

ARCL2028: Current Issues in Archaeological Theory

Undergraduate: Year 2 core: 0.5 units

View Online



1.

Greene, Kevin, Moore, Tom. Archaeology: an introduction [Internet]. 5th ed. London: Routledge; 2010. Available from:
<https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/62879?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

2.

Henson D. Doing archaeology: a subject guide for students [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2012. Available from:
<https://www-taylorfrancis-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/books/mono/10.4324/9780203109878/archaeology-donald-henson>

3.

Hodder I, Hutson S. Reading the past: current approaches to interpretation in archaeology [Internet]. Third edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2003. Available from:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511814211>

4.

Hodder, Ian. The archaeological process: an introduction. Oxford: Blackwell; 1999.

5.

Hodder, Ian. Archaeological theory today [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity; 2001. Available from:
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1101335&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

6.

Johnson, Matthew. *Archaeological theory: an introduction*. 2nd ed. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 2010.

7.

Trigger, Bruce G. *A history of archaeological thought* [Internet]. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. Available from:
<https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511813016>

8.

Urban, Patricia A., Schortman, Edward M. *Archaeological theory in practice*. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2012.

9.

Hodder I. Towards a reflexive method. In: *The archaeological process: an introduction*. Oxford: Blackwell; 1999. p. 80–104.

10.

Johnson M. Common sense is not enough. In: *Archaeological theory: an introduction*. 2nd ed. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 2010. p. 1–11.

11.

Redman C. The development of archaeological theory. In: *Companion encyclopedia of archaeology*. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 48–80.

12.

Bentley R, Alexander M. Introduction on archaeological theories. In: *Handbook of archaeological theories*. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press; 2008.

13.

Clarke DL. Archaeology: The loss of innocence. *Antiquity*. 1973;47(185):6-18.

14.

Margaret W. Conkey. Questioning Theory: Is There a Gender of Theory in Archaeology? *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 2007;14(3):285-310.

15.

Greene K. Chapter 6: making sense of the past. In: *Archaeology: an introduction* [Internet]. 5th ed. London: Routledge; 2010. p. 249-312. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780203835975>

16.

Hodder I. Introduction: a review of contemporary theoretical debate in archaeology. In: *Archaeological theory today* [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity; 2001. p. 1-13. Available from: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1101335&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

17.

Meskell, Lynn, Preucel, Robert W. A companion to social archaeology [Internet]. *Social archaeology*. Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd; 2004. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

18.

O'Brien, Michael J., Lyman, R. Lee, Schiffer, Michael B. *Archaeology as a process: processualism and its progeny*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 2005.

19.

Praetzelis, Adrian. *Death by theory: a tale of mystery and archaeological theory*. Oxford: AltaMira Press; 2000.

20.

Thomas J. Where are we now? Archaeological theory in the 1990s. In: Theory in archaeology: a world perspective. London: Routledge; 1994. p. 343–62.

21.

Trigger, Bruce G. A history of archaeological thought [Internet]. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. Available from:
<https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511813016>

22.

VanPool, Todd L., VanPool, Christine S. Essential tensions in archaeological method and theory. Foundations of archaeological inquiry. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 2003.

23.

Yoffee, Norman, Sherratt, Andrew. Archaeological theory: who sets the agenda? New directions in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993.

24.

Childe VG. What happens in prehistory? In: Piecing together the past: the interpretation of archaeological data [Internet]. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul; 1956. p. 135–58. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c1eea4f3-9536-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

25.

Hawkes C. Wenner-Gren Foundation Supper Conference - Archaeological theory and method: Some suggestions from the Old World. American Anthropologist [Internet]. 1954;56(2):155–68. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/664357>

26.

Trigger BG. Culture-historical archaeology. In: A history of archaeological thought. 2nd ed.

Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. p. 211–313.

27.

Childe VG. Preface and chapter 1. In: *The Danube in prehistory*. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1929. p. v-xii-1–7.

28.

Gordon Childe V. Changing aims and methods in prehistory. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* [Internet]. 1935;1:1–15. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2028_47033.pdf

29.

Clark, Grahame. *Archaeology and society*. 3rd ed. London: Methuen; 1960.

30.

Díaz-Andreu M. Britain and the Other: the archaeology of imperialism. In: *History, nationhood, and the question of Britain*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2004. p. 227–41.

31.

Díaz-Andreu García, Margarita. A world history of nineteenth-century archaeology: nationalism, colonialism, and the past [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2007. Available from: <https://academic-oup-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/40265>

32.

Fagan, Brian M. *Grahame Clark: an intellectual biography of an archaeologist*. Boulder, Colo: Westview; 2001.

33.

Harris, David R., Childe, V. Gordon, V. Gordon Childe Centennial Conference, University

College, London, Prehistoric Society (London, England). The archaeology of V. Gordon Childe: contemporary perspectives : proceedings of the V. Gordon Childe Centennial Conference held at the Institute of Archaeology, University College London, 8-9 May 1992 under the auspices of the Institute of Archaeology and the Prehistoric Society. London: UCL Press; 1994.

34.

Hingley R. Chapters 9 and 10. In: Roman officers and English gentlemen: the imperial origins of Roman archaeology. New York: Routledge; 2000.

35.

Jones S. Chapter 2. In: The archaeology of ethnicity: constructing identities in the past and present. London: Routledge; 1997.

36.

Lyman, R. Lee, O'Brien, Michael J., Dunnell, Robert C. The rise and fall of culture history. London: Plenum Press; 1997.

37.

Lyman RL, O'Brien MJ. A history of normative theory in Americanist archaeology. Journal of archaeological method and theory. 2004;11(4):369-96.

38.

Patterson, Thomas Carl. Marx's ghost: conversations with archaeologists. Oxford: Berg; 2003. doi:<https://doi.org/10.5040/9781474215121>

39.

Renfrew C. Beyond diffusion. In: Before civilization: the radiocarbon revolution and prehistoric Europe. London: Pimlico; 1999. p. 109-19.

40.

Schnapp A. Between antiquarians and archaeologists - Continuities and ruptures. *Antiquity*. 2002;76(291):134-40.

41.

Shennan SJ. Introduction: archaeological approaches to cultural identity. In: *Archaeological approaches to cultural identity*. London: Routledge; 1994. p. 1-32.

42.

Spaulding AC. Statistical techniques for the discovery of artifact types. *American Antiquity*. 1953;18(4):305-13.

43.

Taylor WW. Chapter 6. In: *A study of archaeology*. [S.l.]: American Anthropological Association; 1948.

44.

Webster G. Culture history: a culture-historical approach. In: *Handbook of archaeological theories* [Internet]. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press; 2008. p. 11-27. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

45.

Wheeler, Robert Eric Mortimer. *Archaeology from the earth* [Internet]. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1954. Available from: <https://archive.org/details/in.gov.ignca.1711>

46.

Binford LR. Archaeological perspectives. In: *New perspectives in archeology*. Chicago: Aldine; 1968. p. 5-32.

47.

Binford LR. A consideration of archaeological research design. *American Antiquity*.

1964;29(4):425-41.

48.

Trigger BG. Current trends in American archaeology. In: Time and traditions: essays in archaeological interpretation. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 1978. p. 2-18.

49.

Binford LR. Archaeology as anthropology. American Antiquity. 1962;28(2):217-25.

50.

Clarke DL. Archaeology: The loss of innocence. Antiquity. 1973;47(185):6-18.

51.

Clarke, David L., Chapman, Bob. Analytical archaeology. 2nd ed. London: Methuen; 1978.

52.

Clark G. Economic approach to prehistory. In: Economic prehistory: papers on archaeology. Cambridge [Cambridgeshire]: Cambridge University Press; 1989. p. 149-68.

53.

Dunnell RC. Five decades of American archaeology. In: American archaeology, past and future: a celebration of the Society for American Archaeology, 1935-1985. London: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1986.

54.

Flannery KV. Culture history vs. culture process: A Debate in American archaeology. Scientific American. 1967;2(2):119-22.

55.

Flannery KV. Archaeological systems theory and early Mesoamerica. In: Anthropological archeology in the Americas. Washington, DC: The Anthropological Society of Washington; 1968. p. 67–87.

56.

Lyman RL. What is the `process' in cultural process and in processual archaeology? Anthropological Theory. 2007 Jun 1;7(2):217–50. doi:10.1177/1463499607077299

57.

David J. Meltzer. Paradigms and the Nature of Change in American Archaeology. American Antiquity. 1979;44(4):644–57.

58.

O'Brien, Michael J., Lyman, R. Lee, Schiffer, Michael B. Archaeology as a process: processualism and its progeny. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 2005.

59.

Plog F. Laws, systems of laws and the explanation of observed variation. In: The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory. London: Duckworth; 1973. p. 649–61.

60.

Redman CL. Distinguished lecture in archaeology: In defence of the seventies - The adolescence of New Archaeology. American Anthropologist. 1991;93(2):295–307.

61.

Renfrew C. Culture systems and the multiplier effect. In: The emergence of civilisation: the Cyclades and the Aegean in the Third Millennium B.C. London: Methuen; 1972. p. 19–44.

62.

Renfrew C. Monuments, mobilization and social organization in Neolithic Wessex. In: The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory. London: Duckworth; 1973. p. 539–58.

63.

Taylor WW. Chapter 6. In: A study of archaeology. [S.l.]: American Anthropological Association; 1948.

64.

Taylor WW. Old wine and new skins: A contemporary parable. In: Contemporary archaeology: a guide to theory and contributions. Carbondale, Ill: Feffer & Simons; 1972. p. 28–33.

65.

Trigger BG. Chapter 7. In: A history of archaeological thought [Internet]. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511813016>

66.

Trigger BG. Aims in prehistoric archaeology. *Antiquity*. 1970;44(173):26–37.

67.

Watson PJ. Processualism and after. In: Handbook of archaeological theories. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press; 2008. p. 29–38.

68.

Binford LR. Relics to artifacts and monuments to assemblages: changing conceptual frameworks. In: Bones: ancient men and modern myths. London: Academic Press; 1981. p. 3–20.

69.

