

ANTHGC25: Advanced Topics in Digital Culture

View Online



1

Beer D. How should we do the history of Big Data? *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**.
doi:10.1177/2053951716646135

2

Halpern O. *Beautiful Data*. Duke University Press 2015. doi:10.1215/9780822376323-010

3

Rubio FD, Baert P, editors. Leach, J. (2012) 'Step inside: knowledge freely available': The politics of (making) knowledge-objects'. In: *The politics of knowledge*. London: : Routledge 2012. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781134004386>

4

Boellstorff T, Maurer B, editors. Seaver, N. (2015) *Bastard Algebra*. In: *Data, now bigger and better!* Chicago: : Prickly Paradigm Press 2015.

5

Annelise Riles. *Infinity within the Brackets*. *American Ethnologist* 1998;**25**:378-98. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/645790?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

6

Latour B. Circulating Reference. In: Pandora's hope: essays on the reality of science studies. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1999. 24–79. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=3281174a-6036-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

7

Striphas T. Algorithmic culture. *European Journal of Cultural Studies* 2015;**18**:395–412. doi:10.1177/1367549415577392

8

Rosenberg D. Data before the fact. In: Gitelman L, ed. 'Raw data' is an oxymoron. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 2013. <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/servlet/opac?bknumber=6451327>

9

Beer D. Productive measures: Culture and measurement in the context of everyday neoliberalism. *Big Data & Society* 2015;**2**. doi:10.1177/2053951715578951

10

Floridi L. A Look into the Future Impact of ICT on Our Lives. *The Information Society* 2007; **23**:59–64. doi:10.1080/01972240601059094

11

Verran H. The Changing Lives of Measures and Values: From Centre Stage in the Fading 'Disciplinary' Society to Pervasive Background Instrument in the Emergent 'Control' Society. *The Sociological Review* 2011;**59**:60–72. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02059.x

12

Hacking I. The argument. In: *The Taming of Chance*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1990. 1–10. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511819766>

13

Porter TM. Trust in numbers: the pursuit of objectivity in science and public life. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1995.

14

Hui Y. What is a Digital Object? *Metaphilosophy* 2012;**43**:380–95.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9973.2012.01761.x

15

Halpern O. Cybernetic rationality. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory* 2014;
15:223–38. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.923320

16

Halpern O. Beautiful data: a history of vision and reason since 1945. Durham, N.C.: : Duke University Press 2014.

17

Riles A. Introduction: in response. In: *Documents: artifacts of modern knowledge*. Ann Arbor: : University of Michigan Press 2006. 1–38.

18

Chua L, Salmond A. Artefacts in anthropology. In: *The SAGE handbook of social anthropology*. Los Angeles: : SAGE 2012.
101–14. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=11445b7c-8636-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

19

JIMÉNEZ AC. Relations and disproportions: The labor of scholarship in the knowledge economy. *American Ethnologist* 2008;**35**:229–42. doi:10.1111/j.1548-1425.2008.00035.x

20

Gitelman L. 'Raw data' is an oxymoron. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2013.
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/bkabstractplus.jsp?bkn=6451327>

21

Manovich L. Database as Symbolic Form. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies* 1999;**5**:80-99. doi:10.1177/135485659900500206

22

Elizabeth A. Povinelli. The Woman on the Other Side of the Wall: Archiving the Otherwise in Postcolonial Digital Archives. *Differences: A Journal Of Feminist Cultural Studies* 2011;**22**:146-71. doi:10.1215/10407391-1218274

23

Hogan M. Data flows and water woes: The Utah Data Center. *Big Data & Society* 2015;**2**. doi:10.1177/2053951715592429

24

Dourish, P. (2014) No SQL: The Shifting Materialities of Databases. *Computational Culture: a Journal of Software Studies*. Issue 4.
<http://computationalculture.net/article/no-sql-the-shifting-materialities-of-database-technology>

25

Geismar H, Mohns W. Social relationships and digital relationships: rethinking the database at the Vanuatu Cultural Centre. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 2011;**17**:S133-55. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9655.2011.01693.x

