

ANTHGC25: Advanced Topics in Digital Culture

View Online



1.

Beer D. How should we do the history of Big Data? *Big Data & Society*. 2016;3(1).
doi:10.1177/2053951716646135

2.

Halpern O. *Beautiful Data*. Duke University Press; 2015. doi:10.1215/9780822376323-010

3.

Rubio FD, Baert P, eds. Leach, J. (2012) 'Step inside: knowledge freely available': The politics of (making) knowledge-objects'. In: *The Politics of Knowledge*. Routledge; 2012.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781134004386>

4.

Boellstorff T, Maurer B, eds. Seaver, N. (2015) *Bastard Algebra*. In: *Data, Now Bigger and Better!*. Vol Paradigm. Prickly Paradigm Press; 2015.

5.

Annelise Riles. Infinity within the Brackets. *American Ethnologist*. 1998;25(3):378-398.
http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/645790?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

6.

Latour B. Circulating Reference. In: *Pandora's Hope: Essays on the Reality of Science*

Studies. Harvard University Press; 1999:24-79.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=3281174a-6036-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

7.

Striphas T. Algorithmic culture. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*.

2015;18(4-5):395-412. doi:10.1177/1367549415577392

8.

Rosenberg D. Data before the fact. In: Gitelman L, ed. 'Raw Data' Is an Oxymoron. Vol Infrastructures series. The MIT Press; 2013.

<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/servlet/opac?bknumber=6451327>

9.

Beer D. Productive measures: Culture and measurement in the context of everyday neoliberalism. *Big Data & Society*. 2015;2(1). doi:10.1177/2053951715578951

10.

Floridi L. A Look into the Future Impact of ICT on Our Lives. *The Information Society*.

2007;23(1):59-64. doi:10.1080/01972240601059094

11.

Verran H. The Changing Lives of Measures and Values: From Centre Stage in the Fading 'Disciplinary' Society to Pervasive Background Instrument in the Emergent 'Control' Society. *The Sociological Review*. 2011;59(2_suppl):60-72.

doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02059.x

12.

Hacking I. The argument. In: *The Taming of Chance*. Vol Ideas in Context. Cambridge University Press; 1990:1-10. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511819766>

13.

Porter TM. Trust in Numbers: The Pursuit of Objectivity in Science and Public Life. Princeton University Press; 1995.

14.

Hui Y. What is a Digital Object? *Metaphilosophy*. 2012;43(4):380-395.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9973.2012.01761.x

15.

Halpern O. Cybernetic rationality. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*. 2014;15(2):223-238. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.923320

16.

Halpern O. Beautiful Data: A History of Vision and Reason since 1945. Vol Experimental futures. Duke University Press; 2014.

17.

Riles A. Introduction: in response. In: *Documents: Artifacts of Modern Knowledge*. University of Michigan Press; 2006:1-38.

18.

Chua L, Salmond A. Artefacts in anthropology. In: *The SAGE Handbook of Social Anthropology*. SAGE; 2012:101-114.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=11445b7c-8636-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

19.

JIMÉNEZ AC. Relations and disproportions: The labor of scholarship in the knowledge economy. *American Ethnologist*. 2008;35(2):229-242.
doi:10.1111/j.1548-1425.2008.00035.x

20.

Gitelman L. 'Raw Data' Is an Oxymoron. Vol Infrastructures series. MIT Press; 2013.
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/bkabstractplus.jsp?bkn=6451327>

21.

Manovich L. Database as Symbolic Form. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies*. 1999;5(2):80-99.
doi:10.1177/135485659900500206

22.

Elizabeth A. Povinelli. The Woman on the Other Side of the Wall: Archiving the Otherwise in Postcolonial Digital Archives. *Differences: A Journal Of Feminist Cultural Studies*. 2011;22(1):146-171. doi:10.1215/10407391-1218274

23.

Hogan M. Data flows and water woes: The Utah Data Center. *Big Data & Society*. 2015;2(2). doi:10.1177/2053951715592429

24.

Dourish, P. (2014) No SQL: The Shifting Materialities of Databases. *Computational Culture: a Journal of Software Studies*. Issue 4.
<http://computationalculture.net/article/no-sql-the-shifting-materialities-of-database-technology>

25.

Geismar H, Mohns W. Social relationships and digital relationships: rethinking the database at the Vanuatu Cultural Centre. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. 2011;17:S133-S155. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9655.2011.01693.x

26.

Velkova J. Data that warms: Waste heat, infrastructural convergence and the computation traffic commodity. *Big Data & Society*. 2016;3(2). doi:10.1177/2053951716684144

27.

Bowker G. Databasing the world: Biodiversity and the 2000s. In: *Memory Practices in the Sciences*. Vol Inside technology. MIT Press; 2005:107-136.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=4bbefe35-4f36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

28.

