

ARCL2028: Current Issues in Archaeological Theory

Undergraduate: Year 2 core: 0.5 units

View Online



[1]

Greene, Kevin and Moore, Tom, *Archaeology: an introduction*, 5th ed. London: Routledge, 2010.

[2]

D. Henson, *Doing archaeology: a subject guide for students*. London: Routledge, 2012 [Online]. Available: <https://www-taylorfrancis-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/books/mono/10.4324/9780203109878/archaeology-donald-henson>

[3]

I. Hodder and S. Hutson, *Reading the past: current approaches to interpretation in archaeology*, Third edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003 [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511814211>

[4]

Hodder, Ian, *The archaeological process: an introduction*. Oxford: Blackwell, 1999.

[5]

Hodder, Ian, *Archaeological theory today*. Cambridge: Polity, 2001 [Online]. Available: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1101335&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

[6]

Johnson, Matthew, *Archaeological theory: an introduction*, 2nd ed. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 2010.

[7]

Trigger, Bruce G., *A history of archaeological thought*, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006 [Online]. Available: <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511813016>

[8]

Urban, Patricia A. and Schortman, Edward M., *Archaeological theory in practice*. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2012.

[9]

I. Hodder, 'Towards a reflexive method', in *The archaeological process: an introduction*, Oxford: Blackwell, 1999, pp. 80–104.

[10]

M. Johnson, 'Common sense is not enough', in *Archaeological theory: an introduction*, 2nd ed., Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 2010, pp. 1–11.

[11]

C. Redman, 'The development of archaeological theory', in *Companion encyclopedia of archaeology*, London: Routledge, 1999, pp. 48–80.

[12]

R. Bentley and M. Alexander, 'Introduction on archaeological theories', in *Handbook of archaeological theories*, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008.

[13]

D. L. Clarke, 'Archaeology: The loss of innocence', *Antiquity*, vol. 47, no. 185, pp. 6–18, 1973.

[14]

Margaret W. Conkey, 'Questioning Theory: Is There a Gender of Theory in Archaeology?', *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 285–310, 2007.

[15]

K. Greene, 'Chapter 6: making sense of the past', in *Archaeology: an introduction*, 5th ed., London: Routledge, 2010, pp. 249–312 [Online]. Available: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780203835975>

[16]

I. Hodder, 'Introduction: a review of contemporary theoretical debate in archaeology', in *Archaeological theory today*, Cambridge: Polity, 2001, pp. 1–13 [Online]. Available: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1101335&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

[17]

Meskell, Lynn and Preucel, Robert W., *A companion to social archaeology*, vol. Social archaeology. Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd, 2004 [Online]. Available: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

[18]

O'Brien, Michael J., Lyman, R. Lee, and Schiffer, Michael B., *Archaeology as a process: processualism and its progeny*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 2005.

[19]

Praetzellis, Adrian, *Death by theory: a tale of mystery and archaeological theory*. Oxford: AltaMira Press, 2000.

[20]

J. Thomas, 'Where are we now? Archaeological theory in the 1990s', in *Theory in archaeology: a world perspective*, London: Routledge, 1994, pp. 343–362.

[21]

Trigger, Bruce G., *A history of archaeological thought*, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006 [Online]. Available: <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511813016>

[22]

VanPool, Todd L. and VanPool, Christine S., *Essential tensions in archaeological method and theory*, vol. *Foundations of archaeological inquiry*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 2003.

[23]

Yoffee, Norman and Sherratt, Andrew, *Archaeological theory: who sets the agenda?*, vol. *New directions in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[24]

V. G. Childe, 'What happens in prehistory?', in *Piecing together the past: the interpretation of archaeological data*, London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1956, pp. 135–158 [Online]. Available: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c1eea4f3-9536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

[25]

C. Hawkes, 'Wenner-Gren Foundation Supper Conference - Archaeological theory and method: Some suggestions from the Old World', *American Anthropologist*, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 155–168, 1954 [Online]. Available: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/664357>

[26]

B. G. Trigger, 'Culture-historical archaeology', in *A history of archaeological thought*, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006, pp. 211–313.

[27]

V. G. Childe, 'Preface and chapter 1', in *The Danube in prehistory*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1929, pp. v-xii-1-7.

[28]

V. Gordon Childe, 'Changing aims and methods in prehistory', *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*, vol. 1, pp. 1-15, 1935 [Online]. Available: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2028_47033.pdf

[29]

Clark, Grahame, *Archaeology and society*, 3rd ed. London: Methuen, 1960.

[30]

M. Diaz-Andreu, 'Britain and the Other: the archaeology of imperialism', in *History, nationhood, and the question of Britain*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004, pp. 227-241.

[31]

Díaz-Andreu García, Margarita, *A world history of nineteenth-century archaeology: nationalism, colonialism, and the past*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007 [Online]. Available: <https://academic-oup-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/40265>

[32]

Fagan, Brian M., *Grahame Clark: an intellectual biography of an archaeologist*. Boulder, Colo: Westview, 2001.

[33]

Harris, David R., Childe, V. Gordon, V. Gordon Childe Centennial Conference, University College, London, and Prehistoric Society (London, England), *The archaeology of V. Gordon Childe: contemporary perspectives : proceedings of the V. Gordon Childe Centennial*

Conference held at the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, 8-9 May 1992 under the auspices of the Institute of Archaeology and the Prehistoric Society. London: UCL Press, 1994.

[34]

R. Hingley, 'Chapters 9 and 10', in *Roman officers and English gentlemen: the imperial origins of Roman archaeology*, New York: Routledge, 2000.

[35]

S. Jones, 'Chapter 2', in *The archaeology of ethnicity: constructing identities in the past and present*, London: Routledge, 1997.

[36]

Lyman, R. Lee, O'Brien, Michael J., and Dunnell, Robert C., *The rise and fall of culture history*. London: Plenum Press, 1997.

[37]

R. L. Lyman and M. J. O'Brien, 'A history of normative theory in Americanist archaeology', *Journal of archaeological method and theory*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 369-396, 2004.

[38]

Patterson, Thomas Carl, *Marx's ghost: conversations with archaeologists*. Oxford: Berg, 2003.

[39]

C. Renfrew, 'Beyond diffusion', in *Before civilization: the radiocarbon revolution and prehistoric Europe*, London: Pimlico, 1999, pp. 109-119.

[40]

A. Schnapp, 'Between antiquarians and archaeologists - Continuities and ruptures',

Antiquity, vol. 76, no. 291, pp. 134–140, 2002.

[41]

S. J. Shennan, 'Introduction: archaeological approaches to cultural identity', in Archaeological approaches to cultural identity, vol. One world archaeology, London: Routledge, 1994, pp. 1–32.

[42]

A. C. Spaulding, 'Statistical techniques for the discovery of artifact types', American Antiquity, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 305–313, 1953.

[43]

W. W. Taylor, 'Chapter 6', in A study of archaeology, vol. Memoir series of the American Anthropological Association, [S.l.]: American Anthropological Association, 1948.

[44]

G. Webster, 'Culture history: a culture-historical approach', in Handbook of archaeological theories, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 11–27 [Online]. Available: <https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

[45]

Wheeler, Robert Eric Mortimer, Archaeology from the earth. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1954.

[46]

L. R. Binford, 'Archaeological perspectives', in New perspectives in archeology, Chicago: Aldine, 1968, pp. 5–32.

[47]

L. R. Binford, 'A consideration of archaeological research design', American Antiquity, vol.

29, no. 4, pp. 425-441, 1964.

[48]

B. G. Trigger, 'Current trends in American archaeology', in *Time and traditions: essays in archaeological interpretation*, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 1978, pp. 2-18.

[49]

L. R. Binford, 'Archaeology as anthropology', *American Antiquity*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 217-225, 1962.

[50]

D. L. Clarke, 'Archaeology: The loss of innocence', *Antiquity*, vol. 47, no. 185, pp. 6-18, 1973.

[51]

Clarke, David L. and Chapman, Bob, *Analytical archaeology*, 2nd ed. London: Methuen, 1978.

[52]

G. Clark, 'Economic approach to prehistory', in *Economic prehistory: papers on archaeology*, Cambridge [Cambridgeshire]: Cambridge University Press, 1989, pp. 149-168.

[53]

R. C. Dunnell, 'Five decades of American archaeology', in *American archaeology, past and future: a celebration of the Society for American Archaeology, 1935-1985*, London: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1986.

[54]

K. V. Flannery, 'Culture history vs. culture process: A Debate in American archaeology',

Scientific American, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 119–122, 1967.

[55]

K. V. Flannery, 'Archaeological systems theory and early Mesoamerica', in *Anthropological archeology in the Americas*, Washington, DC: The Anthropological Society of Washington, 1968, pp. 67–87.

[56]

R. L. Lyman, 'What is the `process' in cultural process and in processual archaeology?', *Anthropological Theory*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 217–250, Jun. 2007, doi: 10.1177/1463499607077299.

[57]

David J. Meltzer, 'Paradigms and the Nature of Change in American Archaeology', *American Antiquity*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 644–657, 1979.

[58]

O'Brien, Michael J., Lyman, R. Lee, and Schiffer, Michael B., *Archaeology as a process: processualism and its progeny*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 2005.

[59]

F. Plog, 'Laws, systems of laws and the explanation of observed variation', in *The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory*, London: Duckworth, 1973, pp. 649–661.

[60]

C. L. Redman, 'Distinguished lecture in archaeology: In defence of the seventies - The adolescence of New Archaeology', *American Anthropologist*, vol. 93, no. 2, pp. 295–307, 1991.

[61]

C. Renfrew, 'Culture systems and the multiplier effect', in *The emergence of civilisation: the Cyclades and the Aegean in the Third Millennium B.C.*, vol. *Studies in prehistory*, London: Methuen, 1972, pp. 19–44.

[62]

C. Renfrew, 'Monuments, mobilization and social organization in Neolithic Wessex', in *The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory*, London: Duckworth, 1973, pp. 539–558.

[63]

W. W. Taylor, 'Chapter 6', in *A study of archaeology*, vol. *Memoir series of the American Anthropological Association*, [S.l.]: American Anthropological Association, 1948.

[64]

W. W. Taylor, 'Old wine and new skins: A contemporary parable', in *Contemporary archaeology: a guide to theory and contributions*, Carbondale, Ill: Feffer & Simons, 1972, pp. 28–33.

[65]

B. G. Trigger, 'Chapter 7', in *A history of archaeological thought*, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511813016>

[66]

B. G. Trigger, 'Aims in prehistoric archaeology', *Antiquity*, vol. 44, no. 173, pp. 26–37, 1970.

[67]

P. J. Watson, 'Processualism and after', in *Handbook of archaeological theories*, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 29–38.

[68]

L. R. Binford, 'Relics to artifacts and monuments to assemblages: changing conceptual frameworks', in *Bones: ancient men and modern myths*, vol. *Studies in archaeology*, London: Academic Press, 1981, pp. 3–20.

[69]

K. V. Flannery, 'The Golden Marshalltown: A Parable for the Archeology of the 1980s', *American Anthropologist*, vol. 84, no. 2, pp. 265–278, Jun. 1982 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1525/aa.1982.84.2.02a00010>

[70]

M. B. Schiffer, 'Archaeological context and systemic context', *American Antiquity*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 156–165, 1972.

