# ARCL2028: Current Issues in Archaeological Theory

Undergraduate: Year 2 core: 0.5 units

[1]

Greene, Kevin and Moore, Tom, Archaeology: an introduction, 5th ed. London: Routledge, 2010.

[2]

D. Henson, Doing archaeology: a subject guide for students. London: Routledge, 2012 [Online]. Available: https://www-taylorfrancis-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/books/mono/10.4324/9780203109878/arc haeology-donald-henson

[3]

I. Hodder and S. Hutson, Reading the past: current approaches to interpretation in archaeology, Third edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003 [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511814211

[4]

Hodder, Ian, The archaeological process: an introduction. Oxford: Blackwell, 1999.

[5]

Hodder, Ian, Archaeological theory today. Cambridge: Polity, 2001 [Online]. Available: https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&amp;AuthType=ip,shib&amp;db=nle bk&amp;AN=1101335&amp;site=ehost-live&amp;scope=site&amp;custid=s8454451

[6]

Johnson, Matthew, Archaeological theory: an introduction, 2nd ed. Chichester:
Wiley-Blackwell, 2010.

[7]

Trigger, Bruce G., A history of archaeological thought, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge
University Press, 2006 [Online]. Available:
https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511813016

[8]

Urban, Patricia A. and Schortman, Edward M., Archaeological theory in practice. Walnut
Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2012.

[9]

I. Hodder, 'Towards a reflexive method', in The archaeological process: an introduction,
Oxford: Blackwell, 1999, pp. 80–104.

[10]

M. Johnson, 'Common sense is not enough', in Archaeological theory: an introduction, 2nd
ed., Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 2010, pp. 1–11.

[11]

C. Redman, 'The development of archaeological theory', in Companion encyclopedia of
archaeology, London: Routledge, 1999, pp. 48–80.

[12]

R. Bentley and M. Alexander, 'Introduction on archaeological theories', in Handbook of
archaeological theories, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008.

[13]

D. L. Clarke, 'Archaeology: The loss of innocence', Antiquity, vol. 47, no. 185, pp. 6–18, 1973.


[14]

Margaret W. Conkey, 'Questioning Theory: Is There a Gender of Theory in Archaeology?', Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 285–310, 2007.


[15]

K. Greene, 'Chapter 6: making sense of the past', in Archaeology: an introduction, 5th ed., London: Routledge, 2010, pp. 249–312 [Online]. Available: http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&amp;isbn=9780203835975


[16]

I. Hodder, 'Introduction: a review of contemporary theoretical debate in archaeology', in Archaeological theory today, Cambridge: Polity, 2001, pp. 1–13 [Online]. Available: https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&amp;AuthType=ip,shib&amp;db=nlebk&amp;AN=1101335&amp;site=ehost-live&amp;scope=site&amp;custid=s8454451


[17]

Meskell, Lynn and Preucel, Robert W., A companion to social archaeology, vol. Social archaeology. Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd, 2004 [Online]. Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605


[18]

O'Brien, Michael J., Lyman, R. Lee, and Schiffer, Michael B., Archaeology as a process: processualism and its progeny. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 2005.


[19]

Praetzellis, Adrian, Death by theory: a tale of mystery and archaeological theory. Oxford: AltaMira Press, 2000.

[20]

J. Thomas, 'Where are we now? Archaeological theory in the 1990s', in Theory in archaeology: a world perspective, London: Routledge, 1994, pp. 343–362.

[21]

Trigger, Bruce G., A history of archaeological thought, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006 [Online]. Available: https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511813016

[22]

VanPool, Todd L. and VanPool, Christine S., Essential tensions in archaeological method and theory, vol. Foundations of archaeological inquiry. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 2003.

[23]

Yoffee, Norman and Sherratt, Andrew, Archaeological theory: who sets the agenda?, vol. New directions in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[24]

V. G. Childe, 'What happens in prehistory?', in Piecing together the past: the interpretation of archaeological data, London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1956, pp. 135–158 [Online]. Available: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c1eea4f3-9536-e711-80c9-005056af4099

[25]

C. Hawkes, 'Wenner-Gren Foundation Supper Conference - Archaeological theory and method: Some suggestiongs from the Old World', American Anthropologist, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 155–168, 1954 [Online]. Available: https://www.jstor.org/stable/664357

[26]

B. G. Trigger, 'Culture-historical archaeology', in A history of archaeological thought, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006, pp. 211–313.

[27]

V. G. Childe, 'Preface and chapter 1', in The Danube in prehistory, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1929, pp. v-xii-1–7.

[28]

V. Gordon Childe, 'Changing aims and methods in prehistory', Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society, vol. 1, pp. 1–15, 1935 [Online]. Available: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2028_47033.pdf

[29]

Clark, Grahame, Archaeology and society, 3rd ed. London: Methuen, 1960.

[30]

M. Diaz-Andreu, 'Britain and the Other: the archaeology of imperialism', in History, nationhood, and the question of Britain, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004, pp. 227–241.

[31]

Díaz-Andreu García, Margarita, A world history of nineteenth-century archaeology: nationalism, colonialism, and the past. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007 [Online]. Available: https://academic-oup-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/40265

[32]

Fagan, Brian M., Grahame Clark: an intellectual biography of an archaeologist. Boulder, Colo: Westview, 2001.

[33]

Harris, David R., Childe, V. Gordon, V. Gordon Childe Centennial Conference, University College, London, and Prehistoric Society (London, England), The archaeology of V. Gordon Childe: contemporary perspectives : proceedings of the V. Gordon Childe Centennial

Conference held at the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, 8-9 May 1992 under the auspices of the Institute of Archaeology and the Prehistoric Society. London: UCL Press, 1994.

[34]

R. Hingley, 'Chapters 9 and 10', in Roman officers and English gentlemen: the imperial origins of Roman archaeology, New York: Routledge, 2000.

[35]

S. Jones, 'Chapter 2', in The archaeology of ethnicity: constructing identities in the past and present, London: Routledge, 1997.

[36]

Lyman, R. Lee, O'Brien, Michael J., and Dunnell, Robert C., The rise and fall of culture history. London: Plenum Press, 1997.

[37]

R. L. Lyman and M. J. O'Brien, 'A history of normative theory in Americanist archaeology', Journal of archaeological method and theory, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 369–396, 2004.

[38]

Patterson, Thomas Carl, Marx's ghost: conversations with archaeologists. Oxford: Berg, 2003.

[39]

C. Renfrew, 'Beyond diffusion', in Before civilization: the radiocarbon revolution and prehistoric Europe, London: Pimlico, 1999, pp. 109–119.

[40]

A. Schnapp, 'Between antiquarians and archaeologists - Continuities and ruptures',

Antiquity, vol. 76, no. 291, pp. 134–140, 2002.

[41]

S. J. Shennan, 'Introduction: archaeological approaches to cultural identity', in Archaeological approaches to cultural identity, vol. One world archaeology, London: Routledge, 1994, pp. 1–32.

[42]

A. C. Spaulding, 'Statistical techniques for the discovery of artifact types', American Antiquity, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 305–313, 1953.

[43]

W. W. Taylor, 'Chapter 6', in A study of archaeology, vol. Memoir series of the American Anthropological Association, [S.l.]: American Anthropological Association, 1948.

[44]

G. Webster, 'Culture history: a culture-historical approach', in Handbook of archaeological theories, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 11–27 [Online]. Available: https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&amp;startBookmarkId=-1

[45]

Wheeler, Robert Eric Mortimer, Archaeology from the earth. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1954.

[46]

L. R. Binford, 'Archaeological perspectives', in New perspectives in archeology, Chicago: Aldine, 1968, pp. 5–32.

[47]

L. R. Binford, 'A consideration of archaeological research design', American Antiquity, vol.

29, no. 4, pp. 425–441, 1964.

[48]

B. G. Trigger, 'Current trends in American archaeology', in Time and traditions: essays in archaeological interpretation, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 1978, pp. 2–18.

[49]

L. R. Binford, 'Archaeology as anthropology', American Antiquity, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 217–225, 1962.

[50]

D. L. Clarke, 'Archaeology: The loss of innocence', Antiquity, vol. 47, no. 185, pp. 6–18, 1973.

[51]

Clarke, David L. and Chapman, Bob, Analytical archaeology, 2nd ed. London: Methuen, 1978.

[52]

G. Clark, 'Economic approach to prehistory', in Economic prehistory: papers on archaeology, Cambridge [Cambridgeshire]: Cambridge University Press, 1989, pp. 149–168.

[53]

R. C. Dunnell, 'Five decades of American archaeology', in American archaeology, past and future: a celebration of the Society for American Archaeology, 1935-1985, London: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1986.

[54]

K. V. Flannery, 'Culture history vs. culture process: A Debate in American archaeology',

Scientific American, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 119–122, 1967.

[55]

K. V. Flannery, 'Archaeological systems theory and early Mesoamerica', in Anthropological
archeology in the Americas, Washington, DC: The Anthropological Society of Washington,
1968, pp. 67–87.

[56]

R. L. Lyman, 'What is the `process' in cultural process and in processual archaeology?',
Anthropological Theory, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 217–250, Jun. 2007, doi:
10.1177/1463499607077299.

[57]

David J. Meltzer, 'Paradigms and the Nature of Change in American Archaeology', American
Antiquity, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 644–657, 1979.

[58]

O'Brien, Michael J., Lyman, R. Lee, and Schiffer, Michael B., Archaeology as a process:
processualism and its progeny. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 2005.

[59]

F. Plog, 'Laws, systems of laws and the explanation of observed variation', in The
explanation of culture change: models in prehistory, London: Duckworth, 1973, pp.
649–661.

[60]

C. L. Redman, 'Distinguished lecture in archaeology: In defence of the seventies - The
adolescence of New Archaeology', American Anthropologist, vol. 93, no. 2, pp. 295–307,
1991.

[61]

C. Renfrew, 'Culture systems and the multiplier effect', in The emergence of civilisation:
the Cyclades and the Aegean in the Third Millennium B.C., vol. Studies in prehistory,
London: Methuen, 1972, pp. 19–44.


[62]


C. Renfrew, 'Monuments, mobilization and social organization in Neolithic Wessex', in The
explanation of culture change: models in prehistory, London: Duckworth, 1973, pp.
539–558.


[63]


W. W. Taylor, 'Chapter 6', in A study of archaeology, vol. Memoir series of the American
Anthropological Association, [S.l.]: American Anthropological Association, 1948.


[64]


W. W. Taylor, 'Old wine and new skins: A contemporary parable', in Contemporary
archaeology: a guide to theory and contributions, Carbondale, Ill: Feffer & Simons, 1972,
pp. 28–33.


[65]


B. G. Trigger, 'Chapter 7', in A history of archaeological thought, 2nd ed., Cambridge:
Cambridge University Press, 2006 [Online]. Available:
https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511813016


[66]


B. G. Trigger, 'Aims in prehistoric archaeology', Antiquity, vol. 44, no. 173, pp. 26–37,
1970.


[67]


P. J. Watson, 'Processualism and after', in Handbook of archaeological theories, Lanham,
MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 29–38.

[68]

L. R. Binford, 'Relics to artifacts and monuments to assemblages: changing conceptual frameworks', in Bones: ancient men and modern myths, vol. Studies in archaeology, London: Academic Press, 1981, pp. 3–20.