Harvey P. The Topological Quality of Infrastructural Relation: An Ethnographic Approach. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2012;29(4-5):76-92. doi:10.1177/0263276412448827

29.

To the Cloud: Big Data in a Turbulent World. *Contemporary Sociology: A Journal of Reviews*. 2015;44(3):436-437. doi:10.1177/0094306115579192c

30.

Bowker GC, Star SL. *Sorting Things out: Classification and Its Consequences*. Vol Inside technology. MIT Press; 1999. <http://cognet.mit.edu/book/sorting-things-out>

31.

J. Holt, Patrick Vondereau. *Where the Internet Lives: Data Centers as Cloud Infrastructure*. In: *Traffic Signal Timing Manual*. U.S. Department of Transportation; 2014.

http://app.knovel.com/hotlink/toc/id:kpTSTMPNF2/traffic_signal_timing_manual_publication_no_fhwahop08024

32.

Salmond AJM. Transforming translations (part I). *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory*. 2013;3(3). doi:10.14318/hau3.3.002

33.

Chun WHK. The Enduring Ephemeral, or the Future Is a Memory. *Critical Inquiry*. 2008;35(1):148-171. doi:10.1086/595632

34.

Fuller M, Goffey A. Digital Infrastructures and the Machinery of Topological Abstraction. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2012;29(4-5):311-333. doi:10.1177/0263276412450466

35.

Haraway D. Chapter 4: Gene. In: *Modest@Witness@Second@Millennium.FemaleMan@Meets@OncoMouse: Feminism and Technoscience*. Routledge; 1997.

36.

Verran H. On assemblage. *Journal of Cultural Economy*. 2009;2(1-2):169-182. doi:10.1080/17530350903064188

37.

Mackenzie A. Multiplying numbers differently: an epidemiology of contagious convolution. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*. 2014;15(2):189-207. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.922110

38.

Strathern M, University of Cambridge. Department of Social Anthropology. *The Relation: Issues in Complexity and Scale*. Vol Prickly Pear pamphlet. Prickly Pear Press; 1995.

39.

Jensen CB, Morita A. Infrastructures as Ontological Experiments. *Engaging Science, Technology, and Society*. 2015;1:81-87. <http://estsjournal.org/article/view/21>

40.

Laidlaw J. A free gift makes no friends. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. 2000;6(4):617-634. doi:10.1111/1467-9655.00036

41.

Tsing, Anna. *Sorting out commodities: How capitalist value is made through gifts*. HAU :

Journal of Ethnographic Theory. 2013;3(1):21-43.

https://search-proquest-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/docview/1850088542?rfr_id=info%3Axri%2Fsid%3Aprimo

42.

John NA. Sharing and Web 2.0: The emergence of a keyword. *New Media & Society*. 2013;15(2):167-182. doi:10.1177/1461444812450684

43.

Maurer, W.M. Principles of Alliance and Descent for Big Data. In: *Prickly Paradigm Press/University of Chicago*; 2015:67-86. <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/41r1s161>

44.

Van Dijck J, Poell T. Understanding the promises and premises of online health platforms. *Big Data & Society*. 2016;3(1). doi:10.1177/2053951716654173

45.

S. Day, C. Lury. Biosensing: Tracking Persons. In: *Quantified: Biosensing Technologies in Everyday Life*. MIT Press; 2016.

<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/xpl/ebooks/bookPdfWithBanner.jsp?fileName=7580073.pdf&bkn=7580015&pdfType=chapter>

46.

After Kinship by Janet Carsten.

<https://www.cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/after-kinship/BF660970EC79E6A4847E76A38CBE1DB9>

47.

Weiner AB. Reconfiguring Exchange Theory: The Maori Hau. In: *Inalienable Possessions: The Paradox of Keeping-While-Giving*. University of California Press; 1992.

48.

Leonelli S. Why the Current Insistence on Open Access to Scientific Data? Big Data, Knowledge Production, and the Political Economy of Contemporary Biology. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society*. 2013;33(1-2):6-11. doi:10.1177/0270467613496768

49.

Richardson, Sarah S.; Stevens, Hallam. Fortun, M. (2015) What Toll Pursuit: Affective Assemblages in Genomics and Postgenomics. In: *Postgenomics: Perspectives on Biology after the Genome*.
[http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?frbrVersion=4&tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_dawson9780822375449&indx=2&reclds=TN_dawson9780822375449&recldxs=1&elementId=1&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=4&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl\(freeText0\)=Postgenomics%3A%20Perspectives%20on%20Biology%20After%20the%20Genome&dstmp=1471430773987](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?frbrVersion=4&tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_dawson9780822375449&indx=2&reclds=TN_dawson9780822375449&recldxs=1&elementId=1&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=4&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl(freeText0)=Postgenomics%3A%20Perspectives%20on%20Biology%20After%20the%20Genome&dstmp=1471430773987)

50.