[71]

P. Arnold, 'Back to basics: the middle-range program as pragmatic archaeology', in *Essential tensions in archaeological method and theory*, vol. *Foundations of archaeological inquiry*, Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 2003, pp. 55–66.

[72]

L. R. Binford, 'General introduction', in *For theory building in archaeology: essays on faunal remains, aquatic resources, spatial analysis and systemic modeling*, vol. *Studies in archeology*, London: Academic Press, 1977, pp. 1–10.

[73]

L. R. Binford, 'Behavioural archaeological and the "Pompeii Premise" ', *Journal of Anthropological Research*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 195–208, 1981.

[74]

Binford, Lewis Roberts, *Constructing frames of reference: an analytical method for archaeological theory building using hunter-gatherer and environmental data sets*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2001.

[75]

Butzer, Karl W., *Archaeology as human ecology: method and theory for a contextual approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1982.

[76]

Courbin, Paul, *What is archaeology?: an essay on the nature of archaeological research*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1988.

[77]

Robert C. Dunnell, 'The Harvey Lecture Series. Science, Social Science, and Common Sense: The Agonizing Dilemma of Modern Archaeology', *Journal of Anthropological Research*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 1-25, 1982.

[78]

B. Hayden and A. Cannon, 'Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands', *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 117-163, Jun. 1983, doi: 10.1016/0278-4165(83)90010-7.

[79]

E. S. Higgs and C. Vita-Finzi, 'Prehistoric economies: A territorial approach', in *Papers in economic prehistory: studies by members and associates of the British Academy Major Research Project in the Early History of Agriculture*, London: Cambridge University Press, 1972, pp. 27-36.

[80]

V. LaMotta and M. Schiffer, 'Behavioral archaeology. Towards a new synthesis', in *Archaeological theory today*, Cambridge: Polity, 2001 [Online]. Available: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1101335&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

[81]

Leone, Mark P., *Contemporary archaeology: a guide to theory and contributions*. Carbondale, Ill: Feffer & Simons, 1972.

[82]

L. E. Patrik, 'Is there an archaeological record?', *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory*, vol. 8, pp. 27-62, 1985.

[83]

B. J. Price, 'Cultural Materialism: A Theoretical Review', *American Antiquity*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 709-741, 1982.

[84]

Renfrew, Colin and Research Seminar in Archaeology and Related Subjects, *The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory*. London: Duckworth, 1973.

[85]

Schiffer, Michael B., *Behavioral archaeology*, vol. *Studies in archaeology*. London: Academic Press, 1976.

[86]

M. B. Schiffer, 'Is there a "Pompeii Premise" in archaeology?', *Journal of Anthropological Research*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 18-41, 1985.

[87]

Schiffer, Michael B., *Behavioral archaeology: first principles*, vol. *Foundations of archaeological inquiry*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 1995.

[88]

Schiffer, Michael B., *Formation processes of the archaeological record*. Albuquerque, N.M.: University of New Mexico Press, 1987.

[89]

M. J. Shott, 'Status and role of formation theory in contemporary archaeological practice', *Journal of archaeological research*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 299–329, 1998.

[90]

B. G. Trigger, 'Expanding middle-range theory', *Antiquity*, vol. 69, no. 264, pp. 449–458, 1995.

[91]

A. Wylie, 'The reaction against analogy', *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory*, vol. 8, pp. 63–111, 1985.

[92]

I. Hodder, 'Chapter 1: The problem', in *Reading the past: current approaches to interpretation in archaeology*, 3rd ed., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003, pp. 1–19.

[93]

M. Leone, 'Towards a critical archaeology', *Current Anthropology*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 283–302, 1987.

[94]

M. Shanks and C. Y. Tilley, 'Chapter 3: facts and values in archaeology', in *Re-constructing archaeology: theory and practice*, 2nd ed., London: Routledge, 1992, pp. 46–67.

[95]

A. Wylie, 'The interpretive dilemma', in *Critical traditions in contemporary archaeology: essays in the philosophy, history and socio-politics of archaeology*, vol. *New directions in archaeology*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989, pp. 18–27.

[96]

Review by: Lewis R. Binford, 'American Antiquity', vol. Vol. 53, no. No. 4, pp. 875–876.

[97]

G. L. Cowgill, 'Distinguished lecture in archaeology: Beyond criticizing New Archaeology', *American Anthropologist, New Series*, vol. 95, no. 3, pp. 551–573, 1993.

[98]

T. K. Earle et. al., 'Processual archaeology and the radical critique', *Current Anthropology*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 501–538, 1987.

[99]

I. Hodder, 'Theoretical archaeology: A reactionary view', in *Symbolic and structural archaeology*, vol. *New directions in archaeology*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1982, pp. 92–121.

[100]

I. Hodder, 'Postprocessual archaeology', *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory*, vol. 8, pp. 1–26, 1985.

[101]

M. Johnson, 'Chapters 6 and 7', in *Archaeological theory: an introduction*, 2nd ed., Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 2010.

[102]

M. Leone, 'Symbolic, structural and critical archaeology', in *American archaeology, past and future: a celebration of the Society for American Archaeology, 1935-1985*, London: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1986, pp. 415–438.

[103]

M. Shanks and C. Y. Tilley, 'Archaeology and the politics of theory', in *Social theory and archaeology*, Cambridge: Polity in association with Blackwell, 1987, pp. 186–208.

[104]

Shanks, Michael and Tilley, Christopher Y., *Re-constructing archaeology: theory and practice*, 2nd ed. London: Routledge, 1992.

[105]

M. Shanks, 'Post-processual archaeology and after', in *Handbook of archaeological theories*, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 133–144.

[106]

C. Y. Tilley, 'Ideology and the legitimation of power in the middle Neolithic of southern Sweden', in *Ideology, power and prehistory*, vol. *New directions in archaeology*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1984, pp. 111–145.

[107]

B. G. Trigger, 'Distinguished lecture in archaeology: Constraint and freedom - A new synthesis for archaeological explanation', *American Anthropologist*, vol. 93, no. 3, pp. 551–569, 1991.

[108]

B. G. Trigger, 'Archaeology at the Crossroads: What's New?', *Annual Review of Anthropology*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 275–300, Oct. 1984, doi: 10.1146/annurev.an.13.100184.001423.

[109]

B. G. Trigger, 'Chapter 8: Processualism and post-processualism', in *A history of archaeological thought*, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511813016>

[110]

A. Wylie, 'On "heavily decomposing red herrings". Scientific method in archaeology and the lading of evidence with theory', in *Metaarchaeology: reflections by archaeologists and philosophers*, vol. Boston studies in the philosophy of science, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1992, pp. 145–157.

[111]

M. Shanks and I. Hodder, 'Processual, postprocessual and interpretive archaeologies', in *Interpreting archaeology: finding meaning in the past*, London: Routledge, 1994, pp. 3–29.

[112]

M. Shanks and C. Tilley, 'Comments on Archaeology into the 1990s', *Norwegian Archaeological Review*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 12–54, 1989 [Online]. Available: <https://www-tandfonline-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/toc/sarc20/22/1?nav=toCList>

[113]

Barrett, John C., *Fragments from antiquity: an archaeology of social life in Britain, 2900-1200 BC*, vol. Social archaeology. Cambridge, Mass: Blackwell, 1993.

[114]

J. Brück, 'Experiencing the past? The development of a phenomenological archaeology in British prehistory', *Archaeological Dialogues*, vol. 12, no. 01, pp. 45–72, Aug. 2005, doi: 10.1017/S1380203805001583.

[115]

Buchli, Victor, Lucas, Gavin, and Cox, Margaret, *Archaeologies of the contemporary past*. London: Routledge, 2001.

[116]

C. Chippindale, 'Ambition, deference, discrepancy, consumption: the intellectual background to a post-processual archaeology', in *Archaeological theory: who sets the agenda?*, vol. *New directions in archaeology*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993, pp. 27–36.

[117]

Dobres, Marcia-Anne, *Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology*, vol. *Social archaeology*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers, 2000.

[118]

T. A. Dowson, 'Homosexuality, queer theory and archaeology', in *Interpretive archaeology: a reader*, London: Leicester University Press, 2000, pp. 283–289.

[119]

J. M. Gero and M. Wright, 'Tensions, pluralities and engendering archaeology: An introduction to *Women and Prehistory*', in *Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory*, vol. *Social archaeology*, Oxford: Blackwell, 1991, pp. 3–30.

[120]

M. Hegmon, 'Setting theoretical egos aside: Issues and theory in North American archaeology', *American Antiquity*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 213–243, 2003.

[121]

I. Hodder, 'Interpretive archaeology and its role', *American Antiquity*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 7–18, 1991.

[122]

I. Hodder, 'Material practice, symbolism and ideology', in *Theory and practice in archaeology*, vol. *Material cultures*, London: Routledge, 1992, pp. 201–212.

[123]

Hodder, Ian, *Interpreting archaeology: finding meaning in the past*. London: Routledge, 1994.

[124]

I. Hodder, H. Karlsson, and B. Olsen, '40 Years of Theoretical Engagement: A Conversation with Ian Hodder', *Norwegian Archaeological Review*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 26–42, Jun. 2008, doi: 10.1080/00293650802181154.

[125]

V. LaMotta and M. Schiffer, 'Behavioral archaeology. Towards a new synthesis', in *Archaeological theory today*, Rev. and Updated ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 14–64.

[126]

Mackenzie, Iain M., *Archaeological theory: progress or posture?*, vol. *Worldwide archaeology series*. Aldershot: Avebury, 1994.

[127]

Meskell, Lynn, *Archaeologies of social life: age, sex, class et cetera in ancient Egypt*, vol. *Social archaeology*. Oxford: Blackwell, 1999.

[128]

R. W. Preucel, 'The postprocessual condition', *Journal of Archaeological Research*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 147–175, 1995.

[129]

C. Renfrew, 'Towards a cognitive archaeology', in *The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology*, vol. *New directions in archaeology*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994, pp. 3–12.

[130]

M. Shanks, 'Post-processual archaeology and after', in Handbook of archaeological theories, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 133–144 [Online]. Available: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780759113602>

[131]

Tarlow, Sarah and West, Susie, The familiar past?: archaeologies of later historical Britain. London: Routledge, 1999.

[132]

J. Thomas, 'Where are we now? Archaeological theory in the 1990s', in Theory in archaeology: a world perspective, London: Routledge, 1994, pp. 343–362.

[133]

Thomas, Julian, Time, culture and identity: an interpretative archaeology. London: Routledge, 1996.

[134]

C. Tilley, 'Interpreting material culture', in The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression, vol. One world archaeology, London: Routledge, 1989, pp. 185–194.

[135]

Tilley, Christopher Y., Interpretative archaeology, vol. Explorations in anthropology. New York: Berg, 1992.

[136]

B. G. Trigger, 'Post-processual developments in Anglo-American archaeology', Norwegian Archaeological Review, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 65–76, Jan. 1991.

[137]

B. G. Trigger, 'Hyper-relativism, responsibility and the Social Sciences', in *Artifacts & ideas: essays in archaeology*, London: Transaction, 2003, pp. 113–131.

[138]

B. G. Trigger, 'Chapter 9 and 10', in *A history of archaeological thought*, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511813016>

[139]

Michelle Hegmon, 'Setting Theoretical Egos Aside: Issues and Theory in North American Archaeology', *American Antiquity*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 213–243, 2003.