[69]

K. V. Flannery, 'The Golden Marshalltown: A Parable for the Archeology of the 1980s', American Anthropologist, vol. 84, no. 2, pp. 265–278, Jun. 1982 [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1525/aa.1982.84.2.02a00010


[70]

M. B. Schiffer, 'Archaeological context and systemic context', American Antiquity, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 156–165, 1972.


[71]

P. Arnold, 'Back to basics: the middle-range program as pragmatic archaeology', in Essential tensions in archaeological method and theory, vol. Foundations of archaeological inquiry, Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 2003, pp. 55–66.


[72]

L. R. Binford, 'General introduction', in For theory building in archaeology: essays on faunal remains, aquatic resources, spatial analysis and systemic modeling, vol. Studies in archeology, London: Academic Press, 1977, pp. 1–10.


[73]

L. R. Binford, 'Behavioural archaeological and the "Pompeii Premise" ', Journal of Anthropological Research, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 195–208, 1981.


[74]

Binford, Lewis Roberts, Constructing frames of reference: an analytical method for archaeological theory building using hunter-gatherer and environmental data sets. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2001.

[75]

Butzer, Karl W., Archaeology as human ecology: method and theory for a contextual
approach. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1982.


[76]

Courbin, Paul, What is archaeology?: an essay on the nature of archaeological research.
Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1988.


[77]

Robert C. Dunnell, 'The Harvey Lecture Series. Science, Social Science, and Common
Sense: The Agonizing Dilemma of Modern Archaeology', Journal of Anthropological
Research, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 1–25, 1982.


[78]

B. Hayden and A. Cannon, 'Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya
Highlands', Journal of Anthropological Archaeology, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 117–163, Jun. 1983,
doi: 10.1016/0278-4165(83)90010-7.


[79]

E. S. Higgs and C. Vita-Finzi, 'Prehistoric economies: A territorial approach', in Papers in
economic prehistory: studies by members and associates of the British Academy Major
Research Project in the Early History of Agriculture, London: Cambridge University Press,
1972, pp. 27–36.


[80]

V. LaMotta and M. Schiffer, 'Behavioral archaeology. Towards a new synthesis', in
Archaeological theory today, Cambridge: Polity, 2001 [Online]. Available:
https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&amp;AuthType=ip,shib&amp;db=nle
bk&amp;AN=1101335&amp;site=ehost-live&amp;scope=site&amp;custid=s8454451


[81]

Leone, Mark P., Contemporary archaeology: a guide to theory and contributions. Carbondale, Ill: Feffer & Simons, 1972.

[82]

L. E. Patrik, 'Is there an archaeological record?', Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory, vol. 8, pp. 27–62, 1985.

[83]

B. J. Price, 'Cultural Materialism: A Theoretical Review', American Antiquity, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 709–741, 1982.

[84]

Renfrew, Colin and Research Seminar in Archaeology and Related Subjects, The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory. London: Duckworth, 1973.

[85]

Schiffer, Michael B., Behavioral archaeology, vol. Studies in archaeology. London: Academic Press, 1976.

[86]

M. B. Schiffer, 'Is there a "Pompeii Premise" in archaeology?', Journal of Anthropological Research, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 18–41, 1985.

[87]

Schiffer, Michael B., Behavioral archaeology: first principles, vol. Foundations of archaeological inquiry. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 1995.

[88]

Schiffer, Michael B., Formation processes of the archaeological record. Albuquerque, N.M.: University of New Mexico Press, 1987.

[89]

M. J. Shott, 'Status and role of formation theory in contemporary archaeological practice', Journal of archaeological research, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 299–329, 1998.


[90]

B. G. Trigger, 'Expanding middle-range theory', Antiquity, vol. 69, no. 264, pp. 449–458, 1995.


[91]

A. Wylie, 'The reaction against analogy', Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory, vol. 8, pp. 63–111, 1985.


[92]

I. Hodder, 'Chapter 1: The problem', in Reading the past: current approaches to interpretation in archaeology, 3rd ed., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003, pp. 1–19.


[93]

M. Leone, 'Towards a critical archaeology', Current Anthropology, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 283–302, 1987.


[94]

M. Shanks and C. Y. Tilley, 'Chapter 3: facts and values in archaeology', in Re-constructing archaeology: theory and practice, 2nd ed., London: Routledge, 1992, pp. 46–67.


[95]

A. Wylie, 'The interpretive dilemma', in Critical traditions in contemporary archaeology: essays in the philosophy, history and socio-politics of archaeology, vol. New directions in archaeology, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989, pp. 18–27.

[96]

Review by: Lewis R. Binford, 'American Antiquity', vol. Vol. 53, no. No. 4, pp. 875–876.


[97]

G. L. Cowgill, 'Distinguished lecture in archaeology: Beyond criticizing New Archaeology', American Anthropologist, New Series, vol. 95, no. 3, pp. 551–573, 1993.


[98]

T. K. Earle et. al., 'Processual archaeology and the radical critique', Current Anthropology, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 501–538, 1987.


[99]

I. Hodder, 'Theoretical archaeology: A reactionary view', in Symbolic and structural archaeology, vol. New directions in archaeology, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1982, pp. 92–121.


[100]

I. Hodder, 'Postprocessual archaeology', Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory, vol. 8, pp. 1–26, 1985.


[101]

M. Johnson, 'Chapters 6 and 7', in Archaeological theory: an introduction, 2nd ed., Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 2010.


[102]

M. Leone, 'Symbolic, structural and critical archaeology', in American archaeology, past and future: a celebration of the Society for American Archaeology, 1935-1985, London: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1986, pp. 415–438.

[103]

M. Shanks and C. Y. Tilley, 'Archaeology and the politics of theory', in Social theory and archaeology, Cambridge: Polity in association with Blackwell, 1987, pp. 186–208.


[104]

Shanks, Michael and Tilley, Christopher Y., Re-constructing archaeology: theory and practice, 2nd ed. London: Routledge, 1992.


[105]

M. Shanks, 'Post-processual archaeology and after', in Handbook of archaeological theories , Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 133–144.


[106]

C. Y. Tilley, 'Ideology and the legitimation of power in the middle Neolithic of southern Sweden', in Ideology, power and prehistory, vol. New directions in archaeology, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1984, pp. 111–145.


[107]

B. G. Trigger, 'Distinguished lecture in archaeology: Constraint and freedom - A new synthesis for archaeological explanation', American Anthropologist, vol. 93, no. 3, pp. 551–569, 1991.


[108]

B. G. Trigger, 'Archaeology at the Crossroads: What's New?', Annual Review of Anthropology, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 275–300, Oct. 1984, doi: 10.1146/annurev.an.13.100184.001423.


[109]

B. G. Trigger, 'Chapter 8: Processualism and post-processualism', in A history of archaeological thought, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006 [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511813016

[110]

A. Wylie, 'On "heavily decomposing red herrings". Scientific method in archaeology and the ladening of evidence with theory', in Metaarchaeology: reflections by archaeologists and philosophers, vol. Boston studies in the philosophy of science, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1992, pp. 145–157.


[111]

M. Shanks and I. Hodder, 'Processual, postprocessual and interpretive archaeologies', in Interpreting archaeology: finding meaning in the past, London: Routledge, 1994, pp. 3–29.


[112]

M. Shanks and C. Tilley, 'Comments on Archaeology into the 1990s', Norwegian Archaeological Review, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 12–54, 1989 [Online]. Available: https://www-tandfonline-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/toc/sarc20/22/1?nav=tocList


[113]

Barrett, John C., Fragments from antiquity: an archaeology of social life in Britain, 2900-1200 BC, vol. Social archaeology. Cambridge, Mass: Blackwell, 1993.


[114]

J. Brück, 'Experiencing the past? The development of a phenomenological archaeology in British prehistory', Archaeological Dialogues, vol. 12, no. 01, pp. 45–72, Aug. 2005, doi: 10.1017/S1380203805001583.


[115]

Buchli, Victor, Lucas, Gavin, and Cox, Margaret, Archaeologies of the contemporary past. London: Routledge, 2001.


[116]

C. Chippindale, 'Ambition, deference, discrepancy, comsumption: the intellectual background to a post-processual archaeology', in Archaeological theory: who sets the agenda?, vol. New directions in archaeology, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993, pp. 27–36.

[117]

Dobres, Marcia-Anne, Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology, vol. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers, 2000.

[118]

T. A. Dowson, 'Homosexuality, queer theory and archaeology', in Interpretive archaeology: a reader, London: Leicester University Press, 2000, pp. 283–289.

[119]

J. M. Gero and M. Wright, 'Tensions, pluralities and engendering archaeology: An introduction to Women and Prehistory', in Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory, vol. Social archaeology, Oxford: Blackwell, 1991, pp. 3–30.

[120]

M. Hegmon, 'Setting theoretical egos aside: Issues and theory in North American archaeology', American Antiquity, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 213–243, 2003.

[121]

I. Hodder, 'Interpretive archaeology and its role', American Antiquity, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 7–18, 1991.

[122]

I. Hodder, 'Material practice, symbolism and ideology', in Theory and practice in archaeology, vol. Material cultures, London: Routledge, 1992, pp. 201–212.

[123]

Hodder, Ian, Interpreting archaeology: finding meaning in the past. London: Routledge,
1994.


[124]

I. Hodder, H. Karlsson, and B. Olsen, '40 Years of Theoretical Engagement: A Conversation
with Ian Hodder', Norwegian Archaeological Review, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 26–42, Jun. 2008,
doi: 10.1080/00293650802181154.


[125]

V. LaMotta and M. Schiffer, 'Behavioral archaeology. Towards a new synthesis', in
Archaeological theory today, Rev. and Updated ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 14–64.


[126]

Mackenzie, Iain M., Archaeological theory: progress or posture?, vol. Worldwide
archaeology series. Aldershot: Avebury, 1994.


[127]

Meskell, Lynn, Archaeologies of social life: age, sex, class et cetera in ancient Egypt, vol.
Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell, 1999.


[128]

R. W. Preucel, 'The postprocessual condition', Journal of Archaeological Research, vol. 3,
no. 2, pp. 147–175, 1995.


[129]

C. Renfrew, 'Towards a cognitive archaeology', in The ancient mind: elements of cognitive
archaeology, vol. New directions in archaeology, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press,
1994, pp. 3–12.


[130]

M. Shanks, 'Post-processual archaeology and after', in Handbook of archaeological theories, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 133–144 [Online]. Available: http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&amp;isbn=9780759113602

[131]

Tarlow, Sarah and West, Susie, The familiar past?: archaeologies of later historical Britain. London: Routledge, 1999.

[132]

J. Thomas, 'Where are we now? Archaeological theory in the 1990s', in Theory in archaeology: a world perspective, London: Routledge, 1994, pp. 343–362.

[133]

Thomas, Julian, Time, culture and identity: an interpretative archaeology. London: Routledge, 1996.

[134]

C. Tilley, 'Interpreting material culture', in The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression, vol. One world archaeology, London: Routledge, 1989, pp. 185–194.

[135]

Tilley, Christopher Y., Interpretative archaeology, vol. Explorations in anthropology. New York: Berg, 1992.

[136]

B. G. Trigger, 'Post-processual developments in Anglo-American archaeology', Norwegian Archaeological Review, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 65–76, Jan. 1991.

