

LAWS0013: Tort Law: Paul Mitchell

[View Online](#)

1.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. Tort law: text and materials. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

2.

Matthews, M. H., Morgan, Jonathan, O'Cinneide, Colm, Howarth, David, Hepple, B. A. Hepple and Matthews' tort: cases and materials. 6th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.

3.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. Tort law: text and materials. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

4.

Williams K. State of fear: Britain's 'compensation culture' reviewed. Legal Studies. 2005;25(3):499-514. doi:10.1111/j.1748-121X.2005.tb00680.x

5.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. Tort law: text and materials. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

6.

Nolan D. Negligence and Human Rights Law: The Case for Separate Development. The

Modern Law Review. 2013;76(2):286–318. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.12013

7.

Nolan D. New Forms of Damage in Negligence. Modern Law Review. 2007;70(1):59–88. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2006.00626.x

8.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. Tort law: text and materials. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

9.

Handford P. Psychiatric injury in breach of a relationship. Legal Studies. 2007;27(1):26–50. doi:10.1111/j.1748-121X.2006.00034.x

10.

Jones MA. Liability for Psychiatric Damage: Searching for a Path between Pragmatism and Principle. In: Emerging issues in tort law. Oxford: Hart; 2007. p. 113–39.

11.

Mulheron R. Rewriting the Requirement for a 'Recognized Psychiatric Injury' in Negligence Claims. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 2012;32(1):77–112. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqr033

12.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. Tort law: text and materials. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

13.

Williams K. Medical Samaritans: Is There A Duty To Treat? Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 2001;21(3):393–413. doi:10.1093/ojls/21.3.393

14.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. Tort law: text and materials. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

15.

Donal Nolan. The liability of public authorities for failing to confer benefits. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 2011;(127(Apr)):260-87. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/ID2CF6DB24C5711E0BA44AAE16F4FBAD9/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

16.

Bailey S. Public authority liability in negligence: the continued search for coherence. Legal Studies. 2006;26(2):155-84. doi:10.1111/j.1748-121X.2006.00017.x

17.

Bagshaw R. Monetary remedies in public law - misdiagnosis and misprescription. Legal Studies. 2006;26(1):4-25. doi:10.1111/j.1748-121X.2006.00007.x

18.

Carol Harlow. Rationalising administrative compensation. Public Law [Internet]. 2010;(Apr):321-39. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/IABE5FF922E5111DF8531FCD2D95796F0/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

19.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. Chapter 8. In: Tort law: text and materials. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

20.

Jane Stapleton. The gist of negligence: Part 1 Minimal actionable damage. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 1988;104(Apr):213-38. Available from:

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=ICF8F8F40E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

21.

Robin Cooke. An impossible distinction. *Law Quarterly Review* [Internet]. 1991;107(Jan):46–70. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I6FC02830E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

22.

Mitchell P. *Hedley Byrne & Co Ltd v Heller & Partners Ltd* (1963). In: *Landmark cases in the law of tort* [Internet]. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2010. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781847315670>

23.

Paul Mitchell. Negligence liability for pure economic loss. *Law Quarterly Review* [Internet]. 2005;121(Apr):194–200. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I6EBB3B50E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

24.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. *Tort law: text and materials*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

25.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. *Tort law: text and materials*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

26.

Lee M. Safety, Regulation and Tort: Fault in Context. *The Modern Law Review*. 2011;74(4):555–80. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2011.00860.x

27.

Cane, Peter, Atiyah, P. S. *Atiyah's accidents, compensation and the law*. 8th ed. Law in context. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013.

28.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. *Tort law: text and materials*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

29.

Donoghue v Stevenson [1932] UKHL 100 (26 May 1932) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/1932/100.html>

30.

Mark Mildred. Pitfalls in product liability. *Journal of Personal Injury Law* [Internet]. 2007;(2):141–9. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I1B309C0013AE11DCB3FBD1EC468BF60E/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

31.

Hodges C. Development Risks: Unanswered Questions. *Modern Law Review*. 1998;61(4):560–70. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.00163

32.

David Body. Product liability claims under the Consumer Protection Act 1987: some practical problems. *Journal of Personal Injury Law* [Internet]. 2012;(2):79–89. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I4CE87330AB9311E1968A9CE73BAEB353/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

33.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. Tort law: text and materials. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

34.

Donal Nolan. The distinctiveness of Rylands v Fletcher. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 2005;121(Jul):421–51. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I6EBDFA71E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

35.

Maria Lee. What is private nuisance? Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 2003;119(Apr):298–325. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I6ED5EF40E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

36.

Maria Lee. Tort law and regulation: planning and nuisance. Journal of Planning & Environment Law [Internet]. 2011;(8):986–93. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/ID8BC82D0A6B111E0B621ADFE60BF8558/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

37.