Flannery KV. The Golden Marshalltown: A Parable for the Archeology of the 1980s. *American Anthropologist* [Internet]. 1982 Jun;84(2):265–78. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1525/aa.1982.84.2.02a00010>

70.

Schiffer MB. Archaeological Context and Systemic Context. *American Antiquity*. 1972 Apr;37(2):156–65. doi:10.2307/278203

71.

Arnold P. Back to basics: the middle-range program as pragmatic archaeology. In: *Essential tensions in archaeological method and theory*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 2003. p. 55–66.

72.

Binford LR. General introduction. In: *For theory building in archaeology: essays on faunal remains, aquatic resources, spatial analysis and systemic modeling*. London: Academic Press; 1977. p. 1–10.

73.

Binford LR. Behavioral Archaeology and the 'Pompeii Premise'. *Journal of Anthropological Research*. 1981 Oct;37(3):195–208. doi:10.1086/jar.37.3.3629723

74.

Binford, Lewis Roberts. *Constructing frames of reference: an analytical method for archaeological theory building using hunter-gatherer and environmental data sets*. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2001.

75.

Butzer, Karl W. *Archaeology as human ecology: method and theory for a contextual approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1982.

76.

Courbin, Paul. What is archaeology?: an essay on the nature of archaeological research. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1988.

77.

Robert C. Dunnell. The Harvey Lecture Series. Science, Social Science, and Common Sense: The Agonizing Dilemma of Modern Archaeology. *Journal of Anthropological Research*. 1982;38(1):1-25.

78.

Hayden B, Cannon A. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1983 Jun;2(2):117-63.
doi:10.1016/0278-4165(83)90010-7

79.

Higgs ES, Vita-Finzi C. Prehistoric economies: A territorial approach. In: *Papers in economic prehistory: studies by members and associates of the British Academy Major Research Project in the Early History of Agriculture*. London: Cambridge University Press; 1972. p. 27-36.

80.

LaMotta V, Schiffer M. Behavioral archaeology. Towards a new synthesis. In: *Archaeological theory today* [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity; 2001. Available from:
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1101335&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

81.

Leone, Mark P. Contemporary archaeology: a guide to theory and contributions. Carbondale, Ill: Feffer & Simons; 1972.

82.

Patrik LE. Is there an archaeological record? *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory*. 1985;8:27–62.

83.

Price BJ. Cultural Materialism: A Theoretical Review. *American Antiquity*. 1982;47(4):709–41.

84.

Renfrew, Colin, *Research Seminar in Archaeology and Related Subjects. The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory*. London: Duckworth; 1973.

85.

Schiffer, Michael B. *Behavioral archaeology. Studies in archaeology*. London: Academic Press; 1976.

86.

Schiffer MB. Is there a 'Pompeii Premise' in archaeology? *Journal of Anthropological Research*. 1985;41(1):18–41.

87.

Schiffer, Michael B. *Behavioral archaeology: first principles. Foundations of archaeological inquiry*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1995.

88.

Schiffer, Michael B. *Formation processes of the archaeological record*. Albuquerque, N.M.: University of New Mexico Press; 1987.

89.

Shott MJ. Status and role of formation theory in contemporary archaeological practice. *Journal of archaeological research*. 1998;6(4):299–329.

90.

Trigger BG. Expanding middle-range theory. *Antiquity*. 1995;69(264):449–58.

91.

Wylie A. The reaction against analogy. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory*. 1985;8:63–111.

92.

Hodder I. Chapter 1: The problem. In: *Reading the past: current approaches to interpretation in archaeology*. 3rd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2003. p. 1–19.

93.

Leone M. Towards a critical archaeology. *Current Anthropology*. 1987;28(3):283–302.

94.

Shanks M, Tilley CY. Chapter 3: facts and values in archaeology. In: *Re-constructing archaeology: theory and practice*. 2nd ed. London: Routledge; 1992. p. 46–67.

95.

Wylie A. The interpretive dilemma. In: *Critical traditions in contemporary archaeology: essays in the philosophy, history and socio-politics of archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1989. p. 18–27.

96.

Review by: Lewis R. Binford. *American Antiquity*. Vol. 53. Vol. 53(No. 4):875–6.

97.

Cowgill GL. Distinguished lecture in archaeology: Beyond criticizing New Archaeology. *American Anthropologist, New Series*. 1993;95(3):551–73.

98.

Earle et. al. TK. Processual archaeology and the radical critique. *Current Anthropology*. 1987;28(4):501–38.

99.

Hodder I. Theoretical archaeology: A reactionary view. In: *Symbolic and structural archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1982. p. 92–121.

100.

Hodder I. Postprocessual archaeology. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory*. 1985;8:1–26.

101.

Johnson M. Chapters 6 and 7. In: *Archaeological theory: an introduction*. 2nd ed. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 2010.

102.

Leone M. Symbolic, structural and critical archaeology. In: *American archaeology, past and future: a celebration of the Society for American Archaeology, 1935-1985*. London: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1986. p. 415–38.

103.

Shanks M, Tilley CY. Archaeology and the politics of theory. In: *Social theory and archaeology*. Cambridge: Polity in association with Blackwell; 1987. p. 186–208.

104.

Shanks, Michael, Tilley, Christopher Y. *Re-constructing archaeology: theory and practice*.

2nd ed. London: Routledge; 1992.

105.

Shanks M. Post-processual archaeology and after. In: Handbook of archaeological theories. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press; 2008. p. 133–44.

106.

Tilley CY. Ideology and the legitimation of power in the middle Neolithic of southern Sweden. In: Ideology, power and prehistory. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1984. p. 111–45. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511897443

107.

Trigger BG. Distinguished lecture in archaeology: Constraint and freedom - A new synthesis for archaeological explanation. American Anthropologist. 1991;93(3):551–69.

108.

Trigger BG. Archaeology at the Crossroads: What's New? Annual Review of Anthropology. 1984 Oct;13(1):275–300. doi:10.1146/annurev.an.13.100184.001423

109.

Trigger BG. Chapter 8: Processualism and post-processualism. In: A history of archaeological thought [Internet]. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511813016>

110.

Wylie A. On 'heavily decomposing red herrings'. Scientific method in archaeology and the lading of evidence with theory. In: Metaarchaeology: reflections by archaeologists and philosophers. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1992. p. 145–57.

111.

Shanks M, Hodder I. Processual, postprocessual and interpretive archaeologies. In: Interpreting archaeology: finding meaning in the past. London: Routledge; 1994. p. 3–29.

112.

Shanks M, Tilley C. Comments on Archaeology into the 1990s. Norwegian Archaeological Review [Internet]. 1989;22(1):12–54. Available from: <https://www-tandfonline-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/toc/sarc20/22/1?nav=tocList>

113.

Barrett, John C. Fragments from antiquity: an archaeology of social life in Britain, 2900-1200 BC. Social archaeology. Cambridge, Mass: Blackwell; 1993.

114.

Brück J. Experiencing the past? The development of a phenomenological archaeology in British prehistory. Archaeological Dialogues. 2005 Aug 30;12(01):45–72. doi:10.1017/S1380203805001583

115.

Buchli, Victor, Lucas, Gavin, Cox, Margaret. Archaeologies of the contemporary past. London: Routledge; 2001.

116.

Chippindale C. Ambition, deference, discrepancy, consumption: the intellectual background to a post-processual archaeology. In: Archaeological theory: who sets the agenda? Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993. p. 27–36.

117.

Dobres, Marcia-Anne. Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers; 2000.

118.

Dowson TA. Homosexuality, queer theory and archaeology. In: Interpretive archaeology: a reader. London: Leicester University Press; 2000. p. 283–9.

119.

Gero JM, Wright M. Tensions, pluralities and engendering archaeology: An introduction to Women and Prehistory. In: Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory. Oxford: Blackwell; 1991. p. 3–30.

120.

Hegmon M. Setting theoretical egos aside: Issues and theory in North American archaeology. *American Antiquity*. 2003;68(2):213–43.

121.

Hodder I. Interpretive archaeology and its role. *American Antiquity*. 1991;56(1):7–18.

122.

Hodder I. Material practice, symbolism and ideology. In: Theory and practice in archaeology. London: Routledge; 1992. p. 201–12.

123.

Hodder, Ian. Interpreting archaeology: finding meaning in the past. London: Routledge; 1994.

124.

Hodder I, Karlsson H, Olsen B. 40 Years of Theoretical Engagement: A Conversation with Ian Hodder. *Norwegian Archaeological Review*. 2008 Jun;41(1):26–42.
doi:10.1080/00293650802181154

125.

LaMotta V, Schiffer M. Behavioral archaeology. Towards a new synthesis. In: Archaeological theory today. Rev. and updated ed. Cambridge: Polity; 2012. p. 14–64.

126.

Mackenzie, Iain M. Archaeological theory: progress or posture? Worldwide archaeology series. Aldershot: Avebury; 1994.

127.

Meskell, Lynn. Archaeologies of social life: age, sex, class et cetera in ancient Egypt. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell; 1999.

128.

Preucel RW. The postprocessual condition. Journal of Archaeological Research. 1995;3(2):147–75.

129.

Renfrew C. Towards a cognitive archaeology. In: The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994. p. 3–12.

130.

Shanks M. Post-processual archaeology and after. In: Handbook of archaeological theories [Internet]. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press; 2008. p. 133–44. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780759113602>

131.

Tarlow, Sarah, West, Susie. The familiar past?: archaeologies of later historical Britain. London: Routledge; 1999.

132.

Thomas J. Where are we now? Archaeological theory in the 1990s. In: *Theory in archaeology: a world perspective*. London: Routledge; 1994. p. 343–62.

133.

Thomas, Julian. *Time, culture and identity: an interpretative archaeology*. London: Routledge; 1996.

134.

Tilley C. Interpreting material culture. In: *The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression*. London: Routledge; 1989. p. 185–94.

135.

Tilley CY. Interpretative archaeology [Internet]. Providence: Berg; 1993. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5040/9781474214995>

136.

Trigger BG. Post-processual developments in Anglo-American archaeology. *Norwegian Archaeological Review*. 1991 Jan;24(2):65–76.

137.