26

Velkova J. Data that warms: Waste heat, infrastructural convergence and the computation traffic commodity. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716684144

27

Bowker G. Databasing the world: Biodiversity and the 2000s. In: Memory practices in the sciences. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2005. 107-36. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=4bbefe35-4f36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

28

Harvey P. The Topological Quality of Infrastructural Relation: An Ethnographic Approach. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2012;**29**:76-92. doi:10.1177/0263276412448827

29

To the Cloud: Big Data in a Turbulent World. *Contemporary Sociology: A Journal of Reviews* 2015;**44**:436-7. doi:10.1177/0094306115579192c

30

Bowker GC, Star SL. *Sorting things out: classification and its consequences*. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 1999. <http://cognet.mit.edu/book/sorting-things-out>

31

J. Holt, Patrick Vondereau. Where the Internet Lives: Data Centers as Cloud Infrastructure. In: *Traffic signal timing manual*. [Washington, D.C.]: : U.S. Department of Transportation 2014. http://app.knovel.com/hotlink/toc/id:kpTSTMPNF2/traffic_signal_timing_manual_publication_no_fhwahop08024

32

Salmond AJM. Transforming translations (part I). *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory* 2013;**3**. doi:10.14318/hau3.3.002

33

Chun WHK. The Enduring Ephemeral, or the Future Is a Memory. *Critical Inquiry* 2008;**35**

:148–71. doi:10.1086/595632

34

Fuller M, Goffey A. Digital Infrastructures and the Machinery of Topological Abstraction. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2012;**29**:311–33. doi:10.1177/0263276412450466

35

Haraway D. Chapter 4: Gene. In: *Modest@Witness@Second@Millennium.FemaleMan@Meets@OncoMouse: feminism and technoscience*. New York: : Routledge 1997.

36

Verran H. On assemblage. *Journal of Cultural Economy* 2009;**2**:169–82. doi:10.1080/17530350903064188

37

Mackenzie A. Multiplying numbers differently: an epidemiology of contagious convolution. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory* 2014;**15**:189–207. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.922110

38

Strathern M, University of Cambridge. Department of Social Anthropology. *The relation: issues in complexity and scale*. Cambridge, U.K.: : Prickly Pear Press 1995.

39

Jensen CB, Morita A. Infrastructures as Ontological Experiments. *Engaging Science, Technology, and Society* 2015;**1**:81–7.<http://estsjournal.org/article/view/21>

40

Laidlaw J. A free gift makes no friends. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 2000;**6**:617–34. doi:10.1111/1467-9655.00036

41

Tsing, Anna. Sorting out commodities: How capitalist value is made through gifts. *HAU : Journal of Ethnographic Theory* 2013;**3**:21–43. https://search-proquest-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/docview/1850088542?rfr_id=info%3Axri%2Fsid%3Aprimo

42

John NA. Sharing and Web 2.0: The emergence of a keyword. *New Media & Society* 2013; **15**:167–82. doi:10.1177/1461444812450684

43

Maurer, W.M. Principles of Alliance and Descent for Big Data. Prickly Paradigm Press/University of Chicago 2015. 67–86. <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/41r1s161>

44

Van Dijck J, Poell T. Understanding the promises and premises of online health platforms. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716654173

45

S. Day, C. Lury. Biosensing: Tracking Persons. In: *Quantified: Biosensing Technologies in Everyday Life*. MIT Press 2016. <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/xpl/ebooks/bookPdfWithBanner.jsp?fileName=7580073.pdf&bkn=7580015&pdfType=chapter>

46

After Kinship by Janet Carsten. <https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/after-kinship/BF660970EC79E6A4847E76A38CBE1DB9>

47

Weiner AB. Reconfiguring Exchange Theory: The Maori Hau. In: *Inalienable possessions:*

the paradox of keeping-while-giving. Berkeley: : University of California Press 1992.