[140]

M. Johnson, 'On the nature of theoretical archaeology and archaeological theory', *Archaeological Dialogues*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 117–132, 2006, doi: 10.1017/S138020380621208X.

[141]

K. Kristiansen, 'Genes versus agents: A discussion of the widening theoretical gap in archaeology', *Archaeological Dialogues*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 77–99, 2004, doi: 10.1017/S1380203805211509.

[142]

J. C. Barrett, 'The material constitution of humanness', *Archaeological Dialogues*, vol. 21, no. 01, pp. 65–74, Jun. 2014, doi: 10.1017/S1380203814000105.

[143]

A. Bentley and H. D. G. Maschner, 'Complexity theory', in *Handbook of archaeological theories*, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 245–270.

[144]

Bintliff, J. L. and Pearce, Mark, *The death of archaeological theory?* Oakville: Oxbow Books, 2011 [Online]. Available: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctvh1dk87>

[145]

Cochrane, Ethan E. and Gardner, Andrew, *Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue*, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast, 2011.

[146]

Funari, Pedro Paulo A., Zarankin, Andrés, and Stovel, Emily, *Global archaeological theory: contextual voices and contemporary thoughts*. London: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, 2005.

[147]

Zarankin, Andrés, Salerno, Melisa A., and Funari, Pedro Paulo A., *Memories from darkness: archaeology of repression and resistance in Latin America*, vol. Contributions to global historical archaeology. London: Springer, 2009 [Online]. Available: <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1007/978-1-4419-0679-3>

[148]

B. Gaydarska, 'A brief history of TAG', *Antiquity*, vol. 83, no. 322, pp. 1152–1162, 2009.

[149]

Michelle Hegmon, 'No More Theory Wars: A Response to Moss', *American Antiquity*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 588–590, 2005.

[150]

I. Hodder, 'Introduction: contemporary theoretical debate in archaeology', in *Archaeological theory today*, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 1–14.

[151]

Lydon, Jane and Rizvi, Uzma Z., Handbook of postcolonial archaeology, vol. World Archaeological Congress research handbooks in archaeology. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2010 [Online]. Available:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=911816>

[152]

Knappett, Carl, An archaeology of interaction: network perspectives on material culture and society. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011 [Online]. Available:
<https://academic.oup.com/book/1407>

[153]

Mizoguchi, Kōji, Archaeology, society and identity in modern Japan, vol. Cambridge studies in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006.

[154]

Madonna L. Moss, 'Rifts in the Theoretical Landscape of Archaeology in the United States: A Comment on Hegmon and Watkins', *American Antiquity*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 581-587, 2005.

[155]

B. Olsen, 'Symmetrical archaeology', in *Archaeological theory today*, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 208-228.

[156]

T. R. Pauketat, 'Practice and history in archaeology: An emerging paradigm', *Anthropological Theory*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 73-98, Mar. 2001, doi:
10.1177/146349960100100105.

[157]

R. W. Preucel and S. A. Mrozowski, 'The new pragmatism', in *Contemporary archaeology in theory: the new pragmatism*, 2nd ed., Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 2010, pp. 3-49.

[158]

J. Robb and T. R. Pauketat, *Big histories, human lives: tackling problems of scale in archaeology*, vol. School for Advanced Research advanced seminar series. Santa Fe, N.M.: School for Advanced Research Press, 2013.

[159]

Michael Shanks, 'Symmetrical Archaeology', *World Archaeology*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 589-596, 2007.

[160]

Smith, Laurajane, *Archaeological theory and the politics of cultural heritage*. London: Routledge, 2004.

[161]

M. Spriggs, 'Ethnographic parallels and the denial of history', *World Archaeology*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 538-552, 2008, doi: 10.1080/00438240802453161.

[162]

Bruce G. Trigger, 'Archaeology and Epistemology: Dialoguing across the Darwinian Chasm', *American Journal of Archaeology*, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 1-34, 1998.

[163]

Ucko, Peter J. and Theoretical Archaeology Group, *Theory in archaeology: a world perspective*. London: Routledge, 1994.

[164]

C. M. Watts, *Relational archaeologies: humans, animals, things*. London: Routledge, 2013.

[165]

T. Webmoor and C. L. Witmore, 'Things Are Us! A Commentary on Human/Things Relations under the Banner of a "Social" Archaeology', *Norwegian Archaeological Review*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 53–70, Jun. 2008, doi: 10.1080/00293650701698423.

[166]

G. Andrews, J. C. Barrett, and J. S. C. Lewis, 'Interpretation not record: The practice of archaeology', *Antiquity*, vol. 74, no. 285, pp. 525–530, 2000.

[167]

I. Hodder, 'Towards a reflexive method', in *The archaeological process: an introduction*, Oxford: Blackwell, 1999, pp. 80–104.

[168]

G. Lucas, 'Introduction: archaeology and the field', in *Critical approaches to fieldwork: contemporary and historical archaeological practice*, London: Routledge, 2001, pp. 1–17.

[169]

S. Roskams, 'Future prospects', in *Excavation*, vol. Cambridge manuals in archaeology, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001, pp. 267–290.

[170]

B. Bender, C. Y. Tilley, E. Anderson, and S. Hamilton, 'Chapters 1 and 3', in *Stone worlds: narrative and reflexivity in landscape archaeology*, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2007 [Online]. Available: https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService∓package_service_id=14615901840004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

[171]

A. Berggren and I. Hodder, 'Social practice, method and some problems of field archaeology', *American Antiquity*, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 421–434, 2003.

[172]

L. R. Binford, 'A consideration of archaeological research design', *American Antiquity*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 425–441, 1964.

[173]

A. Chadwick, 'Post-processualism, professionalization and archaeological methodologies. Towards reflexive and radical practice', *Archaeological Dialogues*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 97–117, 2003, doi: 10.1017/S1380203803001107.

[174]

Collis, John, *Digging up the past: an introduction to archaeological excavation*. Stroud: Sutton, 2001.

[175]

J. Conolly, 'Catalhoyuk and the archaeological object', in *Towards reflexive method in archaeology: the example at Çatalhöyük*: by members of the Çatalhöyük team, vol. McDonald Institute monographs, Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, 2000, pp. 51–56 [Online]. Available: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=533279b1-a506-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

[176]

Edgeworth, Matt, *Acts of discovery: an ethnography of archaeological practice*, vol. BAR international series. Oxford: Archaeopress, 2003.

[177]

Edgeworth, Matt, *Ethnographies of archaeological practice: cultural encounters, material transformations*, vol. Worlds of archaeology series. Lanham: Altamira Press, 2006.

[178]

J. M. Gero, 'Archaeological practice and gendered encounters with field data', in *Gender and archaeology*, Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1996, pp. 251–280.

[179]

S. Hamilton, 'Lost in translation? A comment on the excavation report', *Papers from the Institute of Archaeology*, vol. 10, pp. 1–8, Aug. 1999, doi: 10.5334/pia.140.

[180]

S. Hamilton, R. Whitehouse, K. Brown, P. Combes, E. Herring, and M. S. Thomas, 'Phenomenology in Practice: Towards a Methodology for a `Subjective' Approach', *European Journal of Archaeology*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 31–71, Apr. 2006, doi: 10.1177/1461957107077704.

[181]

F. A. Hassan, 'Beyond the surface: Comments on Hodder's "reflexive excavation methodology"', *Antiquity*, vol. 71, no. 274, pp. 1020–1025, 1997.

[182]

I. Hodder, 'Writing archaeology: site reports in context', in *Theory and practice in archaeology*, vol. *Material cultures*, London: Routledge, 1992, pp. 263–274.

[183]

I. Hodder, 'Always momentary, fluid and flexible: Towards a reflexive excavation methodology', *Antiquity*, vol. 71, no. 273, pp. 691–700, 1997.

[184]

Jones, Andrew, *Archaeological theory and scientific practice*, vol. *Topics in contemporary archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001.

[185]

G. Lucas, *Understanding the archaeological record*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012 [Online]. Available: <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511845772>

[186]

Moshenska, Gabriel and Dhanjal, Sarah, *Community archaeology: themes, methods and practices*. Oxford: Oxbow, 2012 [Online]. Available: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=1154191>

[187]

Papaconstantinou, Demetra, *Deconstructing context: a critical approach to archaeological practice*. Oxford: Oxbow, 2006.

[188]

M. Parker Pearson and Ramilisonina, 'Stonehenge for the ancestors: The stones pass on the message', *Antiquity*, vol. 72, no. 276, pp. 308-326, 1998.

[189]

G. Scarre and R. Coningham, *Appropriating the past: philosophical perspectives on the practice of archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013 [Online]. Available: <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9781139026932>

[190]

Quirke, Stephen, *Hidden hands: Egyptian workforces in Petrie excavation archives, 1880-1924*, vol. Duckworth egyptology. London: Gerald Duckworth, 2010.

[191]

M. Shanks and R. H. McGuire, 'The craft of archaeology', *American Antiquity*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 75-88, 1996.

[192]

C. Tilley, 'Excavation as Theatre', *Antiquity*, vol. 63, no. 239, pp. 275–280, 1989.

[193]

M. Parker Pearson and Ramilisonina, 'Stonehenge for the ancestors: The stones pass on the message', *Antiquity*, vol. 72, no. 276, pp. 308–326, 1998.

[194]

M. Parker Pearson et. al., 'Who was buried at Stonehenge?', *Antiquity*, vol. 83, no. 319, pp. 23–39, 2009.

[195]

M. P. Pearson, 'Materializing Stonehenge: The Stonehenge Riverside Project and New Discoveries', *Journal of Material Culture*, vol. 11, no. 1–2, pp. 227–261, Jul. 2006, doi: 10.1177/1359183506063024.

[196]

C. Renfrew, 'Monuments, mobilization and social organisation in Neolithic Wessex', in *The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory*, London: Duckworth, 1973, pp. 539–558.

[197]

Atkinson, R. J. C., *Stonehenge*, vol. A pelican book. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books in association with Hamish Hamilton, 1960.

[198]

B. Bender, 'Theorising landscapes, and the prehistoric landscape of Stonehenge', *Man*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 735–755, 1992.

[199]

Bender, Barbara and Aitken, Paul, Stonehenge: making space, vol. Materializing culture. Oxford: Berg, 1998.

[200]

Bradley, Richard, The significance of monuments: on the shaping of human experience in Neolithic and Bronze Age Europe. London: Routledge, 1998.

[201]

Chippindale, Christopher, Stonehenge complete, New and Expanded [3rd] ed. [London]: Thames & Hudson, 2004.

[202]

Chippindale, Christopher, Who owns Stonehenge? London: Batsford, 1990.

[203]

Cleal, Rosamund, Walker, K. E., Montague, R., and English Heritage, Stonehenge in its landscape: twentieth-century excavations, vol. Archaeological report / English Heritage. London: English Heritage, 1995.

[204]

Renfrew, Colin, Cunliffe, Barry W., and British Academy, Science and Stonehenge, vol. Proceedings of the British Academy. Oxford: Oxford University Press for the British Academy, 1997.

