

ARCLG194: Themes, Thought and Theory in World Archaeology: Current Topics: Katherine Irene Wright

View Online



1.

Collard M, Shennan S. Patterns, processes and parsimony: studying cultural evolution with analytical techniques from evolutionary biology. In: Cultural transmission and material culture: breaking down boundaries [Internet]. Tucson: University of Arizona Press; 2008. p. 17–33. Available from: <http://www.sfu.ca/~mcollard/documents/Collard,%20M.%20&%20Shennan,%20S.J.%20%2082008%29.pdf>

2.

Johnson M. A visit to Down House: some interpretive comments on evolutionary archaeology. In: Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue [Internet]. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast; 2011. p. 307–24. Available from: http://eprints.soton.ac.uk/185223/1/Johnson_Darwin_Final_Feb_101.pdf

3.

Kristiansen K. Genes versus agents. A discussion of the widening theoretical gap in archaeology. *Archaeological Dialogues*. 2004 Dec;11(2):77–99.

4.

Joyce Marcus. The Archaeological Evidence for Social Evolution. *Annual Review of Anthropology* [Internet]. 37:251–66. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20622624>

5.

Shennan S. An evolutionary perspective on social agency in archaeology. In: *Agency*

uncovered: archaeological perspectives on social agency, power, and being human. London: UCL Press; 2004. p. 33–50.

6.

Stark MT, Bowser BJ, Horne L. Why breaking down boundaries matters for archaeological research on learning and cultural transmission. In: Cultural transmission and material culture: breaking down boundaries [Internet]. Tucson: University of Arizona Press; 2008. p. 1–16. Available from: http://www.anthropology.hawaii.edu/people/faculty/Stark/pdfs/Stark_Bowser_Horne_2008.pdf

7.

Joyce R. Heirlooms and houses: materiality and social memory. In: Beyond kinship: social and material reproduction in house societies. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 2000. p. 189–212.

8.

Mills B. Colonialism and cuisine: cultural transmission, agency and history at Zuni pueblo. In: Cultural transmission and material culture: breaking down boundaries. Tucson: University of Arizona Press; 2008. p. 245–62.

9.

Lewis R. Binford. A Consideration of Archaeological Research Design. American Antiquity [Internet]. 29(4):425–41. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/277978>

10.

Binford LR, Quimby GI. An archaeological perspective. Vol. Studies in archeology. New York: Seminar Press; 1972.

11.

Binford, Lewis Roberts. In pursuit of the past: decoding the archaeological record : with a new afterword. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press; 2002.

12.

Darwin, Charles, Beer, Gillian. The origin of species. Vol. The World's classics. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1996.

13.

Darwin, Charles, Beer, Gillian. The origin of species. Vol. The World's classics. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1996.

14.

David, Nicholas, Kramer, Carol. Ethnoarchaeology in action. Vol. Cambridge world archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001.

15.

Flannery, Kent V. The early Mesoamerican village. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2009.

16.

Orton, Clive. Sampling in archaeology. Vol. Cambridge manuals in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000.

17.

Popper, Karl R. The logic of scientific discovery [Internet]. Vol. Routledge classics. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from:
<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203994627>

18.

Schiffer, Michael. B. Behavioral archaeology. Vol. Studies in archaeology. New York: Academic Press; 1976.

19.

Schiffer MB. Formation processes of the archaeological record. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1996.

20.

Service, Elman Rogers. Primitive social organization: an evolutionary perspective. 2nd ed. Vol. Studies in anthropology. New York: Random House; 1971.

21.

Steward, Julian Haynes. Theory of culture change: the methodology of multilinear evolution. Urbana, Ill: University of Illinois Press; 1955.

22.

Watson, Patty Jo, Redman, Charles L., LeBlanc, Steven A. Explanation in archeology: an explicitly scientific approach. New York: Columbia University Press; 1971.

23.

White, Leslie A. The evolution of culture: the development of civilization to the fall of Rome. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007.

24.

Collingwood, R. G., Dussen, W. J. van der. The idea of history. Rev. ed., with lectures 1926-1928. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1994.

25.

Bourdieu, Pierre, Nice, Richard. Outline of a theory of practice. Vol. Cambridge studies in social anthropology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1977.

26.

Giddens, Anthony. The constitution of society: outline of the theory of structuration. Cambridge: Polity Press; 1986.

27.

Gosden C. Postcolonial archaeology: issues of culture, identity and knowledge. In: Archaeological theory today. Cambridge: Polity; 2001. p. 241–61.

28.

Habermas, Jürgen. The theory of communicative action: Vol.1: Reason and the rationalization of society. London: Heinemann Education; 1984.

29.

Habermas, Jürgen. The theory of communicative action: Vol.2: Lifeworld and system. Cambridge: Polity; 1987.

30.

Hodder, Ian, University of Cambridge. Symbolic and structural archaeology. Vol. New directions in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1982.

31.

Hodder, Ian. Symbols in action: ethnoarchaeological studies of material culture. Vol. New studies in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1982.

32.

Hodder, Ian. The present past: an introduction to anthropology for archaeologists. London: Batsford; 1982.

33.

Ian Hodder. Postprocessual Archaeology. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 8:1–26. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20170185>

34.

Hodder, Ian, McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, British Institute of Archaeology at Ankara. *Towards reflexive method in archaeology: the example at Çatalhöyük* : by members of the Çatalhöyük team. Vol. McDonald Institute monographs. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2000.

35.

Kuhn, Thomas S., Hacking, Ian. *The structure of scientific revolutions*. 4th ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2012.

36.

Lévi-Strauss, Claude. *Structural anthropology*. [New York]: Basic Books; 1963.

37.

Ricoeur, Paul. *From text to action*. New ed. Vol. Northwestern University studies in phenomenology and existential philosophy. Evanston, Ill: Northwestern University Press; 2007.

38.

Tilley, Christopher Y. *Reading material culture: structuralism, hermeneutics and post-structuralism*. Vol. Social archaeology. Oxford: Basil Blackwell; 1990.

39.

Boyd, Robert, Richerson, Peter J. *Culture and the evolutionary process*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1985.

40.

Cochrane, Ethan E., Gardner, Andrew. Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue. Vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast; 2011.

41.

Gardner, Andrew. Agency uncovered: archaeological perspectives on social agency, power, and being human. London: UCL Press; 2004.

42.

Mesoudi A, Whiten A, Laland KN. Towards a unified science of cultural evolution. Behavioral and Brain Sciences. 2006 Aug;29(04).

43.

Richerson, Peter J., Boyd, Robert. Not by genes alone: how culture transformed human evolution. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2005.

44.

Schiffer MB. Social theory in archaeology. Vol. Foundations of archaeological inquiry. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 2000.

45.

Shennan, Stephen. Genes, memes and human history: Darwinian archaeology and cultural evolution. London: Thames & Hudson; 2002.

46.

Stark MT, Bowser BJ, Horne L. Cultural transmission and material culture: breaking down boundaries. Tucson: University of Arizona Press; 2008.

47.

Costin C. Craft specialization: issues in defining, documenting and explaining the

organization of production. *Archaeological method and theory*. 1991;3:1–56.

48.

Dobres MA, Hoffman C. Social agency and the dynamics of prehistoric technology. *Journal of archaeological method and theory*. 1994;1:211–58.

49.

Gell A. *Art and agency: an anthropological theory*. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1998.

50.

Hayden B. Practical and prestige technologies: The evolution of material systems. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 1998;5(1):1–55. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20177377>

51.

Hegmon M. Archaeological Research on Style. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 1992;21(1):517–36.

52.

Lemonnier P. The study of material culture today: Toward an anthropology of technical systems. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1986;5(2):147–86.

53.

Miller D. Theories of things. In: *Stuff*. Cambridge: Polity; 2010. p. 42–78.

54.

Dietler M, Herbich I. Habitus, techniques, style: an integrated approach to the social understanding of material culture boundaries. In: *The archaeology of social boundaries* [Internet]. Washington [D.C.]: Smithsonian Institution Press; 1998. p. 232–63. Available from:

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=776dd0b6-bba6-ec11-a99b-0050f2f01d9b>

55.

Farbstein R. Technologies of Art. *Current Anthropology* [Internet]. 2011 Jun;52(3):401–32. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1086/660057>

56.

Mullins PR. The Archaeology of Consumption. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 2011 Oct 21;40(1):133–44.

57.

Wright KI, Garrard AN. Social identities and the expansion of stone beadmaking in Neolithic western Asia. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 2003;77(296):267–84. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217566542?accountid=14511>

58.

Adams, William Yewdale, Adams, Ernest W. Archaeological typology and practical reality: a dialectical approach to artifact classification and sorting [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1991. Available from: <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511558207>

59.

Appadurai, Arjun. The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986.

60.

Bettinger RL, Richerson PJ, Boyd R. Style, function and cultural evolutionary processes. In: *Darwinian archaeologies*. London: Plenum Press; 1996. p. 133–64.

61.

Binford LR. Interassemblage variability - the Mousterian and the functional argument. In:

The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory. London: Duckworth; 1973. p. 227–54.

62.

Braun D. Pots as tools. In: Archaeological hammers and theories. London: Academic Press; 1983. p. 107–34.

63.

Brumfiel EM. Elite and utilitarian crafts in the Aztec state. In: Specialization, exchange, and complex societies [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987. p. 102–18. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL3045_42401.pdf

64.

Clark JE. Craft specialization as an archaeological category. Research in economic anthropology [Internet]. 1995;16:267–94. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c94dfec2-4a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

65.

Hastorf, Christine Ann, Conkey, Margaret Wright. The uses of style in archaeology. Vol. New directions in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1989.

66.

Nicholas David, Judy Sterner and Kodzo Gavua. Why Pots are Decorated. Current Anthropology. 1988;Vol. 29(3):365–89.

67.

Dobres, Marcia-Anne. Technology and social agency: outlining a practice framework for archaeology. Vol. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers; 2000.

68.

Robert C. Dunnell. Style and Function: A Fundamental Dichotomy. *American Antiquity*. 1978;Vol. 43(2):192–202.

69.

Dunnell RC. Methodological issues in American artifact classification. *Advances in archaeological method and theory*. 1986;9:149–207.

70.

Hodder I. Material practice, symbolism and ideology. In: *Theory and practice in archaeology*. London: Routledge; 1992. p. 201–12.

71.

Lemonnier P. Technological choices: transformation in material cultures since the Neolithic [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1993. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315887630>

72.

Lyman, R. Lee, O'Brien, Michael J. Style, function, transmission: evolutionary archaeological perspectives. Vol. *Foundations of archaeological inquiry*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 2003.

73.

