before World War Two. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.
doi:10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780199640225.001.0001

442

Margaret W. Conkey. Questioning Theory: Is There a Gender of Theory in Archaeology? Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory 2007;14:285–310.

443

Conkey MW, Spector JD. Archaeology and the Study of Gender. Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory 1984;7:1–38.

444

Dowson TA. Homosexuality, queer theory and archaeology. In: Interpretive archaeology: a reader. London: : Leicester University Press 2000. 283–9.

445

Engelstad E. Images of power and contradiction: Feminist theory and post-processual archaeology. *Antiquity* 1991;65:502–14.

446

Geller PL. Identity and Difference: Complicating Gender in Archaeology. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 2009;38:65–81. doi:10.1146/annurev-anthro-091908-164414

447

Gilchrist R. Archaeology and the life course: a time and age for gender. In: A companion to social archaeology. Malden, MA.: : Blackwell Pub. Ltd 2004.
142–60. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

448

Gilchrist R. Women's archaeology? Political feminism, gender theory and historical revision. *Antiquity* 1991;65:495–501.

449

Hamilton S, Whitehouse R, Wright KI. Introduction and section 1. In: Archaeology and women: ancient & modern issues. Walnut Creek, Calif: : Left Coast Press 2007. 13–40.

450

Hastorf C. Gender, space and food in prehistory. In: Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory. Oxford: : Blackwell 1991. 132–59.

451

Hays-Gilpin K. Gender. In: *Handbook of archaeological theories*. Lanham, MD: : AltaMira Press 2008.
335–49.<https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

452

Hill E. Gender-informed archaeology: The priority of definition, the use of analogy, and the multivariate approach. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 1998;**5**:99–128.
doi:10.1007/BF02428417

453

Joyce R. Embodied subjectivity: gender, femininity, masculinity, sexuality. In: *A companion to social archaeology*. Malden, MA.: : Blackwell Pub. Ltd 2004.
82–95.<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

454

Moore HL. Bodies on the move: gender, power and material culture. In: *A passion for difference: essays in anthropology and gender*. Cambridge: : Polity 1994. 71–85.

455

Nelson, Sarah M. *Handbook of gender in archaeology*. Lanham, MD: : AltaMira Press 2006.

456

Pope R. Processual archaeology and gender politics. The loss of innocence. *Archaeological Dialogues* 2011;**18**:59–86. doi:10.1017/S1380203811000134

457

Pope R. Processual archaeology and gender politics. The loss of innocence. *Archaeological Dialogues* 2011;**18**:59–86. doi:10.1017/S1380203811000134

458

Rega E. Age, gender and biological reality in the Early Bronze Age cemetery at Mokrin. In: Invisible people and processes: writing gender and childhood into European archaeology. London: : Leicester University Press 1996. 229-47.

459

Sørensen, Marie Louise Stig. Gender archaeology. Cambridge: : Polity Press 2000.

460

Spector J. What this awl means: towards a feminist archaeology. In: Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory. Oxford: : Blackwell 1991. 388-406.

461

Treherne P. The warrior's beauty: the masculine body and self-identity in Bronze Age Europe. *Journal of European archaeology: journal of the European Association of Archaeologists* 1995;3:105-44.

462

Tringham R. Engendered places in prehistory. In: Interpretive archaeology: a reader. London: : Leicester University Press 2000. 329-57.

463

Wylie A. Gender theory and the archaeological record: Why is there no archaeology of gender? In: Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory. Oxford: : Blackwell 1991. 31-54.

464

Alison Wylie. Doing Archaeology as a Feminist: Introduction. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 2007;14:209-16.

465

Kohl PL. Nationalism and archaeology: On the Constructions of Nations and the Reconstructions of the Remote Past. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 1998; **27**:223–46.
doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.27.1.223

466

Tilley CY. Archaeology as socio-political action in the present. In: *Critical traditions in contemporary archaeology: essays in the philosophy, history and socio-politics of archaeology*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1989. 104–16.