Trigger BG. Hyper-relativism, responsibility and the Social Sciences. In: *Artifacts & ideas: essays in archaeology*. London: Transaction; 2003. p. 113–31.

138.

Trigger BG. Chapter 9 and 10. In: *A history of archaeological thought* [Internet]. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511813016>

139.

Michelle Hegmon. *Setting Theoretical Egos Aside: Issues and Theory in North American*

Archaeology. *American Antiquity*. 2003;68(2):213–43.

140.

Johnson M. On the nature of theoretical archaeology and archaeological theory. *Archaeological Dialogues*. 2006;13(2):117–32. doi:10.1017/S138020380621208X

141.

Kristiansen K. Genes versus agents: A discussion of the widening theoretical gap in archaeology. *Archaeological Dialogues*. 2004;11(2):77–99. doi:10.1017/S1380203805211509

142.

Barrett JC. The material constitution of humanness. *Archaeological Dialogues*. 2014 Jun;21(01):65–74. doi:10.1017/S1380203814000105

143.

Bentley A, Maschner HDG. Complexity theory. In: *Handbook of archaeological theories*. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press; 2008. p. 245–70.

144.

Bintliff, J. L., Pearce, Mark. The death of archaeological theory? [Internet]. Oakville: Oxbow Books; 2011. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctvh1dk87>

145.

Cochrane, Ethan E., Gardner, Andrew. *Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue*. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast; 2011.

146.

Funari, Pedro Paulo A., Zarankin, Andrés, Stovel, Emily. *Global archaeological theory*:

contextual voices and contemporary thoughts. London: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2005.

147.

Zarankin, Andrés, Salerno, Melisa A., Funari, Pedro Paulo A. Memories from darkness: archaeology of repression and resistance in Latin America [Internet]. Contributions to global historical archaeology. London: Springer; 2009. Available from: <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1007/978-1-4419-0679-3>

148.

Gaydarska B. A brief history of TAG. *Antiquity*. 2009;83(322):1152–62.

149.

Michelle Hegmon. No More Theory Wars: A Response to Moss. *American Antiquity*. 2005;70(3):588–90.

150.

Hodder I. Introduction: contemporary theoretical debate in archaeology. In: *Archaeological theory today*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Polity; 2012. p. 1–14.

151.

Lydon, Jane, Rizvi, Uzma Z. Handbook of postcolonial archaeology [Internet]. World Archaeological Congress research handbooks in archaeology. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=911816>

152.

Knappett, Carl. An archaeology of interaction: network perspectives on material culture and society [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/book/1407>

153.

Mizoguchi, Kōji. *Archaeology, society and identity in modern Japan*. Cambridge studies in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006.

154.

Madonna L. Moss. Rifts in the Theoretical Landscape of Archaeology in the United States: A Comment on Hegmon and Watkins. *American Antiquity*. 2005;70(3):581–7.

155.

Olsen B. Symmetrical archaeology. In: *Archaeological theory today*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Polity; 2012. p. 208–28.

156.

Pauketat TR. Practice and history in archaeology: An emerging paradigm. *Anthropological Theory*. 2001 Mar 1;1(1):73–98. doi:10.1177/146349960100100105

157.

Preucel RW, Mrozowski SA. The new pragmatism. In: *Contemporary archaeology in theory: the new pragmatism*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell; 2010. p. 3–49.

158.

Robb J, Pauketat TR. *Big histories, human lives: tackling problems of scale in archaeology*. School for Advanced Research advanced seminar series. Santa Fe, N.M.: School for Advanced Research Press; 2013.

159.

Michael Shanks. Symmetrical Archaeology. *World Archaeology*. 2007;39(4):589–96.

160.

Smith, Laurajane. Archaeological theory and the politics of cultural heritage. London: Routledge; 2004.

161.

Spriggs M. Ethnographic parallels and the denial of history. *World Archaeology*. 2008;40(4):538–52. doi:10.1080/00438240802453161

162.

Bruce G. Trigger. Archaeology and Epistemology: Dialoguing across the Darwinian Chasm. *American Journal of Archaeology*. 1998;102(1):1–34.

163.

Ucko, Peter J., Theoretical Archaeology Group. Theory in archaeology: a world perspective. London: Routledge; 1994.

164.

Watts CM. Relational archaeologies: humans, animals, things. London: Routledge; 2013.

165.

Webmoor T, Witmore CL. Things Are Us! A Commentary on Human/Things Relations under the Banner of a 'Social' Archaeology. *Norwegian Archaeological Review*. 2008 Jun;41(1):53–70. doi:10.1080/00293650701698423

166.

Andrews G, Barrett JC, Lewis JSC. Interpretation not record: The practice of archaeology. *Antiquity*. 2000;74(285):525–30.

167.

Hodder I. Towards a reflexive method. In: *The archaeological process: an introduction*.

Oxford: Blackwell; 1999. p. 80–104.

168.

Lucas G. Introduction: archaeology and the field. In: Critical approaches to fieldwork: contemporary and historical archaeological practice. London: Routledge; 2001. p. 1–17.

169.

Roskams S. Future prospects. In: Excavation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001. p. 267–90.

170.

Bender B, Tilley CY, Anderson E, Hamilton S. Chapters 1 and 3. In: Stone worlds: narrative and reflexivity in landscape archaeology [Internet]. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007. Available from:
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14615901840004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

171.

Berggren A, Hodder I. Social practice, method and some problems of field archaeology. *American Antiquity*. 2003;68(3):421–34.

172.

Binford LR. A consideration of archaeological research design. *American Antiquity*. 1964;29(4):425–41.

173.

Chadwick A. Post-processualism, professionalization and archaeological methodologies. Towards reflexive and radical practice. *Archaeological Dialogues*. 2003;10(1):97–117.
doi:10.1017/S1380203803001107

174.

Collis, John. Digging up the past: an introduction to archaeological excavation. Stroud: Sutton; 2001.

175.

Conolly J. Catalhoyuk and the archaeological object. In: Towards reflexive method in archaeology: the example at Çatalhöyük : by members of the Çatalhöyük team [Internet]. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2000. p. 51–6. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=533279b1-a506-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

176.

Edgeworth, Matt. Acts of discovery: an ethnography of archaeological practice. BAR international series. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2003.

177.

Edgeworth, Matt. Ethnographies of archaeological practice: cultural encounters, material transformations. Worlds of archaeology series. Lanham: Altamira Press; 2006.

178.

Gero JM. Archaeological practice and gendered encounters with field data. In: Gender and archaeology. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 1996. p. 251–80.

179.

Hamilton S. Lost in translation? A comment on the excavation report. Papers from the Institute of Archaeology. 1999 Aug 4;10:1–8. doi:10.5334/pia.140

180.

Hamilton S, Whitehouse R, Brown K, Combes P, Herring E, Thomas MS. Phenomenology in Practice: Towards a Methodology for a 'Subjective' Approach. European Journal of Archaeology. 2006 Apr 1;9(1):31–71. doi:10.1177/1461957107077704

181.

Hassan FA. Beyond the surface: Comments on Hodder's 'reflexive excavation methodology'. *Antiquity*. 1997;71(274):1020-5.

182.

Hodder I. Writing archaeology: site reports in context. In: *Theory and practice in archaeology*. London: Routledge; 1992. p. 263-74.

183.

Hodder I. Always momentary, fluid and flexible: Towards a reflexive excavation methodology. *Antiquity*. 1997;71(273):691-700.

184.

Jones, Andrew. *Archaeological theory and scientific practice. Topics in contemporary archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001.

185.

Lucas G. *Understanding the archaeological record* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from:
<https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511845772>

186.

Moshenska, Gabriel, Dhanjal, Sarah. *Community archaeology: themes, methods and practices* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow; 2012. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=1154191>

187.

Papaconstantinou, Demetra. *Deconstructing context: a critical approach to archaeological practice*. Oxford: Oxbow; 2006.

188.

Parker Pearson M, Ramilisonina. Stonehenge for the ancestors: The stones pass on the message. *Antiquity*. 1998;72(276):308–26.

189.

Scarre G, Coningham R. Appropriating the past: philosophical perspectives on the practice of archaeology [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013. Available from: <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9781139026932>

190.

Quirke, Stephen. Hidden hands: Egyptian workforces in Petrie excavation archives, 1880-1924. Duckworth egyptology. London: Gerald Duckworth; 2010.

191.

Shanks M, McGuire RH. The craft of archaeology. *American Antiquity*. 1996;61(1):75–88.

192.

Tilley C. Excavation as Theatre. *Antiquity*. 1989;63(239):275–80.

193.

Parker Pearson M, Ramilisonina. Stonehenge for the ancestors: The stones pass on the message. *Antiquity*. 1998;72(276):308–26.

194.

Parker Pearson et. al. M. Who was buried at Stonehenge? *Antiquity*. 2009;83(319):23–39.

195.

Pearson MP. Materializing Stonehenge: The Stonehenge Riverside Project and New Discoveries. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2006 Jul 1;11(1-2):227-61.
doi:10.1177/1359183506063024

196.

Renfrew C. Monuments, mobilization and social organisation in Neolithic Wessex. In: *The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory*. London: Duckworth; 1973. p. 539-58.

197.

Atkinson, R. J. C. *Stonehenge*. A pelican book. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books in association with Hamish Hamilton; 1960.

198.

Bender B. Theorising landscapes, and the prehistoric landscape of Stonehenge. *Man*. 1992;27(4):735-55.

199.

Bender, Barbara, Aitken, Paul. *Stonehenge: making space*. Materializing culture. Oxford: Berg; 1998.

200.

Bradley, Richard. *The significance of monuments: on the shaping of human experience in Neolithic and Bronze Age Europe*. London: Routledge; 1998.

201.

Chippindale, Christopher. *Stonehenge complete*. New and expanded [3rd] ed. [London]: Thames & Hudson; 2004.

202.

Chippindale, Christopher. Who owns Stonehenge? London: Batsford; 1990.

203.

Cleal, Rosamund, Walker, K. E., Montague, R., English Heritage. Stonehenge in its landscape: twentieth-century excavations [Internet]. Archaeological report / English Heritage. London: English Heritage; 1995. Available from: https://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archiveDS/archiveDownload?t=arch-1416-1/dissemination/pdf/978-1850-746058_72.pdf

204.