Miller D. Artefacts and the meaning of things. In: *Companion encyclopedia of anthropology*. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 420–59.

74.

Miller, Daniel. Material cultures: why some things matter. Vol. *Consumption and space*. London: University College London Press; 1997.

75.

Nelson MC. The study of technological organization. *Journal of archaeological method and theory*. 1991;3:57–100.

76.

O'Brien M, Leonard R. Style and function: an introduction. In: *Style and function: conceptual issues in evolutionary archaeology*. London: Bergin & Garvey; 2001. p. 183–202.

77.

Pfaffenberger B. Social Anthropology of Technology. *Annual Review of Anthropology* [Internet]. 1992;21(1):491–516. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2155997>

78.

Plog S. Analysis of Style in Artifacts. *Annual Review of Anthropology* [Internet]. 1983;12(1):125–42. Available from: <https://www-annualreviews-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/10.1146/annurev.an.12.100183.001013>

79.

Prudence M. Rice, William Y. Adams, Joseph W. Ball, Whitney M. Davis, Timothy Earle, Robert E. Fry, Ian Hodder, L. R. V. Joesink-Mandeville, Charles C. Kolb, Masae Nishimura, Yasushi Kojo, Miguel Rivera Dorado, Barbara L. Stark and Sander E. Van Der Leeuw. Evolution of Specialized Pottery Production: A Trial Model [and Comments and Reply]. *Current Anthropology*. 1981;Vol. 22(3):219–40.

80.

Rice, Prudence M. *Pottery analysis: a sourcebook*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1987.

81.

Lange, Frederick W., Bishop, Ronald L. *The ceramic legacy of Anna O. Shepard*. Niwot, Colo: University Press of Colorado; 1991.

82.

Prudence M. Rice. Recent Ceramic Analysis: 1. Function, Style, and Origins. *Journal of Archaeological Research* [Internet]. 4(2):133–63. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41053114>

83.

Prudence M. Rice. Recent Ceramic Analysis: 2. Composition, Production, and Theory. *Journal of Archaeological Research* [Internet]. 4(3):165–202. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41053131>

84.

Prudence M. Rice. On the Origins of Pottery. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 6(1):1–54. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20177395>

85.

Sackett J. Style and ethnicity in archaeology: the case for isochrestism. In: *The uses of style in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1989. p. 32–43.

86.

Schiffer, Michael B. *Anthropological perspectives on technology*. Vol. Amerind Foundation New World studies series. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 2001.

87.

Michael Brian Schiffer and James M. Skibo. The Explanation of Artifact Variability. *American Antiquity*. 1997;Vol. 62(1):27–50.

88.

Shennan S. Tradition, rationality and cultural transmission. In: *Processual and postprocessual archaeologies: multiple ways of knowing the past*. [Carbondale, Ill.]: Center for Archaeological Investigations, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale; 1991. p.

197–208.

89.

Sigault F. Technology. In: Companion encyclopedia of anthropology [Internet]. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 420–59. Available from: https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/permalink/f/1klfcc3/TN_cdi_proquest_ebookcentral_EBC169490

90.

Sillar B, Tite MS. The challenge of 'technological choices' for materials science approaches in archaeology. Archaeometry [Internet]. 2000;42(1):2–20. Available from: <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1111/j.1475-4754.2000.tb00863.x>

91.

Sterner J. Who is signalling whom? Ceramic style, ethnicity and taphonomy among the Sirak Bulahay. Antiquity. 1989;63(240):451–9.

92.

Tilley C. Interpreting material culture. In: The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression. London: Routledge; 1989. p. 185–94.

93.

Polly Wiessner. Style and Social Information in Kalahari San Projectile Points. American Antiquity. 1983;48(2):253–76.

94.

Wobst HM. Stylistic behavior and information exchange. In: For the director: research essays in honor of James B Griffin [Internet]. Ann Arbor: Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan; 1977. p. 317–42. Available from: <https://www-jstor-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/10.3998/mpub.11395371>

95.

Bourdieu P. The Berber house or the world reversed. In: Rules and meanings: the anthropology of everyday knowledge : selected readings. Harmondsworth: Penguin Education; 1973. p. 133-53.

96.

Goody J. The fission of domestic groups among the LoDogaba. In: The developmental cycle in domestic groups. Cambridge: published for the Department of Archaeology and Anthropology at the University Press; 1958. p. 53-91.

97.

González-Ruibal A. House societies vs. kinship-based societies: An archaeological case from Iron Age Europe. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2006 Mar;25(1):144-73.

98.

Joyce R. Heirlooms and houses: materiality and social memory. In: Beyond kinship: social and material reproduction in house societies. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 2000. p. 189-212.

99.

Sahlins MD. Chapter 2. In: Stone Age economics. London: Routledge; 2004.

100.

Allison, Penelope Mary. The archaeology of household activities. London: Routledge; 1999.

101.

Carsten, Janet, Hugh-Jones, Stephen. About the house: Leví-Strauss and beyond. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1995.

102.

Flannery KV. The origins of the village as a settlement type in Mesoamerica and the Near East: a comparative study. In: Man, settlement and urbanism: proceedings of a meeting of the Research Seminar in Archaeology and Related Subjects held at the Institute of Archaeology, London University. London: Duckworth; 1972. p. 23-53.

103.

Gamble, Clive, Boismier, W. A. Ethnoarchaeological approaches to mobile campsites: hunter-gatherer and pastoralist case studies. Vol. International monographs in prehistory. Ethnoarchaeological series. Ann Arbor, Mich: International Monographs in Prehistory; 1991.

104.

Goody, Jack. The developmental cycle in domestic groups. Vol. Cambridge papers in social anthropology. Cambridge: published for the Department of Archaeology and Anthropology at the University Press; 1958.

105.

Hendon J. ARCHAEOLOGICAL APPROACHES TO THE ORGANIZATION OF DOMESTIC LABOR: Household Practice and Domestic Relations. Annual Review of Anthropology [Internet]. 1996;25(1):45-61. Available from: <http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev.anthro.25.1.45>

106.

Hillier, Bill, Hanson, Julianne. The social logic of space. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1984.

107.

Kent, Susan. Domestic architecture and the use of space: an interdisciplinary cross-cultural study. Vol. New directions in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1990.

108.

Kroll, Ellen M., Price, T. Douglas, Society for American Archaeology. The interpretation of

archaeological spatial patterning. Vol. Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology. London: Plenum Press; 1991.

109.

Lawrence DL, Low SM. The Built Environment and Spatial Form. Annual Review of Anthropology. 1990;19(1):453–505.

110.

Lévi-Strauss, Claude. The way of the masks. Seattle: University of Washington Press; 1982.

111.

Moore, Henrietta L. Space, text, and gender: an anthropological study of the Marakwet of Kenya. London: Guilford Press; 1996.

112.

Netting et al. R. Introduction. In: Households: comparative and historical studies of the domestic group [Internet]. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1984. p. XIII–XXXVIII. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=5082665a-5836-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

113.

Netting R. Introduction. In: Smallholders, householders: farm families and the ecology of intensive, sustainable agriculture. Stanford, Calif: Stanford University Press; 1993.

114.

Oliver, Paul. Dwellings: the house across the world. Austin: University of Texas Press; 1987.

115.

Rapoport, Amos. The meaning of the built environment: a nonverbal communication approach. Beverly Hills: Sage; 1982.

116.

Samson, Ross. The social archaeology of houses. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 1990.

117.

Steadman S. Recent research in the archaeology of architecture: beyond the foundations. Journal of archaeological research. 1996;4(1):51-93.

118.

Wilk RR. The Household economy: reconsidering the domestic mode of production. Boulder, [Colo.]: Westview Press; 1989.

119.

Folorunso CA. Gender and archaeological site formation: ethnoarchaeological studies in parts of Nigeria. In: Archaeology and women: ancient & modern issues [Internet]. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007. p. 353-72. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=9caf6d6a-7f36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

120.

Kramer C. Spatial organization in contemporary southwest Asian villages & archaeological sampling. In: The Hilly flanks and beyond: essays on the prehistory of southwestern Asia presented to Robert J Braidwood, November 15, 1982. Chicago, Ill: Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago; 1983. p. 347-68.

121.

Parker Pearson M, Richards C. Ordering the world: perceptions of architecture, space and time. In: Architecture and order: approaches to social space. London: Routledge; 1994. p. 1-37.

122.

Rapoport A. Spatial organization and the built environment. In: Companion encyclopedia of anthropology. [New ed.]. London: Routledge; 2002. p. 460–502.

123.

Allison, Penelope Mary. Pompeian households: an analysis of the material culture. Vol. Monograph. Los Angeles: Cotsen Institute of Archaeology at University of California, Los Angeles; 2004.

124.

Donley L. House power: Swahili space and symbolic markers. In: Symbolic and structural archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1982. p. 63–73.

125.

Fairclough G. Meaningful constructions – spatial and functional analysis of medieval buildings. *Antiquity*. 1992;66(251):348–66.

126.

Foster SM. Analysis of spatial patterns in buildings (access analysis) as an insight into social structure: examples from the Scottish Atlantic Iron Age. *Antiquity*. 1989;63(238):40–50.

127.

Grahame M. Public and private in the Roman house: the spatial order of the Casa del Fauno. In: Domestic space in the Roman world: Pompeii and beyond. Portsmouth, RI: JRA; 1997. p. 137–64.

128.

Hales, Shelley. The Roman house and social identity. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2003.

129.

Lyons D. The politics of house shape: round vs rectilinear domestic structures in Dela compounds, northern Cameroon. *Antiquity*. 1996;70(268):351–67.

130.

Nevett, Lisa C. House and society in the ancient Greek world. Vol. New studies in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1999.

131.

Wallace-Hadrill, Andrew. Houses and society in Pompeii and Herculaneum. Princeton: Princeton University Press; 1994.

132.

Wright K. The social origins of cooking and dining in early villages of western Asia. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* [Internet]. 2000;66(1):89–121. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42259.pdf

133.

Clark J, Blake M. The power of prestige: competitive generosity and the emergence of rank societies in lowland Mesoamerica. In: *Factional competition and political development in the New World* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993. p. 17–30. Available from: https://www.academia.edu/1794085/Clark_and_Blake_1994_The_power_of_prestige_Competitive_generosity_and_the_emergence_of_rank_societies_in_lowland_Mesoamerica

134.

Sahlins, Marshall David. *Stone Age economics*. London: Routledge; 2004.

135.

Carla M. Sinopoli. The Archaeology of Empires. *Annual Review of Anthropology* [Internet]. 23:159–80. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2156010>

136.