[205]

M. Parker Pearson et. al., 'The age of Stonehenge', *Antiquity*, vol. 81, no. 313, pp. 617-639, 2007.

[206]

M. Parker Pearson et. al., 'Stonehenge, its river and its landscape: Unravelling the

mysteries of a prehistoric sacred place', *Archäologischer Anzeiger: Beiblatt zum Jahrbuch des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts*, vol. 1, pp. 237–258, 2006.

[207]

Richards, Julian C. and English Heritage, *English Heritage book of Stonehenge*. London: B. T. Batsford Ltd./ English Heritage, 1991.

[208]

Richards, Julian C., Allen, Mike, and English Heritage, *The Stonehenge environs project*, vol. Archaeological report. London: Historical Buildings & Monuments Commission for England, 1990.

[209]

S. James, 'Roman archaeology: Crisis and revolution', *Antiquity*, vol. 77, no. 295, pp. 178–184, 2003 [Online]. Available: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217561304?accountid=14511>

[210]

R. Witcher, D. P. Tolia-Kelly, and R. Hingley, 'Archaeologies of Landscape: Excavating the Materialities of Hadrian's Wall', *Journal of Material Culture*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 105–128, Mar. 2010, doi: 10.1177/1359183510355228.

[211]

Andrén, Anders and Crozier, Alan, *Between artifacts and texts: historical archaeology in global perspective*, vol. Contributions to global historical archaeology. London: Plenum Press, 1998.

[212]

Dyson, Stephen L., *In pursuit of ancient pasts: a history of classical archaeology in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries*. London: Yale University Press, 2006 [Online]. Available: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1npzcm>

[213]

S. S. Frere, 'Roman Britain since Haverfield and Richmond', *History and Archaeology Review*, vol. 3, pp. 31–36, 1988.

[214]

A. Gardner, 'Seeking a material turn: the artefactuality of the Roman Empire', in *TRAC 2002: proceedings of the twelfth annual Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference which took place at the University of Kent at Canterbury 5-6 April 2002*, Oxford: Oxbow Books, 2003, pp. 1–13.

[215]

Hingley, Richard, *Roman officers and English gentlemen: the imperial origins of Roman archaeology*. New York: Routledge, 2000.

[216]

R. Hingley, 'Hadrian's Wall in theory: pursuing new agendas', in *Understanding Hadrian's Wall: papers from a conference held at South Shields, 3rd-5th November 2006, to mark the publication of the 14th edition of the Handbook to the Roman Wall*, South Shields: Arbeia Society, 2008, pp. 25–28.

[217]

R. Hingley, "'The most ancient Boundary between England and Scotland": Genealogies of the Roman Walls', *Classical Receptions Journal*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 25–43, May 2010, doi: 10.1093/crj/clq001.

[218]

I. Hodder, 'Bridging the divide: a commentary on theoretical Roman archaeology', in *Theoretical Roman archaeology: first conference proceedings*, vol. *Worldwide archaeology series*, Aldershot: Avebury, 1993, pp. xiii–xix.

[219]

Ian Hodder and Mark Hassall, 'The Non-Random Spacing of Romano-British Walled Towns',

Man, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 391–407, 1971.

[220]

S. James, 'Writing the Legions: The Development and Future of Roman Military Studies in Britain', *Archaeological Journal*, vol. 159, no. 1, pp. 1–58, Jan. 2002, doi: 10.1080/00665983.2002.11020514.

[221]

James, Simon, Millett, Martin, *Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference, and Council for British Archaeology, Britons and Romans: advancing an archaeological agenda*, vol. CBA research report. York: Council for British Archaeology, 2001.

[222]

M. H. Johnson, 'Rethinking historical archaeology', in *Historical archaeology: back from the edge*, vol. One world archaeology, New York: Routledge, 1999, pp. 23–36.

[223]

T. Moore, 'Detribalizing the later prehistoric past: Concepts of tribes in Iron Age and Roman studies', *Journal of Social Archaeology*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 334–360, Oct. 2011, doi: 10.1177/1469605311403861.

[224]

C. Nesbitt and D. Tolia-Kelly, 'Hadrian's Wall: Embodied archaeologies of the linear monument', *Journal of Social Archaeology*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 368–390, Sep. 2009, doi: 10.1177/1469605309338428.

[225]

Glenn R. Storey, 'Archaeology and Roman Society: Integrating Textual and Archaeological Data', *Journal of Archaeological Research*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 203–248, 1999.

[226]

Mason, David J. P. and Symonds, Matthew F. A., *Frontiers of knowledge: a research framework for Hadrian's Wall*, part of the *Frontiers of the Roman Empire World Heritage site*. Durham: Durham County Council and Durham University, 2009.

[227]

Cooper, Nicholas, Webster, Jane, and University of Leicester, *Roman imperialism: post-colonial perspectives*, vol. *Leicester archaeology monographs*. Leicester: School of Archaeological Studies, University of Leicester, 1996.

[228]

T. Wilmott, 'Collapse theory and the end of Birdoswald', in *Theoretical Roman archaeology: second conference proceedings*, vol. *Worldwide archaeology series*, Aldershot: Avebury, 1995, pp. 59-69.

[229]

Wilmott, Tony and English Heritage, *Hadrian's Wall: archaeological research by English Heritage 1976-2000*. Swindon: English Heritage, 2009.

[230]

R. Witcher, 'The Fabulous Tales of the Common People, Part 1: Representing Hadrian's Wall', *Public Archaeology*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 126-152, 2010, doi: 10.1179/146551810X12822101587138.

[231]

Greg Woolf, 'The Present State and Future Scope of Roman Archaeology: A Comment', *American Journal of Archaeology*, vol. 108, no. 3, pp. 417-428, 2004.

[232]

Tim Ingold, 'The Temporality of the Landscape', *World Archaeology*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 152-174, 1993 [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/124811>

[233]

G. Lucas, 'Time and Archaeological Event', *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*, vol. 18, no. 01, pp. 59–65, Feb. 2008, doi: 10.1017/S095977430800005X.

[234]

H. Mytum, 'Materiality and memory: an archaeological perspective on the popular adoption of linear time in Britain', *Antiquity*, vol. 81, no. 312, pp. 381–396, 2007 [Online]. Available: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217581355?accountid=14511>

[235]

B. Adam, 'Perceptions of time', in *Companion encyclopedia of anthropology*, [New ed.], vol. Routledge world reference, London: Routledge, 2002, pp. 503–526 [Online]. Available: https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/permalink/f/1klfcc3/TN_cdi_proquest_ebookcentral_EBC169490

[236]

Adam, Barbara, *Timewatch: the social analysis of time*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 1995.

[237]

G. N. Bailey, 'Concepts of Time in Quaternary Prehistory', *Annual Review of Anthropology*, vol. 12, pp. 165–192, 1983 [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2155645>

[238]

G. Bailey, 'Time perspectives, palimpsests and the archaeology of time', *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 198–223, Jun. 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.jaa.2006.08.002.

[239]

Barrett, John C., *Fragments from antiquity: an archaeology of social life in Britain, 2900-1200 BC*, vol. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell, 1993.

[240]

Rosen, Ralph Mark and University of Pennsylvania, Time and temporality in the ancient world. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology, 2004.

[241]

Bintliff, J. L., The Annales school and archaeology. London: Leicester University Press, 1991.

[242]

Borić, Dušan, Archaeology and memory. Oxford: Oxbow Books, 2010.

[243]

Bradley, Richard, The past in prehistoric societies. London: Routledge, 2002.

[244]

A. Gardner, 'Time and empire in the Roman world', Journal of Social Archaeology, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 145–166, Jun. 2012, doi: 10.1177/1469605312439971.

[245]

Gell, Alfred, The anthropology of time: cultural constructions of temporal maps and images , vol. Explorations in anthropology. Oxford: Berg, 1992.

[246]

Gosden, Chris, Social being and time. Oxford: Blackwell, 1994.

[247]

Hannah, Robert, Time in antiquity, vol. Sciences of antiquity. Abingdon: Routledge, 2009.

[248]

J. Harding, 'Rethinking the Great Divide: Long-Term Structural History and the Temporality of Event', *Norwegian Archaeological Review*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 88–101, Nov. 2005, doi: 10.1080/00293650510032707.

[249]

Holdaway, Simon and Wandsnider, LuAnn, *Time in archaeology: time perspectivism revisited*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 2008 [Online]. Available: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=3443820>

[250]

Karlsson, Håkan, *It's about time: the concept of time in archaeology*. Göteborg: Bricoleur, 2001.

[251]

Redman, Charles L., *Social archeology: beyond subsistence and dating*, vol. *Studies in archeology*. New York: Academic Press, 1978.

[252]

Lucas, Gavin, *The archaeology of time*, vol. *Themes in archaeology*. London: Routledge, 2005.

[253]

Nancy D. Munn, 'The Cultural Anthropology of Time: A Critical Essay', *Annual Review of Anthropology*, vol. 21, pp. 93–123, 1992 [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2155982>

[254]

Murray, Tim and World Archaeological Congress, *Time and archaeology*, vol. *One world archaeology*. London: Routledge, 1999.

[255]

G. Nanni, 'Time, empire and resistance in settler-colonial Victoria', *Time & Society*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 5–33, Mar. 2011, doi: 10.1177/0961463X10369765.

[256]

M. Shanks and C. Tilley, 'Abstract and Substantial Time', *Archaeological Review From Cambridge*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 32–41, 1987.

[257]

Mackenzie, Iain M., *Archaeological theory: progress or posture?*, vol. Worldwide archaeology series. Aldershot: Avebury, 1994.

[258]

Thomas, Julian, *Time, culture and identity: an interpretative archaeology*. New York: Routledge, 1996.

[259]

Van Dyke, Ruth and Alcock, Susan E., *Archaeologies of memory*. Malden, MA: Blackwell, 2003.

[260]

A. Whittle, A. Bayliss, and F. Healy, 'The Timing and Tempo of Change: Examples from the Fourth Millennium cal. BC in Southern England', *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*, vol. 18, no. 01, pp. 65–70, 2008, doi: 10.1017/S0959774308000061.

[261]

B. David and J. Thomas, 'Landscape archaeology: Introduction', in *Handbook of landscape archaeology*, vol. World Archaeological Congress research handbooks in archaeology, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2008, pp. 27–43 [Online]. Available: <https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315427737.ch1>

[262]

S. Hamilton, 'The ambiguity of landscape: discussing points of relatedness in concepts and methods', in *Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue*, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast, 2011, pp. 263–280.

[263]

J. Thomas, 'Archaeologies of place and landscape', in *Archaeological theory today*, Rev. and Updated ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 165–186.

[264]

C. Y. Tilley, 'Space, place, landscape and perception: phenomenological perspectives', in *A phenomenology of landscape: places, paths, and monuments*, vol. Explorations in anthropology, Oxford: Berg, 1994, pp. 7–34.

[265]

W. Ashmore and A. B. Knapp, 'Archaeological landscapes: constructed, conceptualised, ideational', in *Archaeologies of landscape: contemporary perspectives*, vol. Social archaeology, Malden, Mass: Blackwell Publishers, 1999, pp. 1–30.

[266]

J. Barrett, 'The mythical landscapes of the British Iron Age', in *Archaeologies of landscape: contemporary perspectives*, vol. Social archaeology, Malden, Mass: Blackwell Publishers, 1999, pp. 253–265.

[267]

B. Bender, 'Theorising landscapes, and the prehistoric landscape of Stonehenge', *Man*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 735–755, 1992.