Biagioli M. Rights or rewards? Changing frameworks of scientific authorship. In: *Scientific Authorship: Credit and Intellectual Property in Science*. Routledge; 2013:253-281.
<http://www.tandfebooks.com/ISBN/9780203954270>

51.

Weiner AB. Inalienable possessions: The forgotten dimension. In: *Inalienable Possessions: The Paradox of Keeping-While-Giving*. University of California Press; 1992:23-43.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=7cf9c98c-5836-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

52.

Hilgartner S. Selective flows of knowledge in technoscientific interaction: information control in genome research. *The British Journal for the History of Science*. 2012;45(02):267-280. doi:10.1017/S0007087412000106

53.

Kelty CM. This is not an article: Model organism newsletters and the question of 'open science'. *BioSocieties*. 2012;7(2):140-168. doi:10.1057/biosoc.2012.8

54.

Hirsch E, Strathern M. Transactions and Creations: Property Debates and the Stimulus of Melanesia. Berghahn Books; 2004. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctv287skdk>

55.

Leach J. The Self of the Scientist, Material for the Artist: Emergent Distinctions in an Interdisciplinary Collaboration. *Social Analysis*. 2011;55(3). doi:10.3167/sa.2011.550308

56.

Lezaun J, Montgomery CM. The Pharmaceutical Commons: Sharing and Exclusion in Global Health Drug Development. *Science, Technology & Human Values*. 2015;40(1):3-29. doi:10.1177/0162243914542349

57.

D. Miller. Alienable Gifts and Inalienable Commodities. In: *The Empire of Things: Regimes of Value and Material Culture*. Vol School of American Research advanced seminar series. School of American Research Press; 2001.

58.

Gregg M. The Gift That Is Not Given. In: Boellstorff T, Maurer B, eds. *Data, Now Bigger and Better!*. Vol Paradigm. Prickly Paradigm Press; 2015.

59.

Dalsgaard S, Nielsen M. Introduction: Time and the Field. *Social Analysis*. 2013;57(1):1-19. doi:10.3167/sa.2013.570101

60.

Biagioli M. Between Knowledge And Technology: Patenting Methods, Rethinking Materiality. *Anthropological Forum*. 2012;22(3):285-299. doi:10.1080/00664677.2012.724009

61.

Strathern M. The Patent and the Malanggan. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2001;18(4):1-26.
doi:10.1177/02632760122051850

62.

Brown D, Nicholas G. Protecting indigenous cultural property in the age of digital democracy: Institutional and communal responses to Canadian First Nations and Maori heritage concerns. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2012;17(3):307-324.
doi:10.1177/1359183512454065

63.

Gregory CA. *Gifts and Commodities. Vol Studies in political economy*. Academic Press; 1982.

64.

Maurer B. Late to the party: debt and data. *Social Anthropology*. 2012;20(4):474-481.
doi:10.1111/j.1469-8676.2012.00219.x

65.

Strathern M. Externalities in comparative guise. *Economy and Society*. 2002;31(2):250-267. doi:10.1080/03085140220123153

66.

Rosemary J. Coombe and Andrew Herman. Rhetorical Virtues: Property, Speech, and the Commons on the World-Wide Web. *Anthropological Quarterly*. 2004;77(3):559-574.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3318236>

67.

Annette B. Weiner. Inalienable Wealth. *American Ethnologist*. 1985;12(2):210-227.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/644217?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

68.

Walford A. Data Moves: Taking Amazonian Climate Science Seriously. *The Cambridge Journal of Anthropology*. 2012;30(2). doi:10.3167/ca.2012.300207

69.

Brine KR, Poovey M. From Measuring Desire to Quantifying Expectations: A Late Nineteenth- Century Effort to Marry Economic Theory and Data. In: 'Raw Data' Is an Oxymoron. Vol Infrastructures series. MIT Press; 2013.

<https://ieeexplore-ieee-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/servlet/opac?bknumber=6451327>

70.

Helmond A. 'Raw data' is an oxymoron. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2014;17(9):1171-1173. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2014.920042

71.

Arturo Escobar. After Nature Steps to an Antiessentialist Political Ecology. *Current Anthropology*. 1999;40(1):1-30.

http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/515799?sid=primo&origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

72.

Büscher B. Nature 2.0: Exploring and theorizing the links between new media and nature conservation. *New Media & Society*. 2016;18(5):726-743. doi:10.1177/1461444814545841

73.

Asdal K. Enacting things through numbers: Taking nature into account/ing. *Geoforum*. 2008;39(1):123-132. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2006.11.004

74.

Kim Fortun. From Bhopal to the Informating of Environmentalism: Risk Communication in

Historical Perspective. *Osiris*. 2004;19:283-296.

http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/3655245?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

75.