[137]

B. G. Trigger, 'Hyper-relativism, responsibility and the Social Sciences', in Artifacts & ideas: essays in archaeology, London: Transaction, 2003, pp. 113–131.

[138]

B. G. Trigger, 'Chapter 9 and 10', in A history of archaeological thought, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006 [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511813016

[139]

Michelle Hegmon, 'Setting Theoretical Egos Aside: Issues and Theory in North American Archaeology', American Antiquity, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 213–243, 2003.

[140]

M. Johnson, 'On the nature of theoretical archaeology and archaeological theory', Archaeological Dialogues, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 117–132, 2006, doi: 10.1017/S138020380621208X.

[141]

K. Kristiansen, 'Genes versus agents: A discussion of the widening theoretical gap in archaeology', Archaeological Dialogues, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 77–99, 2004, doi: 10.1017/S1380203805211509.

[142]

J. C. Barrett, 'The material constitution of humanness', Archaeological Dialogues, vol. 21, no. 01, pp. 65–74, Jun. 2014, doi: 10.1017/S1380203814000105.

[143]

A. Bentley and H. D. G. Maschner, 'Complexity theory', in Handbook of archaeological theories, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 245–270.

[144]

Bintliff, J. L. and Pearce, Mark, The death of archaeological theory? Oakville: Oxbow Books, 2011 [Online]. Available: https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctvh1dk87

[145]

Cochrane, Ethan E. and Gardner, Andrew, Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast, 2011.

[146]

Funari, Pedro Paulo A., Zarankin, Andrés, and Stovel, Emily, Global archaeological theory: contextual voices and contemporary thoughts. London: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, 2005.

[147]

Zarankin, Andrés, Salerno, Melisa A., and Funari, Pedro Paulo A., Memories from darkness: archaeology of repression and resistance in Latin America, vol. Contributions to global historical archaeology. London: Springer, 2009 [Online]. Available: https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1007/978-1-4419-0679-3

[148]

B. Gaydarska, 'A brief history of TAG', Antiquity, vol. 83, no. 322, pp. 1152–1162, 2009.

[149]

Michelle Hegmon, 'No More Theory Wars: A Response to Moss', American Antiquity, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 588–590, 2005.

[150]

I. Hodder, 'Introduction: contemporary theoretical debate in archaeology', in Archaeological theory today, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 1–14.

[151]

Lydon, Jane and Rizvi, Uzma Z., Handbook of postcolonial archaeology, vol. World Archaeological Congress research handbooks in archaeology. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2010 [Online]. Available: https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=911816


[152]

Knappett, Carl, An archaeology of interaction: network perspectives on material culture and society. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011 [Online]. Available: https://academic.oup.com/book/1407


[153]

Mizoguchi, Kōji, Archaeology, society and identity in modern Japan, vol. Cambridge studies in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006.


[154]

Madonna L. Moss, 'Rifts in the Theoretical Landscape of Archaeology in the United States: A Comment on Hegmon and Watkins', American Antiquity, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 581–587, 2005.


[155]

B. Olsen, 'Symmetical archaeology', in Archaeological theory today, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 208–228.


[156]

T. R. Pauketat, 'Practice and history in archaeology: An emerging paradigm', Anthropological Theory, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 73–98, Mar. 2001, doi: 10.1177/146349960100100105.


[157]

R. W. Preucel and S. A. Mrozowski, 'The new pragmatism', in Contemporary archaeology in theory: the new pragmatism, 2nd ed., Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 2010, pp. 3–49.

[158]

J. Robb and T. R. Pauketat, Big histories, human lives: tackling problems of scale in archaeology, vol. School for Advanced Research advanced seminar series. Santa Fe, N.M.: School for Advanced Research Press, 2013.

[159]

Michael Shanks, 'Symmetrical Archaeology', World Archaeology, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 589–596, 2007.

[160]

Smith, Laurajane, Archaeological theory and the politics of cultural heritage. London: Routledge, 2004.

[161]

M. Spriggs, 'Ethnographic parallels and the denial of history', World Archaeology, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 538–552, 2008, doi: 10.1080/00438240802453161.

[162]

Bruce G. Trigger, 'Archaeology and Epistemology: Dialoguing across the Darwinian Chasm', American Journal of Archaeology, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 1–34, 1998.

[163]

Ucko, Peter J. and Theoretical Archaeology Group, Theory in archaeology: a world perspective. London: Routledge, 1994.

[164]

C. M. Watts, Relational archaeologies: humans, animals, things. London: Routledge, 2013.

[165]

T. Webmoor and C. L. Witmore, 'Things Are Us! A Commentary on Human/Things Relations under the Banner of a "Social" Archaeology', Norwegian Archaeological Review, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 53–70, Jun. 2008, doi: 10.1080/00293650701698423.

[166]

G. Andrews, J. C. Barrett, and J. S. C. Lewis, 'Interpretation not record: The practice of archaeology', Antiquity, vol. 74, no. 285, pp. 525–530, 2000.

[167]

I. Hodder, 'Towards a reflexive method', in The archaeological process: an introduction, Oxford: Blackwell, 1999, pp. 80–104.

[168]

G. Lucas, 'Introduction: archaeology and the field', in Critical approaches to fieldwork: contemporary and historical archaeological practice, London: Routledge, 2001, pp. 1–17.

[169]

S. Roskams, 'Future prospects', in Excavation, vol. Cambridge manuals in archaeology, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001, pp. 267–290.

[170]

B. Bender, C. Y. Tilley, E. Anderson, and S. Hamilton, 'Chapters 1 and 3', in Stone worlds: narrative and reflexivity in landscape archaeology, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2007 [Online]. Available: https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&amp;package_service_id=14615901840004761&amp;institutionId=4761&amp;customerId=4760&amp;VE=true

[171]

A. Berggren and I. Hodder, 'Social practice, method and some problems of field archaeology', American Antiquity, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 421–434, 2003.

[172]

L. R. Binford, 'A consideration of archaeological research design', American Antiquity, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 425–441, 1964.

[173]

A. Chadwick, 'Post-processualism, professionalization and archaeological methodologies. Towards reflexive and radical practice', Archaeological Dialogues, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 97–117, 2003, doi: 10.1017/S1380203803001107.

[174]

Collis, John, Digging up the past: an introduction to archaeological excavation. Stroud: Sutton, 2001.

[175]

J. Conolly, 'Catalhoyuk and the archaeological object', in Towards reflexive method in archaeology: the example at Çatalhöyük : by members of the Çatalhöyük team, vol. McDonald Institute monographs, Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, 2000, pp. 51–56 [Online]. Available: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=533279b1-a506-e811-80cd-005056af4099

[176]

Edgeworth, Matt, Acts of discovery: an ethnography of archaeological practice, vol. BAR international series. Oxford: Archaeopress, 2003.

[177]

Edgeworth, Matt, Ethnographies of archaeological practice: cultural encounters, material transformations, vol. Worlds of archaeology series. Lanham: Altamira Press, 2006.

[178]

J. M. Gero, 'Archaeological practice and gendered encounters with field data', in Gender and archaeology, Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1996, pp. 251–280.


[179]

S. Hamilton, 'Lost in translation? A comment on the excavation report', Papers from the Institute of Archaeology, vol. 10, pp. 1–8, Aug. 1999, doi: 10.5334/pia.140.


[180]

S. Hamilton, R. Whitehouse, K. Brown, P. Combes, E. Herring, and M. S. Thomas, 'Phenomenology in Practice: Towards a Methodology for a `Subjective' Approach', European Journal of Archaeology, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 31–71, Apr. 2006, doi: 10.1177/1461957107077704.


[181]

F. A. Hassan, 'Beyond the surface: Comments on Hodder's "reflexive excavation methodology"', Antiquity, vol. 71, no. 274, pp. 1020–1025, 1997.


[182]

I. Hodder, 'Writing archaeology: site reports in context', in Theory and practice in archaeology, vol. Material cultures, London: Routledge, 1992, pp. 263–274.


[183]

I. Hodder, 'Always momentary, fluid and flexible: Towards a reflexive excavation methodology', Antiquity, vol. 71, no. 273, pp. 691–700, 1997.


[184]

Jones, Andrew, Archaeological theory and scientific practice, vol. Topics in contemporary archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001.

[185]

G. Lucas, Understanding the archaeological record. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012 [Online]. Available: https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511845772

[186]

Moshenska, Gabriel and Dhanjal, Sarah, Community archaeology: themes, methods and practices. Oxford: Oxbow, 2012 [Online]. Available: https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=1154191

[187]

Papaconstantinou, Demetra, Deconstructing context: a critical approach to archaeological practice. Oxford: Oxbow, 2006.

[188]

M. Parker Pearson and Ramilisonina, 'Stonehenge for the ancestors: The stones pass on the message', Antiquity, vol. 72, no. 276, pp. 308–326, 1998.

[189]

G. Scarre and R. Coningham, Appropriating the past: philosophical perspectives on the practice of archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013 [Online]. Available: https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9781139026932

[190]

Quirke, Stephen, Hidden hands: Egyptian workforces in Petrie excavation archives, 1880-1924, vol. Duckworth egyptology. London: Gerald Duckworth, 2010.

[191]

M. Shanks and R. H. McGuire, 'The craft of archaeology', American Antiquity, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 75–88, 1996.

[192]

C. Tilley, 'Excavation as Theatre', Antiquity, vol. 63, no. 239, pp. 275–280, 1989.


[193]

M. Parker Pearson and Ramilisonina, 'Stonehenge for the ancestors: The stones pass on
the message', Antiquity, vol. 72, no. 276, pp. 308–326, 1998.


[194]

M. Parker Pearson et. al., 'Who was buried at Stonehenge?', Antiquity, vol. 83, no. 319, pp.
23–39, 2009.


[195]

M. P. Pearson, 'Materializing Stonehenge: The Stonehenge Riverside Project and New
Discoveries', Journal of Material Culture, vol. 11, no. 1–2, pp. 227–261, Jul. 2006, doi:
10.1177/1359183506063024.


[196]

C. Renfrew, 'Monuments, mobilization and social organisation in Neolithic Wessex', in The
explanation of culture change: models in prehistory, London: Duckworth, 1973, pp.
539–558.


[197]

Atkinson, R. J. C., Stonehenge, vol. A pelican book. Harmondsworth: Penquin Books in
association with Hamish Hamilton, 1960.


[198]

B. Bender, 'Theorising landscapes, and the prehistoric landscape of Stonehenge', Man, vol.
27, no. 4, pp. 735–755, 1992.


[199]

Bender, Barbara and Aitken, Paul, Stonehenge: making space, vol. Materializing culture.
Oxford: Berg, 1998.

[200]

Bradley, Richard, The significance of monuments: on the shaping of human experience in
Neolithic and Bronze Age Europe. London: Routledge, 1998.

[201]

Chippindale, Christopher, Stonehenge complete, New and Expanded [3rd] ed. [London]:
Thames & Hudson, 2004.

[202]

Chippindale, Christopher, Who owns Stonehenge? London: Batsford, 1990.

[203]

Cleal, Rosamund, Walker, K. E., Montague, R., and English Heritage, Stonehenge in its
landscape: twentieth-century excavations, vol. Archaeological report / English Heritage.
London: English Heritage, 1995.