Smith AT. Archaeologies of Sovereignty. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 2011 Oct 21;40(1):415–32.

137.

Wright KI (Karen). Domestication and inequality? Households, corporate groups and food processing tools at Neolithic Çatalhöyük. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2014 Mar;33:1–33.

138.

Norman Yoffee. Political Economy in Early Mesopotamian States. *Annual Review of Anthropology* [Internet]. 24:281–311. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2155939>

139.

Gledhill J. Introduction: the comparative analysis of social and political transitions. In: *State and society: the emergence and development of social hierarchy and political centralization*. London: Unwin Hyman; 1988. p. 1–29.

140.

Sills DL. *International encyclopedia of the social sciences*. [New York]: Macmillan; 1968.

141.

William Roseberry. Political Economy. *Annual Review of Anthropology* [Internet]. 17:161–85. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2155910>

142.

Raymond T. Smith. Anthropology and the Concept of Social Class. *Annual Review of Anthropology* [Internet]. 13:467–94. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2155678>

143.

Adams RM. The evolution of urban society: early Mesopotamia and prehispanic Mexico. Vol. The Lewis Henry Morgan lectures. London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson; 1966.

144.

Alcock, Susan E. Empires: perspectives from archaeology and history. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001.

145.

Boserup, Ester. The conditions of agricultural growth: the economics of agrarian change under population pressure. Chicago, Ill: Aldine; 1965.

146.

Brumfiel, Elizabeth M., Fox, John W. Factional competition and political development in the New World. Vol. New directions in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993.

147.

Brumfiel, Elizabeth M., Earle, Timothy K. Specialization, exchange, and complex societies. Vol. New directions in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987.

148.

Champion, T. C. Centre and periphery: comparative studies in archaeology. Vol. One world archaeology. London: Routledge; 1995.

149.

Childe, V. Gordon. Man makes himself. New York: New American Library; 1983.

150.

Charles R. Cobb. Archaeological Approaches to the Political Economy of Nonstratified Societies. *Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 5:43–100. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20170229>

151.

Earle, Timothy K. *How chiefs come to power: the political economy in prehistory*. Stanford, Calif: Stanford University Press; 1997.

152.

Engels, Friedrich. *The Origin of the family, private property and the state*. Vol. Penguin classics. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books; 1985.

153.

Kent V. Flannery. The Cultural Evolution of Civilizations. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* [Internet]. 3:399–426. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2096853>

154.

Gledhill, John, Bender, Barbara, Larsen, Mogens Trolle, World Archaeological Congress. *State and society: the emergence and development of social hierarchy and political centralization*. Vol. One world archaeology. London: Routledge; 1995.

155.

Goody, Jack. *Production and reproduction: a comparative study of the domestic domain*. Vol. Cambridge studies in social anthropology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1976.

156.

Setha M. Low. The Anthropology of Cities: Imagining and Theorizing the City. *Annual Review of Anthropology* [Internet]. 25:383–409. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2155832>

157.

Mann, Michael. The sources of social power. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986.

158.

Price, T. Douglas, Feinman, Gary M., Society for American Archaeology. Pathways to power: new perspectives on the emergence of social inequality. Vol. Fundamental issues in archaeology. New York: Springer; 2010.

159.

Renfrew, Colin, Cherry, John F. Peer polity interaction and socio-political change. Vol. New directions in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986.

160.

Rowlands, M. J., Larsen, Mogens Trolle, Kristiansen, Kristian. Centre and periphery in the ancient world. Vol. New directions in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987.

161.

Service, Elman Rogers. Origins of the state and civilization: the process of cultural evolution. 1st ed. New York: Norton;

162.

Service, Elman Rogers. Primitive social organization: an evolutionary perspective. 2nd ed. Vol. Studies in anthropology. New York: Random House; 1971.

163.

Shenk MK, Borgerhoff Mulder M, Beise J, Clark G, Irons W, Leonetti D, et al. Intergenerational Wealth Transmission among Agriculturalists. *Current Anthropology*. 2010 Feb;51(1):65–83.

164.

Sherratt A. What would a Bronze Age world-system look like? *Journal of European archaeology : journal of the European Association of Archaeologists* [Internet]. 1993;1:1-57. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f14f432e-4b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

165.

Steward, Julian Haynes. *Theory of culture change: the methodology of multilinear evolution*. Urbana, Ill: University of Illinois Press; 1955.

166.

Tainter, Joseph A. *The collapse of complex societies*. Vol. *New studies in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1988.

167.

Upham, Steadman. *The Evolution of political systems: sociopolitics in small-scale sedentary societies*. Vol. *School of American Research advanced seminar series*. Cambridge [England]: Cambridge University Press; 1990.

168.

Wallerstein, Immanuel Maurice. *The politics of the world economy: the states, the movements and the civilisations*. Vol. *Studies in modern capitalism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1984.

169.

White, Leslie A. *The evolution of culture: the development of civilization to the fall of Rome*. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007.

170.

Adams RM. *Heartland of cities: surveys of ancient settlement and land use on the central floodplain of the Euphrates*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1981.

171.

Bard, Kathryn A. From farmers to Pharaohs: mortuary evidence for the rise of complex society in Egypt. Vol. Monographs in Mediterranean archaeology. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press; 1994.

172.

Brumfiel EM. Elite and utilitarian crafts in the Aztec state. In: Specialization, exchange, and complex societies [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987. p. 102–18. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL3045_42401.pdf

173.

Cherry JF. Power in space. In: Landscape and culture: geographical and archaeological perspectives. Oxford: Basil Blackwell; 1987. p. 146–72.

174.

Earle TK. Specialization and the production of wealth: Hawaiian chiefdoms and the Inka empire. In: Specialization, exchange, and complex societies. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987.

175.

Flannery, Kent V., Marcus, Joyce, School of American Research (Santa Fe, N.M.). The Cloud people: divergent evolution of the Zapotec and Mixtec civilizations. New York: Academic Press; 1983.

176.

Haas, Jonathan, Pozorski, Shelia Griffis, Pozorski, Thomas George. The origins and development of the Andean state. Vol. New directions in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987.

177.

Keswani P. Hierarchies, heterarchies and urbanization processes. *Journal of Mediterranean archaeology*. 1996;9:211-50.

178.

Marston J. Agricultural Strategies and Political Economy in Ancient Anatolia. *American Journal of Archaeology*. 2012 Jul;116(3):377-403.

179.

McIntosh, Susan Keech. Beyond chiefdoms: pathways to complexity in Africa. Vol. *New directions in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1999.

180.

Muller, Jon. Mississippian political economy. Vol. *Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology*. New York: Plenum Press; 1997.

181.

Sherratt, Andrew. *Economy and society in prehistoric Europe: changing perspectives*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1997.

182.

Webster, David L. Warfare and the evolution of the state: a perspective from the Maya lowlands. Vol. *Museum of Anthropology miscellaneous series*. [Greeley]: University of Northern Colorado, Museum of Anthropology; 1976.

183.

Chapman R. Death, society and archaeology: The social dimensions of mortuary practices. *Mortality* [Internet]. 2003 Aug;8(3):305-12. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/13576270310001599849>

184.

Chapman, Robert, Kinnes, Ian, Randsborg, Klavs. The Archaeology of death. Vol. New directions in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1981.

185.

Huntington, Richard, Metcalf, Peter. Celebrations of death: the anthropology of mortuary ritual. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1979.

186.

Parker Pearson, Michael. The archaeology of death and burial. Stroud: Sutton; 1999.

187.

Sayer, Duncan. Ethics and burial archaeology. Vol. Duckworth debates in archaeology. London: Duckworth; 2010.

188.

Tarlow, Sarah. Bereavement and commemoration: an archaeology of mortality. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishers; 1999.

189.

Arnold, Bettina, Wicker, Nancy L. Gender and the archaeology of death. Vol. Gender and archaeology series. Oxford: AltaMira; 2001.

190.

Bloch, Maurice. From blessing to violence: history and ideology in the circumcision ritual of the Merina of Madagascar. Vol. Cambridge studies in social anthropology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986.

191.

Parry, Jonathan P., Bloch, Maurice. Death and the regeneration of life. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1982.

192.

Brown, James Allison, Society for American Archaeology, American Anthropological Association. Approaches to the social dimensions of mortuary practices. Vol. Memoirs of the Society for American Archaeology. [Washington D.C.]: Society for American Archaeology; 1971.

193.

Aubrey Cannon, Brad Bartel, Richard Bradley, R. W. Chapman, Mary Lou Curran, David W. J. Gill, S. C. Humphreys, Cl. Masset, Ian Morris, Jeffrey Quilter, Nan A. Rothschild and Curtis Runnels. The Historical Dimension in Mortuary Expressions of Status and Sentiment [and Comments and Reply]. *Current Anthropology*. 1989;30(4):437–58.

194.

Carr C. Mortuary practices: their social, philosophical-religious, circumstantial and physical determinants. *Journal of archaeological method and theory*. 1995;2:105–99.

195.

Chamberlain, Andrew. Human remains. Vol. Interpreting the past. Berkeley: British Museum; 1994.

196.

Chapman J. Tension at funerals: social practices and the subversion of community structure in later Hungarian prehistory. In: *Agency in archaeology* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2000. p. 165–95. Available from: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9781317959403>

197.

Degusta D. Fijian cannibalism and mortuary ritual: bioarchaeological evidence from Vunda. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* [Internet]. 2000 Jan;10(1):76–92. Available from: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/%28SICI%291099-1212%28200001%2910:1%3C76::AID-OA506%3E3.0.CO;2-%23/abstract>

198.

Pollard, Tony, Downes, Jane. The loved body's corruption: archaeological contributions to the study of human mortality. Glasgow: Cruithne Press; 1999.

199.

Goody, Jack, Goody, Jack. Death, property and the ancestors: a study of the mortuary customs of the LoDagaa of West Africa. Vol. Routledge library editions. London: Routledge; 2004.

200.

Douglas H. MacDonald. Grief and Burial in the American Southwest: The Role of Evolutionary Theory in the Interpretation of Mortuary Remains. American Antiquity. 2001;66(4):704-14.

201.

Mays, Simon. The archaeology of human bones. London: Routledge; 1998.

202.

Metcalf, Peter, Huntington, Richard. Celebrations of death: the anthropology of mortuary ritual. 2nd ed., rev. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1991.

203.

Morris, Ian. Burial and ancient society: the rise of the Greek city-state. Vol. New studies in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987.

204.

Morris I. The Archaeology of Ancestors: The Saxe/Goldstein Hypothesis Revisited. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 1991 Oct;1(02).

205.

Morris, Ian. Death-ritual and social structure in classical antiquity. Vol. Key themes in ancient history. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1992.