[268]

B. Bender, C. Y. Tilley, E. Anderson, and S. Hamilton, 'Chapters 1 and 3', in *Stone worlds: narrative and reflexivity in landscape archaeology*, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2007 [Online]. Available: https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14615901840004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

[269]

B. Bender, S. Hamilton, and C. Tilley, 'Leskernick: Stone Worlds; Alternative Narratives; Nested Landscapes.', *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*, vol. 63, pp. 147–178, 1997, doi: 10.1017/S0079497X00002413.

[270]

J. Brück, 'Experiencing the past? The development of a phenomenological archaeology in British prehistory', *Archaeological Dialogues*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 45–72, Aug. 2005, doi: 10.1017/S1380203805001583.

[271]

Thomas, Julian and David, Bruno, *Handbook of landscape archaeology*, vol. World Archaeological Congress research handbooks in archaeology. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2008 [Online]. Available: <https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315427737.ch1>

[272]

A. Fleming, 'Phenomenology and the Megaliths of Wales: A Dreaming Too Far?', *Oxford Journal of Archaeology*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 119–125, May 1999, doi: 10.1111/1468-0092.00074.

[273]

A. Fleming, 'Post-processual landscape archaeology: A critique', *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 267–280, 2006, doi: 10.1017/S0959774306000163.

[274]

D. Gheorghiu and G. Nash, *Place as material culture: objects, geographies and the construction of time*. Newcastle: Cambridge Scholars Pub, 2013.

[275]

S. Hamilton et al., 'Quarried away: thinking about landscapes of megalithic construction on Rapa Nui (Easter Island)', in *Handbook of landscape archaeology*, vol. World Archaeological Congress research handbooks in archaeology, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2008, pp. 176–186 [Online]. Available:
<https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315427737.ch1>

[276]

S. Hamilton, R. Whitehouse, K. Brown, P. Combes, E. Herring, and M. S. Thomas, 'Phenomenology in Practice: Towards a Methodology for a `Subjective' Approach', *European Journal of Archaeology*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 31–71, Apr. 2006, doi: 10.1177/1461957107077704.

[277]

Wagstaff, J. Malcolm, *Landscape and culture: geographical and archaeological perspectives*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1987.

[278]

T. Ingold, 'The temporality of the landscape', *World Archaeology*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 152–174, 1993.

[279]

M. Lake, 'Viewing space', *World Archaeology*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 1–3, 2007.

[280]

M. Llobera, 'Exploring the topography of mind: GIS, social space and archaeology', *Antiquity*, vol. 70, no. 269, pp. 612–622, 1996.

[281]

J. McGlade, 'Archaeology and the evolution of cultural landscapes: towards an interdisciplinary agenda', in *The archaeology and anthropology of landscape: shaping your landscape*, vol. *One world archaeology*, London: Routledge, 1999, pp. 458–482.

[282]

J. S. Thomas, 'The politics of vision and the archaeologies of landscape', in *Landscape: politics and perspectives*, vol. *Explorations in anthropology*, New York: Berg, 1993, pp. 19–48.

[283]

C. Tilley and W. Bennett, 'An archaeology of super-natural places: the case of West Penwith', *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 335–362, 2001.

[284]

C. Y. Tilley, 'The powers of rocks: Topography and monument construction on Bodmin Moor', *World Archaeology*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 161–176, 1996.

[285]

C. Tilley, 'Round Barrows and Dykes as Landscape Metaphors', *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 185–203, Oct. 2004, doi: 10.1017/S0959774304000125.

[286]

C. Y. Tilley, 'Phenomenological approaches to landscape archaeology', in *Handbook of landscape archaeology*, vol. *World Archaeological Congress research handbooks in archaeology*, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2008, pp. 271–276 [Online]. Available: <https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315427737.ch1>

[287]

P. J. Ucko and R. Layton, 'Introduction: gazing on the landscape and encountering the environment', in *The archaeology and anthropology of landscape: shaping your landscape*,

vol. One world archaeology, London: Routledge, 1999, pp. 1-20.

[288]

D. Wheatley, 'Cumulative viewshed analysis: a GIS-based method for investigating inter-visibility and its archaeological implication', in *Archaeology and geographical information systems: a European perspective*, Bristol, PA: Taylor & Francis, 1995, pp. 171-186.

[289]

L. R. Binford, 'Interassemblage variability: The Mousterian and the functional argument', in *Working at archaeology*, vol. *Studies in archeology*, New York: Academic Press, 1983, pp. 131-153 [Online]. Available:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ffc747cb-4b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

[290]

M.-A. Dobres and C. R. Hoffman, 'Social agency and the dynamics of prehistoric technology', *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 211-258, 1994.

[291]

M. B. Schiffer and J. M. Skibo, 'The explanation of artifact variability', *American Antiquity*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 27-50, 1997.

[292]

B. SILLAR and M. S. TITE, 'THE CHALLENGE OF 'TECHNOLOGICAL CHOICES' FOR MATERIALS SCIENCE APPROACHES IN ARCHAEOLOGY', *Archaeometry*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 2-20, Feb. 2000, doi: 10.1111/j.1475-4754.2000.tb00863.x.

[293]

D. E. Arnold, 'Chapters 5, 8 and 9', in *Ceramic theory and cultural process*, vol. *New studies in archaeology*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985.

[294]

L. R. Binford, 'Archaeology as anthropology', *American Antiquity*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 217-225, 1962.

[295]

Hastorf, Christine Ann and Conkey, Margaret Wright, *The uses of style in archaeology*, vol. *New directions in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989.

[296]

M.-A. Dobres, 'Social agency and practice: the heart and soul of technology', in *Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology*, vol. *Social archaeology*, Oxford: Blackwell Publishers, 2000, pp. 127-163.

[297]

Hoffman, Christopher R. and Dobres, Marcia-Anne, *The social dynamics of technology: practice, politics, and world views*. London: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1999.

[298]

J. E. Robb and M.-A. Dobres, 'Agency in archaeology: Paradigm or Platitude?', in *Agency in archaeology*, London: Routledge, 2000, pp. 3-17.

[299]

R. C. Dunnell, 'Style and function: A fundamental dichotomy', *American Antiquity*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 192-202, 1978.

[300]

M. Hegmon, 'Archaeological research on style', *Annual Review of Anthropology*, vol. 21, pp. 517-536, 1992, doi: 10.1146/annurev.an.21.100192.002505.

[301]

J. N. Hill and R. K. Evans, 'A model for classification and typology', in *Models in archaeology*, London: Methuen, 1972, pp. 231–273.

[302]

I. Hodder, 'Material practice, symbolism and ideology', in *Theory and practice in archaeology*, vol. *Material cultures*, London: Routledge, 1992, pp. 201–212 [Online].

Available:

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=6f7f0b8f-5136-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

[303]

Hodder, Ian, *Symbols in action: ethnoarchaeological studies of material culture*, vol. *New studies in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1982.

[304]

I. Hodder, 'Post-modernism, post-structuralism and post-processual archaeology', in *The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression*, vol. *One world archaeology*, London: Unwin Hyman, HarperCollins Academic, 1989, pp. 64–78.

[305]

C. Karlin and M. Julien, 'Prehistoric technology: a cognitive science?', in *The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology*, vol. *New directions in archaeology*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994, pp. 152–163.

[306]

P. Lemonnier, 'The study of material culture today: Toward an anthropology of technical systems', *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 147–186, Jun. 1986, doi: 10.1016/0278-4165(86)90012-7.

[307]

M. Martín-Torres, 'Chaîne Opératoire: The concept and its applications within the study of technology', *Gallaecia*, vol. 21, pp. 29–43, 2002 [Online]. Available:

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=fb4bab91-db3d-ee11-8457-0050f2f06092>

[308]

M. C. Nelson, 'The study of technological organization', *Archaeological Method and Theory*, vol. 3, pp. 57–100, 1991.

[309]

B. Pfaffenberger, 'Social Anthropology of Technology', *Annual Review of Anthropology*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 491–516, Oct. 1992, doi: 10.1146/annurev.an.21.100192.002423.

[310]

James R. Sackett, 'The Meaning of Style in Archaeology: A General Model', *American Antiquity*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 369–380, 1977.

[311]

N. Schlanger, 'Mindful technology: unleashing the Chaine operateire for an archaeology of mind', in *The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology*, vol. *New directions in archaeology*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994, pp. 143–151.

[312]

F. Sigault, 'Technology', in *Companion encyclopedia of anthropology*, [New ed.], vol. *Routledge world reference*, London: Routledge, 2002, pp. 420–459 [Online]. Available: https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/permalink/f/1klfcc3/TN_cdi_proquest_ebookcentral_EBC169490

[313]

A. Sinclair, 'Constellations of knowledge: human agency and material affordance in lithic technology', in *Agency in archaeology*, London: Routledge, 2000, pp. 196–212.

[314]

Tilley, Christopher Y., *Metaphor and material culture*, vol. *Social archaeology*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers, 1999.

[315]

S. van der Leeuw, 'Dust to dust: a transformational view of the ceramic cycle', in *The many dimensions of pottery: ceramics in archaeology and anthropology*, vol. Cingula, Amsterdam: Universiteit van Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 709–733.

[316]

P. Wiessner, 'Style and changing relations between the individual and society', in *The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression*, vol. One world archaeology, London: Unwin Hyman, HarperCollins Academic, 1989, pp. 56–63.

[317]

H. M. Wobst, 'Stylistic behavior and information exchange', in *For the director: research essays in honor of James B. Griffin*, vol. Anthropological papers, Museum of Anthropology. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor: Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan, 1977, pp. 317–342.

[318]

H. M. Wobst, 'Style in archaeology or archaeologists in style', in *Material meanings: critical approaches to the interpretation of material culture*, vol. Foundations of archaeological inquiry, Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 1999, pp. 118–132.

[319]

Chris Gosden, 'What Do Objects Want?', *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 193–211, 2005.

[320]

J. E. Robb, 'The archaeology of symbols', *Annual Review of Anthropology*, vol. 27, pp. 329–346, 1998, doi: 10.1146/annurev.anthro.27.1.329.

[321]

B. Sillar, 'The Social Agency of Things? Animism and Materiality in the Andes', *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*, vol. 19, no. 03, pp. 367–377, Oct. 2009, doi:

10.1017/S0959774309000559.

[322]

A. Bentley , "'Style versus function" 30 years on', in *Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue*, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast, 2011, pp. 83-104.

[323]

Chilton, Elizabeth S., *Material meanings: critical approaches to the interpretation of material culture*, vol. Foundations of archaeological inquiry. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 1999.

[324]

E. E. Cochrane, 'Style, function and systematic empiricism: the conflation of process and pattern', in *Style and function: conceptual issues in evolutionary archaeology*, London: Bergin & Garvey, 2001, pp. 183-202.

[325]

Renfrew, Colin, DeMarrais, Elizabeth, and Gosden, Chris, *Rethinking materiality: the engagement of mind with the material world*, vol. McDonald Institute monographs. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, 2004.

[326]

L. Ferguson, 'Struggling with pots in colonial South Carolina', in *The archaeology of inequality*, vol. Social archaeology, Oxford: Blackwell, 1991, pp. 28-39.