48

Leonelli S. Why the Current Insistence on Open Access to Scientific Data? Big Data, Knowledge Production, and the Political Economy of Contemporary Biology. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society* 2013;**33**:6–11. doi:10.1177/0270467613496768

49

Richardson, Sarah S. ; Stevens, Hallam. Fortun, M. (2015) What Toll Pursuit: Affective Assemblages in Genomics and Postgenomics. In: *Postgenomics: Perspectives on Biology after the Genome*.
[http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?frbrVersion=4&tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_dawson9780822375449&indx=2&reclds=TN_dawson9780822375449&recldxs=1&elementId=1&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=4&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl\(freeText0\)=Postgenomics%3A%20Perspectives%20on%20Biology%20After%20the%20Genome&dstmp=1471430773987](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?frbrVersion=4&tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_dawson9780822375449&indx=2&reclds=TN_dawson9780822375449&recldxs=1&elementId=1&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=4&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl(freeText0)=Postgenomics%3A%20Perspectives%20on%20Biology%20After%20the%20Genome&dstmp=1471430773987)

50

Biagioli M. Rights or rewards? Changing frameworks of scientific authorship. In: *Scientific authorship: credit and intellectual property in science*. New York: : Routledge 2013. 253–81. <http://www.tandfebooks.com/ISBN/9780203954270>

51

Weiner AB. Inalienable possessions: The forgotten dimension. In: *Inalienable possessions: the paradox of keeping-while-giving*. Berkeley: : University of California Press 1992. 23–43. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=7cf9c98c-5836-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

52

Hilgartner S. Selective flows of knowledge in technoscientific interaction: information control in genome research. *The British Journal for the History of Science* 2012;**45**:267–80. doi:10.1017/S0007087412000106

53

Kelty CM. This is not an article: Model organism newsletters and the question of 'open science'. *BioSocieties* 2012;**7**:140–68. doi:10.1057/biosoc.2012.8

54

Hirsch E, Strathern M. *Transactions and creations: property debates and the stimulus of Melanesia*. New York: : Berghahn Books 2004. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctv287skdk>

55

Leach J. The Self of the Scientist, Material for the Artist: Emergent Distinctions in an Interdisciplinary Collaboration. *Social Analysis* 2011;**55**. doi:10.3167/sa.2011.550308

56

Lezaun J, Montgomery CM. The Pharmaceutical Commons: Sharing and Exclusion in Global Health Drug Development. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 2015;**40**:3–29. doi:10.1177/0162243914542349

57

D. Miller. *Alienable Gifts and Inalienable Commodities*. In: *The empire of things: regimes of value and material culture*. Santa Fe, N.M.: : School of American Research Press 2001.

58

Gregg M. *The Gift That Is Not Given*. In: Boellstorff T, Maurer B, eds. *Data, now bigger and better!* Chicago: : Prickly Paradigm Press 2015.

59

Dalsgaard S, Nielsen M. Introduction: Time and the Field. *Social Analysis* 2013;**57**:1–19. doi:10.3167/sa.2013.570101

60

Biagioli M. *Between Knowledge And Technology: Patenting Methods, Rethinking Materiality*.

Anthropological Forum 2012;**22**:285–99. doi:10.1080/00664677.2012.724009

61

Strathern M. The Patent and the Malanggan. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2001;**18**:1–26. doi:10.1177/02632760122051850

62

Brown D, Nicholas G. Protecting indigenous cultural property in the age of digital democracy: Institutional and communal responses to Canadian First Nations and Maori heritage concerns. *Journal of Material Culture* 2012;**17**:307–24. doi:10.1177/1359183512454065

63

Gregory CA. *Gifts and commodities*. London: : Academic Press 1982.