206.

O'Shea, John M. Mortuary variability: an archaeological investigation. Vol. Studies in archaeology. London: Academic Press; 1984.

207.

Parker Pearson M. From now to then: ethnoarchaeology and analogy. In: The archaeology of death and burial. Stroud: Sutton; 1999. p. 21–44.

208.

Parker Pearson M. Mortuary practices, society and ideology: an ethnoarchaeological study. In: Symbolic and structural archaeology [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1982. p. 99–113. Available from:
<http://www.clas.ufl.edu/users/davidson/Arch%20of%20Death/Week%2012/Parker%20Pearson%201982.pdf>

209.

Parker Pearson M. The powerful dead: archaeological relationships between the living and the dead. Cambridge archaeological journal. 1993;3(2):203–29.

210.

Parker Pearson M. Return of the living dead: mortuary analysis and the New Archaeology revisited. Antiquity. 1995;69(266):1046–8.

211.

Pietrusewsky M, Douglas MT, Cochrane EE, Reinke S. Cultural Modifications in an Adolescent Earth-Oven Interment from Fiji: Sorting out Mortuary Practice. The Journal of Island and Coastal Archaeology. 2007;2(1):44–71.

212.

Rakita, Gordon F. M. *Interacting with the dead: perspectives on mortuary archaeology for the new millennium*. Gainesville: University Press of Florida; 2005.

213.

Rega E. Age, gender and biological reality in the early Bronze Age cemetery at Mokrin. In: *Invisible people and processes: writing gender and childhood into European archaeology*. London: Leicester University Press; 1996. p. 229–47.

214.

Scarre C. The meaning of death: funerary beliefs and the prehistorian. In: *The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994. p. 75–82.

215.

Shennan S. The social organization at Branc. *Antiquity*. 1975;49(196):279–88.

216.

Tainter J. Mortuary practices and the study of prehistoric social systems. *Advances in archaeological method and theory*. 1978;1:105–41.

217.

Peter J. Ucko. *Ethnography and Archaeological Interpretation of Funerary Remains*. *World Archaeology*. 1969;1(2):262–80.

218.

Whitley J. Too many ancestors. *Antiquity*. 2002;76(1):119–26.

219.

Brück J. Experiencing the past? The development of a phenomenological archaeology in British prehistory. *Archaeological Dialogues*. 2005;12(01).

220.

Hodges R. Spatial models, anthropology and archaeology. In: *Landscape and culture: geographical and archaeological perspectives*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell; 1987. p. 118–33.

221.

Johnson MH. Phenomenological Approaches in Landscape Archaeology*. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 2012 Oct 21;41(1):269–84.

222.

Stephen A. Kowalewski. Regional Settlement Pattern Studies. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 2008;16(3):225–85.

223.

Thomas J. Archaeologies of place and landscape. In: *Archaeological theory today*. Cambridge: Polity; 2001. p. 165–86.

224.

Adams, Robert McCormick. *Heartland of cities: surveys of ancient settlement and land use on the central floodplain of the Euphrates*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1981.

225.

Osborne, Robin, Alcock, Susan E. *Placing the gods: sanctuaries and sacred space in ancient Greece*. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1994.

226.

Ashmore, Wendy, Knapp, Arthur Bernard. *Archaeologies of landscape: contemporary*

perspectives. Vol. Social archaeology. Malden, Mass: Blackwell Publishers; 1999.

227.

Ashmore W. Social archaeologies of landscape. In: A companion to social archaeology. Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd; 2004. p. 255–71.

228.

Barrett JC. The mythical landscapes of the British Iron Age. In: Archaeologies of landscape: contemporary perspectives. Malden, Mass: Blackwell Publishers; 1999. p. 253–65.

229.

Barrett JC. Chronologies of landscape. In: The archaeology and anthropology of landscape: shaping your landscape. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 21–30.

230.

Barbara Bender. Theorising Landscapes, and the Prehistoric Landscapes of Stonehenge. Man. 1992;27(4):735–55.

231.

Bender, Barbara. Landscape: politics and perspectives. Vol. Explorations in anthropology. New York: Berg; 1993.

232.

Bender, Barbara, Tilley, Christopher Y., Anderson, Ed, Hamilton, Sue. Stone worlds: narrative and reflexivity in landscape archaeology. Vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007.

233.

Binford LR. The archaeology of place. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology. 1982 Mar;1(1):5–31.

234.

Lewis R. Binford. Willow Smoke and Dogs' Tails: Hunter-Gatherer Settlement Systems and Archaeological Site Formation. *American Antiquity*. 1980;45(1):4–20.

235.

Lewis R. Binford. A Consideration of Archaeological Research Design. *American Antiquity*. 1964;29(4):425–41.

236.

Bintliff JL. Settlement and territory. In: *Companion encyclopedia of archaeology*. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 505–45.

237.

Brown T. Reconstructing the environment and natural landscape. In: *Companion encyclopedia of archaeology*. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 222–65.

238.

Butzer, Karl W. *Archaeology as human ecology: method and theory for a contextual approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1982.

239.

Carmichael, David L. *Sacred sites, sacred places*. Vol. One world archaeology. London: Routledge; 1994.

240.

Cherry J. Power in space: archaeological and geographical studies of the state. In: *Landscape and culture: geographical and archaeological perspectives* [Internet]. Oxford: Basil Blackwell; 1987. p. 146–72. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=91501fdd-5d36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

241.

Cosgrove, Denis E. Social formation and symbolic landscape. [New ed.]. Madison, Wis: University of Wisconsin Press; 1998.

242.

Crumley C. Three locational models: an epistemological assessment for anthropology and archaeology. *Advances in archaeological method and theory*. 1978;2:143-74.

243.

Thomas, Julian, David, Bruno. Handbook of landscape archaeology. Vol. World Archaeological Congress research handbooks in archaeology. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2008.

244.

Timothy K. Earle, Robert W. Preucel, Elizabeth M. Brumfiel, Christopher Carr, W. Frederick Limp, Christopher Chippindale, Antonio Gilman, Ian Hodder, Gregory A. Johnson, William F. Keegan, A. Bernard Knapp, Parker B. Potter Jr., Nicolas Rolland, Ralph M. Rowlett, Bruce G. Trigger and Robert N. Zeitlin. Processual Archaeology and the Radical Critique [and Comments and Reply]. *Current Anthropology*. 1987;28(4):501-38.

245.

Edmonds M. Who Said Romance Was Dead? *Journal of Material Culture*. 2006;11(1-2):167-88.

246.

Basso, Keith H., Feld, Steven. Senses of place. Vol. School of American Research advanced seminar series. Santa Fe, NM: School of American Research Press; 1996.

247.

Fisher P, Farrelly C, Maddocks A, Ruggles C. Spatial Analysis of Visible Areas from the

Bronze Age Cairns of Mull. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1997;24(7):581-92.

248.

Flannery KV. The village and its catchment area. In: *The early Mesoamerican village*. London: Academic Press; 1976. p. 103-17.

249.

Fleming A. Phenomenology and the Megaliths of Wales: a Dreaming Too Far? *Oxford Journal of Archaeology*. 1999;18(2):119-25.

250.

Graham, Elizabeth A. *Maya Christians and their churches in sixteenth-century Belize*. Gainesville: University Press of Florida; 2011.

251.

Graham E. Close encounters. In: *Maya worldviews at conquest*. Boulder, Colo: University Press of Colorado; 2009. p. 17-38.

252.

Hamilton S. The ambiguity of landscape: discussing points of relatedness in concepts and methods. In: *Evolutionary and interpretive archaeologies: a dialogue*. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast; 2011. p. 263-80.

253.

Hamilton S, Whitehouse R, Brown K, Combes P, Herring E, Thomas MS. Phenomenology in Practice: Towards a p Methodology for a 'Subjective' Approach. *European Journal of Archaeology*. 2006 Apr 1;9(1):31-71.

254.

Higgs ES, Vita-Finzi C. Prehistoric economies: a territorial approach. In: *Papers in economic*

prehistory: studies by members and associates of the British Academy Major Research Project in the Early History of Agriculture. London: Cambridge University Press; 1972. p. 27–36.

255.

Hirsch, Eric, O'Hanlon, Michael. The anthropology of landscape: perspectives on place and space. Vol. Oxford studies in social and cultural anthropology. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1995.

256.

Hodder I. Locational models and the study of Romano-British settlement. In: Models in archaeology. London: Methuen; 1972. p. 887–909.

257.

Tim Ingold. The Temporality of the Landscape. World Archaeology. 1993;25(2):152–74.

258.

Johnson GA. Aspects of Regional Analysis in Archaeology. Annual Review of Anthropology. 1977 Oct;6(1):479–508.

259.

John Kantner. The Archaeology of Regions: From Discrete Analytical Toolkit to Ubiquitous Spatial Perspective. Journal of Archaeological Research. 16(1):37–81.

260.

Mark Lake. Viewing Space. World Archaeology. 2007;39(1):1–3.

261.

Llobera M. Exploring the topography of mind: GIS, social space and archaeology. Antiquity. 70(269):612–22.

262.

Llobera M. Building Past Landscape Perception With GIS: Understanding Topographic Prominence. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2001;28(9):1005–14.

263.

McGlade J. Archaeology and the evolution of cultural landscapes: towards an interdisciplinary agenda. In: *The archaeology and anthropology of landscape: shaping your landscape*. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 458–82.

264.

Parsons JR. Archaeological Settlement Patterns. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 1972;1(1):127–50.

265.

Renfrew AC. Space, time and polity. In: *The evolution of social systems: proceedings of a meeting of the Research Seminar in Archaeology and Related Subjects held at the Institute of Archaeology, London University*. NW1 [i.e. London]: Duckworth; 1977. p. 89–112.

266.

Roberts, Brian K. *Landscapes of settlement: prehistory to the present*. London: Routledge; 1996.

267.

Wandsnider, LuAnn, Rossignol, Jacqueline, Society for American Archaeology. *Space, time, and archaeological landscapes*. Vol. *Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology*. London: Plenum Press; 1992.

268.

Schama, Simon. *Landscape and memory*. London: HarperCollins Publishers; 1995.

269.

Smith CA. Regional economic systems: linking geographical models and socioeconomic problems. In: Regional analysis: Vol1: Economic systems. London: Academic Press; 1976. p. 3–63.

270.

Michael E. Smith. The Aztec Marketing System and Settlement Pattern in the Valley of Mexico: A Central Place Analysis. *American Antiquity*. 1979;44(1):110–25.

271.

Thomas JS. The politics of vision and the archaeologies of landscape. In: *Landscape: politics and perspectives*. New York: Berg; 1993. p. 19–48.