Renfrew, Colin, Cunliffe, Barry W., British Academy. Science and Stonehenge. Proceedings of the British Academy. Oxford: Oxford University Press for the British Academy; 1997.

205.

Parker Pearson et. al. M. The age of Stonehenge. *Antiquity*. 2007;81(313):617–39.

206.

Parker Pearson et. al. M. Stonehenge, its river and its landscape: Unravelling the mysteries of a prehistoric sacred place. *Archäologischer Anzeiger: Beiblatt zum Jahrbuch des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts*. 2006;1:237–58.

207.

Richards, Julian C., English Heritage. English Heritage book of Stonehenge. London: B. T. Batsford Ltd./ English Heritage; 1991.

208.

Richards, Julian C., Allen, Mike, English Heritage. The Stonehenge environs project [Internet]. Archaeological report. London: Historical Buildings & Monuments Commission for England; 1990. Available from: https://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archiveDS/archiveDownload?t=arch-1416-1/dissemination/pdf/9781848022096_ALL_72.pdf

209.

James S. Roman archaeology: Crisis and revolution. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 2003;77(295):178-84. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217561304?accountid=14511>

210.

Witcher R, Tolia-Kelly DP, Hingley R. Archaeologies of Landscape: Excavating the Materialities of Hadrian's Wall. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2010 Mar 22;15(1):105-28. doi:10.1177/1359183510355228

211.

Andrén, Anders, Crozier, Alan. *Between artifacts and texts: historical archaeology in global perspective*. Contributions to global historical archaeology. London: Plenum Press; 1998.

212.

Dyson, Stephen L. *In pursuit of ancient pasts: a history of classical archaeology in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries* [Internet]. London: Yale University Press; 2006. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1npzcm>

213.

Frere SS. Roman Britain since Haverfield and Richmond. *History and Archaeology Review*. 1988;3:31-6.

214.

Gardner A. Seeking a material turn: the artefactuality of the Roman Empire. In: *TRAC 2002: proceedings of the twelfth annual Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference which took place at the University of Kent at Canterbury 5-6 April 2002*. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2003. p. 1-13.

215.

Hingley, Richard. *Roman officers and English gentlemen: the imperial origins of Roman*

archaeology. New York: Routledge; 2000.

216.

Hingley R. Hadrian's Wall in theory: pursuing new agendas. In: Understanding Hadrian's Wall: papers from a conference held at South Shields, 3rd-5th November 2006, to mark the publication of the 14th edition of the Handbook to the Roman Wall. South Shields: Arbeia Society; 2008. p. 25-8.

217.

Hingley R. 'The most ancient Boundary between England and Scotland': Genealogies of the Roman Walls. *Classical Receptions Journal*. 2010 May 11;2(1):25-43.
doi:10.1093/crj/clq001

218.

Hodder I. Bridging the divide: a commentary on theoretical Roman archaeology. In: Theoretical Roman archaeology: first conference proceedings. Aldershot: Avebury; 1993. p. xiii-xix.

219.

Ian Hodder and Mark Hassall. The Non-Random Spacing of Romano-British Walled Towns. *Man*. 1971;6(3):391-407.

220.

James S. Writing the Legions: The Development and Future of Roman Military Studies in Britain. *Archaeological Journal*. 2002 Jan;159(1):1-58.
doi:10.1080/00665983.2002.11020514

221.

James, Simon, Millett, Martin, Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference, Council for British Archaeology. Britons and Romans: advancing an archaeological agenda. CBA research report. York: Council for British Archaeology; 2001.

222.

Johnson MH. Rethinking historical archaeology. In: Historical archaeology: back from the edge. New York: Routledge; 1999. p. 23–36.

223.

Moore T. Detribalizing the later prehistoric past: Concepts of tribes in Iron Age and Roman studies. *Journal of Social Archaeology*. 2011 Oct 1;11(3):334–60. doi:10.1177/1469605311403861

224.

Nesbitt C, Tolia-Kelly D. Hadrian's Wall: Embodied archaeologies of the linear monument. *Journal of Social Archaeology*. 2009 Sep 23;9(3):368–90. doi:10.1177/1469605309338428

225.

Glenn R. Storey. Archaeology and Roman Society: Integrating Textual and Archaeological Data. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 1999;7(3):203–48.

226.

Mason, David J. P., Symonds, Matthew F. A. *Frontiers of knowledge: a research framework for Hadrian's Wall, part of the Frontiers of the Roman Empire World Heritage site*. Durham: Durham County Council and Durham University; 2009.

227.

Cooper, Nicholas, Webster, Jane, University of Leicester. *Roman imperialism: post-colonial perspectives*. Leicester archaeology monographs. Leicester: School of Archaeological Studies, University of Leicester; 1996.

228.

Wilmott T. Collapse theory and the end of Birdoswald. In: *Theoretical Roman archaeology: second conference proceedings*. Aldershot: Avebury; 1995. p. 59–69.

229.

Wilmott, Tony, English Heritage. Hadrian's Wall: archaeological research by English Heritage 1976-2000 [Internet]. Swindon: English Heritage; 2009. Available from: <https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/hadrians-wall/>

230.

Witcher R. The Fabulous Tales of the Common People, Part 1: Representing Hadrian's Wall. *Public Archaeology*. 2010;9(3):126–52. doi:10.1179/146551810X12822101587138

231.

Greg Woolf. The Present State and Future Scope of Roman Archaeology: A Comment. *American Journal of Archaeology*. 2004;108(3):417–28.

232.

Tim Ingold. The Temporality of the Landscape. *World Archaeology* [Internet]. 1993;25(2):152–74. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/124811>

233.

Lucas G. Time and Archaeological Event. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*. 2008 Feb;18(01):59–65. doi:10.1017/S095977430800005X

234.

Mytum H. Materiality and memory: an archaeological perspective on the popular adoption of linear time in Britain. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 2007;81(312):381–96. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217581355?accountid=14511>

235.

Adam B. Perceptions of time. In: *Companion encyclopedia of anthropology* [Internet]. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 503–26. Available from: https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/permalink/f/1klfcc3/TN_cdi_proquest_eboo

kcentral_EBC169490

236.

Adam, Barbara. *Timewatch: the social analysis of time*. Cambridge: Polity Press; 1995.

237.

G. N. Bailey. Concepts of Time in Quaternary Prehistory. *Annual Review of Anthropology* [Internet]. 1983;12:165–92. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2155645>

238.

Bailey G. Time perspectives, palimpsests and the archaeology of time. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2007 Jun;26(2):198–223. doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2006.08.002

239.

Barrett, John C. *Fragments from antiquity: an archaeology of social life in Britain, 2900-1200 BC*. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell; 1993.

240.

Rosen, Ralph Mark, University of Pennsylvania. *Time and temporality in the ancient world*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology; 2004.

241.

Bintliff, J. L. *The Annales school and archaeology*. London: Leicester University Press; 1991.

242.

Borić, Dušan. *Archaeology and memory*. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2010.

243.

Bradley, Richard. The past in prehistoric societies. London: Routledge; 2002.

244.

Gardner A. Time and empire in the Roman world. *Journal of Social Archaeology*. 2012 Jun 1;12(2):145–66. doi:10.1177/1469605312439971

245.

Gell, Alfred. The anthropology of time: cultural constructions of temporal maps and images. *Explorations in anthropology*. Oxford: Berg; 1992.

246.

Gosden, Chris. Social being and time. Oxford: Blackwell; 1994.

247.

Hannah, Robert. Time in antiquity. *Sciences of antiquity*. Abingdon: Routledge; 2009.

248.

Harding J. Rethinking the Great Divide: Long-Term Structural History and the Temporality of Event. *Norwegian Archaeological Review*. 2005 Nov;38(2):88–101. doi:10.1080/00293650510032707

249.

Holdaway, Simon, Wandsnider, LuAnn. Time in archaeology: time perspectivism revisited [Internet]. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 2008. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=3443820>

250.

Karlsson, Håkan. It's about time: the concept of time in archaeology. Göteborg: Bricoleur;

2001.

251.

Redman, Charles L. Social archeology: beyond subsistence and dating. Studies in archeology. New York: Academic Press; 1978.

252.

Lucas, Gavin. The archaeology of time. Themes in archaeology. London: Routledge; 2005.

253.

Nancy D. Munn. The Cultural Anthropology of Time: A Critical Essay. Annual Review of Anthropology [Internet]. 1992;21:93–123. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2155982>

254.

Murray, Tim, World Archaeological Congress. Time and archaeology. One world archaeology. London: Routledge; 1999.

255.

Nanni G. Time, empire and resistance in settler-colonial Victoria. Time & Society. 2011 Mar 1;20(1):5–33. doi:10.1177/0961463X10369765

256.

Shanks M, Tilley C. Abstract and Substantial Time. Archaeological Review From Cambridge [Internet]. 1987;6(1):32–41. Available from: <https://api.repository.cam.ac.uk/server/api/core/bitstreams/95e1b53d-9f6e-483c-9a13-32b905583f7c/content>

257.

Mackenzie, Iain M. *Archaeological theory: progress or posture?* Worldwide archaeology series. Aldershot: Avebury; 1994.

258.

Thomas, Julian. *Time, culture and identity: an interpretative archaeology*. New York: Routledge; 1996.

259.

Van Dyke, Ruth, Alcock, Susan E. *Archaeologies of memory*. Malden, MA: Blackwell; 2003.

260.

Whittle A, Bayliss A, Healy F. The Timing and Tempo of Change: Examples from the Fourth Millennium cal. BC in Southern England. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*. 2008;18(01):65–70. doi:10.1017/S0959774308000061

261.

David B, Thomas J. Landscape archaeology: Introduction. In: *Handbook of landscape archaeology* [Internet]. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 27–43. Available from: <https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315427737.ch1>

262.

Hamilton S. The ambiguity of landscape: discussing points of relatedness in concepts and methods. In: *Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue*. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast; 2011. p. 263–80.

263.

Thomas J. *Archaeologies of place and landscape*. In: *Archaeological theory today*. Rev. and updated ed. Cambridge: Polity; 2012. p. 165–86.