[327]

C. Gosden and Y. Marshall, 'The cultural biography of objects', *World Archaeology*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 169-178, 1999.

[328]

I. Hodder, 'Human-thing entanglement: towards an integrated archaeological perspective', *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 154–177, 2011, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9655.2010.01674.x.

[329]

I. Hodder, *Entangled: an archaeology of the relationships between humans and things*. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 2012.

[330]

T. Ingold, 'Materials against materiality', *Archaeological Dialogues*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2007, doi: 10.1017/S1380203807002127.

[331]

Thomas, Julian, Jorge, Vítor Oliveira, and Theoretical Archaeology Group (England), *Overcoming the modern invention of material culture: proceedings of the TAG session, Exeter 2006*, vol. *Journal of Iberian archaeology*. Porto: ADECAP, 2007.

[332]

C. Knappett, 'Photographs, Skeuomorphs and Marionettes: Some Thoughts on Mind, Agency and Object', *Journal of Material Culture*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 97–117, Mar. 2002, doi: 10.1177/1359183502007001307.

[333]

Knappett, Carl, *Thinking through material culture: an interdisciplinary perspective*, vol. *Archaeology, culture, and society*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2005.

[334]

C. Knappett, 'Materiality', in *Archaeological theory today*, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 188–207.

[335]

Knappett, Carl and Malafouris, Lambros, *Material agency: towards a non-anthropocentric approach*. New York: Springer, 2008.

[336]

R. Layton, 'Structuralism and semiotics', in *Handbook of material culture*, London: SAGE, 2006, pp. 29-42 [Online]. Available:
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9781446206430>

[337]

Meskell, Lynn, *Object worlds in ancient Egypt: material biographies past and present*, vol. *Materializing culture*. Oxford: Berg, 2004.

[338]

Meskell, Lynn, *Archaeologies of materiality*. Malden, Mass: Blackwell, 2006.

[339]

D. Miller, 'Artefacts and the meaning of things', in *Companion encyclopedia of anthropology*, [New ed.], vol. *Routledge world reference*, London: Routledge, 2002, pp. 396-419 [Online]. Available:
https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/permalink/f/1klfcc3/TN_cdi_proquest_ebookcentral_EBC169490

[340]

Miller, Daniel, *Materiality*. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 2005.

[341]

M. J. O'Brien, 'Style, function, transmission: an introduction', in *Style, function, transmission: evolutionary archaeological perspectives*, vol. *Foundations of archaeological inquiry*, Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 2003, pp. 1-32.

[342]

B. Olsen, 'Material culture after text: re-membering things', *Norwegian Archaeological Review*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 87–104, Oct. 2003, doi: 10.1080/00293650310000650.

[343]

B. Olsen, *Archaeology: the discipline of things*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2012.

[344]

R. Preucel and A. Bauer, 'Archaeological Pragmatics', *Norwegian Archaeological Review*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 85–96, 2001, doi: 10.1080/00293650127469.

[345]

Preucel, Robert W., *Archaeological semiotics*, vol. *Social archaeology*. Oxford: Blackwell, 2006.

[346]

M. L. S. Sørensen, 'Reading Dress: The Construction of Social Categories and Identities in Bronze Age Europe', *Journal of European Archaeology*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 93–114, 1997, doi: 10.1179/096576697800703656.

[347]

T. Taylor, 'Materiality', in *Handbook of archaeological theories*, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 297–320 [Online]. Available: <https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

[348]

J. Thomas, 'Culture and identity', in *Companion encyclopedia of archaeology*, London: Routledge, 1999, pp. 431–469.

[349]

Thomas, Julian, *Archaeology and modernity*. London: Routledge, 2004.

[350]

Bentley, R. Alexander, Maschner, Herbert D. G., and Chippindale, Christopher, *Handbook of archaeological theories*. Lanham, Md: AltaMira Press, 2008 [Online]. Available: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780759113602>

[351]

Steven Mithen, 'Understanding Mind and Culture: Evolutionary Psychology or Social Anthropology?', *Anthropology Today*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 3–7, 1995 [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2783517?origin=crossref>

[352]

Shennan, Stephen, *Genes, memes and human history: Darwinian archaeology and cultural evolution*. London: Thames & Hudson, 2002.

[353]

R. L. Bettinger, *Hunter-gatherers: archaeological and evolutionary theory*, vol. *Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology*. New York: Plenum Press, 1991.

[354]

J. L. Boone and E. A. Smith, 'Is It Evolution Yet? A Critique of Evolutionary Archaeology', *Current Anthropology*, vol. 39, no. S1, pp. S141–S174, Jun. 1998, doi: 10.1086/204693.

[355]

Cochrane, Ethan E. and Gardner, Andrew, *Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue*, vol. *Publications of the Institute of Archaeology*, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast, 2011.

[356]

T. E. Currie and R. Mace, 'Mode and tempo in the evolution of socio-political organization: reconciling "Darwinian" and "Spencerian" evolutionary approaches in anthropology', *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, vol. 366, no. 1567, pp. 1108–1117, Feb. 2011, doi: 10.1098/rstb.2010.0318.

[357]

K. Kristiansen, 'Genes versus agents. A discussion of the widening theoretical gap in archaeology', *Archaeological Dialogues*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 77–99, Dec. 2004, doi: 10.1017/S1380203805211509.

[358]

S. J. Mithen, *The prehistory of the mind: a search for the origins of art, religion and science*. London: Thames & Hudson, 1996.

[359]

S. J. Mithen, *Thoughtful foragers: a study of prehistoric decision making*, vol. *New studies in archaeology*. Cambridge [England]: Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[360]

Richerson, Peter J. and Boyd, Robert, *Not by genes alone: how culture transformed human evolution*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2005.

[361]

P. Rowley-Conwy, R. Layton, and C. Panter-Brick, *Hunter-gatherers: an interdisciplinary perspective*, vol. *The Biosocial Society symposium series*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001.

[362]

B. Winterhalder, D. J. Kennett, M. N. Grote, and J. Bartruff, 'Ideal free settlement of

California's Northern Channel Islands', *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 469–490, Dec. 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.jaa.2010.07.001.

[363]

Alex Mesoudi, Andrew Whiten and Kevin N. Laland, 'Perspective: Is Human Cultural Evolution Darwinian? Evidence Reviewed from the Perspective of "The Origin of Species"', *Evolution*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2004 [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3449291>

[364]

S. Shennan, 'Descent with modification and the archaeological record', *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, vol. 366, no. 1567, pp. 1070–1079, Apr. 2011, doi: 10.1098/rstb.2010.0380.

[365]

S. Shennan, 'Evolution in Archaeology', *Annual Review of Anthropology*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 75–91, Oct. 2008, doi: 10.1146/annurev.anthro.37.081407.085153.

[366]

C. Andersson, 'Paleolithic Punctuations and Equilibria: Did Retention Rather Than Invention Limit Technological Evolution?', *PaleoAnthropology*, pp. 243–259, 2011 [Online]. Available: <http://www.paleoanthro.org/journal/volumes/2011/>

[367]

Aunger, Robert, *Darwinizing culture: the status of memetics as a science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000.

[368]

R. Alexander Bentley, Matthew W. Hahn and Stephen J. Shennan, 'Random Drift and Culture Change', *Proceedings: Biological Sciences*, vol. 271, no. 1547, pp. 1443–1450, 2004 [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4142981>

[369]

Maschner, Herbert D. G., Chippindale, Christopher, and Bentley, R. Alexander, *Handbook of archaeological theories*. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008.

[370]

Boyd, Robert and Richerson, Peter J., *Culture and the evolutionary process*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1985.

[371]

Mace, Ruth, Holden, Clare Janaki, and Shennan, Stephen, *The evolution of cultural diversity: a phylogenetic approach*. London: UCL Press, 2005.

[372]

F. Coward, S. Shennan, S. Colledge, J. Conolly, and M. Collard, 'The spread of Neolithic plant economies from the Near East to northwest Europe: a phylogenetic analysis', *Journal of Archaeological Science*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 42–56, Jan. 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.jas.2007.02.022.

[373]

Lipo, Carl P. and Society for American Archaeology, *Mapping our ancestors: phylogenetic approaches in anthropology and prehistory*. New Brunswick, N.J.: Aldine Transaction, 2006.

[374]

Shennan, Stephen, *Pattern and process in cultural evolution*, vol. *Origins of human behavior and culture*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2009.

[375]

R. L. Lyman and M. J. O'Brien, 'Measuring and Explaining Change in Artifact Variation with Clade-Diversity Diagrams', *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 39–74, Mar. 2000, doi: 10.1006/jaar.1999.0339.

[376]

A. Powell, S. Shennan, and M. G. Thomas, 'Late Pleistocene Demography and the Appearance of Modern Human Behavior', *Science*, vol. 324, no. 5932, pp. 1298–1301, Jun. 2009, doi: 10.1126/science.1170165.

[377]

P. J. Richerson and R. Boyd, *Not by genes alone: how culture transformed human evolution*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2005.

[378]

Shennan, Stephen, *Genes, memes and human history: Darwinian archaeology and cultural evolution*. London: Thames & Hudson, 2002.

[379]

M.-A. Dobres and J. E. Robb, 'Agency in archaeology: Paradigm or platitude?', in *Agency in archaeology*, London: Routledge, 2000, pp. 3–17.

[380]

J. L. Dornan, 'Agency and archaeology: Past, present and future directions', *Journal of archaeological method and theory*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 303–329, 2002, doi: 10.1023/A:1021318432161.

[381]

A. Gardner, 'Agency', in *Handbook of archaeological theories*, Lanham, Md: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 95–108 [Online]. Available: <https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

[382]

J. C. Barrett, 'Agency, the duality of structure and the problem of the archaeological record', in *Archaeological theory today*, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 141–164.

[383]

E. M. Brumfiel, 'On the archaeology of choice: agency studies as a research strategem', in *Agency in archaeology*, London: Routledge, 2000, pp. 249-255.

[384]

P. Cornell and F. Fahlander, 'Microarchaeology, materiality and social practice', *Current Swedish Archaeology*, vol. 10, pp. 21-38, 2002.

[385]

Dobres, Marcia-Anne, *Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology*, vol. *Social archaeology*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers, 2000.

[386]

J. Englehardt, *Agency in ancient writing*. Boulder: University Press of Colorado, 2013.

[387]

K. J. Fewster, 'The Role of Agency and Material Culture in Remembering and Forgetting: An Ethnoarchaeological Case Study from Central Spain', *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology*, vol. 20, no. 1, Jun. 2006, doi: 10.1558//jmea.2007.v20i1.89.

[388]

K. V. Flannery, 'Process and Agency in Early State Formation', *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 3-21, 1999, doi: 10.1017/S0959774300015183.

[389]

Gardner, Andrew, *An archaeology of identity: soldiers and society in late Roman Britain*, vol. *Publications of the Institute of Archaeology*, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2007 [Online]. Available:

<https://www-taylorfrancis-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/books/mono/10.4324/9781315435091/archaeology-identity-andrew-gardner>

[390]

A. Gardner, 'Action and structure in interpretive archaeologies', in *Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue*, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast, 2011, pp. 63–82.

[391]

P. Graves-Brown, 'In search of the watchmaker: attribution of agency in natural and cultural selection', in *Darwinian archaeologies*, vol. Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology, London: Plenum Press, 1996, pp. 165–181.