Gabrys J, Pritchard H, Barratt B. Just good enough data: Figuring data citizenships through air pollution sensing and data stories. *Big Data & Society*. 2016;3(2).

doi:10.1177/2053951716679677

76.

Whittington J. Carbon as a Metric of the Human. *PoLAR: Political and Legal Anthropology Review*. 2016;39(1):46-63. doi:10.1111/plar.12130

77.

Büscher B. Nature 2.0. *Geoforum*. 2013;44:1-3. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2012.08.004

78.

Nadim T. Blind regards: Troubling data and their sentinels. *Big Data & Society*. 2016;3(2).

doi:10.1177/2053951716666301

79.

Garnett E. Developing a feeling for error: Practices of monitoring and modelling air pollution data. *Big Data & Society*. 2016;3(2). doi:10.1177/2053951716658061

80.

Fortun K, Poirier L, Morgan A, Costelloe-Kuehn B, Fortun M. Pushback: Critical data designers and pollution politics. *Big Data & Society*. 2016;3(2).

doi:10.1177/2053951716668903

81.

Dalsgaard S. The commensurability of carbon. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory*.

2013;3(1):80-98. doi:10.14318/hau3.1.006

82.

Grebowicz M. Glacial Time and Lonely Crowds: The social effects of climate change as internet spectacle. *Environmental Humanities*. 2014;5:1-11.
<https://doi.org/10.1215/22011919-3615388>

83.

Stefan Helmreich. From Spaceship Earth to Google Ocean: Planetary Icons, Indexes, and Infrastructures. *Social Research*. 2011;78(4):1211-1242.
http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/23349849?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

84.

McNally R, Mackenzie A, Hui A, Tomomitsu J. Understanding the 'Intensive' in 'Data Intensive Research': Data Flows in Next Generation Sequencing and Environmental Networked Sensors. *International Journal of Digital Curation*. 2012;7(1):81-94.
doi:10.2218/ijdc.v7i1.216

85.

Gabrys J. Program Earth: Environmental Sensing Technology and the Making of a Computational Planet. Vol *Electronic mediations*. University of Minnesota Press; 2016.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5749/j.ctt1b7x5gq>

86.

Lippert I. Environment as datascape: Enacting emission realities in corporate carbon accounting. *Geoforum*. 2015;66:126-135. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2014.09.009

87.

Verran H. Number as Generative Device. In: Lury C, Wakeford N, eds. *Inventive Methods: The Happening of the Social*. Vol *Culture, economy and the social*. Routledge; 2014.

88.

Knox H. Carbon, Convertibility, and the Technopolitics of Oil. In: Appel H, Mason A, Watts M, eds. *Subterranean Estates: Life Worlds of Oil and Gas*. Cornell University Press; 2015. https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma9931090819204761&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=any,contains,990022437450204761&sortby=date_d&facet=frbrgroupid,include,9022657445453213247&offset=0

89.

Richard Maxwell ; Jon Raundand Nina Lager Vestberg. Gabrys, J. (2015) *Powering Ecologies: From Energy Ecologies to Electronic Environmentalism*. In: *Media and the Ecological Crisis: Routledge Research in Cultural and Media Studies* 67.

90.

Sabina Leonelli. What Counts as Scientific Data? A Relational Framework. *Philosophy of Science*. 2015;82(5):810-821. http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/684083?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

91.

Shackley S, Wynne B. Representing Uncertainty in Global Climate Change Science and Policy: Boundary-Ordering Devices and Authority. *Science, Technology & Human Values*. 1996;21(3):275-302. doi:10.1177/016224399602100302

92.

Fairhead J, Leach M, Scoones I. Green Grabbing: a new appropriation of nature? *Journal of Peasant Studies*. 2012;39(2):237-261. doi:10.1080/03066150.2012.671770

93.

Lehning M, Dawes N, Bavay et. al. M. Instrumenting the earth: Next-generation sensor networks and environmental science. In: *The Fourth Paradigm: Data-Intensive Scientific Discovery*. Microsoft Research; :45-51.

94.

Helmreich S. Blue-green Capital, Biotechnological Circulation and an Oceanic Imaginary: A Critique of Biopolitical Economy. *BioSocieties*. 2007;2(3):287-302. doi:10.1017/S1745855207005753

95.

Igoe J. The spectacle of nature in the global economy of appearances: Anthropological engagements with the spectacular mediations of transnational conservation. *Critique of Anthropology*. 2010;30(4):375-397. doi:10.1177/0308275X10372468

96.

Franklin S. Re-thinking nature-culture: Anthropology and the new genetics. *Anthropological Theory*. 2003;3(1):65-85. doi:10.1177/1463499603003001752

97.

Rapp R. Big data, small kids: Medico-scientific, familial and advocacy visions of human brains. *BioSocieties*. Published online 26 October 2015. doi:10.1057/biosoc.2015.33

98.