467

Trigger BG. Alternative archaeologies: Nationalist, colonialist, imperialist. *Man* 1984; **19**:355–70.

468

Arnold B. The past as propaganda: Totalitarian archaeology in Nazi Germany. *Antiquity* 1990; **64**:464–78.

469

Banks, Iain, O'Sullivan, Jerry, Atkinson, John A., et al. Nationalism and archaeology: Scottish Archaeological Forum. Glasgow: : Cruithne Press 1996.

470

Reinhard Bernbeck and Susan Pollock. Ayodhya, Archaeology, and Identity. *Current Anthropology* 1996; **37**:S138–42.

471

Bernbeck R, Pollock S. The political economy of archaeological practice and the production of heritage in the Middle East. In: *A companion to social archaeology*. Malden, MA.: : Blackwell Pub. Ltd 2004.

335–52.<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

472

Colwell-Chanthaphonh C. Archaeology and indigenous collaboration. In: Archaeological theory today. Cambridge: : Polity 2012. 267–91.

473

Fagan, Garrett G. Archaeological fantasies: how pseudoarchaeology misrepresents the past and misleads the public. London: : Routledge 2006.

474

Fowler D. Archaeological ethics in context and practice. In: Handbook of archaeological theories. Lanham, MD: : AltaMira Press 2008.
409–22.<https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

475

Gosden C. Postcolonial archaeology. Issues of culture, identity and knowledge. In: Archaeological theory today. Cambridge: : Polity 2012. 241–61.

476

Gosden C. The past and foreign countries: colonial and post-colonial archaeology and anthropology. In: A companion to social archaeology. Malden, MA.: : Blackwell Pub. Ltd 2004. 161–78.<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

477

James, Simon. The Atlantic Celts: ancient people or modern invention? London: : British Museum Press 1999.

478

Kohl PL, Fawcett C. Archaeology in the service of the state: theoretical considerations. In: Nationalism, politics, and the practice of archaeology. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1995. 3-18.

479

Kohl PL. Nationalism and Archaeology: On the Constructions of Nations and the Reconstructions of the Remote Past. Annual Review of Anthropology 1998;27:223-46.
doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.27.1.223

480

Layton, Robert. Who needs the past?: indigenous values and archaeology. London: : Routledge 1994.

481

Leone et al. M. Can an African-American historical archaeology be an alternative voice? In: Interpreting archaeology: finding meaning in the past. London: : Routledge 1994. 110-24.

482

Leone, Mark P. The archaeology of liberty in an American capital: excavations in Annapolis. Berkeley: : University of California Press 2005.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1525/j.ctt1ppc5g>

483

Leone M, Preucel R. Archaeology in a democratic society: a critical perspective. In: Quandaries and quests: visions of archaeology's future. [Carbondale, Ill.]: : Center for Archaeological Investigations, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale 1992. 115-35.

484

McGuire R. Contested pasts: archaeology and Native Americans. In: A companion to social archaeology. Malden, MA.: : Blackwell Pub. Ltd 2004. 374-95.

485

McGuire, Randall H. *Archaeology as political action*. Berkeley: : University of California Press 2008.

486

Meskell, Lynn. *Archaeology under fire: nationalism, politics and heritage in the Eastern Mediterranean and Middle East*. London: : Routledge 1998.

487

Meskell L. The Intersections of Identity and Politics in Archaeology. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 2002;31:279–301. doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.31.040402.085457

488

Murray T. Communication and the importance of disciplinary communities: who owns the past? In: *Archaeological theory: who sets the agenda?* Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1993. 105–16.

489

Pearson MP, Schadla-Hall T, Moshenska G. Resolving the Human Remains Crisis in British Archaeology. *Papers from the Institute of Archaeology* 2011;21:5–9. doi:10.5334/pia.369

490

Ratnagar S. Archaeology at the Heart of a Political Confrontation: The Case of Ayodhya. *Current Anthropology* 2004;45:239–59. doi:10.1086/381044

491

Reid, Donald M. *Whose pharaohs?: archaeology, museums, and Egyptian national identity from Napoleon to World War I*. Berkeley, Calif: : University of California Press 2002.