Maria Lee. Nuisance and regulation in the Court of Appeal. Journal of Planning & Environment Law [Internet]. 2013;(3):277–84. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I319EFFE16CF411E2A0C9A7525843D89C/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

38.

Lunney M, Oliphant K. Chapter 13. In: Tort law: text and materials. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford

University Press; 2013.

39.

Defamation Act 2013 [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2013/26/contents>

40.

Howarth D. Libel: Its Purpose and Reform. *The Modern Law Review*. 2011;74(6):845–77.
doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2011.00875.x

41.

Mitchell, Paul. *The making of the modern law of defamation*. Oxford: Hart; 2005.

42.

Mitchell P. The Foundations of Australian Defamation Law. *Sydney Law Review* [Internet]. 2006;28. Available from:
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/sydney28&id=471&collection=journals&index=journals/sydney>

43.

Patfield F. Defamation, freedom of speech and corporations. *Juridical review: the law journal of the Scottish universities*. 1993;294–308.

44.

Ian Loveland, Andrew Sharland. The Defamation Act 1996 and political libels. *Public Law* [Internet]. 1997;(Spr):113–24. Available from:
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/IE2A85B10E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

45.

Williams K. 'Only Flattery is Safe': Political Speech and the Defamation Act 1996. *Modern Law Review*. 1997;60(3):388–93. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.00087

46.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. *Tort law: text and materials*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

47.

The Author of A Blog v Times Newspapers Ltd [2009] EWHC 1358 (QB) (16 June 2009) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/QB/2009/1358.html>

48.

Ferdinand v MGN Ltd [2011] EWHC 2454 (QB) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/QB/2011/2454.html>

49.

N.A. Moreham. Privacy in the common law: a doctrinal and theoretical analysis. *Law Quarterly Review* [Internet]. 2005;(121(Oct)):628–56. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I6EBBFEA0E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

50.

Barendt E. Balancing Freedom of Expression and Privacy: The Jurisprudence of the Strasbourg Court. *Journal of Media Law* [Internet]. 2009;1(1):49–72. Available from: <http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/hart/jml/2009/00000001/00000001/art00006>

51.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. *Tort law: text and materials*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

52.

Ashley v Chief Constable of Sussex Police [2008] UKHL 25 (23 April 2008) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/2008/25.html>

53.

Finnis J. Intention in Tort Law. In: Owen DG, editor. Philosophical foundations of tort law [Internet]. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1997. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198265795.001.0001/acprof-9780198265795-chapter-11>

54.

Beever A. Transferred malice in tort law? Legal Studies. 2009;29(3):400–20. doi:10.1111/j.1748-121X.2009.00128.x

55.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. Tort law: text and materials. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

56.

Hoffmann, Lord. Chapter 8: Fairchild and after. In: Burrows A, Johnston D, Zimmermann R, editors. Judge and jurist : essays in memory of Lord Rodger of Earlsferry [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013. p. 63–70. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=5a5f1cd2-4d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

57.

Jane Stapleton. Cause in fact and the scope of liability for consequences. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 2003;(119(Jul)):388–425. Available from: <https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I6ECCC780E71311DA915EF37CAC72F838/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

58.

Laleng P. Sienkiewicz v Greif (UK) Ltd and Willmore v Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council: A Material Contribution to Uncertainty? The Modern Law Review [Internet].

2011;74(5):777-93. Available from:
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1468-2230.2011.00871.x/abstract>

59.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. Tort law: text and materials. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

60.

Goudkamp J. The defence of illegality: Gray v Thames Trains Ltd. Torts Law Journal [Internet]. 2009;17. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X0P-2JS0-Y930-N2MP&csi=267873&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

61.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. Tort law: text and materials. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

62.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. Tort law: text and materials. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

63.

Neyers, J. W. Theory of Vicarious Liability, A. Alberta Law Review [Internet]. 2005;43. Available from:
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/alblr43&id=295&collection=journals&index=journals/alblr#295>

64.

JGE v The Portsmouth Roman Catholic Diocesan Trust [2012] EWCA Civ 938 (12 July 2012) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2012/938.html>

65.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. Tort law: text and materials. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

66.

Chandler v Cape Plc [2012] EWCA Civ 525 (25 April 2012) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2012/525.html>

67.

Woodland v Essex County Council [2012] EWCA Civ 239 (09 March 2012) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2012/239.html>

68.

Lunney, Mark, Oliphant, Ken. Tort law: text and materials. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

69.

Beever A. The Structure of Aggravated and Exemplary Damages. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 2003;23(1):87–110. doi:10.1093/ojls/23.1.87

70.

Tettenborn A. What is a Loss? In: Emerging issues in tort law. Oxford: Hart; 2007. p. 441–66.

71.

Murphy J. Rethinking Injunctions in Tort Law. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 2007;27(3):509–35. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqm004

72.

Edelman J. In Defence of Exemplary Damages. In: Justifying private law remedies. Oxford: Hart; 2008. p. 221–46.