272.

Tilley CY. Space, place, landscape and perception: phenomenological perspectives. In: *A phenomenology of landscape: places, paths, and monuments*. Oxford: Berg; 1994. p. 7–34.

273.

Tilley C. Round Barrows and Dykes as Landscape Metaphors. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*. 2004;14(2):185–203.

274.

Tilley CY. The powers of rocks: topography and monument construction on Bodmin Moor. In: *A phenomenology of landscape: places, paths, and monuments*. Oxford: Berg; 1994. p. 161–76.

275.

Tilley C. Phenomenological approaches to landscape archaeology. In: *Handbook of landscape archaeology*. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2008. p. 271–6.

276.

Christopher Tilley and Wayne Bennett. An Archaeology of Supernatural Places: The Case of West Penwith. *The Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. 2001;7(2):335–62.

277.

Townsend RF. Landscape and symbol. In: *The ancient Americas: art from sacred landscapes*. Chicago: Art Institute of Chicago; 1992. p. 29–47.

278.

Trigger BG. The determinants of settlement patterns. In: *Settlement archaeology*. Palo Alto [Cal.]: National Press Books; 1968. p. 53–78.

279.

Tuan, Yi-fu. *Space and place: the perspective of experience*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press; 1977.

280.

Ucko PJ, Layton R. Introduction: gazing on the landscape and encountering the environment. In: *The archaeology and anthropology of landscape: shaping your landscape*. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 1–20.

281.

Wagstaff, J. Malcolm. *Landscape and culture: geographical and archaeological perspectives*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell; 1987.

282.

Wandsnider L. Regional scale processes and archaeological landscape units. In: *Unit issues in archaeology: measuring time, space, and material*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press; 1998. p. 87–102.

283.

Currie TE, Mace R. Mode and tempo in the evolution of socio-political organization: reconciling 'Darwinian' and 'Spencerian' evolutionary approaches in anthropology. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* [Internet]. 2011 Apr 12;366(1567):1108–17. Available from: <https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rstb.2010.0318>

284.

Haas J. A brief history of cultural evolution: stages, agents and tinkering [Internet]. Vols 96-05-025. Santa Fe Institute; 2006. Available from: <http://www.santafe.edu/media/workingpapers/96-05-025.pdf>

285.

Mesoudi A, Whiten A, Laland KN. Towards a unified science of cultural evolution. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 2006 Aug;29(04).

286.

Stephen Shennan. Evolution in Archaeology. *Annual Review of Anthropology* [Internet]. 37:75–91. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20622614>

287.

Steele J, Jordan P, Cochrane E. Evolutionary approaches to cultural and linguistic diversity. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2010 Dec 12;365(1559):3781–5.

288.

Robert L. Bettinger and Jelmer Eerkens. Point Typologies, Cultural Transmission, and the Spread of Bow-and-Arrow Technology in the Prehistoric Great Basin. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 64(2):231–42. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2694276>

289.

Boone JL, Smith EA. Is It Evolution Yet? A Critique of Evolutionary Archaeology. *Current Anthropology*. 1998 Jun;39(S1):S141–74.

290.

Jack M. Broughton and James F. O'Connell. On Evolutionary Ecology, Selectionist Archaeology, and Behavioral Archaeology. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 64(1):153–65. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2694351>

291.

Jelmer W. Eerkens and Carl P. Lipo. Cultural Transmission Theory and the Archaeological Record: Providing Context to Understanding Variation and Temporal Changes in Material Culture. *Journal of Archaeological Research* [Internet]. 15(3):239–74. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053240>

292.

Clare Janaki Holden. Bantu Language Trees Reflect the Spread of Farming across Sub-Saharan Africa: A Maximum-Parsimony Analysis. *Proceedings: Biological Sciences* [Internet]. 269(1493):793–9. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3067712>

293.

Jordan P, Shennan S. Cultural transmission, language, and basketry traditions amongst the California Indians. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2003 Mar;22(1):42–74.

294.

KANDLER A, PERREAULT C, STEELE J. EDITORIAL — CULTURAL EVOLUTION IN SPATIALLY STRUCTURED POPULATIONS: A REVIEW OF ALTERNATIVE MODELING FRAMEWORKS. *Advances in Complex Systems*. 2012 Mar;15(01n02).

295.

Leonard RD, Jones GT. Elements of an inclusive evolutionary model for archaeology. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1987 Sep;6(3):199–219.

296.

Lipo, Carl P., Society for American Archaeology. Mapping our ancestors: phylogenetic approaches in anthropology and prehistory. New Brunswick, N.J.: Aldine Transaction; 2006.

297.

Lipo CP, Madsen ME, Dunnell RC, Hunt T. Population Structure, Cultural Transmission, and Frequency Seriation. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1997 Dec;16(4):301-33.

298.

Lyman RL, O'Brien MJ. The Goals of Evolutionary Archaeology: History and Explanation. *Current Anthropology*. 1998 Dec;39(5):615-52.

299.

Mesoudi A, Whiten A, Laland KN. PERSPECTIVE: IS HUMAN CULTURAL EVOLUTION DARWINIAN? EVIDENCE REVIEWED FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF THE ORIGIN OF SPECIES. *Evolution*. 2004;58(1).

300.

Tim Murray. Evaluating Evolutionary Archaeology. *World Archaeology* [Internet]. 34(1):47-59. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/827937>

301.

Fraser D. Neiman. Stylistic Variation in Evolutionary Perspective: Inferences from Decorative Diversity and Interassemblage Distance in Illinois Woodland Ceramic Assemblages. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 60(1):7-36. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/282074>

302.

Neiman F. Conspicuous consumption as wasteful advertising: a Darwinian perspective on spatial patterns in Classic Maya terminal monument dates. In: *Rediscovering Darwin: evolutionary theory and archeological explanation* [Internet]. Arlington, Va: American

Anthropological Association; 1997. p. 267–90. Available from:
<http://people.virginia.edu/~fn9r/papers/Neiman1997.pdf>

303.

O'Brien MJ, Darwent J, Lyman RL. Cladistics Is Useful for Reconstructing Archaeological Phylogenies: Palaeoindian Points from the Southeastern United States. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2001 Oct;28(10):1115–36.

304.

O'Brien MJ, Lyman RL. Evolutionary archeology: Current status and future prospects. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews*. 2002 Feb 6;11(1):26–36.

305.

Michael J. O'Brien, R. Lee Lyman and Robert D. Leonard. Basic Incompatibilities between Evolutionary and Behavioral Archaeology. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 63(3):485–98. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2694632>

306.

Richerson PJ, Boyd R. Institutional evolution in the Holocene: the rise of complex societies. In: *The origin of human social institutions* [Internet]. Oxford: Published for The British Academy by Oxford University Press; 2001. p. 197–234. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=1ab42fa9-4c36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

307.

Peter J. Richerson, Robert Boyd and Robert L. Bettinger. Was Agriculture Impossible during the Pleistocene but Mandatory during the Holocene? A Climate Change Hypothesis. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 66(3):387–411. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2694241>

308.

Deborah S. Rogers and Paul R. Ehrlich. Natural Selection and Cultural Rates of Change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*

[Internet]. 105(9):3416–20. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25461249>

309.

Michael Brian Schiffer. Some Relationships between Behavioral and Evolutionary Archaeologies. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 61(4):643–62. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/282009>

310.

S. J. Shennan and J. R. Wilkinson. Ceramic Style Change and Neutral Evolution: A Case Study from Neolithic Europe. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 66(4):577–93. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2694174>

311.

Shennan, Stephen. *Genes, memes and human history: Darwinian archaeology and cultural evolution*. London: Thames & Hudson; 2002.

312.

Steele J, Glatz C, Kandler A. Ceramic diversity, random copying, and tests for selectivity in ceramic production. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2010 Jun;37(6):1348–58.

313.

Steele J, Shennan S. Introduction: Demography and Cultural Macroevolution. *Human Biology*. 2009 Apr;81(2–3):105–19.

314.

Tehrani J, Collard M. Investigating cultural evolution through biological phylogenetic analyses of Turkmen textiles. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2002 Dec;21(4):443–63.

315.

Temkin I, Eldredge N. Phylogenetics and Material Cultural Evolution. *Current Anthropology*. 2007 Feb;48(1):146–54.

316.

Fogelin L. The Archaeology of Religious Ritual. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 2007;36(1):55–71.

317.

Graham EA. Cheese and terms. In: *Maya Christians and their churches in sixteenth-century Belize*. Gainesville: University Press of Florida; 2011. p. 59–85.

318.

Marcus J, Flannery KV. Ancient Zapotec ritual and religion: an application of the direct historical approach. In: *The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994. p. 55–74.

319.

Renfrew C. Preface and introduction: the excavations at Phylakopi. In: *The archaeology of cult: the sanctuary at Phylakopi*. London: Thames and Hudson; 1985. p. 1–26.

320.

Shanks M, Tilley C. Ideology, symbolic power and ritual communication: a reinterpretation of Neolithic mortuary practices. In: *Symbolic and structural archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1982. p. 129–54.

321.

Alcock, Susan E., Osborne, Robin. *Placing the gods: sanctuaries and sacred space in ancient Greece*. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1994.

322.

Barrett JC. Towards an archaeology of ritual. In: Sacred and profane: proceedings of a conference on archaeology, ritual and religion, Oxford, 1989 [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Committee for Archaeology; 1991. p. 1–9. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG194_48902.pdf

323.

Barth, Fredrik. Ritual and knowledge among the Baktaman of New Guinea. New Haven, CT.: Universitetsforlaget; 1975.

324.

Bloch, Maurice. From blessing to violence: history and ideology in the circumcision ritual of the Merina of Madagascar. Vol. Cambridge studies in social anthropology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986.

325.

Boyer P, Bergstrom B. Evolutionary Perspectives on Religion. Annual Review of Anthropology. 2008;37(1):111–30.

326.

Boyer, Pascal. Religion explained. London: Heinemann; 2001.

327.

Carmichael, David L. Sacred sites, sacred places. Vol. One world archaeology. London: Routledge; 1994.

328.

Patricia L. Crown and W. H. Wills. Modifying Pottery and Kivas at Chaco: Pentimento, Restoration, or Renewal? American Antiquity [Internet]. 68(3):511–32. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3557106>

329.

Crown, Patricia L., Bishop, Ronald L. Ceramics and ideology: Salado polychrome pottery. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 1994.

330.

Douglas, Mary. Natural symbols: explorations in cosmology. [2nd ed.]. Vol. Routledge classics. London: Routledge; 2003.

331.