[204]

Renfrew, Colin, Cunliffe, Barry W., and British Academy, Science and Stonehenge, vol.
Proceedings of the British Academy. Oxford: Oxford University Press for the British
Academy, 1997.

[205]

M. Parker Pearson et. al., 'The age of Stonehenge', Antiquity, vol. 81, no. 313, pp.
617–639, 2007.

[206]

M. Parker Pearson et. al., 'Stonehenge, its river and its landscape: Unravelling the

mysteries of a prehistoric sacred place', Archäologischer Anzeiger: Beiblatt zum Jahrbuch des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, vol. 1, pp. 237–258, 2006.


[207]

Richards, Julian C. and English Heritage, English Heritage book of Stonehenge. London: B. T. Batsford Ltd./ English Heritage, 1991.


[208]

Richards, Julian C., Allen, Mike, and English Heritage, The Stonehenge environs project, vol. Archaeological report. London: Historical Buildings & Monuments Commission for England, 1990.


[209]

S. James, 'Roman archaeology: Crisis and revolution', Antiquity, vol. 77, no. 295, pp. 178–184, 2003 [Online]. Available: http://search.proquest.com/docview/217561304?accountid=14511


[210]

R. Witcher, D. P. Tolia-Kelly, and R. Hingley, 'Archaeologies of Landscape: Excavating the Materialities of Hadrian's Wall', Journal of Material Culture, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 105–128, Mar. 2010, doi: 10.1177/1359183510355228.


[211]

Andrén, Anders and Crozier, Alan, Between artifacts and texts: historical archaeology in global perspective, vol. Contributions to global historical archaeology. London: Plenum Press, 1998.


[212]

Dyson, Stephen L., In pursuit of ancient pasts: a history of classical archaeology in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. London: Yale University Press, 2006 [Online]. Available: https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1npzcm

[213]

S. S. Frere, 'Roman Britain since Haverfield and Richmond', History and Archaeology Review, vol. 3, pp. 31–36, 1988.


[214]

A. Gardner, 'Seeking a material turn: the artefactuality of the Roman Empire', in TRAC 2002: proceedings of the twelfth annual Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference which took place at the University of Kent at Canterbury 5-6 April 2002, Oxford: Oxbow Books, 2003, pp. 1–13.


[215]

Hingley, Richard, Roman officers and English gentlemen: the imperial origins of Roman archaeology. New York: Routledge, 2000.


[216]

R. Hingley, 'Hadrian's Wall in theory: pursuing new agendas', in Understanding Hadrian's Wall: papers from a conference held at South Shields, 3rd-5th November 2006, to mark the publication of the 14th edition of the Handbook to the Roman Wall, South Shields: Arbeia Society, 2008, pp. 25–28.


[217]

R. Hingley, '"The most ancient Boundary between England and Scotland": Genealogies of the Roman Walls', Classical Receptions Journal, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 25–43, May 2010, doi: 10.1093/crj/clq001.


[218]

I. Hodder, 'Bridging the divide: a commentary on theoretical Roman archaeology', in Theoretical Roman archaeology: first conference proceedings, vol. Wordwide archaeology series, Aldershot: Avebury, 1993, pp. xiii–xix.


[219]

Ian Hodder and Mark Hassall, 'The Non-Random Spacing of Romano-British Walled Towns',

Man, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 391–407, 1971.

[220]

S. James, 'Writing the Legions: The Development and Future of Roman Military Studies in Britain', Archaeological Journal, vol. 159, no. 1, pp. 1–58, Jan. 2002, doi: 10.1080/00665983.2002.11020514.

[221]

James, Simon, Millett, Martin, Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference, and Council for British Archaeology, Britons and Romans: advancing an archaeological agenda, vol. CBA research report. York: Council for British Archaeology, 2001.

[222]

M. H. Johnson, 'Rethinking historical archaeology', in Historical archaeology: back from the edge, vol. One world archaeology, New York: Routledge, 1999, pp. 23–36.

[223]

T. Moore, 'Detribalizing the later prehistoric past: Concepts of tribes in Iron Age and Roman studies', Journal of Social Archaeology, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 334–360, Oct. 2011, doi: 10.1177/1469605311403861.

[224]

C. Nesbitt and D. Tolia-Kelly, 'Hadrian's Wall: Embodied archaeologies of the linear monument', Journal of Social Archaeology, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 368–390, Sep. 2009, doi: 10.1177/1469605309338428.

[225]

Glenn R. Storey, 'Archaeology and Roman Society: Integrating Textual and Archaeological Data', Journal of Archaeological Research, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 203–248, 1999.

[226]

Mason, David J. P. and Symonds, Matthew F. A., Frontiers of knowledge: a research framework for Hadrian's Wall, part of the Frontiers of the Roman Empire World Heritage site. Durham: Durham County Council and Durham University, 2009.


[227]


Cooper, Nicholas, Webster, Jane, and University of Leicester, Roman imperialism: post-colonial perspectives, vol. Leicester archaeology monographs. Leicester: School of Archaeological Studies, University of Leicester, 1996.


[228]


T. Wilmott, 'Collapse theory and the end of Birdoswald', in Theoretical Roman archaeology: second conference proceedings, vol. Worldwide archaeology series, Aldershot: Avebury, 1995, pp. 59–69.


[229]


Wilmott, Tony and English Heritage, Hadrian's Wall: archaeological research by English Heritage 1976-2000. Swindon: English Heritage, 2009.


[230]


R. Witcher, 'The Fabulous Tales of the Common People, Part 1: Representing Hadrian's Wall', Public Archaeology, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 126–152, 2010, doi: 10.1179/146551810X12822101587138.


[231]


Greg Woolf, 'The Present State and Future Scope of Roman Archaeology: A Comment', American Journal of Archaeology, vol. 108, no. 3, pp. 417–428, 2004.


[232]


Tim Ingold, 'The Temporality of the Landscape', World Archaeology, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 152–174, 1993 [Online]. Available: http://www.jstor.org/stable/124811

[233]

G. Lucas, 'Time and Archaeological Event', Cambridge Archaeological Journal, vol. 18, no. 01, pp. 59–65, Feb. 2008, doi: 10.1017/S095977430800005X.

[234]

H. Mytum, 'Materiality and memory: an archaeological perspective on the popular adoption of linear time in Britain', Antiquity, vol. 81, no. 312, pp. 381–396, 2007 [Online]. Available: http://search.proquest.com/docview/217581355?accountid=14511

[235]

B. Adam, 'Perceptions of time', in Companion encyclopedia of anthropology, [New ed.]., vol. Routledge world reference, London: Routledge, 2002, pp. 503–526 [Online]. Available: https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/permalink/f/1klfcc3/TN_cdi_proquest_ebookcentral_EBC169490

[236]

Adam, Barbara, Timewatch: the social analysis of time. Cambridge: Polity Press, 1995.

[237]

G. N. Bailey, 'Concepts of Time in Quaternary Prehistory', Annual Review of Anthropology, vol. 12, pp. 165–192, 1983 [Online]. Available: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2155645

[238]

G. Bailey, 'Time perspectives, palimpsests and the archaeology of time', Journal of Anthropological Archaeology, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 198–223, Jun. 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.jaa.2006.08.002.

[239]

Barrett, John C., Fragments from antiquity: an archaeology of social life in Britain, 2900-1200 BC, vol. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell, 1993.

[240]

Rosen, Ralph Mark and University of Pennsylvania, Time and temporality in the ancient world. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology, 2004.

[241]

Bintliff, J. L., The Annales school and archaeology. London: Leicester University Press, 1991.

[242]

Borić, Dušan, Archaeology and memory. Oxford: Oxbow Books, 2010.

[243]

Bradley, Richard, The past in prehistoric societies. London: Routledge, 2002.

[244]

A. Gardner, 'Time and empire in the Roman world', Journal of Social Archaeology, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 145–166, Jun. 2012, doi: 10.1177/1469605312439971.

[245]

Gell, Alfred, The anthropology of time: cultural constructions of temporal maps and images, vol. Explorations in anthropology. Oxford: Berg, 1992.

[246]

Gosden, Chris, Social being and time. Oxford: Blackwell, 1994.

[247]

Hannah, Robert, Time in antiquity, vol. Sciences of antiquity. Abingdon: Routledge, 2009.

[248]

J. Harding, 'Rethinking the Great Divide: Long-Term Structural History and the Temporality of Event', Norwegian Archaeological Review, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 88–101, Nov. 2005, doi: 10.1080/00293650510032707.


[249]

Holdaway, Simon and Wandsnider, LuAnn, Time in archaeology: time perspectivism revisited. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 2008 [Online]. Available: https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=3443820


[250]

Karlsson, Håkan, It's about time: the concept of time in archaeology. Göteborg: Bricoleur, 2001.


[251]

Redman, Charles L., Social archeology: beyond subsistence and dating, vol. Studies in archeology. New York: Academic Press, 1978.


[252]

Lucas, Gavin, The archaeology of time, vol. Themes in archaeology. London: Routledge, 2005.


[253]

Nancy D. Munn, 'The Cultural Anthropology of Time: A Critical Essay', Annual Review of Anthropology, vol. 21, pp. 93–123, 1992 [Online]. Available: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2155982


[254]

Murray, Tim and World Archaeological Congress, Time and archaeology, vol. One world archaeology. London: Routledge, 1999.

[255]

G. Nanni, 'Time, empire and resistance in settler-colonial Victoria', Time & Society, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 5–33, Mar. 2011, doi: 10.1177/0961463X10369765.

[256]

M. Shanks and C. Tilley, 'Abstract and Substantial Time', Archaeological Review From Cambridge, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 32–41, 1987.

[257]

Mackenzie, Iain M., Archaeological theory: progress or posture?, vol. Worldwide archaeology series. Aldershot: Avebury, 1994.

[258]

Thomas, Julian, Time, culture and identity: an interpretative archaeology. New York: Routledge, 1996.

[259]

Van Dyke, Ruth and Alcock, Susan E., Archaeologies of memory. Malden, MA: Blackwell, 2003.

[260]

A. Whittle, A. Bayliss, and F. Healy, 'The Timing and Tempo of Change: Examples from the Fourth Millennium cal. BC in Southern England', Cambridge Archaeological Journal, vol. 18, no. 01, pp. 65–70, 2008, doi: 10.1017/S0959774308000061.

[261]

B. David and J. Thomas, 'Landscape archaeology: Introduction', in Handbook of landscape archaeology, vol. World Archaeological Congress research handbooks in archaeology, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2008, pp. 27–43 [Online]. Available: https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315427737.ch1

[262]

S. Hamilton, 'The ambiguity of landscape: discussing points of relatedness in concepts and methods', in Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast, 2011, pp. 263–280.

[263]

J. Thomas, 'Archaeologies of place and landscape', in Archaeological theory today, Rev. and Updated ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 165–186.

[264]

C. Y. Tilley, 'Space, place, landscape and perception: phenomenological perspectives', in A phenomenology of landscape: places, paths, and monuments, vol. Explorations in anthropology, Oxford: Berg, 1994, pp. 7–34.

[265]

W. Ashmore and A. B. Knapp, 'Archaeological landscapes: constructed, conceptualised, ideational', in Archaeologies of landscape: contemporary perspectives, vol. Social archaeology, Malden, Mass: Blackwell Publishers, 1999, pp. 1–30.