264.

Tilley CY. Space, place, landscape and perception: phenomenological perspectives. In: A phenomenology of landscape: places, paths, and monuments. Oxford: Berg; 1994. p. 7–34.

265.

Ashmore W, Knapp AB. Archaeological landscapes: constructed, conceptualised, ideational. In: Archaeologies of landscape: contemporary perspectives. Malden, Mass: Blackwell Publishers; 1999. p. 1–30.

266.

Barrett J. The mythical landscapes of the British Iron Age. In: Archaeologies of landscape: contemporary perspectives. Malden, Mass: Blackwell Publishers; 1999. p. 253–65.

267.

Bender B. Theorising landscapes, and the prehistoric landscape of Stonehenge. *Man*. 1992;27(4):735–55.

268.

Bender B, Tilley CY, Anderson E, Hamilton S. Chapters 1 and 3. In: Stone worlds: narrative and reflexivity in landscape archaeology [Internet]. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007. Available from: https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14615901840004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

269.

Bender B, Hamilton S, Tilley C. Leskernick: Stone Worlds; Alternative Narratives; Nested Landscapes. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*. 1997;63:147–78.
doi:10.1017/S0079497X00002413

270.

Brück J. Experiencing the past? The development of a phenomenological archaeology in British prehistory. *Archaeological Dialogues*. 2005 Aug 30;12(1):45–72.

doi:10.1017/S1380203805001583

271.

Thomas, Julian, David, Bruno. Handbook of landscape archaeology [Internet]. World Archaeological Congress research handbooks in archaeology. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2008. Available from:
<https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315427737.ch1>

272.

Fleming A. Phenomenology and the Megaliths of Wales: A Dreaming Too Far? Oxford Journal of Archaeology. 1999 May;18(2):119–25. doi:10.1111/1468-0092.00074

273.

Fleming A. Post-processual landscape archaeology: A critique. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 2006;16(3):267–80. doi:10.1017/S0959774306000163

274.

Gheorghiu D, Nash G. Place as material culture: objects, geographies and the construction of time. Newcastle: Cambridge Scholars Pub; 2013.

275.

Hamilton et al. S. Quarried away: thinking about landscapes of megalithic construction on Rapa Nui (Easter Island). In: Handbook of landscape archaeology [Internet]. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 176–86. Available from:
<https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315427737.ch1>

276.

Hamilton S, Whitehouse R, Brown K, Combes P, Herring E, Thomas MS. Phenomenology in Practice: Towards a Methodology for a 'Subjective' Approach. European Journal of Archaeology. 2006 Apr 1;9(1):31–71. doi:10.1177/1461957107077704

277.

Wagstaff, J. Malcolm. Landscape and culture: geographical and archaeological perspectives. Oxford: Basil Blackwell; 1987.

278.

Ingold T. The temporality of the landscape. *World Archaeology*. 1993;25(2):152–74.

279.

Lake M. Viewing space. *World Archaeology*. 2007;39(1):1–3.

280.

Llobera M. Exploring the topography of mind: GIS, social space and archaeology. *Antiquity*. 1996;70(269):612–22.

281.

McGlade J. Archaeology and the evolution of cultural landscapes: towards an interdisciplinary agenda. In: *The archaeology and anthropology of landscape: shaping your landscape*. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 458–82.

282.

Thomas JS. The politics of vision and the archaeologies of landscape. In: *Landscape: politics and perspectives*. New York: Berg; 1993. p. 19–48.

283.

Tilley C, Bennett W. An archaeology of super-natural places: the case of West Penwith. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. 2001;7(2):335–62.

284.

Tilley CY. The powers of rocks: Topography and monument construction on Bodmin Moor.

World Archaeology. 1996;28(2):161–76.

285.

Tilley C. Round Barrows and Dykes as Landscape Metaphors. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 2004 Oct;14(2):185–203. doi:10.1017/S0959774304000125

286.

Tilley CY. Phenomenological approaches to landscape archaeology. In: Handbook of landscape archaeology [Internet]. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 271–6. Available from: <https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315427737.ch1>

287.

Ucko PJ, Layton R. Introduction: gazing on the landscape and encountering the environment. In: The archaeology and anthropology of landscape: shaping your landscape. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 1–20.

288.

Wheatley D. Cumulative viewshed analysis: a GIS-based method for investigating inter-visibility and its archaeological implication. In: Archaeology and geographical information systems: a European perspective. Bristol, PA: Taylor & Francis; 1995. p. 171–86.

289.

Binford LR. Interassemblage variability: The Mousterian and the functional argument. In: Working at archaeology [Internet]. New York: Academic Press; 1983. p. 131–53. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ffc747cb-4b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

290.

Dobres MA, Hoffman CR. Social agency and the dynamics of prehistoric technology. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory. 1994;1(3):211–58.

291.

Schiffer MB, Skibo JM. The explanation of artifact variability. *American Antiquity*. 1997;62(1):27-50.

292.

SILLAR B, TITE MS. THE CHALLENGE OF 'TECHNOLOGICAL CHOICES' FOR MATERIALS SCIENCE APPROACHES IN ARCHAEOLOGY. *Archaeometry*. 2000 Feb;42(1):2-20. doi:10.1111/j.1475-4754.2000.tb00863.x

293.

Arnold DE. Chapters 5, 8 and 9. In: *Ceramic theory and cultural process*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1985.

294.

Binford LR. Archaeology as anthropology. *American Antiquity*. 1962;28(2):217-25.

295.

Hastorf, Christine Ann, Conkey, Margaret Wright. *The uses of style in archaeology. New directions in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1989.

296.

Dobres MA. Social agency and practice: the heart and soul of technology. In: *Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers; 2000. p. 127-63.

297.

Hoffman, Christopher R., Dobres, Marcia-Anne. *The social dynamics of technology: practice, politics, and world views*. London: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1999.

298.

Robb JE, Dobres MA. Agency in archaeology: Paradigm or Platitude? In: Agency in archaeology. London: Routledge; 2000. p. 3–17.

299.

Dunnell RC. Style and function: A fundamental dichotomy. *American Antiquity*. 1978;43(2):192–202.

300.

Hegmon M. Archaeological research on style. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 1992;21:517–36. doi:10.1146/annurev.an.21.100192.002505

301.

Hill JN, Evans RK. A model for classification and typology. In: *Models in archaeology*. London: Methuen; 1972. p. 231–73.

302.

Hodder I. Material practice, symbolism and ideology. In: *Theory and practice in archaeology* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1992. p. 201–12. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=6f7f0b8f-5136-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

303.

Hodder, Ian. *Symbols in action: ethnoarchaeological studies of material culture*. New studies in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1982.

304.

Hodder I. Post-modernism, post-structuralism and post-processual archaeology. In: *The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression*. London: Unwin Hyman, HarperCollins Academic; 1989. p. 64–78.

305.

Karlin C, Julien M. Prehistoric technology: a cognitive science? In: *The ancient mind*:

elements of cognitive archaeology [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994. p. 152–63. Available from:
https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/CBO9780511598388A029/type/book_part

306.

Lemonnier P. The study of material culture today: Toward an anthropology of technical systems. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1986 Jun;5(2):147–86.
doi:10.1016/0278-4165(86)90012-7

307.

Martinón-Torres M. Chaîne Opératoire: The concept and its applications within the study of technology. *Gallaecia* [Internet]. 2002;21:29–43. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=fb4bab91-db3d-ee11-8457-0050f2f06092>

308.

Nelson MC. The study of technological organization. *Archaeological Method and Theory*. 1991;3:57–100.

309.

Pfaffenberger B. Social Anthropology of Technology. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 1992 Oct;21(1):491–516. doi:10.1146/annurev.an.21.100192.002423

310.

James R. Sackett. The Meaning of Style in Archaeology: A General Model. *American Antiquity*. 1977;42(3):369–80.

311.

Schlanger N. Mindful technology: unleashing the Chaîne opératoire for an archaeology of mind. In: *The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994. p. 143–51.

312.

Sigault F. Technology. In: Companion encyclopedia of anthropology [Internet]. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 420–59. Available from: https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/permalink/f/1klfcc3/TN_cdi_proquest_ebookcentral_EBC169490

313.

Sinclair A. Constellations of knowledge: human agency and material affordance in lithic technology. In: Agency in archaeology. London: Routledge; 2000. p. 196–212.

314.

Tilley, Christopher Y. Metaphor and material culture. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers; 1999.

315.

van der Leeuw S. Dust to dust: a transformational view of the ceramic cycle. In: The many dimensions of pottery: ceramics in archaeology and anthropology. Amsterdam: Universiteit van Amsterdam; 1984. p. 709–33.

316.

Wiessner P. Style and changing relations between the individual and society. In: The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression. London: Unwin Hyman, HarperCollins Academic; 1989. p. 56–63.

317.

Wobst HM. Stylistic behavior and information exchange. In: For the director: research essays in honor of James B. Griffin. Ann Arbor: Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan; 1977. p. 317–42. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3998/mpub.11395371>

318.

Wobst HM. Style in archaeology or archaeologists in style. In: Material meanings: critical approaches to the interpretation of material culture. Salt Lake City: University of Utah

Press; 1999. p. 118–32.

319.

Chris Gosden. What Do Objects Want? *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 2005;12(3):193–211.

320.

Robb JE. The archaeology of symbols. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 1998;27:329–46. doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.27.1.329

321.

Sillar B. The Social Agency of Things? Animism and Materiality in the Andes. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*. 2009 Oct 1;19(03):367–77. doi:10.1017/S0959774309000559

322.

Bentley A. 'Style versus function' 30 years on. In: *Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue*. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast; 2011. p. 83–104.

323.

Chilton, Elizabeth S. *Material meanings: critical approaches to the interpretation of material culture. Foundations of archaeological inquiry*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1999.

324.

Cochrane EE. Style, function and systematic empiricism: the conflation of process and pattern. In: *Style and function: conceptual issues in evolutionary archaeology*. London: Bergin & Garvey; 2001. p. 183–202.

325.