[392]

M. H. Johnson, 'Conceptions of agency in archaeological interpretation', *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 189–211, Jun. 1989, doi: 10.1016/0278-4165(89)90024-X.

[393]

A. B. Knapp and P. van Dommelen, 'Past Practices: Rethinking Individuals and Agents in Archaeology', *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*, vol. 18, no. 01, pp. 15–34, Feb. 2008, doi: 10.1017/S0959774308000024.

[394]

Kent G. Lightfoot, Antoinette Martinez and Ann M. Schiff, 'Daily Practice and Material Culture in Pluralistic Social Settings: An Archaeological Study of Culture Change and Persistence from Fort Ross, California', *American Antiquity*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 199–222, 1998.

[395]

G. MacGregor, 'Post-processual archaeology: the hidden agenda of the secret agent', in *Archaeological theory: progress or posture?*, vol. Worldwide archaeology series, Aldershot: Avebury, 1994, pp. 79–91.

[396]

R. A. Bentley, H. D. G. Maschner, and C. Chippindale, 'R. McGuire', in *Handbook of archaeological theories*, Lanham, Md: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 73–93 [Online]. Available: <https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

[397]

Meskell, Lynn and Joyce, Rosemary A., *Embodied lives: figuring ancient Maya and Egyptian experience*. London: Routledge, 2003.

[398]

Meskell, Lynn, *Archaeologies of social life: age, sex, class et cetera in ancient Egypt*, vol. *Social archaeology*. Oxford: Blackwell, 1999.

[399]

D. Miller and C. Y. Tilley, 'Ideology, power and prehistory: An introduction', in *Ideology, power and prehistory*, vol. *New directions in archaeology*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1984, pp. 1–15.

[400]

T. R. Pauketat, 'Practice and history in archaeology: An emerging paradigm', *Anthropological Theory*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 73–98, Mar. 2001, doi: 10.1177/146349960100100105.

[401]

T. Patterson, 'Social archaeology and Marxist social thought', in *A companion to social archaeology*, vol. *Social archaeology*, Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd, 2004, pp. 66–81 [Online]. Available: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

[402]

M. Shanks and C. Y. Tilley, 'The individual and the social', in *Social theory and archaeology*

, Cambridge: Polity in association with Blackwell, 1987, pp. 61–78.

[403]

S. J. Shennan, 'An evolutionary perspective on the goals of archaeology', in *Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue*, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast, 2011, pp. 325–344.

[404]

J. Thomas, 'Humanism and the individual: chapter 6', in *Archaeology and modernity*, London: Routledge, 2004, pp. 119–148.

[405]

C. Strauss, 'Blaming for Columbine', *Current Anthropology*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 807–832, Dec. 2007, doi: 10.1086/520975.

[406]

Bruce G. Trigger, 'Marxism in Contemporary Western Archaeology', *Archaeological Method and Theory*, vol. 5, pp. 159–200, 1993.

[407]

T. L. VanPool and C. S. VanPool, 'Agency and evolution: the role of intended and unintended consequences of action', in *Essential tensions in archaeological method and theory*, vol. Foundations of archaeological inquiry, Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 2003, pp. 89–113.

[408]

J. Brück, 'Monuments, power and personhood in the British Neolithic', *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 649–667, Dec. 2001, doi: 10.1111/1467-9655.00082.

[409]

C. Fowler, 'Personhood and Social Relations in the British Neolithic with a Study from the Isle of Man', *Journal of Material Culture*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 137–163, Jul. 2001, doi: 10.1177/135918350100600202.

[410]

S. Jones, 'Discourses of identity in the interpretation of the past', in *Interpretive archaeology: a reader*, London: Leicester University Press, 2000, pp. 445–457.

[411]

R. A. Joyce, 'Archaeology of the Body', *Annual Review of Anthropology*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 139–158, Oct. 2005, doi: 10.1146/annurev.anthro.33.070203.143729.

[412]

M. Carrithers, S. Collins, and S. Lukes, Eds., *The category of the person: anthropology, philosophy, history*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985.

[413]

Casella, Eleanor Conlin and Fowler, Chris, *The archaeology of plural and changing identities: beyond identification*. London: Kluwer Academic/Plenum, 2005.

[414]

Díaz-Andreu García, Margarita, *The archaeology of identity: approaches to gender, age, status, ethnicity and religion*. London: Routledge, 2005.

[415]

Geoff Emberling, 'Ethnicity in Complex Societies: Archaeological Perspectives', *Journal of Archaeological Research*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 295–344, 1997.

[416]

Fowler, Chris, *The archaeology of personhood: an anthropological approach*, vol. Themes

in archaeology. London: Routledge, 2004.

[417]

C. Fowler, 'The individual, the subject and archaeological interpretation: reading Luce Irigaray and Judith Butler', in *Philosophy and archaeological practice: perspectives for the 21st century*, Göteborg: Bricoleur Press, 2000, pp. 107–122.

[418]

Gardner, Andrew, *An archaeology of identity: soldiers and society in late Roman Britain*, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2007 [Online]. Available:
<https://www-taylorfrancis-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/books/mono/10.4324/9781315435091/archaeology-identity-andrew-gardner>

[419]

Hales, Shelley and Hodos, Tamar, *Material culture and social identities in the ancient world*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[420]

Jones, S., *The archaeology of ethnicity: constructing identities in the past and present*. London: Routledge, 1997.

[421]

S. Jones, 'Ethnicity: theoretical approaches, methodological implications', in *Handbook of archaeological theories*, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 321–333.

[422]

A. B. Knapp and L. Meskell, 'Bodies of Evidence on Prehistoric Cyprus', *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*, vol. 7, no. 02, pp. 183–204, 1997, doi:
10.1017/S0959774300001931.

[423]

Meskell, Lynn, *Archaeologies of social life: age, sex, class et cetera in ancient Egypt*, vol. *Social archaeology*. Oxford: Blackwell, 1999.

[424]

Meskell, Lynn and Joyce, Rosemary A., *Embodied lives: figuring ancient Maya and Egyptian experience*. London: Routledge, 2003.

[425]

L. Meskell, 'Archaeologies of identity', in *Archaeological theory today*, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 187–213.

[426]

Pluciennik, Mark, Tarlow, Sarah, and Hamilakis, Yannis, *Thinking through the body: archaeologies of corporeality*. London: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, 2002.

[427]

Rautman, Alison E. and Gender and Archaeology Conference, *Reading the body: representations and remains in the archaeological record*, vol. *Regendering the past*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2000.

[428]

Ross, Jennifer C. and Steadman, Sharon R., *Agency and identity in the ancient Near East: new paths forward*, vol. *Approaches to anthropological archaeology*. London: Equinox, 2010.

[429]

Smith, Stuart Tyson, *Wretched Kush: ethnic identities and boundaries in Egypt's Nubian empire*. London: Routledge, 2003.

[430]

Sofaer, Joanna R., *The body as material culture: a theoretical osteoarchaeology*, vol. *Topics in contemporary archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511816666>

[431]

Stark, Miriam T., *The archaeology of social boundaries*, vol. *Smithsonian series in archaeological inquiry*. London: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1998.

[432]

J. Thomas, 'Culture and identity', in *Companion encyclopedia of archaeology*, London: Routledge, 1999, pp. 431-469.

[433]

J. Thomas, 'Chapter 6', in *Archaeology and modernity*, London: Routledge, 2004.

[434]

R. Whitehouse, 'Cultural and biological approaches to the body in archaeology: can they be reconciled?' , in *Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue*, vol. *Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast*, 2011, pp. 227-244.

[435]

J. Whitley, 'Homer's Entangled Objects: Narrative, Agency and Personhood In and Out of Iron Age Texts', *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*, vol. 23, no. 03, pp. 395-416, Oct. 2013, doi: [10.1017/S095977431300053X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S095977431300053X).

[436]

D. Wilkinson, 'The Emperor's New Body: Personhood, Ontology and the Inka Sovereign', *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*, vol. 23, no. 03, pp. 417-432, Oct. 2013, doi: [10.1017/S0959774313000541](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959774313000541).

[437]

T. Yates, 'Frameworks for an archaeology of the body', in *Interpretative archaeology*, vol. Explorations in anthropology, New York: Berg, 1992, pp. 31–72.

[438]

M. W. Conkey and J. M. Gero, 'Programme to Practice: Gender and Feminism in Archaeology', *Annual Review of Anthropology*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 411–437, Oct. 1997, doi: 10.1146/annurev.anthro.26.1.411.

[439]

R. Whitehouse, 'Feminism and archaeology: An awkward relationship', *Papers from the Institute of Archaeology*, vol. 9, pp. 1–7, 1998.

[440]

A. Wylie, 'The interplay of evidential constraints and political interests: Recent archaeological research on gender', *American Antiquity*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 15–35, 1992.

[441]

Carr, Lydia, *Tessa Verney Wheeler: women and archaeology before World War Two*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

[442]

Margaret W. Conkey, 'Questioning Theory: Is There a Gender of Theory in Archaeology?', *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 285–310, 2007.

[443]

M. W. Conkey and J. D. Spector, 'Archaeology and the Study of Gender', *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory*, vol. 7, pp. 1–38, 1984.

[444]

T. A. Dowson, 'Homosexuality, queer theory and archaeology', in *Interpretive archaeology: a reader*, London: Leicester University Press, 2000, pp. 283-289.

[445]

E. Engelstad, 'Images of power and contradiction: Feminist theory and post-processual archaeology', *Antiquity*, vol. 65, no. 248, pp. 502-514, 1991.

[446]

P. L. Geller, 'Identity and Difference: Complicating Gender in Archaeology', *Annual Review of Anthropology*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 65-81, Oct. 2009, doi: 10.1146/annurev-anthro-091908-164414.

[447]

R. Gilchrist, 'Archaeology and the life course: a time and age for gender', in *A companion to social archaeology*, vol. *Social archaeology*, Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd, 2004, pp. 142-160 [Online]. Available: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

[448]

R. Gilchrist, 'Women's archaeology? Political feminism, gender theory and historical revision', *Antiquity*, vol. 65, no. 248, pp. 495-501, 1991.

[449]

S. Hamilton, R. Whitehouse, and K. I. Wright, 'Introduction and section 1', in *Archaeology and women: ancient & modern issues*, vol. *Publications of the Institute of Archaeology*, University College London, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2007, pp. 13-40.

[450]

C. Hastorf, 'Gender, space and food in prehistory', in *Engendering archaeology: women*

and prehistory, vol. Social archaeology, Oxford: Blackwell, 1991, pp. 132–159.

[451]

K. Hays-Gilpin, 'Gender', in Handbook of archaeological theories, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 335–349 [Online]. Available:
<https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

[452]

E. Hill, 'Gender-informed archaeology: The priority of definition, the use of analogy, and the multivariate approach', Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 99–128, Mar. 1998, doi: 10.1007/BF02428417.

[453]

R. Joyce, 'Embodied subjectivity: gender, femininity, masculinity, sexuality', in A companion to social archaeology, vol. Social archaeology, Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd, 2004, pp. 82–95 [Online]. Available:
<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

[454]

H. L. Moore, 'Bodies on the move: gender, power and material culture', in A passion for difference: essays in anthropology and gender, Cambridge: Polity, 1994, pp. 71–85.