Lupton D. Quantifying the body: monitoring and measuring health in the age of mHealth technologies. *Critical Public Health*. 2013;23(4):393-403. doi:10.1080/09581596.2013.794931

99.

Greenfield, D. (2016) Deep Data: Notes on the n of 1. In 'Quantified: biosensing technologies in everyday life' ed. Dawn Nafus. MIT Press. <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/xpl/ebooks/bookPdfWithBanner.jsp?fileName=7580296.pdf&bk=7580015&pdfType=chapter>

100.

Emily Martin. The End of the Body? *American Ethnologist*. 1992;19(1):121-140. http://www.jstor.org/stable/644828?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

101.

Tutton R, Prainsack B. Enterprising or altruistic selves? Making up research subjects in genetics research. *Sociology of Health & Illness*. 2011;33(7):1081-1095. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9566.2011.01348.x

102.

Ruckenstein M. Visualized and Interacted Life: Personal Analytics and Engagements with Data Doubles. *Societies*. 2014;4(1):68-84. doi:10.3390/soc4010068

103.

After Kinship by Janet Carsten.

<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/after-kinship/BF660970EC79E6A4847E76A38CBE1DB9>

104.

Sharp LA. The Commodification of the Body and its Parts. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 2000;29(1):287-328. doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.29.1.287

105.

Thacker E. What is Biomedica? *Configurations*. 2003;11(1):47-79. doi:10.1353/con.2004.0014

106.

Beaulieu A. From brainbank to database: the informational turn in the study of the brain. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences*. 2004;35(2):367-390. doi:10.1016/j.shpsc.2004.03.011

107.

Singer N. From knowing yourself to prodding yourself. *The New York Times*. Published online 19 April 2015.

108.

Strathern M. *After Nature: English Kinship in the Late Twentieth Century*. Vol The Lewis Henry Morgan lectures. Cambridge University Press; 1992.

109.

Lupton D. The commodification of patient opinion: the digital patient experience economy in the age of big data. *Sociology of Health & Illness*. 2014;36(6):856-869.
doi:10.1111/1467-9566.12109

110.

Franklin S. *Biologization Re-visited: Kinship Theory*. In: *Relative Values: Reconfiguring Kinship Studies*. Duke University Press; 2001:302-325.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma9931045211204761&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=any,contains,Relative%20values:%20reconfiguring%20kinship%20studies&sortby=date_d&facet=frbrgroupid,include,61215140135456524&offset=0

111.

Helmreich S. *Kinship in Hypertext*. In: *Relative Values: Reconfiguring Kinship Studies*. Duke University Press; 2002:116-143.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780822383222>

112.

Dawn Nafus. *Big Data, Big Questions - This One Does Not Go Up To 11: The Quantified Self Movement as an Alternative Big Data Practice*. *International Journal of Communication*. 2014;8. <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2170>

113.

Fujimara J. *The Practice of Producing Meaning in Bioinformatics*. In: *The Practices of Human Genetics*. Vol *Sociology of the sciences*. Kluwer Academic; 1999:49-87.

114.

Rabinow P. *Essays on the Anthropology of Reason*. Vol Princeton studies in culture/power/history. Princeton University Press; 1996.

115.

Gross A. The economy of social data: exploring research ethics as device. *The Sociological Review*. 2011;59:113-129. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02055.x

116.

Van Dijck J. Digital cadavers: the visible human project as anatomical theater. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences*. 2000;31(2):271-285. doi:10.1016/S1369-8486(99)00020-5

117.

Gerlitz C, Lury C. Social media and self-evaluating assemblages: on numbers, orderings and values. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*. 2014;15(2):174-188. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.920267

118.

Kaufmann M. Resilience 2.0: social media use and (self-)care during the 2011 Norway attacks. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2015;37(7):972-987. doi:10.1177/0163443715584101

119.

Nafus D. Stuck data, dead data, and disloyal data: the stops and starts in making numbers into social practices. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*. 2014;15(2):208-222. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.920266

120.

Strathern M. Chapter 5: Losing (out on) Intellectual Resources. In: *Kinship, Law and the Unexpected: Relatives Are Always a Surprise*. Cambridge University Press; 2005:111-134. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511614514>

121.

Steinmetz G. Mitchell, T. (1999) Society, Economy and the State Effect. In State/Culture: State Formation after the Cultural Turn. In: State/Culture: State-Formation after the Cultural Turn. Vol The Wilder House series in politics, history, and culture. Cornell University Press; 1999.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService∓package_service_id=14616198260004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

122.

Amoore L. Biometric borders: Governing mobilities in the war on terror. *Political Geography* . 2006;25(3):336-351. doi:10.1016/j.polgeo.2006.02.001

123.

Browne S. *Dark Matters*. Duke University Press; 2015. doi:10.1215/9780822375302

124.