Renfrew, Colin, DeMarrais, Elizabeth, Gosden, Chris. *Rethinking materiality: the*

engagement of mind with the material world. McDonald Institute monographs. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2004.

326.

Ferguson L. Struggling with pots in colonial South Carolina. In: The archaeology of inequality. Oxford: Blackwell; 1991. p. 28–39.

327.

Gosden C, Marshall Y. The cultural biography of objects. *World Archaeology*. 1999;31(2):169–78.

328.

Hodder I. Human-thing entanglement: towards an integrated archaeological perspective. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. 2011;17(1):154–77.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9655.2010.01674.x

329.

Hodder I. *Entangled: an archaeology of the relationships between humans and things*. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 2012.

330.

Ingold T. Materials against materiality. *Archaeological Dialogues*. 2007;14(1):1–16.
doi:10.1017/S1380203807002127

331.

Thomas, Julian, Jorge, Vítor Oliveira, Theoretical Archaeology Group (England). *Overcoming the modern invention of material culture: proceedings of the TAG session, Exeter 2006*. Journal of Iberian archaeology. Porto: ADECAP; 2007.

332.

Knappett C. Photographs, Skeuomorphs and Marionettes: Some Thoughts on Mind, Agency and Object. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2002 Mar 1;7(1):97–117.
doi:10.1177/1359183502007001307

333.

Knappett, Carl. Thinking through material culture: an interdisciplinary perspective [Internet]. *Archaeology, culture, and society*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 2005. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt3fhh6q>

334.

Knappett C. Materiality. In: *Archaeological theory today*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Polity; 2012. p. 188–207.

335.

Knappett, Carl, Malafouris, Lambros. *Material agency: towards a non-anthropocentric approach*. New York: Springer; 2008.

336.

Layton R. Structuralism and semiotics. In: *Handbook of material culture* [Internet]. London: SAGE; 2006. p. 29–42. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9781446206430>

337.

Meskell, Lynn. *Object worlds in ancient Egypt: material biographies past and present. Materializing culture*. Oxford: Berg; 2004.

338.

Meskell, Lynn. *Archaeologies of materiality*. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2006.

339.

Miller D. Artefacts and the meaning of things. In: Companion encyclopedia of anthropology [Internet]. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 396–419. Available from: https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/permalink/f/1klfcc3/TN_cdi_proquest_ebookcentral_EBC169490

340.

Miller, Daniel. Materiality. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press; 2005.
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822386711?locatt=mode:legacy>

341.

O'Brien MJ. Style, function, transmission: an introduction. In: Style, function, transmission: evolutionary archaeological perspectives. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 2003. p. 1–32.

342.

Olsen B. Material culture after text: re-membering things. Norwegian Archaeological Review. 2003 Oct;36(2):87–104. doi:10.1080/00293650310000650

343.

Olsen B. Archaeology: the discipline of things. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2012.

344.

Preucel R, Bauer A. Archaeological Pragmatics. Norwegian Archaeological Review. 2001;34(2):85–96. doi:10.1080/00293650127469

345.

Preucel, Robert W. Archaeological semiotics. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell; 2006.

346.

Sørensen M.L.S. Reading Dress: The Construction of Social Categories and Identities in Bronze Age Europe. *Journal of European Archaeology*. 1997;5(1):93–114.
doi:10.1179/096576697800703656

347.

Taylor T. Materiality. In: *Handbook of archaeological theories* [Internet]. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press; 2008. p. 297–320. Available from:
<https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

348.

Thomas J. Culture and identity. In: *Companion encyclopedia of archaeology*. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 431–69.

349.

Thomas, Julian. *Archaeology and modernity*. London: Routledge; 2004.

350.

Bentley, R. Alexander, Maschner, Herbert D. G., Chippindale, Christopher. *Handbook of archaeological theories* [Internet]. Lanham, Md: AltaMira Press; 2008. Available from:
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780759113602>

351.

Steven Mithen. Understanding Mind and Culture: Evolutionary Psychology or Social Anthropology? *Anthropology Today* [Internet]. 1995;11(6):3–7. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2783517?origin=crossref>

352.

Shennan, Stephen. *Genes, memes and human history: Darwinian archaeology and cultural evolution*. London: Thames & Hudson; 2002.

353.

Bettinger RL. Hunter-gatherers: archaeological and evolutionary theory. Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology. New York: Plenum Press; 1991.

354.

Boone JL, Smith EA. Is It Evolution Yet? A Critique of Evolutionary Archaeology. *Current Anthropology*. 1998 Jun;39(S1):S141–74. doi:10.1086/204693

355.

Cochrane, Ethan E., Gardner, Andrew. Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast; 2011.

356.

Currie TE, Mace R. Mode and tempo in the evolution of socio-political organization: reconciling 'Darwinian' and 'Spencerian' evolutionary approaches in anthropology. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2011 Feb 28;366(1567):1108–17. doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0318

357.

Kristiansen K. Genes versus agents. A discussion of the widening theoretical gap in archaeology. *Archaeological Dialogues*. 2004 Dec;11(2):77–99. doi:10.1017/S1380203805211509

358.

Mithen SJ. The prehistory of the mind: a search for the origins of art, religion and science. London: Thames & Hudson; 1996.

359.

Mithen SJ. Thoughtful foragers: a study of prehistoric decision making. New studies in archaeology. Cambridge [England]: Cambridge University Press; 1990.

360.

Richerson, Peter J., Boyd, Robert. Not by genes alone: how culture transformed human evolution. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2005.

361.

Rowley-Conwy P, Layton R, Panter-Brick C. Hunter-gatherers: an interdisciplinary perspective. The Biosocial Society symposium series. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001.

362.

Winterhalder B, Kennett DJ, Grote MN, Bartruff J. Ideal free settlement of California's Northern Channel Islands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2010 Dec;29(4):469-90. doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2010.07.001

363.

Alex Mesoudi, Andrew Whiten and Kevin N. Laland. Perspective: Is Human Cultural Evolution Darwinian? Evidence Reviewed from the Perspective of 'The Origin of Species'. *Evolution* [Internet]. 2004;58(1):1-11. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3449291>

364.

Shennan S. Descent with modification and the archaeological record. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2011 Apr 12;366(1567):1070-9. doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0380

365.

Shennan S. Evolution in Archaeology. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 2008 Oct;37(1):75-91. doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.37.081407.085153

366.

Andersson C. Paleolithic Punctuations and Equilibria: Did Retention Rather Than Invention Limit Technological Evolution? *PaleoAnthropology* [Internet]. 2011;243–59. Available from: <http://www.paleoanthro.org/journal/volumes/2011/>

367.

Aunger, Robert. *Darwinizing culture: the status of memetics as a science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2000.

368.

R. Alexander Bentley, Matthew W. Hahn and Stephen J. Shennan. Random Drift and Culture Change. *Proceedings: Biological Sciences* [Internet]. 2004;271(1547):1443–50. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4142981>

369.

Maschner, Herbert D. G., Chippindale, Christopher, Bentley, R. Alexander. *Handbook of archaeological theories*. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press; 2008.

370.

Boyd, Robert, Richerson, Peter J. *Culture and the evolutionary process*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1985.

371.

Mace, Ruth, Holden, Clare Janaki, Shennan, Stephen. *The evolution of cultural diversity: a phylogenetic approach*. London: UCL Press; 2005.

372.

Coward F, Shennan S, Colledge S, Conolly J, Collard M. The spread of Neolithic plant economies from the Near East to northwest Europe: a phylogenetic analysis. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2008 Jan;35(1):42–56. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2007.02.022

373.

Lipo, Carl P., Society for American Archaeology. Mapping our ancestors: phylogenetic approaches in anthropology and prehistory. New Brunswick, N.J.: Aldine Transaction; 2006.

374.

Shennan, Stephen. Pattern and process in cultural evolution. Origins of human behavior and culture. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2009.

375.

Lyman RL, O'Brien MJ. Measuring and Explaining Change in Artifact Variation with Clade-Diversity Diagrams. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2000 Mar;19(1):39–74. doi:10.1006/jaar.1999.0339

376.

Powell A, Shennan S, Thomas MG. Late Pleistocene Demography and the Appearance of Modern Human Behavior. *Science*. 2009 Jun 5;324(5932):1298–301. doi:10.1126/science.1170165

377.

Richerson PJ, Boyd R. Not by genes alone: how culture transformed human evolution. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2005.

378.

Shennan, Stephen. Genes, memes and human history: Darwinian archaeology and cultural evolution. London: Thames & Hudson; 2002.

379.

Dobres MA, Robb JE. Agency in archaeology: Paradigm or platitude? In: *Agency in archaeology*. London: Routledge; 2000. p. 3–17.

380.

Dornan JL. Agency and archaeology: Past, present and future directions. *Journal of archaeological method and theory*. 2002;9(4):303–29. doi:10.1023/A:1021318432161

381.

Gardner A. Agency. In: *Handbook of archaeological theories* [Internet]. Lanham, Md: AltaMira Press; 2008. p. 95–108. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

382.

Barrett JC. Agency, the duality of structure and the problem of the archaeological record. In: *Archaeological theory today*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Polity; 2012. p. 141–64.

383.

Brumfiel EM. On the archaeology of choice: agency studies as a research strategem. In: *Agency in archaeology*. London: Routledge; 2000. p. 249–55.

384.

Cornell P, Fahlander F. Microarchaeology, materiality and social practice. *Current Swedish Archaeology*. 2002;10:21–38.

385.

Dobres, Marcia-Anne. *Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology*. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers; 2000.

386.

Englehardt J. *Agency in ancient writing*. Boulder: University Press of Colorado; 2013.

387.

Fewster KJ. The Role of Agency and Material Culture in Remembering and Forgetting: An Ethnoarchaeological Case Study from Central Spain. *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology*.

2006 Jun 30;20(1). doi:10.1558//jmea.2007.v20i1.89

388.

Flannery KV. Process and Agency in Early State Formation. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*. 1999;9(1):3–21. doi:10.1017/S0959774300015183

389.

Gardner, Andrew. An archaeology of identity: soldiers and society in late Roman Britain [Internet]. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007. Available from: <https://www-taylorfrancis-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/books/mono/10.4324/9781315435091/archaeology-identity-andrew-gardner>

390.