[266]

J. Barrett, 'The mythical landscapes of the British Iron Age', in Archaeologies of landscape: contemporary perspectives, vol. Social archaeology, Malden, Mass: Blackwell Publishers, 1999, pp. 253–265.

[267]

B. Bender, 'Theorising landscapes, and the prehistoric landscape of Stonehenge', Man, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 735–755, 1992.

[268]

B. Bender, C. Y. Tilley, E. Anderson, and S. Hamilton, 'Chapters 1 and 3', in Stone worlds: narrative and reflexivity in landscape archaeology, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2007 [Online]. Available: https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&amp;package_service_id=14615901840004761&amp;institutionId=4761&amp;customerId=4760&amp;VE=true

[269]

B. Bender, S. Hamilton, and C. Tilley, 'Leskernick: Stone Worlds; Alternative Narratives; Nested Landscapes.', Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society, vol. 63, pp. 147–178, 1997, doi: 10.1017/S0079497X00002413.

[270]

J. Brück, 'Experiencing the past? The development of a phenomenological archaeology in British prehistory', Archaeological Dialogues, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 45–72, Aug. 2005, doi: 10.1017/S1380203805001583.

[271]

Thomas, Julian and David, Bruno, Handbook of landscape archaeology, vol. World Archaeological Congress research handbooks in archaeology. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2008 [Online]. Available: https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315427737.ch1

[272]

A. Fleming, 'Phenomenology and the Megaliths of Wales: A Dreaming Too Far?', Oxford Journal of Archaeology, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 119–125, May 1999, doi: 10.1111/1468-0092.00074.

[273]

A. Fleming, 'Post-processual landscape archaeology: A critique', Cambridge Archaeological Journal, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 267–280, 2006, doi: 10.1017/S0959774306000163.

[274]

D. Gheorghiu and G. Nash, Place as material culture: objects, geographies and the construction of time. Newcastle: Cambridge Scholars Pub, 2013.


[275]

S. Hamilton et al., 'Quarried away: thinking about landscapes of megalithic construction on Rapa Nui (Easter Island)', in Handbook of landscape archaeology, vol. World Archaeological Congress research handbooks in archaeology, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2008, pp. 176–186 [Online]. Available: https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315427737.ch1


[276]

S. Hamilton, R. Whitehouse, K. Brown, P. Combes, E. Herring, and M. S. Thomas, 'Phenomenology in Practice: Towards a Methodology for a `Subjective' Approach', European Journal of Archaeology, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 31–71, Apr. 2006, doi: 10.1177/1461957107077704.


[277]

Wagstaff, J. Malcolm, Landscape and culture: geographical and archaeological perspectives . Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1987.


[278]

T. Ingold, 'The temporality of the landscape', World Archaeology, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 152–174, 1993.


[279]

M. Lake, 'Viewing space', World Archaeology, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 1–3, 2007.


[280]

M. Llobera, 'Exploring the topography of mind: GIS, social space and archaeology', Antiquity, vol. 70, no. 269, pp. 612–622, 1996.

[281]

J. McGlade, 'Archaeology and the evolution of cultural landscapes: towards an interdisciplinary agenda', in The archaeology and anthropology of landscape: shaping your landscape, vol. One world archaeology, London: Routledge, 1999, pp. 458–482.

[282]

J. S. Thomas, 'The politics of vision and the archaeologies of landscape', in Landscape: politics and perspectives, vol. Explorations in anthropology, New York: Berg, 1993, pp. 19–48.

[283]

C. Tilley and W. Bennett, 'An archaeology of super-natural places: the case of West Penwith', Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 335–362, 2001.

[284]

C. Y. Tilley, 'The powers of rocks: Topography and monument construction on Bodmin Moor', World Archaeology, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 161–176, 1996.

[285]

C. Tilley, 'Round Barrows and Dykes as Landscape Metaphors', Cambridge Archaeological Journal, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 185–203, Oct. 2004, doi: 10.1017/S0959774304000125.

[286]

C. Y. Tilley, 'Phenomenological approaches to landscape archaeology', in Handbook of landscape archaeology, vol. World Archaeological Congress research handbooks in archaeology, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2008, pp. 271–276 [Online]. Available: https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315427737.ch1

[287]

P. J. Ucko and R. Layton, 'Introduction: gazing on the landscape and encountering the environment', in The archaeology and anthropology of landscape: shaping your landscape,

vol. One world archaeology, London: Routledge, 1999, pp. 1–20.


[288]

D. Wheatley, 'Cumulative viewshed analysis: a GIS-based method for investigating
inter-visibility and its archaeological implication', in Archaeology and geographical
information systems: a European perspective, Bristol, PA: Taylor & Francis, 1995, pp.
171–186.


[289]

L. R. Binford, 'Interassemblage variability: The Mousterian and the functional argument', in
Working at archaeology, vol. Studies in archeology, New York: Academic Press, 1983, pp.
131–153 [Online]. Available:
https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ffc747cb-4b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099


[290]

M.-A. Dobres and C. R. Hoffman, 'Social agency and the dynamics of prehistoric
technology', Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 211–258, 1994.


[291]

M. B. Schiffer and J. M. Skibo, 'The explanation of artifact variability', American Antiquity,
vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 27–50, 1997.


[292]

B. SILLAR and M. S. TITE, 'THE CHALLENGE OF 'TECHNOLOGICAL CHOICES'FOR MATERIALS
SCIENCE APPROACHES IN ARCHAEOLOGY', Archaeometry, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 2–20, Feb.
2000, doi: 10.1111/j.1475-4754.2000.tb00863.x.


[293]

D. E. Arnold, 'Chapters 5, 8 and 9', in Ceramic theory and cultural process, vol. New
studies in archaeology, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985.

[294]

L. R. Binford, 'Archaeology as anthropology', American Antiquity, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 217–225, 1962.

[295]

Hastorf, Christine Ann and Conkey, Margaret Wright, The uses of style in archaeology, vol. New directions in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989.

[296]

M.-A. Dobres, 'Social agency and practice: the heart and soul of technology', in Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology, vol. Social archaeology, Oxford: Blackwell Publishers, 2000, pp. 127–163.

[297]

Hoffman, Christopher R. and Dobres, Marcia-Anne, The social dynamics of technology: practice, politics, and world views. London: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1999.

[298]

J. E. Robb and M.-A. Dobres, 'Agency in archaeology: Paradigm or Platitude?', in Agency in archaeology, London: Routledge, 2000, pp. 3–17.

[299]

R. C. Dunnell, 'Style and function: A fundamental dichotomy', American Antiquity, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 192–202, 1978.

[300]

M. Hegmon, 'Archaeological research on style', Annual Review of Anthropology, vol. 21, pp. 517–536, 1992, doi: 10.1146/annurev.an.21.100192.002505.

[301]

J. N. Hill and R. K. Evans, 'A model for classification and typology', in Models in archaeology
, London: Methuen, 1972, pp. 231–273.


[302]

I. Hodder, 'Material practice, symbolism and ideology', in Theory and practice in
archaeology, vol. Material cultures, London: Routledge, 1992, pp. 201–212 [Online].
Available:
https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=6f7f0b8f-5136-e711-80c9-005056af4099


[303]

Hodder, Ian, Symbols in action: ethnoarchaeological studies of material culture, vol. New
studies in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1982.


[304]

I. Hodder, 'Post-modernism, post-structuralism and post-processual archaeology', in The
meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression, vol. One world archaeology,
London: Unwin Hyman, HarperCollins Academic, 1989, pp. 64–78.


[305]

C. Karlin and M. Julien, 'Prehistoric technology: a cognitive science?', in The ancient mind:
elements of cognitive archaeology, vol. New directions in archaeology, Cambridge:
Cambridge University Press, 1994, pp. 152–163.


[306]

P. Lemonnier, 'The study of material culture today: Toward an anthropology of technical
systems', Journal of Anthropological Archaeology, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 147–186, Jun. 1986, doi:
10.1016/0278-4165(86)90012-7.


[307]

M. Martinón-Torres, 'Chaîne Opératoire: The concept and its aplications within the study of
technology', Gallaecia, vol. 21, pp. 29–43, 2002 [Online]. Available:
https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=fb4bab91-db3d-ee11-8457-0050f2f06092

[308]

M. C. Nelson, 'The study of technological organization', Archaeological Method and Theory, vol. 3, pp. 57–100, 1991.

[309]

B. Pfaffenberger, 'Social Anthropology of Technology', Annual Review of Anthropology, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 491–516, Oct. 1992, doi: 10.1146/annurev.an.21.100192.002423.

[310]

James R. Sackett, 'The Meaning of Style in Archaeology: A General Model', American Antiquity, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 369–380, 1977.

[311]

N. Schlanger , 'Mindful technology: unleashing the Chaine operatoire for an archaeology of mind', in The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology, vol. New directions in archaeology, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994, pp. 143–151.

[312]

F. Sigault, 'Technology', in Companion encyclopedia of anthropology, [New ed.]., vol. Routledge world reference, London: Routledge, 2002, pp. 420–459 [Online]. Available: https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/permalink/f/1klfcc3/TN_cdi_proquest_eboo kcentral_EBC169490

[313]

A. Sinclair, 'Constellations of knowledge: human agency and material affordance in lithic technology', in Agency in archaeology, London: Routledge, 2000, pp. 196–212.

[314]

Tilley, Christopher Y., Metaphor and material culture, vol. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers, 1999.

[315]

S. van der Leeuw, 'Dust to dust: a transformational view of the ceramic cycle', in The many dimensions of pottery: ceramics in archaeology and anthropology, vol. Cingula, Amsterdam: Universiteit van Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 709–733.


[316]

P. Wiessner, 'Style and changing relations between the individual and society', in The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression, vol. One world archaeology, London: Unwin Hyman, HarperCollins Academic, 1989, pp. 56–63.


[317]

H. M. Wobst, 'Stylistic behavior ad information exchange', in For the director: research essays in honor of James B. Griffin, vol. Anthropological papers, Museum of Anthropology. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor: Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan, 1977, pp. 317–342.


[318]

H. M. Wobst, 'Style in archaeology or archaeologists in style', in Material meanings: critical approaches to the interpretation of material culture, vol. Foundations of archaeological inquiry, Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 1999, pp. 118–132.


[319]

Chris Gosden, 'What Do Objects Want?', Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 193–211, 2005.


[320]

J. E. Robb, 'The archaeology of symbols', Annual Review of Anthropology, vol. 27, pp. 329–346, 1998, doi: 10.1146/annurev.anthro.27.1.329.


[321]

B. Sillar, 'The Social Agency of Things? Animism and Materiality in the Andes', Cambridge Archaeological Journal, vol. 19, no. 03, pp. 367–377, Oct. 2009, doi:

10.1017/S0959774309000559.

[322]

A. Bentley , '"Style versus function" 30 years on', in Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast, 2011, pp. 83–104.

[323]

Chilton, Elizabeth S., Material meanings: critical approaches to the interpretation of material culture, vol. Foundations of archaeological inquiry. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 1999.

[324]

E. E. Cochrane, 'Style, function and systematic empiricism: the conflation of process and pattern', in Style and function: conceptual issues in evolutionary archaeology, London: Bergin & Garvey, 2001, pp. 183–202.

[325]

Renfrew, Colin, DeMarrais, Elizabeth, and Gosden, Chris, Rethinking materiality: the engagement of mind with the material world, vol. McDonald Institute monographs. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, 2004.

