

# SECUGC54: Doing Research in Security Science

View Online



- 
1.  
What makes good research, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NLMNL08WOoo>, (23) AD.
  
  2.  
Maxfield, M.G., Babbie, E.R.: Basics of research methods for criminal justice and criminology. Cengage Learning, Australia (2016).
  
  3.  
Haworth, B., Bruce, E., Iveson, K.: Spatio-temporal analysis of graffiti occurrence in an inner-city urban environment. Applied Geography. 38, 53–63 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2012.10.002>.
  
  4.  
Taylor, M., Marais, I.: Does Urban Art Deter Graffiti Proliferation?,  
<http://www.britsoccrim.org/volume9/wholedoc09.pdf#page=61>.
  
  5.  
Graffiti- A crime or art?, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JvNkuCZuYdA>, (2012).
  
  6.  
Braga, A.: Understanding the Nature of Urban Gun Violence Problems,  
[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x2FDd\\_5uro8&feature=youtu.be](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x2FDd_5uro8&feature=youtu.be), (28) AD.

7.

Ekblom, P., Hirschfield, A.: Developing an alternative formulation of SCP principles – the Ds (11 and counting). *Crime Science*. 3, (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40163-014-0002-5>.

8.

Sokal, A.: Alan Sokal: Sense About Science annual lecture, <http://www.theguardian.com/science/audio/2008/mar/03/alan.sokal.podcast>.

9.

Kelling, G., Wilson, J.: Broken Windows - The Atlantic, <http://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/1982/03/broken-windows/304465/>.

10.

Maxfield, M.G., Babbie, E.R.: Basics of research methods for criminal justice and criminology. Cengage Learning, Australia (2016).

11.

Tompson, L., Belur, J.: Information retrieval in systematic reviews: a case study of the crime prevention literature. *Journal of Experimental Criminology*. (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11292-015-9243-x>.

12.

Gough, D., Oliver, S., Thomas, J.: *An Introduction to Systematic Reviews*.

13.

K. Balagopal: People's War and the Government: Did the Police Have the Last Laugh? *Economic and Political Weekly*. 38, 513–519 (2003).

14.

Gilbert, G.N.: *Researching social life*. Sage, Los Angeles (2008).

15.

Mason, J.: *Qualitative researching*. Sage, London (2002).

16.

British Crime Survey: methodology,  
<https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/british-crime-survey-methodology>.

17.

TURANOVIC, J.J., RODRIGUEZ, N., PRATT, T.C.: THE COLLATERAL CONSEQUENCES OF INCARCERATION REVISITED: A QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECTS ON CAREGIVERS OF CHILDREN OF INCARCERATED PARENTS\*. *Criminology*. 50, 913–959 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-9125.2012.00283.x>.

18.

Crime Survey for England and Wales 2011-2012,  
<https://discover.ukdataservice.ac.uk/catalogue/?sn=7252&type=Data%20catalogue#documentation>.

19.

George, R.: Tourist's perceptions of safety and security while visiting Cape Town. *Tourism Management*. 24, 575–585 (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-5177\(03\)00003-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-5177(03)00003-7).

20.

Introduction to Cognitive Bias,  
<https://lecturecast.ucl.ac.uk/ess/echo/presentation/c30accef-afbd-4553-ba34-895e99ee06ba>.

21.

Campbell, D.T., Stanley, J.C.: Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for research. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston, Mass (1966).

22.

Shadish, W.R., Cook, T.D., Campbell, D.T.: Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference. Houghton Mifflin, Boston (2002).

23.

Harré, R.: Great scientific experiments: twenty experiments that changed our view of the world. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1983).

24.

Campbell, D.T., Russo, M.J.: Social experimentation. Sage, Thousand Oaks, Calif (1999).

25.

Collins, H.M., Pinch, T.J.: The Golem: What You Should Know About Science. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

26.

Collins, H.M., Pinch, T.J.: The Golem at large: what you should know about technology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002).

27.

Collins, H.M., Pinch, T.J.: Dr. Golem: how to think about medicine. University of Chicago Press, Chicago (2005).

28.

Taylor, B., Koper, C., Woods, D.: Combating Vehicle Theft in Arizona: A Randomized Experiment With License Plate Recognition Technology. Criminal Justice Review. 37, 24–50 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734016811425858>.

29.

RATCLIFFE, J.H., TANIGUCHI, T., GROFF, E.R., WOOD, J.D.: THE PHILADELPHIA FOOT PATROL EXPERIMENT: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL OF POLICE PATROL EFFECTIVENESS IN VIOLENT CRIME HOTSPOTS\*. *Criminology*. 49, 795-831 (2011).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-9125.2011.00240.x>.

30.

Philadelphia Foot Patrol Experiment, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0NUQsK0vnnM>, (2015).

31.

The Philadelphia Foot Patrol Experiment Website,  
<http://www.cla.temple.edu/cj/center-for-security-and-crime-science/the-philadelphia-foot-patrol-experiment/>.

32.

Chainey, S.: Repeat Victimization - JDiBrief,  
[http://www.ucl.ac.uk/jdibrief/analysis/repeat\\_victimisation](http://www.ucl.ac.uk/jdibrief/analysis/repeat_victimisation).

33.

Johnson, S., Davies, T.: Space-time pattern analysis,  
<http://www.ucl.ac.uk/jdibrief/analysis/space-time-pattern-analysis>.

34.

Johnson, S.D., Summers, L., Pease, K.: Offender as Forager? A Direct Test of the Boost Account of Victimization. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*. 25, 181-200 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10940-008-9060-8>.

35.

Jupp, V., Tilley, N.: Doing realistic evaluation of criminal justice from: Doing Criminological Research. Presented at the (2000).

36.

Armitage, R.: An Evaluation of Secured by Design Housing in West Yorkshire.

37.

Cartwright, N., Hardie, J.: Evidence-based policy: a practical guide to doing it better. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

38.

Pawson, R.: Realistic evaluation bloodlines. *The American Journal of Evaluation*. 22, 317–324 (2001). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1098-2140\(01\)00141-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1098-2140(01)00141-2).

39.

Sherman, L.W., National Institute of Justice (U.S.), University of Maryland at College Park. Dept. of Criminology and Criminal Justice: Preventing crime: what works, what doesn't, what's promising. U.S. Dept. of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, [Washington, DC (1997)].

40.

Tilley, N.: Experimentation and Criminal Justice Policies in the United Kingdom. *Crime & Delinquency*. 46, 194–213 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011128700046002004>.

41.

Tilley, N.: Demonstration, Exemplification, Duplication and Replication in Evaluation Research. *Evaluation*. 2, 35–50 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1177/135638909600200104>.

42.

Knutsson, J., Tilley, N.: Evaluating crime reduction initiatives. *Criminal Justice*, Monsey, N.Y. (2009).

43.

Agent-Based Models. SAGE Publications, Inc., 2455 Teller Road, Thousand Oaks California 91320 United States of America (2008).  
<https://doi.org/10.4135/9781412983259>.

44.

Hill, J., Borrion, H., Johnson, S.: Potential uses of computer agent-based simulation modeling in the evaluation of wildlife poaching. In: Situational prevention of poaching. Routledge, London (2014).

45.

BIRKS, D., TOWNSLEY, M., STEWART, A.: GENERATIVE EXPLANATIONS OF CRIME: USING SIMULATION TO TEST CRIMINOLOGICAL THEORY\*. *Criminology*. 50, 221–254 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-9125.2011.00258.x>.

46.

NetLogo 5.3.1 User Manual, <http://ccl.northwestern.edu/netlogo/docs/>.