Douglas, Mary. Purity and danger: an analysis of concept of pollution and taboo. Vol. Routledge classics. London: Routledge; 2002.

332.

Edwards DN. The archaeology of religion. In: The archaeology of identity: approaches to gender, age, status, ethnicity and religion. London: Routledge; 2005. p. 110–28.

333.

Fash W. Religion and Human Agency in Ancient Maya History: Tales from the Hieroglyphic Stairway. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 2002 Apr;12(1):5–19.

334.

Garwood, Paul, University of Oxford. Sacred and profane: proceedings of a conference on archaeology, ritual and religion, Oxford, 1989. Vol. Monograph / Oxford University Committee for Archaeology. Oxford: Oxford University Committee for Archaeology; 1991.

335.

Geertz C. Centers, kings and charisma: reflections on the symbolics of power. In: Local knowledge: further essays in interpretive anthropology. London: Fontana Press; 1993. p. 121–46.

336.

Geertz C. Religion as a cultural system. In: Anthropological approaches to the study of religion. London: Tavistock Publications; 1966. p. 1–46.

337.

Graves MW, Sweeney M. Ritual behaviour and ceremonial structures in eastern Polynesia: changing perspectives on archaeological variability. In: The evolution and organisation of prehistoric society in Polynesia. Auckland: New Zealand Archaeological Association; 1993. p. 102–21.

338.

Hays-Gilpin, Kelley, Whitley, David S. Belief in the past: theoretical approaches to the archaeology of religion. Walnut Crees, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2008.

339.

Meghan C. L. Howey and John M. O'Shea. Bear's Journey and the Study of Ritual in Archaeology. American Antiquity. 2006;Vol. 71(2).

340.

Insoll, Timothy. Archaeology, ritual, religion. Vol. Themes in archaeology. London: Routledge; 2004.

341.

Insoll, Timothy. Archaeology and world religion. London: Routledge; 2001.

342.

Kemp BJ. The intellectual foundations of the early state: chapter 2. In: Ancient Egypt: anatomy of a civilization. 2nd ed. London: Routledge; 2006. p. 60–110.

343.

Kolb MJ. Ritual Activity and Chiefly Economy at an Upland Religious Site on Maui, Hawai'i.

Journal of Field Archaeology. 1994;21(4):417-36.

344.

McCauley, Robert N., Lawson, E. Thomas. Bringing ritual to mind: psychological foundations of cultural forms. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2002.

345.

Morris, Brian. Anthropological studies of religion: an introductory text. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987.

346.

Neer, W. van, Ervynck, A., O'Day, Sharyn Jones, International Council for Archaeozoology. Behaviour behind bones: the zooarchaeology of ritual, religion, status and identity. Oxford: Oxbow; 2004.

347.

Peatfield A. Rural Ritual in Bronze Age Crete: The Peak Sanctuary at Atsipadhes. Cambridge Archaeological Journal. 2008;2(01).

348.

Polignac, François de, Lloyd, Janet, Mossé, Claude. Cults, territory, and the origins of the Greek city-state. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1995.

349.

Renfrew C. The archaeology of religion. In: The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994. p. 47-54.

350.

Colin Renfrew. Production and Consumption in a Sacred Economy: The Material Correlates of High Devotional Expression at Chaco Canyon. American Antiquity. 2001;Vol. 66(1).

351.

Rives J. Religion in the Roman empire. In: Experiencing Rome: culture, identity and power in the Roman Empire. London: Routledge in association with the Open University; 2000. p. 245–75.

352.

Vogt, Evon Zartman, Lessa, William Armand, Watanabe, John M. Reader in comparative religion: an anthropological approach. 4th ed. New York: Harper & Row; 1979.

353.

Richard Walter, Tim Thomas and Peter Sheppard. Cult Assemblages and Ritual Practice in Roviana Lagoon, Solomon Islands. World Archaeology. 2004;Vol. 36(1).

354.

Whitehouse, Ruth, Accordia Research Centre. Underground religion: cult and culture in prehistoric Italy. Vol. Accordia specialist studies on Italy. London: Accordia Research Centre, University of London; 1992.

355.

Whitehouse R. Ritual objects. Archaeological joke or neglected evidence? In: Approaches to the study of ritual: Italy and the ancient Mediterranean : being a series of seminars given at the Institute of Classical Studies School of Advanced Study, University of London. London: Accordia Research Centre; 1996. p. 9–30.

356.

Appadurai A. Introduction: commodities and the politics of value. In: The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986. p. 3–63.

357.

Bevan A. Making and marking relationships: Bronze Age brandings and Mediterranean commodities. In: *Cultures of commodity branding* [Internet]. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast; 2010. p. 35–85. Available from: http://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/149856/1/149856_Bevan10c_postprint.pdf

358.

Renfrew C. Trade as action at a distance. In: *Ancient civilization and trade* [Internet]. 1st ed. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 1975. p. 3–60. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=4267d74d-7036-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

359.

Sahlins, Marshall David. *Stone Age economics*. London: Routledge; 2004.

360.

Shennan S. Cost, benefit and value in the organisation of Early European copper production. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1999;73(280):352–62. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1036521512?accountid=14511>

361.

Robert McC. Adams, J. M. Adovasio, Burchard Brentjes, H. Neville Chittick, Yehudi A. Cohen, Rolf Gundlach, Frank Hole, William H. McNeill, James Mellaart, Janice Stargardt, Bruce G. Trigger, Gary A. Wright and Henry T. Wright. *Anthropological Perspectives on Ancient Trade [and Comments and Replies]*. *Current Anthropology* [Internet]. 15(3):239–58. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2740901>

362.

Bevan, Andrew. *Stone vessels and values in the Bronze Age Mediterranean*. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2007.

363.

Bradley, Richard, Edmonds, M. R. *Interpreting the axe trade: production and exchange in Neolithic Britain*. Vol. *New studies in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press;

1993.

364.

Broodbank, Cyprian. An island archaeology of the early Cyclades. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000.

365.

Brumfiel, Elizabeth M., Earle, Timothy K. Specialization, exchange, and complex societies. Vol. New directions in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987.

366.

John Burton. Repeng and the Salt-Makers: 'Ecological Trade' and Stone Axe Production in the Papua New Guinea Highlands. *Man* [Internet]. 24(2):255–72. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2803305>

367.

Champion TC. Introduction. In: Centre and periphery: comparative studies in archaeology [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1995. p. 1–21. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=4fd9278b-5236-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

368.

Earle, Timothy K., Ericson, Jonathon E., Society for American Archaeology, Society for American Archaeology, Prehistoric Raw Material Trade and Archaeo-economic Processes, Archaeoeconomics - Part I: Goals, Theories and Models. Exchange systems in prehistory. Vol. Studies in archeology. New York: Academic Press; 1977.

369.

Earle T. Prehistoric economics and the archaeology of exchange. In: Contexts for prehistoric exchange. New York: Academic Press; 1982. p. 1–12.

370.

Ericson, Jonathon E., Earle, Timothy K. Contexts for prehistoric exchange. Vol. Studies in archaeology. New York: Academic Press; 1982.

371.

Flannery KV. The Olmec and the valley of Oaxaca: a model for interregional interaction in Formative times. In: Dumbarton Oaks Conference on the Olmec, October 28th and 29th, 1967. Washington: Dumbarton Oaks Research Library and Collection, Trustees for Harvard University; 1968. p. 79–110.

372.

Gledhill J, Larsen M. The Polanyi paradigm and a dynamic analysis of archaic states. In: Theory and explanation in archaeology: the Southampton conference. New York: Academic Press; 1982. p. 197–229.

373.

Gosden C. Debt, production, and prehistory. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1989 Dec;8(4):355–87.

374.

Graeber, David. Toward an anthropological theory of value: the false coin of our own dreams. New York: Palgrave; 2001.

375.

Helms, Mary W. Ulysses' sail: an ethnographic odyssey of power, knowledge, and geographical distance. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1988.

376.

Kenneth G. Hirth. Political Economy and Archaeology: Perspectives on Exchange and Production. *Journal of Archaeological Research* [Internet]. 4(3):203–39. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41053132>

377.

Mauss, Marcel. The gift: the form and reason for exchange in archaic societies [Internet]. Vol. Routledge classics. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780203407448>

378.

Pearson M. Performance as valuation: early Bronze Age burial as theatrical complexity. In: The archaeology of value: essays on prestige and the processes of valuation. Oxford: John and Erica Hedges; 1998. p. 32–41.

379.

Renfrew AC. Varna and the emergence of wealth in later prehistoric Europe. In: The social life of things: commodities in cultural perspective. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986. p. 141–68.

380.

Renfrew AC. Trade beyond the material. In: Trade and exchange in prehistoric Europe: proceedings of a conference held at the University of Bristol, April 1992. Oxford: published by Oxbow Books in association with the Prehistoric Society and the Société préhistorique française; 1993. p. 5–16.

381.

Rowlands, M. J., Larsen, Mogens Trolle, Kristiansen, Kristian. Centre and periphery in the ancient world. Vol. New directions in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987.

382.

Edward M. Schortman and Patricia A. Urban. Modeling Interregional Interaction in Prehistory. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 11:37–95. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20210096>

383.

Schortman, Edward M., Urban, Patricia A. Resources, power and interregional interaction. Vol. Interdisciplinary contributions to archaeology. New York: Plenum; 1992.

384.

Shennan SJ. Cost, benefit and value in the organization of early European copper production. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1999;73(280):352–63. Available from: <https://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=9434845&fulltextType=RA&fileId=S0003598X0008830X>

385.

Sherratt, Andrew, Sherratt, E. S. From luxuries to commodities : the nature of Mediterranean Bronze Age trading systems / A.G. Sherratt and E.S. Sherratt. Gothenburg: Paul Astroms;

386.

Sherratt A. What would a Bronze Age world-system look like? *Journal of European archaeology : journal of the European Association of Archaeologists* [Internet]. 1993;1:1–57. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f14f432e-4b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

387.

Torrence, Robin. Production and exchange of stone tools: prehistoric obsidian in the Aegean. Vol. New studies in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1986.

388.

Wengrow D. Prehistories of Commodity Branding. *Current Anthropology*. 2008 Feb;49(1):7–34.

389.

Henry T. Wright and Gregory A. Johnson. Population, Exchange, and Early State Formation in Southwestern Iran. *American Anthropologist* [Internet]. 77(2):267–89. Available from:

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/674534>

390.

Zaccagnini C. Aspects of ceremonial exchange in the Near East during the late second millennium BC. In: Centre and periphery in the ancient world. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1987. p. 57–65.

391.

Geller PL. Identity and Difference: Complicating Gender in Archaeology. Annual Review of Anthropology. 2009;38(1):65–81.