[326]

L. Ferguson, 'Struggling with pots in colonial South Carolina', in The archaeology of inequality, vol. Social archaeology, Oxford: Blackwell, 1991, pp. 28–39.

[327]

C. Gosden and Y. Marshall, 'The cultural biography of objects', World Archaeology, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 169–178, 1999.

[328]

I. Hodder, 'Human-thing entanglement: towards an integrated archaeological perspective', Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 154–177, 2011, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9655.2010.01674.x.

[329]

I. Hodder, Entangled: an archaeology of the relationships between humans and things. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 2012.

[330]

T. Ingold, 'Materials against materiality', Archaeological Dialogues, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2007, doi: 10.1017/S1380203807002127.

[331]

Thomas, Julian, Jorge, Vítor Oliveira, and Theoretical Archaeology Group (England), Overcoming the modern invention of material culture: proceedings of the TAG session, Exeter 2006, vol. Journal of Iberian archaeology. Porto: ADECAP, 2007.

[332]

C. Knappett, 'Photographs, Skeuomorphs and Marionettes: Some Thoughts on Mind, Agency and Object', Journal of Material Culture, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 97–117, Mar. 2002, doi: 10.1177/1359183502007001307.

[333]

Knappett, Carl, Thinking through material culture: an interdisciplinary perspective, vol. Archaeology, culture, and society. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2005.

[334]

C. Knappet, 'Materiality', in Archaeological theory today, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 188–207.

[335]

Knappett, Carl and Malafouris, Lambros, Material agency: towards a non-anthropocentric approach. New York: Springer, 2008.


[336]

R. Layton, 'Structuralism and semiotics', in Handbook of material culture, London: SAGE, 2006, pp. 29–42 [Online]. Available: http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&amp;isbn=9781446206430


[337]

Meskell, Lynn, Object worlds in ancient Egypt: material biographies past and present, vol. Materializing culture. Oxford: Berg, 2004.


[338]

Meskell, Lynn, Archaeologies of materiality. Malden, Mass: Blackwell, 2006.


[339]

D. Miller, 'Artefacts and the meaning of things', in Companion encyclopedia of anthropology, [New ed.]., vol. Routledge world reference, London: Routledge, 2002, pp. 396–419 [Online]. Available: https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/permalink/f/1klfcc3/TN_cdi_proquest_ebookcentral_EBC169490


[340]

Miller, Daniel, Materiality. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 2005.


[341]

M. J. O'Brien, 'Style, function, transmission: an introduction', in Style, function, transmission: evolutionary archaeological perspectives, vol. Foundations of archaeological inquiry, Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 2003, pp. 1–32.

[342]

B. Olsen, 'Material culture after text: re-membering things', Norwegian Archaeological Review, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 87–104, Oct. 2003, doi: 10.1080/00293650310000650.


[343]

B. Olsen, Archaeology: the discipline of things. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2012.


[344]

R. Preucel and A. Bauer, 'Archaeological Pragmatics', Norwegian Archaeological Review, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 85–96, 2001, doi: 10.1080/00293650127469.


[345]

Preucel, Robert W., Archaeological semiotics, vol. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell, 2006.


[346]

M. L. S. Sørensen, 'Reading Dress: The Construction of Social Categories and Identities in Bronze Age Europe', Journal of European Archaeology, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 93–114, 1997, doi: 10.1179/096576697800703656.


[347]

T. Taylor, 'Materiality', in Handbook of archaeological theories, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 297–320 [Online]. Available: https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&amp;startBookmarkId=-1


[348]

J. Thomas, 'Culture and identity', in Companion encyclopedia of archaeology, London: Routledge, 1999, pp. 431–469.

[349]

Thomas, Julian, Archaeology and modernity. London: Routledge, 2004.

[350]

Bentley, R. Alexander, Maschner, Herbert D. G., and Chippindale, Christopher, Handbook of archaeological theories. Lanham, Md: AltaMira Press, 2008 [Online]. Available: https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/ shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractVie w/S9780759113602

[351]

Steven Mithen, 'Understanding Mind and Culture: Evolutionary Psychology or Social Anthropology?', Anthropology Today, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 3–7, 1995 [Online]. Available: http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2783517?origin=crossref

[352]

Shennan, Stephen, Genes, memes and human history: Darwinian archaeology and cultural evolution. London: Thames & Hudson, 2002.

[353]

R. L. Bettinger, Hunter-gatherers: archaeological and evolutionary theory, vol. Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology. New York: Plenum Press, 1991.

[354]

J. L. Boone and E. A. Smith, 'Is It Evolution Yet? A Critique of Evolutionary Archaeology', Current Anthropology, vol. 39, no. S1, pp. S141–S174, Jun. 1998, doi: 10.1086/204693.

[355]

Cochrane, Ethan E. and Gardner, Andrew, Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast, 2011.

[356]

T. E. Currie and R. Mace, 'Mode and tempo in the evolution of socio-political organization: reconciling "Darwinian" and "Spencerian" evolutionary approaches in anthropology', Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, vol. 366, no. 1567, pp. 1108–1117, Feb. 2011, doi: 10.1098/rstb.2010.0318.

[357]

K. Kristiansen, 'Genes versus agents. A discussion of the widening theoretical gap in archaeology', Archaeological Dialogues, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 77–99, Dec. 2004, doi: 10.1017/S1380203805211509.

[358]

S. J. Mithen, The prehistory of the mind: a search for the origins of art, religion and science. London: Thames & Hudson, 1996.

[359]

S. J. Mithen, Thoughtful foragers: a study of prehistoric decision making, vol. New studies in archaeology. Cambridge [England]: Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[360]

Richerson, Peter J. and Boyd, Robert, Not by genes alone: how culture transformed human evolution. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2005.

[361]

P. Rowley-Conwy, R. Layton, and C. Panter-Brick, Hunter-gatherers: an interdisciplinary perspective, vol. The Biosocial Society symposium series. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001.

[362]

B. Winterhalder, D. J. Kennett, M. N. Grote, and J. Bartruff, 'Ideal free settlement of

California's Northern Channel Islands', Journal of Anthropological Archaeology, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 469–490, Dec. 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.jaa.2010.07.001.


[363]

Alex Mesoudi, Andrew Whiten and Kevin N. Laland, 'Perspective: Is Human Cultural Evolution Darwinian? Evidence Reviewed from the Perspective of "The Origin of Species"', Evolution, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2004 [Online]. Available: http://www.jstor.org/stable/3449291


[364]

S. Shennan, 'Descent with modification and the archaeological record', Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, vol. 366, no. 1567, pp. 1070–1079, Apr. 2011, doi: 10.1098/rstb.2010.0380.


[365]

S. Shennan, 'Evolution in Archaeology', Annual Review of Anthropology, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 75–91, Oct. 2008, doi: 10.1146/annurev.anthro.37.081407.085153.


[366]

C. Andersson, 'Paleolithic Punctuations and Equilibria: Did Retention Rather Than Invention Limit Technological Evolution?', PaleoAnthropology, pp. 243–259, 2011 [Online]. Available: http://www.paleoanthro.org/journal/volumes/2011/


[367]

Aunger, Robert, Darwinizing culture: the status of memetics as a science. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000.


[368]

R. Alexander Bentley, Matthew W. Hahn and Stephen J. Shennan, 'Random Drift and Culture Change', Proceedings: Biological Sciences, vol. 271, no. 1547, pp. 1443–1450, 2004 [Online]. Available: http://www.jstor.org/stable/4142981

[369]

Maschner, Herbert D. G., Chippindale, Christopher, and Bentley, R. Alexander, Handbook of archaeological theories. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008.


[370]

Boyd, Robert and Richerson, Peter J., Culture and the evolutionary process. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1985.


[371]

Mace, Ruth, Holden, Clare Janaki, and Shennan, Stephen, The evolution of cultural diversity: a phylogenetic approach. London: UCL Press, 2005.


[372]

F. Coward, S. Shennan, S. Colledge, J. Conolly, and M. Collard, 'The spread of Neolithic plant economies from the Near East to northwest Europe: a phylogenetic analysis', Journal of Archaeological Science, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 42–56, Jan. 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.jas.2007.02.022.


[373]

Lipo, Carl P. and Society for American Archaeology, Mapping our ancestors: phylogenetic approaches in anthropology and prehistory. New Brunswick, N.J.: Aldine Transaction, 2006.


[374]

Shennan, Stephen, Pattern and process in cultural evolution, vol. Origins of human behavior and culture. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2009.


[375]

R. L. Lyman and M. J. O'Brien, 'Measuring and Explaining Change in Artifact Variation with Clade-Diversity Diagrams', Journal of Anthropological Archaeology, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 39–74, Mar. 2000, doi: 10.1006/jaar.1999.0339.

[376]

A. Powell, S. Shennan, and M. G. Thomas, 'Late Pleistocene Demography and the
Appearance of Modern Human Behavior', Science, vol. 324, no. 5932, pp. 1298–1301, Jun.
2009, doi: 10.1126/science.1170165.


[377]

P. J. Richerson and R. Boyd, Not by genes alone: how culture transformed human evolution
. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2005.


[378]

Shennan, Stephen, Genes, memes and human history: Darwinian archaeology and cultural
evolution. London: Thames & Hudson, 2002.


[379]

M.-A. Dobres and J. E. Robb, 'Agency in archaeology: Paradigm or platitude?', in Agency in
archaeology, London: Routledge, 2000, pp. 3–17.


[380]

J. L. Dornan, 'Agency and archaeology: Past, present and future directions', Journal of
archaeological method and theory, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 303–329, 2002, doi:
10.1023/A:1021318432161.


[381]

A. Gardner, 'Agency', in Handbook of archaeological theories, Lanham, Md: AltaMira Press,
2008, pp. 95–108 [Online]. Available:
https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&amp;startBookmarkId=-1


[382]

J. C. Barrett , 'Agency, the duality of structure and the problem of the archaeological
record', in Archaeological theory today, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 141–164.

[383]

E. M. Brumfiel, 'On the archaeology of choice: agency studies as a research strategem', in Agency in archaeology, London: Routledge, 2000, pp. 249–255.


[384]

P. Cornell and F. Fahlander, 'Microarchaeology, materiality and social practice', Current Swedish Archaeology, vol. 10, pp. 21–38, 2002.


[385]

Dobres, Marcia-Anne, Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology, vol. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers, 2000.


[386]

J. Englehardt, Agency in ancient writing. Boulder: University Press of Colorado, 2013.


[387]

K. J. Fewster, 'The Role of Agency and Material Culture in Remembering and Forgetting: An Ethnoarchaeological Case Study from Central Spain', Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology, vol. 20, no. 1, Jun. 2006, doi: 10.1558//jmea.2007.v20i1.89.


[388]

K. V. Flannery, 'Process and Agency in Early State Formation', Cambridge Archaeological Journal, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 3–21, 1999, doi: 10.1017/S0959774300015183.


[389]

Gardner, Andrew, An archaeology of identity: soldiers and society in late Roman Britain, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2007 [Online]. Available:

https://www-taylorfrancis-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/books/mono/10.4324/9781315435091/arc
haeology-identity-andrew-gardner

[390]

A. Gardner, 'Action and structure in interpretive archaeologies', in Evolutionary and
interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology,
University College London, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast, 2011, pp. 63–82.