The World of Indicators edited by Richard Rottenburg.
<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/world-of-indicators/C7903E69E0329A256EF02C3CB5C918ED>

125.

Birchall C. Shareveillance: Subjectivity between open and closed data. *Big Data & Society*. 2016;3(2). doi:10.1177/2053951716663965

126.

Adams V. *Metrics*. Duke University Press; 2016. doi:10.1215/9780822374480

127.

D. Haggerty, Richard V. Ericson K. The surveillant assemblage. *British Journal of Sociology*. 2000;51(4):605-622. doi:10.1080/00071310020015280

128.

Sharma A, Gupta A. Sharma, A. and Gupta, A. (2006) Introduction: Re-thinking Theories of the State in an Age of Globalization. In: *The Anthropology of the State: A Reader*. Vol Blackwell readers in anthropology. Blackwell; 2006.

129.

Universitetet i Oslo. State Formation: Anthropological Perspectives. Vol *Anthropology, culture, and society*. (Krohn-Hansen C, Nustad KG, eds.). Pluto Press; 2005.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt18fs5n7>

130.

Isin, E and Ruppert E (2017) Citizen Snowden. *International Journal of Communication* 11 843-857. <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/viewFile/5642/1934>

131.

Kirstie Ball ; Kevin D. Haggend David Lyon. Bruno, Fernanda. (2012) Surveillance and Participation on Web 2.0. In: *Routledge Handbook of Surveillance Studies*.
doi:10.4324/9780203814949

132.

Isin, E and Ruppert E (2017) Citizen Snowden. *International Journal of Communication* 11 843-857. <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/viewFile/5642/1934>

133.

Cheney-Lippold J. A New Algorithmic Identity. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2011;28(6):164-181. doi:10.1177/0263276411424420

134.

Roderick L. Discipline and Power in the Digital Age: The Case of the US Consumer Data Broker Industry. *Critical Sociology*. 2014;40(5):729-746. doi:10.1177/0896920513501350

135.

Ruppert E. Population Objects: Interpassive Subjects. *Sociology*. 2011;45(2):218-233. doi:10.1177/0038038510394027

136.

M'charek A, Schramm K, Skinner D. Topologies of Race. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*. 2014;39(4):468-487. doi:10.1177/0162243913509493

137.

Gilles Deleuze. Postscript on the Societies of Control. October. 1992;59:3-7. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/778828?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

138.

Ruppert E, Savage M. Transactional politics. *The Sociological Review*. 2011;59:73-92. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02057.x

139.

Nafus, D ; Sherman, J. This One Does Not Go Up to 11: The Quantified Self Movement as an Alternative Big Data Practice.

[http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_wos000349010500023&indx=1&reclds=TN_wos000349010500023&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&v1\(freeText0\)=%20Nafus%2C%20D.%20and%20J.%20Sherman%20%282014%29%20This%20One%20Does%20Not%20Go%20Up%20to%20Eleven%3A%20The%20Quantified%20Self%20Movement%20as%20an%20Alternative%20Big%20Data%20Practice.%20International%20Journal%20of%20Communication%2C%20Vol%208.&dstmp=1471435285056](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_wos000349010500023&indx=1&reclds=TN_wos000349010500023&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&v1(freeText0)=%20Nafus%2C%20D.%20and%20J.%20Sherman%20%282014%29%20This%20One%20Does%20Not%20Go%20Up%20to%20Eleven%3A%20The%20Quantified%20Self%20Movement%20as%20an%20Alternative%20Big%20Data%20Practice.%20International%20Journal%20of%20Communication%2C%20Vol%208.&dstmp=1471435285056)

140.

Lyon D. Surveillance, Snowden, and Big Data: Capacities, consequences, critique. *Big Data & Society*. 2014;1(2). doi:10.1177/2053951714541861

141.

LYON D. BIOMETRICS, IDENTIFICATION AND SURVEILLANCE. *Bioethics*. 2008;22(9):499-508. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00697.x

142.

Evelyn Ruppert. Population Objects: Interpassive Subjects. *Sociology*. 2011;45(2):218-233. http://www.jstor.org/stable/42857535?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

143.

Lupton D. Self-tracking modes: reflexive self-monitoring and data practices. *Social life of big data symposium 2nd June 2015*.

https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2483549

144.

Fuller M, Goffey A. Leak early, leak often. In: *Evil Media*. The MIT Press; 2012:100-104.

https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=15040989580004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

145.

Vertesi J. My Experiment Opting Out of Big Data Made Me Look Like a Criminal. *Time.com*. Published online 2014:1-1.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=bth&AN=95911990&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

146.

Amoore L. Data Derivatives: On the Emergence of a Security Risk Calculus for Our Times. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2011;28(6):24-43. doi:10.1177/0263276411417430

147.

Strathern M. Parts and wholes: refiguring relationships in a post-plural world. In:

Conceptualizing Society. Routledge; 1992:75-106.
<http://www.tandfebooks.com/ISBN/9780203032244>

148.