Gardner A. Action and structure in interpretive archaeologies. In: *Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue*. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast; 2011. p. 63–82.

391.

Graves-Brown P. In search of the watchmaker: attribution of agency in natural and cultural selection. In: *Darwinian archaeologies*. London: Plenum Press; 1996. p. 165–81.

392.

Johnson MH. Conceptions of agency in archaeological interpretation. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1989 Jun;8(2):189–211. doi:10.1016/0278-4165(89)90024-X

393.

Knapp AB, van Dommelen P. Past Practices: Rethinking Individuals and Agents in Archaeology. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*. 2008 Feb 15;18(01):15–34. doi:10.1017/S0959774308000024

394.

Kent G. Lightfoot, Antoinette Martinez and Ann M. Schiff. Daily Practice and Material Culture in Pluralistic Social Settings: An Archaeological Study of Culture Change and Persistence from Fort Ross, California. *American Antiquity*. 1998;63(2):199–222.

395.

MacGregor G. Post-processual archaeology: the hidden agenda of the secret agent. In: *Archaeological theory: progress or posture?* Aldershot: Avebury; 1994. p. 79–91.

396.

Bentley RA, Maschner HDG, Chippindale C. R. McGuire. In: *Handbook of archaeological theories* [Internet]. Lanham, Md: AltaMira Press; 2008. p. 73–93. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

397.

Meskell, Lynn, Joyce, Rosemary A. *Embodied lives: figuring ancient Maya and Egyptian experience*. London: Routledge; 2003.

398.

Meskell, Lynn. *Archaeologies of social life: age, sex, class et cetera in ancient Egypt*. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell; 1999.

399.

Miller D, Tilley CY. Ideology, power and prehistory: An introduction. In: *Ideology, power and prehistory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1984. p. 1–15.

400.

Pauketat TR. Practice and history in archaeology: An emerging paradigm. *Anthropological Theory*. 2001 Mar 1;1(1):73–98. doi:10.1177/146349960100100105

401.

Patterson T. Social archaeology and Marxist social thought. In: A companion to social archaeology [Internet]. Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd; 2004. p. 66–81. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

402.

Shanks M, Tilley CY. The individual and the social. In: Social theory and archaeology. Cambridge: Polity in association with Blackwell; 1987. p. 61–78.

403.

Shennan SJ. An evolutionary perspective on the goals of archaeology. In: Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast; 2011. p. 325–44.

404.

Thomas J. Humanism and the individual: chapter 6. In: Archaeology and modernity. London: Routledge; 2004. p. 119–48.

405.

Strauss C. Blaming for Columbine. *Current Anthropology*. 2007 Dec;48(6):807–32. doi:10.1086/520975

406.

Bruce G. Trigger. Marxism in Contemporary Western Archaeology. *Archaeological Method and Theory*. 1993;5:159–200.

407.

VanPool TL, VanPool CS. Agency and evolution: the role of intended and unintended consequences of action. In: Essential tensions in archaeological method and theory. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 2003. p. 89–113.

408.

Brück J. Monuments, power and personhood in the British Neolithic. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. 2001 Dec;7(4):649–67. doi:10.1111/1467-9655.00082

409.

Fowler C. Personhood and Social Relations in the British Neolithic with a Study from the Isle of Man. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2001 Jul 1;6(2):137–63. doi:10.1177/135918350100600202

410.

Jones S. Discourses of identity in the interpretation of the past. In: *Interpretive archaeology: a reader*. London: Leicester University Press; 2000. p. 445–57.

411.

Joyce RA. Archaeology of the Body. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 2005 Oct;34(1):139–58. doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.33.070203.143729

412.

Carrithers M, Collins S, Lukes S, editors. *The category of the person: anthropology, philosophy, history*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1985.

413.

Casella, Eleanor Conlin, Fowler, Chris. *The archaeology of plural and changing identities: beyond identification*. London: Kluwer Academic/Plenum; 2005.

414.

Díaz-Andreu García, Margarita. *The archaeology of identity: approaches to gender, age, status, ethnicity and religion*. London: Routledge; 2005.

415.

Geoff Emberling. Ethnicity in Complex Societies: Archaeological Perspectives. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 1997;5(4):295–344.

416.

Fowler, Chris. *The archaeology of personhood: an anthropological approach*. Themes in archaeology. London: Routledge; 2004.

417.

Fowler C. The individual, the subject and archaeological interpretation: reading Luce Irigaray and Judith Butler. In: *Philosophy and archaeological practice: perspectives for the 21st century*. Göteborg: Bricoleur Press; 2000. p. 107–22.

418.

Gardner, Andrew. *An archaeology of identity: soldiers and society in late Roman Britain* [Internet]. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007. Available from: <https://www-taylorfrancis-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/books/mono/10.4324/9781315435091/archaeology-identity-andrew-gardner>

419.

Hales, Shelley, Hodos, Tamar. *Material culture and social identities in the ancient world*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.

420.

Jones, S. *The archaeology of ethnicity: constructing identities in the past and present*. London: Routledge; 1997.

421.

Jones S. Ethnicity: theoretical approaches, methodological implications. In: *Handbook of archaeological theories*. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press; 2008. p. 321–33.

422.

Knapp AB, Meskell L. Bodies of Evidence on Prehistoric Cyprus. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*. 1997;7(02):183–204. doi:10.1017/S0959774300001931

423.

Meskell, Lynn. *Archaeologies of social life: age, sex, class et cetera in ancient Egypt*. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell; 1999.

424.

Meskell, Lynn, Joyce, Rosemary A. *Embodied lives: figuring ancient Maya and Egyptian experience*. London: Routledge; 2003.

425.

Meskell L. Archaeologies of identity. In: *Archaeological theory today*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Polity; 2012. p. 187–213.

426.

Pluciennik, Mark, Tarlow, Sarah, Hamilakis, Yannis. *Thinking through the body: archaeologies of corporeality*. London: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2002.

427.

Rautman, Alison E., *Gender and Archaeology Conference*. *Reading the body: representations and remains in the archaeological record*. Regendering the past. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 2000.

428.

Ross, Jennifer C., Steadman, Sharon R. *Agency and identity in the ancient Near East: new paths forward*. *Approaches to anthropological archaeology*. London: Equinox; 2010.

429.

Smith, Stuart Tyson. *Wretched Kush: ethnic identities and boundaries in Egypt's Nubian empire*. London: Routledge; 2003.

430.

Sofaer, Joanna R. *The body as material culture: a theoretical osteoarchaeology* [Internet]. *Topics in contemporary archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511816666>

431.

Stark, Miriam T. *The archaeology of social boundaries*. *Smithsonian series in archaeological inquiry*. London: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1998.

432.

Thomas J. *Culture and identity*. In: *Companion encyclopedia of archaeology*. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 431–69.

433.

Thomas J. Chapter 6. In: *Archaeology and modernity*. London: Routledge; 2004.

434.

Whitehouse R. *Cultural and biological approaches to the body in archaeology: can they be reconciled?* . In: *Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue*. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast; 2011. p. 227–44.

435.

Whitley J. *Homer's Entangled Objects: Narrative, Agency and Personhood In and Out of Iron Age Texts*. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*. 2013 Oct;23(03):395–416. doi:10.1017/S095977431300053X

436.

Wilkinson D. The Emperor's New Body: Personhood, Ontology and the Inka Sovereign. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*. 2013 Oct;23(03):417–32.
doi:10.1017/S0959774313000541

437.

Yates T. Frameworks for an archaeology of the body. In: *Interpretative archaeology*. New York: Berg; 1992. p. 31–72.

438.

Conkey MW, Gero JM. Programme to Practice: Gender and Feminism in Archaeology. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 1997 Oct 21;26(1):411–37.
doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.26.1.411

439.

Whitehouse R. Feminism and archaeology: An awkward relationship. *Papers from the Institute of Archaeology*. 1998;9:1–7.

440.

Wylie A. The interplay of evidential constraints and political interests: Recent archaeological research on gender. *American Antiquity*. 1992;57(1):15–35.

441.

Carr, Lydia. *Tessa Verney Wheeler: women and archaeology before World War Two*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012. doi:10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780199640225.001.0001

442.

Margaret W. Conkey. Questioning Theory: Is There a Gender of Theory in Archaeology? *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 2007;14(3):285–310.

443.

Conkey MW, Spector JD. Archaeology and the Study of Gender. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory*. 1984;7:1–38.

444.

Dowson TA. Homosexuality, queer theory and archaeology. In: *Interpretive archaeology: a reader*. London: Leicester University Press; 2000. p. 283–9.

445.

Engelstad E. Images of power and contradiction: Feminist theory and post-processual archaeology. *Antiquity*. 1991;65(248):502–14.

446.

Geller PL. Identity and Difference: Complicating Gender in Archaeology. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 2009 Oct;38(1):65–81. doi:10.1146/annurev-anthro-091908-164414

447.

Gilchrist R. Archaeology and the life course: a time and age for gender. In: *A companion to social archaeology* [Internet]. Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd; 2004. p. 142–60. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

448.

Gilchrist R. Women's archaeology? Political feminism, gender theory and historical revision. *Antiquity*. 1991;65(248):495–501.

449.

Hamilton S, Whitehouse R, Wright KI. Introduction and section 1. In: *Archaeology and women: ancient & modern issues*. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007. p. 13–40.

450.

Hastorf C. Gender, space and food in prehistory. In: *Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory*. Oxford: Blackwell; 1991. p. 132–59.

451.

Hays-Gilpin K. Gender. In: *Handbook of archaeological theories* [Internet]. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press; 2008. p. 335–49. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

452.

Hill E. Gender-informed archaeology: The priority of definition, the use of analogy, and the multivariate approach. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 1998 Mar;5(1):99–128. doi:10.1007/BF02428417

453.

Joyce R. Embodied subjectivity: gender, femininity, masculinity, sexuality. In: *A companion to social archaeology* [Internet]. Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd; 2004. p. 82–95. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

454.

Moore HL. Bodies on the move: gender, power and material culture. In: *A passion for difference: essays in anthropology and gender*. Cambridge: Polity; 1994. p. 71–85.