492

Saitta, Dean J. *The archaeology of collective action*. Gainesville: : University Press of Florida 2007.

493

Smith, Laurajane. *Archaeological theory and the politics of cultural heritage*. London: : Routledge 2004.

494

Stottman, M. Jay. *Archaeologists as activists: can archaeologists change the world?* Tuscaloosa: : University of Alabama Press 2010.

495

Tarlow S, Stutz LN. Can an archaeologist be a public intellectual? *Archaeological Dialogues* 2013;20:1-5. doi:10.1017/S1380203813000032

496

Thomas, David Hurst. *Skull wars: Kennewick man, archaeology, and the battle for Native American identity*. New York, N.Y.: : Basic Books 2000.

497

Trigger BG. Archaeology and the image of the American Indian. *American Antiquity* 1980; 45:662-76.

498

Wylie A. The interplay of evidential constraints and political interests: Recent archaeological research on gender. *American Antiquity* 1992;57:15-35.

499

Wylie A. Alternative histories. Epistemic disunity and political integrity. In: Making alternative histories: the practice of archaeology and history in non-Western settings. Santa Fe, N.M.: School of American Research Press 1995. 255–72.

500

Zimmerman, Larry J., Vitelli, Karen D., Hollowell-Zimmer, Julie. Ethical issues in archaeology. Walnut Creek, CA: Altamira Press 2003.

501

Clarke DL. Archaeology: the loss of innocence. *Antiquity* 1973; **47**:6–18.

502

Ian Hodder. Postprocessual Archaeology. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory* 1985; **8**:1–26.

503

Tilley C. Round Barrows and Dykes as Landscape Metaphors. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 2004; **14**:185–203. doi:10.1017/S0959774304000125

504

Fisher P, Farrelly C, Maddocks A, et al. Spatial Analysis of Visible Areas from the Bronze Age Cairns of Mull. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 1997; **24**:581–92.
doi:10.1006/jasc.1996.0142

505

Flannery KV. Process and Agency in Early State Formation. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 1999; **9**:3–21. doi:10.1017/S0959774300015183

506

Joyce RA. Unintended Consequences? Monumentality as a Novel Experience in Formative

Mesoamerica. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory 2004; **11**:5–29.

507

Megaw JVS, Megaw MR. Ancient Celts and Modern Ethnicity. *Antiquity* 1996; **70**:175–81.

508

James S. Celts, politics and motivation in archaeology. *Antiquity* 1998; **72**:200–9.

509

VanPool CS, VanPool TL. The scientific nature of post-processualism. *American Antiquity* 1999; **64**:33–53.

510

Arnold III PJ, Wilkens BS. On the VanPools' 'scientific' post-processualism. *American Antiquity* 2001; **66**:361–6.

511

Hutson SR. Synergy through disunity, science as social practice: Comments on VanPool and VanPool. *American Antiquity* 2001; **66**:349–60.

512

VanPool TL, VanPool CS. Postprocessualism and the nature of science: A response to comments by Hutson and Arnold and Wilkens. *American Antiquity* 2001; **66**:367–75.

513

Hodder I. 'Always momentary, fluid and flexible': Towards a reflexive excavation methodology. *Antiquity* 1997; **71**:691–700.

514

Hassan F. Beyond the surface: Comments on Hodder's reflexive excavation methodology. *Antiquity* 1997;71:1020-5.

515

Hodder I. Whose rationality? A response to Fekri Hassan. *Antiquity* 1998;72:213-7.

516

Bamforth DB. Evidence and metaphor in evolutionary archaeology. *American Antiquity* 2002;67:435-52.

517

O'Brien MJ, Lyman RL, Leonard RD. What is evolution? A response to Bamforth. *American Antiquity* 2003;68:573-80.

518

Bamforth DB. What is archaeology? (Or confusion, sound and fury, signifying....). *American Antiquity* 2003;68:581-4.