392.

Joyce R. Embodied subjectivity: gender, femininity, masculinity, sexuality. In: A companion to social archaeology. Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd; 2004. p. 82–95.

393.

Perry EM, Joyce RA. Providing a past for 'bodies that matter': Judith Butler's impact on the archaeology of gender. International Journal of Sexuality and Gender Studies. 2001;6(1/2):63–76.

394.

Pope R. Processual archaeology and gender politics. The loss of innocence. Archaeological Dialogues. 2011;18(01):59–86.

395.

Wylie A. Good science, bad science or science as usual? Feminist critiques of science. In: Women in human evolution. New York: Routledge; 1997. p. 29–55.

396.

Barbara L. Voss. Sexuality Studies in Archaeology. Annual Review of Anthropology

[Internet]. 37:317–36. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20622628>

397.

Butler, Judith. Bodies that matter: on the discursive limits of 'sex'. New York: Routledge; 1993.

398.

Butler, Judith. Gender trouble: feminism and the subversion of identity. 2nd ed. Vol. Routledge classics. New York: Routledge; 2006.

399.

Hager, Lori D. Women in human evolution. New York: Routledge; 1997.

400.

Moore HL. Bodies on the move: gender, power and material culture. In: A passion for difference: essays in anthropology and gender [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity; 1994. p. 71–85. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2028_50347.pdf

401.

Sedgwick, Eve Kosofsky. Epistemology of the closet. Updated ed. Berkeley, Calif: University of California Press; 2008.

402.

Margaret W. Conkey and Joan M. Gero. Programme to Practice: Gender and Feminism in Archaeology. Annual Review of Anthropology [Internet]. 1997;26:411–37. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2952529>

403.

Conkey MW, Spector J. Archaeology and the Study of Gender. Advances in archaeological method and theory [Internet]. 1985;7:1–29. Available from:

http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2028_47162.pdf

404.

Gilchrist R. Women's archaeology? Political feminism, gender theory and historical revision. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1991;65(248):495-501. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1293690680?accountid=14511>

405.

Hill E. Gender-informed archaeology: The priority of definition, the use of analogy, and the multivariate approach. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 1998;5(1):99-128.

406.

Meskel L. Archaeologies of identity. In: *Archaeological theory today* [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity; 2001. p. 187-213. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=a482cfcd-6736-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

407.

Sorensen ML. Gender construction through appearance. In: *The archaeology of gender: proceedings of the Twenty-second Annual Conference of the Archaeological Association of the University of Calgary*. Calgary: The Association; 1991. p. 121-8.

408.

Alison Wylie. The Interplay of Evidential Constraints and Political Interests: Recent Archaeological Research on Gender. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 1992;57(1):15-35. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2694833>

409.

Conkey MW. Dwelling at the margins, action at the intersection? Feminist and indigenous archaeologies, 2005. *Archaeologies*. 2005 Aug;1(1):9-59.

410.

Margaret W. Conkey. Questioning Theory: Is There a Gender of Theory in Archaeology? *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 2007;14(3):285–310. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25702345>

411.

Dowson TA. Homosexuality, queer theory and archaeology. In: *Interpretive archaeology: a reader*. London: Leicester University Press; 2000. p. 283–9.

412.

Ericka Engelstad. Much More than Gender. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 14(3):217–34. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/25702342?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=much&searchText=more&searchText=than&searchText=gender&searchUri=%252Faction%252FdoBasicSearch%253FQuery%253Dmuch%252Bmore%252Bthan%252Bgender%2526amp%253Bfilter%253Djid%25253A10.2307%25252Fj50000249%2526amp%253BSearch%253DSearch%2526amp%253Bwc%253Don%2526amp%253Bfc%253Doff%2526amp%253BglobalSearch%253D%2526amp%253BsbbBox%253D%2526amp%253BsbjBox%253D%2526amp%253BsbpBox%253D>

413.

Rosemary A. Joyce. Archaeology of the Body. *Annual Review of Anthropology* [Internet]. 2005;34:139–58. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25064880>

414.

Joyce, Rosemary A. *Ancient bodies, ancient lives: sex, gender, and archaeology*. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

415.

Crass B. Gender and mortuary analysis. In: *Gender and the archaeology of death*. Walnut Creek, Md: AltaMira; 2001. p. 105–18.

416.

Folorunso CA. Gender and archaeological site formation: ethnoarchaeological studies in parts of Nigeria. In: Archaeology and women: ancient & modern issues [Internet]. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007. p. 353–72. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=9caf6d6a-7f36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

417.

Alberti B. Bodies in prehistory: beyond the sex/gender split. In: Global archaeological theory: contextual voices and contemporary thoughts [Internet]. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2005. p. 107–20. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG194_48907.pdf

418.

Arnold, Bettina, Wicker, Nancy L. Gender and the archaeology of death. Vol. Gender and archaeology series. Walnut Creek, Md: AltaMira; 2001.

419.

Casella, Eleanor Conlin, Fowler, Chris. The archaeology of plural and changing identities: beyond identification. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum; 2005.

420.

Conkey MW. Has Feminism Changed Archaeology? Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society. 2003;28(3):867–80.

421.

Costin CL. Exploring the relationship between gender and craft in complex societies: methodological and theoretical issues of gender attribution. In: Gender and archaeology. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 1996. p. 111–42.

422.

Dahlberg, Frances. Woman the gatherer. New Haven: Yale University Press; 1981.

423.

Díaz-Andreu García, Margarita. The archaeology of identity: approaches to gender, age, status, ethnicity and religion. London: Routledge; 2005.

424.

Sørensen, Marie Louise Stig, Díaz-Andreu García, Margarita. Excavating women: a history of women in European archaeology. London: Routledge; 1998.

425.

Fowler, Chris. The archaeology of personhood: an anthropological approach. Vol. Themes in archaeology. London: Routledge; 2004.

426.

Gailey, Christine Ward. Kinship to kingship: gender hierarchy and state formation in the Tongan Islands. 1st ed. Vol. Texas Press sourcebooks in anthropology. Austin, Tex: University of Texas Press; 1987.

427.

Gardner, Andrew. An archaeology of identity: soldiers and society in late Roman Britain. Vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007.

428.

Gero, Joan M., Conkey, Margaret Wright. Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory. Vol. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell; 1991.

429.

Gilchrist, Roberta. Gender and archaeology: contesting the past. London: Routledge; 1999.

430.

Gilchrist R. Archaeology and the life course: a time and age for gender. In: A companion to social archaeology. Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd; 2004. p. 142–60.

431.

Tarlow, Sarah, Hamilakis, Yannis, Pluciennik, Mark. Thinking through the body: archaeologies of corporeality. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2002.

432.

Hamilton, Sue, Whitehouse, Ruth, Wright, Katherine I. Archaeology and women: ancient & modern issues. Vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007.

433.

Jones S. Discourses of identity in the interpretation of the past. In: Interpretive archaeology: a reader [Internet]. London: Leicester University Press; 2000. p. 444–57. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCLG194_48813.pdf

434.

Kent, Susan Kingsley. Gender in African prehistory. Walnut Creek, Calif: AltaMira; 1998.

435.

Meskell, Lynn. Archaeologies of social life: age, sex, class et cetera in ancient Egypt. Vol. Social archaeology. Oxford: Blackwell; 1999.

436.

Meskell, Lynn, Joyce, Rosemary A. Embodied lives: figuring ancient Maya and Egyptian experience. London: Routledge; 2003.

437.

Meskell L, Preucel R. Identities. In: A companion to social archaeology. Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd; 2004. p. 121–41.

438.

Morris RC. All Made Up: Performance Theory and the New Anthropology of Sex and Gender. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 1995;24(1):567–92.

439.

Rosen-Ayalon, Myriam, Nelson, Sarah M. In pursuit of gender: worldwide archaeological approaches. Vol. Gender and archaeology series. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press; 2002.

440.

Hughes, Jessica, Sørensen, Marie Louise Stig, Rebay-Salisbury, Katharina. Body parts and bodies whole: changing relations and meanings. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2010.

441.

Schmidt, Robert A., Voss, Barbara L. Archaeologies of sexuality. London: Routledge; 2000.

442.

Sofaer, Joanna R. The body as material culture: a theoretical osteoarchaeology. Vol. Topics in contemporary archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006.

443.

Sorensen MLS. Reading dress: the construction of social categories and identities in Bronze Age Europe. *Journal of European archaeology* [Internet]. 1997;5(1):93–114. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2028_47065.pdf

444.

Sørensen, Marie Louise Stig. Gender archaeology. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2000.

445.

Thomas J. Culture and identity. In: Companion encyclopedia of archaeology [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 431–69. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2028_65538.pdf

446.

Treherne P. The warrior's beauty: the masculine body and self-identity in Bronze Age Europe. Journal of European archaeology [Internet]. 1995;3(1):105–44. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2028_42382

447.

Tringham R. Engendered places in prehistory. Gender, Place & Culture. 1994 Sep;1(2):169–203.

448.

Whitehouse, Ruth, Accordia Research Institute, University College, London. Gender and Italian archaeology: challenging the stereotypes. Vol. Accordia specialist studies on Italy. London: Accordia Research Institute; 1998.

449.

Wright RP. Women's labour and pottery production in prehistory. In: Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory. Oxford: Blackwell; 1991. p. 194–223.

450.

Wright, Rita P. Gender and archaeology. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 1996.

451.

Wylie A. Gender theory and the archaeological record: why is there no archaeology of gender? In: Engendering archaeology: women and prehistory. Oxford: Blackwell; 1991. p. 31-54.

452.

Wylie A. Doing Archaeology as a Feminist: Introduction. Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory. 2007 Sep 5;14(3):209-16.

453.

Kohl PL. NATIONALISM AND ARCHAEOLOGY: On the Constructions of Nations and the Reconstructions of the Remote Past. Annual Review of Anthropology. 1998;27(1):223-46.

454.

Leone MP, Mullins PR, Creveling MC, Hurst L, Jackson-Nash B, Jones LD, et al. Can an African-American historical archaeology be an alternative voice? In: Interpreting archaeology: finding meaning in the past [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1994. p. 110-24. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=fbf6ad87-f812-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

455.

Meskell L. The intersections of identity and politics in archaeology. Annual Review of Anthropology [Internet]. 2002;31(1):279-301. Available from:
<https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev.anthro.31.040402.085457>

456.

Parker Pearson M, Pryor F. Visitors and viewers welcome? In: Archaeological resource management in the UK: an introduction [Internet]. 2nd ed., completely rev. and updated. Stroud: Sutton; 2006. p. 316-27. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=a4c6e91b-4e0f-ee11-87dd-a04a5e5d2f8d>

457.