[455]

Nelson, Sarah M., Handbook of gender in archaeology, vol. Gender and archaeology series. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2006.

[456]

R. Pope, 'Processual archaeology and gender politics. The loss of innocence', Archaeological Dialogues, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 59–86, 2011, doi:
10.1017/S1380203811000134.

[457]

R. Pope, 'Processual archaeology and gender politics. The loss of innocence', *Archaeological Dialogues*, vol. 18, no. 01, pp. 59–86, Apr. 2011, doi: 10.1017/S1380203811000134.

[458]

E. Rega, 'Age, gender and biological reality in the Early Bronze Age cemetery at Mokrin', in *Invisible people and processes: writing gender and childhood into European archaeology*, London: Leicester University Press, 1996, pp. 229–247.

[459]

Sørensen, Marie Louise Stig, *Gender archaeology*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 2000.

[460]

J. Spector, 'What this awl means: towards a feminist archaeology', in *Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory*, vol. *Social archaeology*, Oxford: Blackwell, 1991, pp. 388–406.

[461]

P. Treherne, 'The warrior's beauty: the masculine body and self-identity in Bronze Age Europe', *Journal of European archaeology: journal of the European Association of Archaeologists*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 105–144, 1995.

[462]

R. Tringham, 'Engendered places in prehistory', in *Interpretive archaeology: a reader*, London: Leicester University Press, 2000, pp. 329–357.

[463]

A. Wylie, 'Gender theory and the archaeological record: Why is there no archaeology of gender?', in *Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory*, vol. *Social archaeology*, Oxford: Blackwell, 1991, pp. 31–54.

[464]

Alison Wylie, 'Doing Archaeology as a Feminist: Introduction', *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 209–216, 2007.

[465]

P. L. Kohl, 'Nationalism and archaeology: On the Constructions of Nations and the Reconstructions of the Remote Past', *Annual Review of Anthropology*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 223–246, Oct. 1998, doi: 10.1146/annurev.anthro.27.1.223.

[466]

C. Y. Tilley, 'Archaeology as socio-political action in the present', in *Critical traditions in contemporary archaeology: essays in the philosophy, history and socio-politics of archaeology*, vol. *New directions in archaeology*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989, pp. 104–116.

[467]

B. G. Trigger, 'Alternative archaeologies: Nationalist, colonialist, imperialist', *Man*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 355–370, 1984.

[468]

B. Arnold, 'The past as propaganda: Totalitarian archaeology in Nazi Germany', *Antiquity*, vol. 64, no. 244, pp. 464–478, 1990.

[469]

Banks, Iain, O'Sullivan, Jerry, Atkinson, John A., and Scottish Archaeological Forum, *Nationalism and archaeology: Scottish Archaeological Forum*. Glasgow: Cruithne Press, 1996.

[470]

Reinhard Bernbeck and Susan Pollock, 'Ayodhya, Archaeology, and Identity', *Current*

Anthropology, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. S138–S142, 1996.

[471]

R. Bernbeck and S. Pollock, 'The political economy of archaeological practice and the production of heritage in the Middle East', in *A companion to social archaeology*, vol. *Social archaeology*, Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd, 2004, pp. 335–352 [Online]. Available: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

[472]

C. Colwell-Chanthaphonh, 'Archaeology and indigenous collaboration', in *Archaeological theory today*, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 267–291.

[473]

Fagan, Garrett G., *Archaeological fantasies: how pseudoarchaeology misrepresents the past and misleads the public*. London: Routledge, 2006.

[474]

D. Fowler, 'Archaeological ethics in context and practice', in *Handbook of archaeological theories*, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 409–422 [Online]. Available: <https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

[475]

C. Gosden, 'Postcolonial archaeology. Issues of culture, identity and knowledge', in *Archaeological theory today*, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 241–261.

[476]

C. Gosden, 'The past and foreign countries: colonial and post-colonial archaeology and anthropology', in *A companion to social archaeology*, vol. *Social archaeology*, Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd, 2004, pp. 161–178 [Online]. Available: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

[477]

James, Simon, *The Atlantic Celts: ancient people or modern invention?* London: British Museum Press, 1999.

[478]

P. L. Kohl and C. Fawcett, 'Archaeology in the service of the state: theoretical considerations', in *Nationalism, politics, and the practice of archaeology*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995, pp. 3–18.

[479]

P. L. Kohl, 'Nationalism and Archaeology: On the Constructions of Nations and the Reconstructions of the Remote Past', *Annual Review of Anthropology*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 223–246, Oct. 1998, doi: 10.1146/annurev.anthro.27.1.223.

[480]

Layton, Robert, *Who needs the past?: indigenous values and archaeology*, vol. One world archaeology. London: Routledge, 1994.

[481]

M. Leone et al., 'Can an African-American historical archaeology be an alternative voice?', in *Interpreting archaeology: finding meaning in the past*, London: Routledge, 1994, pp. 110–124.

[482]

Leone, Mark P., *The archaeology of liberty in an American capital: excavations in Annapolis*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2005 [Online]. Available: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1525/j.ctt1ppc5g>

[483]

M. Leone and R. Preucel, 'Archaeology in a democratic society: a critical perspective', in *Quandaries and quests: visions of archaeology's future*, vol. Occasional paper / Center for Archaeological Investigations, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, [Carbondale, Ill.]:

Center for Archaeological Investigations, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, 1992, pp. 115–135.

[484]

R. McGuire, 'Contested pasts: archaeology and Native Americans', in *A companion to social archaeology*, vol. *Social archaeology*, Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd, 2004, pp. 374–395.

[485]

McGuire, Randall H., *Archaeology as political action*, vol. *California series in public anthropology*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2008.

[486]

Meskell, Lynn, *Archaeology under fire: nationalism, politics and heritage in the Eastern Mediterranean and Middle East*. London: Routledge, 1998.

[487]

L. Meskell, 'The Intersections of Identity and Politics in Archaeology', *Annual Review of Anthropology*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 279–301, Oct. 2002, doi: 10.1146/annurev.anthro.31.040402.085457.

[488]

T. Murray, 'Communication and the importance of disciplinary communities: who owns the past?', in *Archaeological theory: who sets the agenda?*, vol. *New directions in archaeology*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993, pp. 105–116.

[489]

M. P. Pearson, T. Schadla-Hall, and G. Moshenska, 'Resolving the Human Remains Crisis in British Archaeology', *Papers from the Institute of Archaeology*, vol. 21, pp. 5–9, 2011, doi: 10.5334/pia.369.

[490]

S. Ratnagar, 'Archaeology at the Heart of a Political Confrontation: The Case of Ayodhya', *Current Anthropology*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 239-259, Apr. 2004, doi: 10.1086/381044.

[491]

Reid, Donald M., *Whose pharaohs?: archaeology, museums, and Egyptian national identity from Napoleon to World War I*. Berkeley, Calif: University of California Press, 2002.

[492]

Saitta, Dean J., *The archaeology of collective action, vol. The American experience in archaeological perspective*. Gainesville: University Press of Florida, 2007.

[493]

Smith, Laurajane, *Archaeological theory and the politics of cultural heritage*. London: Routledge, 2004.

[494]

Stottman, M. Jay, *Archaeologists as activists: can archaeologists change the world?* Tuscaloosa: University of Alabama Press, 2010.

[495]

S. Tarlow and L. N. Stutz, 'Can an archaeologist be a public intellectual?', *Archaeological Dialogues*, vol. 20, no. 01, pp. 1-5, Jun. 2013, doi: 10.1017/S1380203813000032.

[496]

Thomas, David Hurst, *Skull wars: Kennewick man, archaeology, and the battle for Native American identity*. New York, N.Y.: Basic Books, 2000.

[497]

B. G. Trigger, 'Archaeology and the image of the American Indian', *American Antiquity*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 662–676, 1980.

[498]

A. Wylie, 'The interplay of evidential constraints and political interests: Recent archaeological research on gender', *American Antiquity*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 15–35, 1992.

[499]

A. Wylie, 'Alternative histories. Epistemic disunity and political integrity', in *Making alternative histories: the practice of archaeology and history in non-Western settings*, vol. School of American Research advanced seminar series, Santa Fe, N.M: School of American Research Press, 1995, pp. 255–272.

[500]

Zimmerman, Larry J., Vitelli, Karen D., and Hollowell-Zimmer, Julie, *Ethical issues in archaeology*. Walnut Creek, CA: Altamira Press, 2003.

[501]

D. L. Clarke, 'Archaeology: the loss of innocence', *Antiquity*, vol. 47, no. 185, pp. 6–18, 1973.

[502]

Ian Hodder, 'Postprocessual Archaeology', *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory*, vol. 8, pp. 1–26, 1985.

[503]

C. Tilley, 'Round Barrows and Dykes as Landscape Metaphors', *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 185–203, Oct. 2004, doi: 10.1017/S0959774304000125.

[504]

P. Fisher, C. Farrelly, A. Maddocks, and C. Ruggles, 'Spatial Analysis of Visible Areas from the Bronze Age Cairns of Mull', *Journal of Archaeological Science*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 581-592, Jul. 1997, doi: 10.1006/jasc.1996.0142.

[505]

K. V. Flannery, 'Process and Agency in Early State Formation', *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 3-21, 1999, doi: 10.1017/S0959774300015183.

[506]

R. A. Joyce, 'Unintended Consequences? Monumentality as a Novel Experience in Formative Mesoamerica', *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 5-29, 2004.

[507]

J. V. S. Megaw and M. R. Megaw, 'Ancient Celts and Modern Ethnicity', *Antiquity*, vol. 70, no. 267, pp. 175-181, 1996.

[508]

S. James, 'Celts, politics and motivation in archaeology', *Antiquity*, vol. 72, no. 275, pp. 200-209, 1998.

[509]

C. S. VanPool and T. L. VanPool, 'The scientific nature of post-processualism', *American Antiquity*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 33-53, 1999.

[510]

P. J. Arnold III and B. S. Wilkens, 'On the VanPools' "scientific" post-processualism', *American Antiquity*, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 361-366, 2001.

[511]

S. R. Hutson, 'Synergy through disunity, science as social practice: Comments on VanPool and VanPool', *American Antiquity*, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 349–360, 2001.

[512]

T. L. VanPool and C. S. VanPool, 'Postprocessualism and the nature of science: A response to comments by Hutson and Arnold and Wilkens', *American Antiquity*, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 367–375, 2001.

[513]

I. Hodder, "'Always momentary, fluid and flexible": Towards a reflexive excavation methodology', *Antiquity*, vol. 71, no. 273, pp. 691–700, 1997.

[514]

F. Hassan, 'Beyond the surface: Comments on Hodder's reflexive excavation methodology', *Antiquity*, vol. 71, no. 274, pp. 1020–1025, 1997.

[515]

I. Hodder, 'Whose rationality? A response to Fekri Hassan', *Antiquity*, vol. 72, no. 275, pp. 213–217, 1998.

[516]

D. B. Bamforth, 'Evidence and metaphor in evolutionary archaeology', *American Antiquity*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 435–452, 2002.

[517]

M. J. O'Brien, R. L. Lyman, and R. D. Leonard, 'What is evolution? A response to Bamforth', *American Antiquity*, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 573–580, 2003.

[518]

D. B. Bamforth, 'What is archaeology? (Or confusion, sound and fury, signifying....)',

American Antiquity, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 581-584, 2003.