Ruppert E. The Governmental Topologies of Database Devices. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2012;29(4-5):116-136. doi:10.1177/0263276412439428

149.

Lyon D. Biometrics, Identification and Surveillance. *Bioethics*. 2008;22(9):499-508. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00697.x

150.

Lyon D. Liquid Surveillance: The Contribution of Zygmunt Bauman to Surveillance Studies1. *International Political Sociology*. 2010;4(4):325-338. doi:10.1111/j.1749-5687.2010.00109.x

151.

Anna Tsing. The Global Situation. *Cultural Anthropology*. 2000;15(3):327-360. http://www.jstor.org/stable/656606?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

152.

Coopmans C. Visual analytics as artful revelation. In: Coopmans C, ed. *Representation in Scientific Practice Revisited. Vol Inside technology*. The MIT Press; 2014. http://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt9qf5hv.6?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

153.

The End of Theory: The Data Deluge Makes the Scientific Method Obsolete | WIRED. <https://www.wired.com/2008/06/pb-theory/>

154.

Latour B, Jensen P, Venturini T, Grauwin S, Boullier D. 'The whole is always smaller than its

parts' - a digital test of Gabriel Tardes' monads. *The British Journal of Sociology*. 2012;63(4):590-615. doi:10.1111/j.1468-4446.2012.01428.x

155.

Lake RW. Big Data, urban governance, and the ontological politics of hyperindividualism. *Big Data & Society*. 2017;4(1). doi:10.1177/2053951716682537

156.

Annelise Riles. Infinity within the Brackets. *American Ethnologist*. 1998;25(3):378-398. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/645790?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

157.

boyd danah, Crawford K. CRITICAL QUESTIONS FOR BIG DATA. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2012;15(5):662-679. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2012.678878

158.

Seaver N. The nice thing about context is that everyone has it. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2015;37(7):1101-1109. doi:10.1177/0163443715594102

159.

MARILYN STRATHERN. VIRTUAL SOCIETY? GET REAL! Ashridge 4-5 May 2000: Conference of the 'Virtual Society?: the Social Science of Electronic Technologies' Programme: Abstraction and decontextualisation: an anthropological comment. *The Cambridge Journal of Anthropology*. 2001;22(1):52-66. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/23820353?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

160.

Boellstorff T, Maurer B, eds. Boellstorff, T. (2015) Making Big Data,. In: Data, Now Bigger and Better!. Vol Paradigm. Prickly Paradigm Press; 2015.

161.

Abramson, Allen. (2016) What in/is the world in/of Big Data? Theorizing the Contemporary, Cultural Anthropology.

<https://culanth.org/fieldsights/833-what-in-is-the-world-is-of-big-data>

162.

Breiger RL. Scaling down. *Big Data & Society*. 2015;2(2). doi:10.1177/2053951715602497

163.

Kitchin R. Big Data, new epistemologies and paradigm shifts. *Big Data & Society*. 2014;1(1). doi:10.1177/2053951714528481

164.

Strathern M. *Partial Connections*. Updated ed. AltaMira Press; 2004.

165.

Strathern M. Environments within: An ethnographic commentary on scale. In: *Culture, Landscape, and the Environment: The Linacre Lectures, 1997*. Vol The Linacre lectures. Oxford University Press; 2000:44-71.

166.

Strathern M. On Space and Depth. In: *Complexities: Social Studies of Knowledge Practices*. Vol Science and cultural theory. Duke University Press; 2002.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/9780822383550>

167.

Marr B. The Difference Between Big Data and a Lot of Data. Published 21AD.

<http://data-informed.com/>

168.

Marcus G. Holism and the Expectations of Critique in Post-1980s Anthropology; Notes and

Queries, and an Epilogue. In: *Experiments in Holism: Theory and Practice in Contemporary Anthropology*. Wiley-Blackwell; 2010:28-46. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781444324426>

169.

Couldry N, Powell A. Big Data from the bottom up. *Big Data & Society*. 2014;1(2). doi:10.1177/2053951714539277

170.

Lash S. Power after Hegemony: Cultural Studies in Mutation? *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2007;24(3):55-78. doi:10.1177/0263276407075956

171.

Bowker GC. The Theory/Data Thing. *International Journal of Communication*. 2014;8. <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2190>

172.

Beer D, Burrows R. Popular Culture, Digital Archives and the New Social Life of Data. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2013;30(4):47-71. doi:10.1177/0263276413476542

173.

Riles A. *The Network inside out*: Annelise Riles. University of Michigan Press; 2001.

174.

Wendy F. Hsu. Hsu, W.F (2016) A Performative Digital Ethnography. In 'The Routledge Companion to Digital Ethnography' eds. Hjorth, Horst, Galloway, Bell. Routledge. Published online 2016. doi:10.4324/9781315673974.ch4

175.