Watkins J. THROUGH WARY EYES: Indigenous Perspectives on Archaeology. Annual Review of Anthropology [Internet]. 2005;34(1):429-49. Available from:

<https://www.jstor.org/stable/25064893>

458.

Arjun Appadurai. The Past as a Scarce Resource. *Man* [Internet]. 1981;16(2):201–19. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2801395>

459.

Arnold B. The past as propaganda: totalitarian archaeology in Nazi Germany. *Antiquity* [Internet]. 1990;64(244):464–78. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1293750511?accountid=14511>

460.

Banks, Iain, O'Sullivan, Jerry, Atkinson, John A., *Scottish Archaeological Forum. Nationalism and archaeology: Scottish Archaeological Forum. Glasgow: Cruithne Press; 1996.*

461.

Reinhard Bernbeck and Susan Pollock. Ayodhya, Archaeology, and Identity. *Current Anthropology* [Internet]. 1996;37(1):138–42. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2744239>

462.

Bernbeck R, Pollock S. The political economy of archaeological practice and the production of heritage in the Middle East. In: *A companion to social archaeology*. Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd; 2004. p. 335–52.

463.

Champion, T. C., Díaz-Andrea, Marguerita. *Nationalism and archaeology in Europe*. London: UCL Press; 1996.

464.

Chippindale, Christopher. Who owns Stonehenge? London: Batsford; 1990.

465.

Clack, Timothy, Brittain, Marcus. Archaeology and the media. Vol. Publications of the Institute of Archaeology, University College London. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007.

466.

Coombes, Annie E. Reinventing Africa: museums, material culture and popular imagination in late Victorian and Edwardian England. New Haven: Yale University Press; 1994.

467.

Fagan, Garrett G. Archaeological fantasies: how pseudoarchaeology misrepresents the past and misleads the public. London: Routledge; 2006.

468.

Fforde, Cressida, Hubert, Jane, Turnbull, Paul. The dead and their possessions: repatriation in principle, policy and practice. Vol. One world archaeology. London: Routledge; 2002.

469.

Fowler, P. J. The past in contemporary society: then, now. Vol. The Heritage. London: Routledge; 1992.

470.

Fowler D, Jolie E, Salter M. Archaeological ethics in context and practice. In: Handbook of archaeological theories. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press; 2008. p. 409–22.

471.

Gathercole, Peter, Lowenthal, David. The politics of the past. Vol. One world archaeology.

London: Routledge; 1994.

472.

Gosden C. Postcolonial archaeology. Issues of culture, identity and knowledge. In: Archaeological theory today [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity; 2001. p. 241–61. Available from: <https://search-ebscohost-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1101335&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

473.

Gosden C. The past and foreign countries: colonial and post-colonial archaeology and anthropology. In: A companion to social archaeology [Internet]. Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd; 2004. p. 161–78. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary-wiley-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

474.

Fawcett, Clare P., Matsunaga, John M., Habu, Junko. Evaluating multiple narratives: beyond nationalist, colonialist, imperialist archaeologies. New York: Springer; 2008.

475.

Hingley, Richard. Roman officers and English gentlemen: the imperial origins of Roman archaeology. New York: Routledge; 2000.

476.

Holtorf, Cornelius. Archaeology is a brand: the meaning of archaeology in contemporary popular culture. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007.

477.

Holtorf, Cornelius. From Stonehenge to Las Vegas: archaeology as popular culture. Walnut Creek, CA: Altamira Press; 2005.

478.

James, Simon. *The Atlantic Celts: ancient people or modern invention?* London: British Museum Press; 1999.

479.

Gareth Jones D, Harris RJ. Archeological Human Remains. *Current Anthropology* [Internet]. 1998 Apr;39(2):253–64. Available from: <https://www-journals-uchicago-edu.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/10.1086/204723>

480.

Jones S. Making places, resisting displacement: conflicting national and local identities in Scotland. In: *The politics of heritage: the legacies of 'race'* [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2005. p. 94–114. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=45c4a144-f979-ed11-ac20-a04a5e5d2f8d>

481.

Kehoe, Alice Beck. *Controversies in archaeology*. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2008.

482.

Kohl, Philip L., Fawcett, Clare. *Nationalism, politics, and the practice of archaeology* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1995. Available from: <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511558214>

483.

Layton, Robert. *Who needs the past?: indigenous values and archaeology*. Vol. One world archaeology. London: Routledge; 1994.

484.

Layton, Robert. *Conflict in the archaeology of living traditions*. Vol. One world archaeology. London: Routledge; 1994.

485.

Ucko, Peter J., Layton, Robert, Shennan, Stephen, Stone, Peter G. A future for archaeology: the past in the present. London: UCL Press; 2006.

486.

Leone M, Preucel R. Archaeology in a democratic society: a critical perspective. In: Quandaries and quests: visions of archaeology's future. [Carbondale, Ill.]: Center for Archaeological Investigations, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale; 1992. p. 115–35.

487.

Lowenthal, David. The past is a foreign country. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1985.

488.

Mandal, D., Ratnagar, Shereen. Ayodhya: archaeology after demolition : a critique of the 'new' and 'fresh' discoveries. Rev. ed. Vol. Tracts for the times. New Delhi: Orient Longman; 2003.

489.

McGuire, Randall H. Archaeology as political action. Vol. California series in public anthropology. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2008.

490.

McGuire R. Contested pasts: archaeology and Native Americans. In: A companion to social archaeology [Internet]. Malden, MA.: Blackwell Pub. Ltd; 2004. p. 374–95. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary-wiley-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/book/10.1002/9780470693605>

491.

Francis P. McManamon. The Many Publics for Archaeology. American Antiquity [Internet]. 1991;56(1):121–30. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/280977>

492.

Merriman, Nick. Public archaeology. London: Routledge; 2004.

493.

Merriman, Nick. Beyond the glass case: the past, the heritage and the public. London: Institute of Archaeology, University College London; 2000.

494.

Meskel, Lynn. Archaeology under fire: nationalism, politics and heritage in the Eastern Mediterranean and Middle East [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1998. Available from: <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511558214>

495.

Mizoguchi, Kōji. Archaeology, society and identity in modern Japan. Vol. Cambridge studies in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006.

496.

Moshenska G. The reburial issue in Britain. Antiquity [Internet]. 2009;83:815–20. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/217543017?accountid=14511>

497.

Murray T. Communication and the importance of disciplinary communities: who owns the past? In: Archaeological theory: who sets the agenda? [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993. p. 105–16. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=d7c5c330-5b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

498.

Ratnagar S. Archaeology at the Heart of a Political Confrontation: The Case of Ayodhya. Current Anthropology [Internet]. 2004;45(2):239–59. Available from:

<https://www-journals-uchicago-edu.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/10.1086/381044>

499.

Reid, Donald M. *Whose pharaohs?: archaeology, museums, and Egyptian national identity from Napoleon to World War I*. Berkeley, Calif: University of California Press; 2002.

500.

Scarre, Geoffrey, Scarre, Christopher. *The ethics of archaeology: philosophical perspectives on archaeological practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006.

501.

Schablitsky, Julie M. *Box office archaeology: refining Hollywood's portrayals of the past*. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast; 2007.

502.

Seidemann, Ryan M. *Bones of Contention: A Comparative Examination of Law Governing Human Remains from Archaeological Contexts in Formerly Colonial Countries*. Louisiana Law Review [Internet]. 2004;64(3). Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/louilr64&id=555&collection=journals&index=journals/louilr#555>

503.

Silberman, Neil Asher. *Between past and present: archaeology, ideology, and nationalism in the modern Middle East*. New York: H. Holt; 1989.

504.

Silberman NA. *Promised lands and chosen peoples: the politics and poetics of archaeological narrative*. In: *Nationalism, politics, and the practice of archaeology* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1995. p. 249–62. Available from: <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511558214>

505.

Smith L. The repatriation of human remains - problem or opportunity? *Antiquity* [Internet]. 2004;78:404–13. Available from:
<http://search.proquest.com/docview/217573465?accountid=14511>

506.

Smith, Laurajane. *Archaeological theory and the politics of cultural heritage*. London: Routledge; 2004.

507.

Thomas, David Hurst. *Skull wars: Kennewick man, archaeology, and the battle for Native American identity*. New York, N.Y.: Basic Books; 2000.

508.

Tilley CY. Archaeology as socio-political action in the present. In: *Critical traditions in contemporary archaeology: essays in the philosophy, history and socio-politics of archaeology* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1989. p. 104–16. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f996f2f6-5936-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

509.

Tilley CY. Archaeology as socio-political action in the present. In: *Critical traditions in contemporary archaeology: essays in the philosophy, history and socio-politics of archaeology* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1989. p. 104–16. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f996f2f6-5936-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

510.

Trigger BG. Hyper-relativism, responsibility and the Social Sciences. In: *Artifacts & ideas: essays in archaeology* [Internet]. New Brunswick, N.J.: Transaction; 2003. p. 113–31. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=32142085-6b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

511.

Bruce G. Trigger. Alternative Archaeologies: Nationalist, Colonialist, Imperialist. *Man* [Internet]. 1984;19(3):355–70. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2802176>

512.

Bruce G. Trigger. Archaeology and the Image of the American Indian. *American Antiquity* [Internet]. 1980;45(4):662–76. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/280140>

513.

Trigger BG. Romanticism, nationalism and archaeology. In: *Nationalism, politics, and the practice of archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1995. p. 263–79.

514.

Ucko, Peter J., Ascherson, Neal. *Academic freedom and apartheid: the story of the World Archaeological Congress*. London: Duckworth; 1987.

515.

Colwell-Chanthaphonh, Chip, Vitelli, Karen D. *Archaeological ethics*. 2nd ed. Lanham, MD: Altamira; 2006.

516.

Wylie A. The integrity of narratives: deliberate practice, pluralism and multivocality. In: *Evaluating multiple narratives: beyond nationalist, colonialist, imperialist archaeologies* [Internet]. New York: Springer; 2008. p. 201–12. Available from: <https://link-springer-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/book/10.1007/978-0-387-71825-5>

517.

Wylie A. Alternative histories. Epistemic disunity and political integrity. In: *Making alternative histories: the practice of archaeology and history in non-Western settings* [Internet]. Santa Fe, N.M: School of American Research Press; 1995. p. 255–72. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=762ee3e6-f0b3-ec11-a99b-0050f2f06092>

518.

Zimmerman, Larry J., Vitelli, Karen D., Hollowell-Zimmer, Julie. Ethical issues in archaeology. Walnut Creek, CA: Altamira Press; 2003.