[391]

P. Graves-Brown, 'In search of the watchmaker: attribution of agency in natural and
cultural selection', in Darwinian archaeologies, vol. Interdisciplinary contributions to
archaeology, London: Plenum Press, 1996, pp. 165–181.

[392]

M. H. Johnson, 'Conceptions of agency in archaeological interpretation', Journal of
Anthropological Archaeology, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 189–211, Jun. 1989, doi:
10.1016/0278-4165(89)90024-X.

[393]

A. B. Knapp and P. van Dommelen, 'Past Practices: Rethinking Individuals and Agents in
Archaeology', Cambridge Archaeological Journal, vol. 18, no. 01, pp. 15–34, Feb. 2008, doi:
10.1017/S0959774308000024.

[394]

Kent G. Lightfoot, Antoinette Martinez and Ann M. Schiff, 'Daily Practice and Material
Culture in Pluralistic Social Settings: An Archaeological Study of Culture Change and
Persistence from Fort Ross, California', American Antiquity, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 199–222,
1998.

[395]

G. MacGregor, 'Post-processual archaeology: the hidden agenda of the secret agent', in
Archaeological theory: progress or posture?, vol. Worldwide archaeology series, Aldershot:
Avebury, 1994, pp. 79–91.

[396]

R. A. Bentley, H. D. G. Maschner, and C. Chippindale, 'R. McGuire', in Handbook of archaeological theories, Lanham, Md: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 73–93 [Online]. Available: https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&amp;startBookmarkId=-1

[397]

Meskell, Lynn and Joyce, Rosemary A., Embodied lives: figuring ancient Maya and Egyptian experience. London: Routledge, 2003.

[398]

Meskell, Lynn, Archaeologies of social life: age, sex, class et cetera in ancient Egypt, vol. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell, 1999.

[399]

D. Miller and C. Y. Tilley, 'Ideology, power and prehistory: An introduction', in Ideology, power and prehistory, vol. New directions in archaeology, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1984, pp. 1–15.

[400]

T. R. Pauketat, 'Practice and history in archaeology: An emerging paradigm', Anthropological Theory, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 73–98, Mar. 2001, doi: 10.1177/146349960100100105.

[401]

T. Patterson, 'Social archaeology and Marxist social thought', in A companion to social archaeology, vol. Social archaeology, Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd, 2004, pp. 66–81 [Online]. Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605

[402]

M. Shanks and C. Y. Tilley, 'The individual and the social', in Social theory and archaeology

, Cambridge: Polity in association with Blackwell, 1987, pp. 61–78.

[403]

S. J. Shennan, 'An evolutionary perspective on the goals of archaeology', in Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast, 2011, pp. 325–344.

[404]

J. Thomas, 'Humanism and the individual: chapter 6', in Archaeology and modernity, London: Routledge, 2004, pp. 119–148.

[405]

C. Strauss, 'Blaming for Columbine', Current Anthropology, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 807–832, Dec. 2007, doi: 10.1086/520975.

[406]

Bruce G. Trigger, 'Marxism in Contemporary Western Archaeology', Archaeological Method and Theory, vol. 5, pp. 159–200, 1993.

[407]

T. L. VanPool and C. S. VanPool, 'Agency and evolution: the role of intended and unintended consequences of action', in Essential tensions in archaeological method and theory, vol. Foundations of archaeological inquiry, Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 2003, pp. 89–113.

[408]

J. Brück, 'Monuments, power and personhood in the British Neolithic', Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 649–667, Dec. 2001, doi: 10.1111/1467-9655.00082.

[409]

C. Fowler, 'Personhood and Social Relations in the British Neolithic with a Study from the Isle of Man', Journal of Material Culture, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 137–163, Jul. 2001, doi: 10.1177/135918350100600202.


[410]

S. Jones, 'Discourses of identity in the interpretation of the past', in Interpretive archaeology: a reader, London: Leicester University Press, 2000, pp. 445–457.


[411]

R. A. Joyce, 'Archaeology of the Body', Annual Review of Anthropology, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 139–158, Oct. 2005, doi: 10.1146/annurev.anthro.33.070203.143729.


[412]

M. Carrithers, S. Collins, and S. Lukes, Eds., The category of the person: anthropology, philosophy, history. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985.


[413]

Casella, Eleanor Conlin and Fowler, Chris, The archaeology of plural and changing identities: beyond identification. London: Kluwer Academic/Plenum, 2005.


[414]

Díaz-Andreu García, Margarita, The archaeology of identity: approaches to gender, age, status, ethnicity and religion. London: Routledge, 2005.


[415]

Geoff Emberling, 'Ethnicity in Complex Societies: Archaeological Perspectives', Journal of Archaeological Research, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 295–344, 1997.


[416]

Fowler, Chris, The archaeology of personhood: an anthropological approach, vol. Themes

in archaeology. London: Routledge, 2004.


[417]

C. Fowler, 'The individual, the subject and archaeological interpretation: reading Luce Irigaray and Judith Butler', in Philosophy and archaeological practice: perspectives for the 21st century, Göteborg: Bricoleur Press, 2000, pp. 107–122.


[418]

Gardner, Andrew, An archaeology of identity: soldiers and society in late Roman Britain, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2007 [Online]. Available: https://www-taylorfrancis-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/books/mono/10.4324/9781315435091/archaeology-identity-andrew-gardner


[419]

Hales, Shelley and Hodos, Tamar, Material culture and social identities in the ancient world. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010.


[420]

Jones, S., The archaeology of ethnicity: constructing identities in the past and present. London: Routledge, 1997.


[421]

S. Jones, 'Ethnicity: theoretical approaches, methodological implications', in Handbook of archaeological theories, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 321–333.


[422]

A. B. Knapp and L. Meskell, 'Bodies of Evidence on Prehistoric Cyprus', Cambridge Archaeological Journal, vol. 7, no. 02, pp. 183–204, 1997, doi: 10.1017/S0959774300001931.

[423]

Meskell, Lynn, Archaeologies of social life: age, sex, class et cetera in ancient Egypt, vol.
Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell, 1999.


[424]

Meskell, Lynn and Joyce, Rosemary A., Embodied lives: figuring ancient Maya and Egyptian
experience. London: Routledge, 2003.


[425]

L. Meskell, 'Archaeologies of identity', in Archaeological theory today, 2nd ed., Cambridge:
Polity, 2012, pp. 187–213.


[426]

Pluciennik, Mark, Tarlow, Sarah, and Hamilakis, Yannis, Thinking through the body:
archaeologies of corporeality. London: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, 2002.


[427]

Rautman, Alison E. and Gender and Archaeology Conference, Reading the body:
representations and remains in the archaeological record, vol. Regendering the past.
Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2000.


[428]

Ross, Jennifer C. and Steadman, Sharon R., Agency and identity in the ancient Near East:
new paths forward, vol. Approaches to anthropological archaeology. London: Equinox,
2010.


[429]

Smith, Stuart Tyson, Wretched Kush: ethnic identities and boudaries in Egypt's Nubian
empire. London: Routledge, 2003.

[430]

Sofaer, Joanna R., The body as material culture: a theoretical osteoarchaeology, vol. Topics in contemporary archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006 [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511816666


[431]

Stark, Miriam T., The archaeology of social boundaries, vol. Smithsonian series in archaeological inquiry. London: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1998.


[432]

J. Thomas, 'Culture and identity', in Companion encyclopedia of archaeology, London: Routledge, 1999, pp. 431–469.


[433]

J. Thomas, 'Chapter 6', in Archaeology and modernity, London: Routledge, 2004.


[434]

R. Whitehouse, 'Cultural and biological approaches to the body in archaeology: can they be reconciled?" ', in Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast, 2011, pp. 227–244.


[435]

J. Whitley, 'Homer's Entangled Objects: Narrative, Agency and Personhood In and Out of Iron Age Texts', Cambridge Archaeological Journal, vol. 23, no. 03, pp. 395–416, Oct. 2013, doi: 10.1017/S095977431300053X.


[436]

D. Wilkinson, 'The Emperor's New Body: Personhood, Ontology and the Inka Sovereign', Cambridge Archaeological Journal, vol. 23, no. 03, pp. 417–432, Oct. 2013, doi: 10.1017/S0959774313000541.

[437]

T. Yates, 'Frameworks for an archaeology of the body', in Interpretative archaeology, vol. Explorations in anthropology, New York: Berg, 1992, pp. 31–72.


[438]

M. W. Conkey and J. M. Gero, 'Programme to Practice: Gender and Feminism in Archaeology', Annual Review of Anthropology, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 411–437, Oct. 1997, doi: 10.1146/annurev.anthro.26.1.411.


[439]

R. Whitehouse, 'Feminism and archaeology: An awkward relationship', Papers from the Institute of Archaeology, vol. 9, pp. 1–7, 1998.


[440]

A. Wylie, 'The interplay of evidential constraints and political interests: Recent archaeological research on gender', American Antiquity, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 15–35, 1992.


[441]

Carr, Lydia, Tessa Verney Wheeler: women and archaeology before World War Two. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.


[442]

Margaret W. Conkey, 'Questioning Theory: Is There a Gender of Theory in Archaeology?', Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 285–310, 2007.


[443]

M. W. Conkey and J. D. Spector, 'Archaeology and the Study of Gender', Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory, vol. 7, pp. 1–38, 1984.

[444]

T. A. Dowson, 'Homosexuality, queer theory and archaeology', in Interpretive archaeology: a reader, London: Leicester University Press, 2000, pp. 283–289.

[445]

E. Engelstad, 'Images of power and contradiction: Feminist theory and post-processual archaeology', Antiquity, vol. 65, no. 248, pp. 502–514, 1991.

[446]

P. L. Geller, 'Identity and Difference: Complicating Gender in Archaeology', Annual Review of Anthropology, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 65–81, Oct. 2009, doi: 10.1146/annurev-anthro-091908-164414.

[447]

R. Gilchrist, 'Archaeology and the life course: a time and age for gender', in A companion to social archaeology, vol. Social archaeology, Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd, 2004, pp. 142–160 [Online]. Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605

[448]

R. Gilchrist, 'Women's archaeology? Political feminism, gender theory and historical revision', Antiquity, vol. 65, no. 248, pp. 495–501, 1991.

[449]

S. Hamilton, R. Whitehouse, and K. I. Wright, 'Introduction and section 1', in Archaeology and women: ancient & modern issues, vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press, 2007, pp. 13–40.

[450]

C. Hastorf, 'Gender, space and food in prehistory', in Engendering archaeology: women

and prehistory, vol. Social archaeology, Oxford: Blackwell, 1991, pp. 132–159.


[451]

K. Hays-Gilpin, 'Gender', in Handbook of archaeological theories, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 335–349 [Online]. Available: https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&amp;startBookmarkId=-1


[452]

E. Hill, 'Gender-informed archaeology: The priority of definition, the use of analogy, and the multivariate approach', Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 99–128, Mar. 1998, doi: 10.1007/BF02428417.


[453]

R. Joyce, 'Embodied subjectivity: gender, feminity, masculinity, sexuality', in A companion to social archaeology, vol. Social archaeology, Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd, 2004, pp. 82–95 [Online]. Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605


[454]

H. L. Moore, 'Bodies on the move: gender, power and material culture', in A passion for difference: essays in anthropology and gender, Cambridge: Polity, 1994, pp. 71–85.