Seaver N. Algorithms as culture: Some tactics for the ethnography of algorithmic systems. *Big Data & Society*. 2017;4(2). doi:10.1177/2053951717738104

176.

Mackenzie A. These Things Called Systems. *Social Studies of Science*. 2003;33(3):365-387. doi:10.1177/03063127030333003

177.

Candea M. Arbitrary locations: in defence of the bounded field-site. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. 2007;13(1):167-184. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9655.2007.00419.x

178.

Marcus GE. Ethnography in/of the World System: The Emergence of Multi-Sited Ethnography. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 1995;24(1):95-117. doi:10.1146/annurev.an.24.100195.000523

179.

Boellstorff T. Rethinking Digital Anthropology. In: *Digital Anthropology*. Berg; 2012. <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780857852922>

180.

Jackson Jr. JL. Ethnography is, ethnography ain't. *Cultural Anthropology*. 27(3):480-497. doi:10.1111/j.1548-1360.2012.01155.x

181.

Strathern M. Chapter 1. In: *Property, Substance and Effect: Anthropological Essays on Persons and Things*. Athlone; 1999.

182.

Kelty C, Coleman G, Strassler K. Commentary and Discussion on the Digital Form Curated Collection. *Curated Collections, Cultural Anthropology Online*. Published 13 December 2012.

http://www.culanth.org/curated_collections/8-the-digital-form/discussions/15-commentary-and-discussion-on-the-digital-form-curated-collection

183.

George E. Marcus and Marcelo Pizarro. The End(s) of Ethnography: Social/Cultural Anthropology's Signature Form of Producing Knowledge in Transition. *Cultural Anthropology*. 2008;23(1):1-14.

http://www.jstor.org/stable/20484493?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

184.

MARCUS GE. THE LEGACIES OF WRITING CULTURE AND THE NEAR FUTURE OF THE ETHNOGRAPHIC FORM: A Sketch. *Cultural Anthropology*. 2012;27(3):427-445.

doi:10.1111/j.1548-1360.2012.01152.x

185.

Marilyn Strathern. Virtual society? Get real! Ashridge 4-5 May 2000: Conference of the 'Virtual Society?: the Social Science of Electronic Technologies' Programme: Abstraction and decontextualisation: an anthropological comment. *The Cambridge Journal of Anthropology*. 2001;22(1):52-66.

http://www.jstor.org/stable/23820353?seq=8#page_scan_tab_contents

186.

Strathern M. The Limits of Auto-anthropology. In: *Anthropology at Home*. Vol A.S.A. monographs. Tavistock Publications; 1987:16-37.

187.

Underberg NM, Zorn E. Exploring Peruvian Culture through Multimedia Ethnography. *Visual Anthropology*. 2013;26(1):1-17. doi:10.1080/08949468.2013.734760

188.

Faubion JD, Marcus GE. *Fieldwork Is Not What It Used to Be: Learning Anthropology's Method in a Time of Transition*. Cornell University Press; 2009.

189.

Marres N, Weltevrede E. Scraping the social? *Journal of Cultural Economy*. 2013;6(3):313-335. doi:10.1080/17530350.2013.772070

190.

Blok A, Pedersen MA. Complementary social science? Quali-quantitative experiments in a Big Data world. *Big Data & Society*. 2014;1(2). doi:10.1177/2053951714543908

191.

Anderson K, Nafus D, Rattenbury T, Aipperspach R. Numbers Have Qualities Too: Experiences with Ethno-Mining. *Ethnographic Praxis in Industry Conference Proceedings*. 2009;2009(1):123-140. doi:10.1111/j.1559-8918.2009.tb00133.x

192.

Why Big Data Needs Thick Data – Ethnography Matters – Medium.
<https://medium.com/ethnography-matters/why-big-data-needs-thick-data-b4b3e75e3d7>

193.

Mike Savage and Roger Burrows. The Coming Crisis of Empirical Sociology. *Sociology*. 2007;41(5):885-899.
http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/42858273?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

194.

Nafus D. Data, Data, Everywhere, but Who Gets to Interpret It? | EPIC. EPIC. Published 15 May 2015. <https://www.epicpeople.org/data-data-everywhere/>

195.

Ruppert E, Law J, Savage M. Reassembling Social Science Methods: The Challenge of Digital Devices. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2013;30(4):22-46.
doi:10.1177/0263276413484941

196.

Ford H. Big Data and Small: Collaborations between ethnographers and data scientists. *Big Data & Society*. 2014;1(2). doi:10.1177/2053951714544337

197.

» Abildegaard et al (2017) Five recent play dates - EASST.
<https://easst.net/article/five-recent-play-dates/>

198.

Publications | Sciences Po | MedialabSciences Po | Medialab.
<http://www.medialab.sciences-po.fr/publication/>