455.

Nelson, Sarah M. *Handbook of gender in archaeology*. Gender and archaeology series. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press; 2006.

456.

Pope R. Processual archaeology and gender politics. The loss of innocence. *Archaeological Dialogues*. 2011;18(1):59–86. doi:10.1017/S1380203811000134

457.

Pope R. Processual archaeology and gender politics. The loss of innocence. *Archaeological Dialogues*. 2011 Apr 21;18(01):59–86. doi:10.1017/S1380203811000134

458.

Rega E. Age, gender and biological reality in the Early Bronze Age cemetery at Mokrin. In: *Invisible people and processes: writing gender and childhood into European archaeology*. London: Leicester University Press; 1996. p. 229–47.

459.

Sørensen, Marie Louise Stig. *Gender archaeology* [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2000. Available from:
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=575200&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

460.

Spector J. What this awl means: towards a feminist archaeology. In: *Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory*. Oxford: Blackwell; 1991. p. 388–406.

461.

Treherne P. The warrior's beauty: the masculine body and self-identity in Bronze Age Europe. *Journal of European archaeology: journal of the European Association of Archaeologists*. 1995;3(1):105–44.

462.

Tringham R. Engendered places in prehistory. In: *Interpretive archaeology: a reader*. London: Leicester University Press; 2000. p. 329–57.

463.

Wylie A. Gender theory and the archaeological record: Why is there no archaeology of gender? In: *Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory*. Oxford: Blackwell; 1991. p. 31–54.

464.

Alison Wylie. Doing Archaeology as a Feminist: Introduction. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 2007;14(3):209–16.

465.

Kohl PL. Nationalism and archaeology: On the Constructions of Nations and the Reconstructions of the Remote Past. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 1998 Oct 21;27(1):223–46. doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.27.1.223

466.

Tilley CY. Archaeology as socio-political action in the present. In: *Critical traditions in contemporary archaeology: essays in the philosophy, history and socio-politics of archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1989. p. 104–16.

467.

Trigger BG. Alternative archaeologies: Nationalist, colonialist, imperialist. *Man*. 1984;19(3):355–70.

468.

Arnold B. The past as propaganda: Totalitarian archaeology in Nazi Germany. *Antiquity*. 1990;64(244):464–78.

469.

Banks, Iain, O'Sullivan, Jerry, Atkinson, John A., *Scottish Archaeological Forum. Nationalism and archaeology: Scottish Archaeological Forum*. Glasgow: Cruithne Press; 1996.

470.

Reinhard Bernbeck and Susan Pollock. Ayodhya, Archaeology, and Identity. *Current Anthropology*. 1996;37(1):S138–42.

471.

Bernbeck R, Pollock S. The political economy of archaeological practice and the production of heritage in the Middle East. In: A companion to social archaeology [Internet]. Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd; 2004. p. 335–52. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

472.

Colwell-Chanthaphonh C. Archaeology and indigenous collaboration. In: Archaeological theory today. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Polity; 2012. p. 267–91.

473.

Fagan, Garrett G. Archaeological fantasies: how pseudoarchaeology misrepresents the past and misleads the public. London: Routledge; 2006.

474.

Fowler D. Archaeological ethics in context and practice. In: Handbook of archaeological theories [Internet]. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press; 2008. p. 409–22. Available from: <https://www.vlebooks.com/Product/Index/156042?page=0&startBookmarkId=-1>

475.

Gosden C. Postcolonial archaeology. Issues of culture, identity and knowledge. In: Archaeological theory today. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Polity; 2012. p. 241–61.

476.

Gosden C. The past and foreign countries: colonial and post-colonial archaeology and anthropology. In: A companion to social archaeology [Internet]. Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd; 2004. p. 161–78. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

477.

James, Simon. The Atlantic Celts: ancient people or modern invention? London: British Museum Press; 1999.

478.

Kohl PL, Fawcett C. Archaeology in the service of the state: theoretical considerations. In: Nationalism, politics, and the practice of archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1995. p. 3–18.

479.

Kohl PL. Nationalism and Archaeology: On the Constructions of Nations and the Reconstructions of the Remote Past. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 1998 Oct 21;27(1):223–46. doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.27.1.223

480.

Layton, Robert. Who needs the past?: indigenous values and archaeology. One world archaeology. London: Routledge; 1994.

481.

Leone et al. M. Can an African-American historical archaeology be an alternative voice? In: *Interpreting archaeology: finding meaning in the past*. London: Routledge; 1994. p. 110–24.

482.

Leone, Mark P. The archaeology of liberty in an American capital: excavations in Annapolis [Internet]. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2005. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1525/j.ctt1ppc5g>

483.

Leone M, Preucel R. Archaeology in a democratic society: a critical perspective. In: *Quandaries and quests: visions of archaeology's future*. [Carbondale, Ill.]: Center for Archaeological Investigations, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale; 1992. p. 115–35.

484.

McGuire R. Contested pasts: archaeology and Native Americans. In: A companion to social archaeology. Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd; 2004. p. 374–95.

485.

McGuire, Randall H. Archaeology as political action. California series in public anthropology. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2008.

486.

Meskell, Lynn. Archaeology under fire: nationalism, politics and heritage in the Eastern Mediterranean and Middle East. London: Routledge; 1998.

487.

Meskell L. The Intersections of Identity and Politics in Archaeology. Annual Review of Anthropology. 2002 Oct;31(1):279–301. doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.31.040402.085457

488.

Murray T. Communication and the importance of disciplinary communities: who owns the past? In: Archaeological theory: who sets the agenda? Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993. p. 105–16.

489.

Pearson MP, Schadla-Hall T, Moshenska G. Resolving the Human Remains Crisis in British Archaeology. Papers from the Institute of Archaeology. 2011;21:5–9. doi:10.5334/pia.369

490.

Ratnagar S. Archaeology at the Heart of a Political Confrontation: The Case of Ayodhya. Current Anthropology. 2004 Apr;45(2):239–59. doi:10.1086/381044

491.

Reid, Donald M. *Whose pharaohs?: archaeology, museums, and Egyptian national identity from Napoleon to World War I*. Berkeley, Calif: University of California Press; 2002.

492.

Saitta, Dean J. *The archaeology of collective action. The American experience in archaeological perspective*. Gainesville: University Press of Florida; 2007.

493.

Smith, Laurajane. *Archaeological theory and the politics of cultural heritage*. London: Routledge; 2004.

494.

Stottman, M. Jay. *Archaeologists as activists: can archaeologists change the world?* Tuscaloosa: University of Alabama Press; 2010.

495.

Tarlow S, Stutz LN. Can an archaeologist be a public intellectual? *Archaeological Dialogues*. 2013 Jun;20(01):1–5. doi:10.1017/S1380203813000032

496.

Thomas, David Hurst. *Skull wars: Kennewick man, archaeology, and the battle for Native American identity*. New York, N.Y.: Basic Books; 2000.

497.

Trigger BG. Archaeology and the image of the American Indian. *American Antiquity*. 1980;45(4):662–76.

498.

Wylie A. The interplay of evidential constraints and political interests: Recent archaeological research on gender. *American Antiquity*. 1992;57(1):15–35.

499.

Wylie A. Alternative histories. Epistemic disunity and political integrity. In: Making alternative histories: the practice of archaeology and history in non-Western settings. Santa Fe, N.M: School of American Research Press; 1995. p. 255–72.

500.

Zimmerman, Larry J., Vitelli, Karen D., Hollowell-Zimmer, Julie. Ethical issues in archaeology. Walnut Creek, CA: Altamira Press; 2003.

501.

Clarke DL. Archaeology: the loss of innocence. *Antiquity*. 1973;47(185):6–18.

502.

Ian Hodder. Postprocessual Archaeology. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory*. 1985;8:1–26.

503.

Tilley C. Round Barrows and Dykes as Landscape Metaphors. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*. 2004 Oct;14(2):185–203. doi:10.1017/S0959774304000125

504.

Fisher P, Farrelly C, Maddocks A, Ruggles C. Spatial Analysis of Visible Areas from the Bronze Age Cairns of Mull. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1997 Jul;24(7):581–92. doi:10.1006/jasc.1996.0142

505.

Flannery KV. Process and Agency in Early State Formation. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*. 1999;9(1):3–21. doi:10.1017/S0959774300015183

506.

Joyce RA. Unintended Consequences? Monumentality as a Novel Experience in Formative Mesoamerica. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 2004;11(1):5-29.

507.

Megaw JVS, Megaw MR. Ancient Celts and Modern Ethnicity. *Antiquity*. 1996;70(267):175-81.

508.

James S. Celts, politics and motivation in archaeology. *Antiquity*. 1998;72(275):200-9.

509.

VanPool CS, VanPool TL. The scientific nature of post-processualism. *American Antiquity*. 1999;64(1):33-53.

510.

Arnold III PJ, Wilkens BS. On the VanPools' 'scientific' post-processualism. *American Antiquity*. 2001;66(2):361-6.

511.

Hutson SR. Synergy through disunity, science as social practice: Comments on VanPool and VanPool. *American Antiquity*. 2001;66(2):349-60.

512.

VanPool TL, VanPool CS. Postprocessualism and the nature of science: A response to comments by Hutson and Arnold and Wilkens. *American Antiquity*. 2001;66(2):367-75.

513.

Hodder I. 'Always momentary, fluid and flexible': Towards a reflexive excavation

methodology. *Antiquity*. 1997;71(273):691–700.

514.

Hassan F. Beyond the surface: Comments on Hodder's reflexive excavation methodology. *Antiquity*. 1997;71(274):1020–5.

515.

Hodder I. Whose rationality? A response to Fekri Hassan. *Antiquity*. 1998;72(275):213–7.

516.

Bamforth DB. Evidence and metaphor in evolutionary archaeology. *American Antiquity*. 2002;67(3):435–52.

517.

O'Brien MJ, Lyman RL, Leonard RD. What is evolution? A response to Bamforth. *American Antiquity*. 2003;68(3):573–80.

518.

Bamforth DB. What is archaeology? (Or confusion, sound and fury, signifying....). *American Antiquity*. 2003;68(3):581–4.