[455]

Nelson, Sarah M., Handbook of gender in archaeology, vol. Gender and archaeology series. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2006.


[456]

R. Pope, 'Processual archaeology and gender politics. The loss of innocence', Archaeological Dialogues, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 59–86, 2011, doi: 10.1017/S1380203811000134.

[457]

R. Pope, 'Processual archaeology and gender politics. The loss of innocence', Archaeological Dialogues, vol. 18, no. 01, pp. 59–86, Apr. 2011, doi: 10.1017/S1380203811000134.


[458]

E. Rega, 'Age, gender and biological reality in the Early Bronze Age cemetery at Mokrin', in Invisible people and processes: writing gender and childhood into European archaeology, London: Leicester University Press, 1996, pp. 229–247.


[459]

Sørensen, Marie Louise Stig, Gender archaeology. Cambridge: Polity Press, 2000.


[460]

J. Spector, 'What this awl means: towards a feminist archaeology', in Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory, vol. Social archaeology, Oxford: Blackwell, 1991, pp. 388–406.


[461]

P. Treherne, 'The warrior's beauty: the masculine body and self-identity in Bronze Age Europe', Journal of European archaeology: journal of the European Association of Archaeologists, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 105–144, 1995.


[462]

R. Tringham, 'Engendered places in prehistory', in Interpretive archaeology: a reader, London: Leicester University Press, 2000, pp. 329–357.


[463]

A. Wylie, 'Gender theory and the archaeological record: Why is there no archaeology of gender?', in Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory, vol. Social archaeology, Oxford: Blackwell, 1991, pp. 31–54.

[464]

Alison Wylie, 'Doing Archaeology as a Feminist: Introduction', Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 209–216, 2007.


[465]

P. L. Kohl, 'Nationalism and archaeology: On the Constructions of Nations and the Reconstructions of the Remote Past', Annual Review of Anthropology, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 223–246, Oct. 1998, doi: 10.1146/annurev.anthro.27.1.223.


[466]

C. Y. Tilley, 'Archaeology as socio-political action in the present', in Critical traditions in contemporary archaeology: essays in the philosophy, history and socio-politics of archaeology, vol. New directions in archaeology, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989, pp. 104–116.


[467]

B. G. Trigger, 'Alternative archaeologies: Nationalist, colonialist, imperialist', Man, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 355–370, 1984.


[468]

B. Arnold, 'The past as propaganda: Totalitarian archaeology in Nazi Germany', Antiquity, vol. 64, no. 244, pp. 464–478, 1990.


[469]

Banks, Iain, O'Sullivan, Jerry, Atkinson, John A., and Scottish Archaeological Forum, Nationalism and archaeology: Scottish Archaeological Forum. Glasgow: Cruithne Press, 1996.


[470]

Reinhard Bernbeck and Susan Pollock, 'Ayodhya, Archaeology, and Identity', Current

Anthropology, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. S138–S142, 1996.


[471]

R. Bernbeck and S. Pollock, 'The political economy of archaeological practice and the production of heritage in the Middle East', in A companion to social archaeology, vol. Social archaeology, Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd, 2004, pp. 335–352 [Online]. Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605


[472]

C. Colwell-Chanthaphonh, 'Archaeology and indigenous collaboration', in Archaeological theory today, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 267–291.


[473]

Fagan, Garrett G., Archaeological fantasies: how pseudoarchaeology misrepresents the past and misleads the public. London: Routledge, 2006.


[474]

D. Fowler, 'Archaeological ethics in context and practice', in Handbook of archaeological theories, Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 2008, pp. 409–422 [Online]. Available: https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&amp;startBookmarkId=-1


[475]

C. Gosden, 'Postcolonial archaeology. Issues of culture, identity and knowledge', in Archaeological theory today, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Polity, 2012, pp. 241–261.


[476]

C. Gosden, 'The past and foreign countries: colonial and post-colonial archaeology and anthropology', in A companion to social archaeology, vol. Social archaeology, Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd, 2004, pp. 161–178 [Online]. Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605

[477]

James, Simon, The Atlantic Celts: ancient people or modern invention? London: British
Museum Press, 1999.

[478]

P. L. Kohl and C. Fawcett, 'Archaeology in the service of the state: theoretical
considerations', in Nationalism, politics, and the practice of archaeology, Cambridge:
Cambridge University Press, 1995, pp. 3–18.

[479]

P. L. Kohl, 'Nationalism and Archaeology: On the Constructions of Nations and the
Reconstructions of the Remote Past', Annual Review of Anthropology, vol. 27, no. 1, pp.
223–246, Oct. 1998, doi: 10.1146/annurev.anthro.27.1.223.

[480]

Layton, Robert, Who needs the past?: indigenous values and archaeology, vol. One world
archaeology. London: Routledge, 1994.

[481]

M. Leone et al., 'Can an African-American historical archaeology be an alternative voice?',
in Interpreting archaeology: finding meaning in the past, London: Routledge, 1994, pp.
110–124.

[482]

Leone, Mark P., The archaeology of liberty in an American capital: excavations in Annapolis
. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2005 [Online]. Available:
https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1525/j.ctt1ppc5g

[483]

M. Leone and R. Preucel, 'Archaeology in a democratic society: a critical perspective', in
Quandaries and quests: visions of archaeology's future, vol. Occasional paper / Center for
Archaeological Investigations, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, [Carbondale, Ill.]:

Center for Archaeological Investigations, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, 1992, pp. 115–135.

[484]

R. McGuire, 'Contested pasts: archaeology and Native Americans', in A companion to social archaeology, vol. Social archaeology, Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd, 2004, pp. 374–395.

[485]

McGuire, Randall H., Archaeology as political action, vol. California series in public anthropology. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2008.

[486]

Meskell, Lynn, Archaeology under fire: nationalism, politics and heritage in the Eastern Mediterranean and Middle East. London: Routledge, 1998.

[487]

L. Meskell, 'The Intersections of Identity and Politics in Archaeology', Annual Review of Anthropology, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 279–301, Oct. 2002, doi: 10.1146/annurev.anthro.31.040402.085457.

[488]

T. Murray, 'Communication and the importance of disciplinary communities: who owns the past?', in Archaeological theory: who sets the agenda?, vol. New directions in archaeology, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993, pp. 105–116.

[489]

M. P. Pearson, T. Schadla-Hall, and G. Moshenska, 'Resolving the Human Remains Crisis in British Archaeology', Papers from the Institute of Archaeology, vol. 21, pp. 5–9, 2011, doi: 10.5334/pia.369.

[490]

S. Ratnagar, 'Archaeology at the Heart of a Political Confrontation: The Case of Ayodhya', Current Anthropology, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 239–259, Apr. 2004, doi: 10.1086/381044.


[491]

Reid, Donald M., Whose pharaohs?: archaeology, museums, and Egyptian national identity from Napoleon to World War I. Berkeley, Calif: University of California Press, 2002.


[492]

Saitta, Dean J., The archaeology of collective action, vol. The American experience in archaeological perspective. Gainesville: University Press of Florida, 2007.


[493]

Smith, Laurajane, Archaeological theory and the politics of cultural heritage. London: Routledge, 2004.


[494]

Stottman, M. Jay, Archaeologists as activists: can archaeologists change the world? Tuscaloosa: University of Alabama Press, 2010.


[495]

S. Tarlow and L. N. Stutz, 'Can an archaeologist be a public intellectual?', Archaeological Dialogues, vol. 20, no. 01, pp. 1–5, Jun. 2013, doi: 10.1017/S1380203813000032.


[496]

Thomas, David Hurst, Skull wars: Kennewick man, archaeology, and the battle for Native American identity. New York, N.Y.: Basic Books, 2000.


[497]

B. G. Trigger, 'Archaeology and the image of the American Indian', American Antiquity, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 662–676, 1980.

[498]

A. Wylie, 'The interplay of evidential constraints and political interests: Recent archaeological research on gender', American Antiquity, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 15–35, 1992.

[499]

A. Wylie, 'Alternative histories. Epistemic disunity and political integrity', in Making alternative histories: the practice of archaeology and history in non-Western settings, vol. School of American Research advanced seminar series, Santa Fe, N.M: School of American Research Press, 1995, pp. 255–272.

[500]

Zimmerman, Larry J., Vitelli, Karen D., and Hollowell-Zimmer, Julie, Ethical issues in archaeology. Walnut Creek, CA: Altamira Press, 2003.

[501]

D. L. Clarke, 'Archaeology: the loss of innocence', Antiquity, vol. 47, no. 185, pp. 6–18, 1973.

[502]

Ian Hodder, 'Postprocessual Archaeology', Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory, vol. 8, pp. 1–26, 1985.

[503]

C. Tilley, 'Round Barrows and Dykes as Landscape Metaphors', Cambridge Archaeological Journal, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 185–203, Oct. 2004, doi: 10.1017/S0959774304000125.

[504]

P. Fisher, C. Farrelly, A. Maddocks, and C. Ruggles, 'Spatial Analysis of Visible Areas from the Bronze Age Cairns of Mull', Journal of Archaeological Science, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 581–592, Jul. 1997, doi: 10.1006/jasc.1996.0142.

[505]

K. V. Flannery, 'Process and Agency in Early State Formation', Cambridge Archaeological Journal, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 3–21, 1999, doi: 10.1017/S0959774300015183.

[506]

R. A. Joyce, 'Unintended Consequences? Monumentality as a Novel Experience in Formative Mesoamerica', Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 5–29, 2004.

[507]

J. V. S. Megaw and M. R. Megaw, 'Ancient Celts and Modern Ethnicity', Antiquity, vol. 70, no. 267, pp. 175–181, 1996.

[508]

S. James, 'Celts, politics and motivation in archaeology', Antiquity, vol. 72, no. 275, pp. 200–209, 1998.

[509]

C. S. VanPool and T. L. VanPool, 'The scientific nature of post-processualism', American Antiquity, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 33–53, 1999.

[510]

P. J. Arnold III and B. S. Wilkens, 'On the VanPools' "scientific" post-processualism', American Antiquity, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 361–366, 2001.

[511]

S. R. Hutson, 'Synergy through disunity, science as social practice: Comments on VanPool and VanPool',  American Antiquity, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 349–360, 2001.


[512]

T. L. VanPool and C. S. VanPool, 'Postprocessualism and the nature of science: A response to comments by Hutson and Arnold and Wilkens', American Antiquity, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 367–375, 2001.


[513]

I. Hodder, '"Always momentary, fluid and flexible": Towards a reflexive excavation methodology', Antiquity, vol. 71, no. 273, pp. 691–700, 1997.


[514]

F. Hassan, 'Beyond the surface: Comments on Hodder's reflexive excavation methodology', Antiquity, vol. 71, no. 274, pp. 1020–1025, 1997.


[515]

I. Hodder, 'Whose rationality? A response to Fekri Hassan', Antiquity, vol. 72, no. 275, pp. 213–217, 1998.


[516]

D. B. Bamforth, 'Evidence and metaphor in evolutionary archaeology', American Antiquity, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 435–452, 2002.


[517]

M. J. O'Brien, R. L. Lyman, and R. D. Leonard, 'What is evolution? A response to Bamforth', American Antiquity, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 573–580, 2003.


[518]

D. B. Bamforth, 'What is archaeology? (Or confusion, sound and fury, signifying….)',

American Antiquity, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 581–584, 2003.