64

Maurer B. Late to the party: debt and data. *Social Anthropology* 2012;**20**:474–81. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8676.2012.00219.x

65

Strathern M. Externalities in comparative guise. *Economy and Society* 2002;**31**:250–67. doi:10.1080/03085140220123153

66

Rosemary J. Coombe and Andrew Herman. Rhetorical Virtues: Property, Speech, and the Commons on the World-Wide Web. *Anthropological Quarterly* 2004;**77**:559–74. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3318236>

67

Annette B. Weiner. Inalienable Wealth. *American Ethnologist* 1985;**12**:210–27. http://www.jstor.org/stable/644217?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

68

Walford A. Data Moves: Taking Amazonian Climate Science Seriously. *The Cambridge Journal of Anthropology* 2012;**30**. doi:10.3167/ca.2012.300207

69

Brine KR, Poovey M. From Measuring Desire to Quantifying Expectations: A Late Nineteenth- Century Effort to Marry Economic Theory and Data. In: 'Raw data' is an oxymoron. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2013.

<https://ieeexplore-ieee-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/servlet/opac?bknumber=6451327>

70

Helmond A. 'Raw data' is an oxymoron. *Information, Communication & Society* 2014;**17**:1171-3. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2014.920042

71

Arturo Escobar. After Nature Steps to an Antiessentialist Political Ecology. *Current Anthropology* 1999;**40**:1-30.http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/515799?sid=primo&origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

72

Büscher B. Nature 2.0: Exploring and theorizing the links between new media and nature conservation. *New Media & Society* 2016;**18**:726-43. doi:10.1177/1461444814545841

73

Asdal K. Enacting things through numbers: Taking nature into account/ing. *Geoforum* 2008;**39**:123-32. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2006.11.004

74

Kim Fortun. From Bhopal to the Informating of Environmentalism: Risk Communication in Historical Perspective. *Osiris* 2004;**19**

:283–96. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/3655245?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

75

Gabrys J, Pritchard H, Barratt B. Just good enough data: Figuring data citizenships through air pollution sensing and data stories. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716679677

76

Whittington J. Carbon as a Metric of the Human. *PoLAR: Political and Legal Anthropology Review* 2016;**39**:46–63. doi:10.1111/plar.12130

77

Büscher B. Nature 2.0. *Geoforum* 2013;**44**:1–3. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2012.08.004

78

Nadim T. Blind regards: Troubling data and their sentinels. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716666301

79

Garnett E. Developing a feeling for error: Practices of monitoring and modelling air pollution data. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716658061

80

Fortun K, Poirier L, Morgan A, et al. Pushback: Critical data designers and pollution politics. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716668903

81

Dalsgaard S. The commensurability of carbon. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory* 2013;**3**:80–98. doi:10.14318/hau3.1.006

82

Grebowicz M. Glacial Time and Lonely Crowds: The social effects of climate change as internet spectacle. *Environmental Humanities* 2014;**5**:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1215/22011919-3615388>

83

Stefan Helmreich. From Spaceship Earth to Google Ocean: Planetary Icons, Indexes, and Infrastructures. *Social Research* 2011;**78**:1211–42. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/23349849?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

84

McNally R, Mackenzie A, Hui A, et al. Understanding the 'Intensive' in 'Data Intensive Research': Data Flows in Next Generation Sequencing and Environmental Networked Sensors. *International Journal of Digital Curation* 2012;**7**:81–94. doi:10.2218/ijdc.v7i1.216

85

Gabrys J. Program earth: environmental sensing technology and the making of a computational planet. Minneapolis: : University of Minnesota Press 2016. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5749/j.ctt1b7x5gq>

86

Lippert I. Environment as datascape: Enacting emission realities in corporate carbon accounting. *Geoforum* 2015;**66**:126–35. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2014.09.009

87

Verran H. Number as Generative Device. In: Lury C, Wakeford N, eds. *Inventive methods: the happening of the social*. Abingdon: : Routledge 2014.

88

Knox H. Carbon, Convertibility, and the Technopolitics of Oil. In: Appel H, Mason A, Watts M, eds. *Subterranean estates: life worlds of oil and gas*. Ithaca: : Cornell University Press 2015.

https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma9931090819204761&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=any,contains,990022437450204761&sortby=date_d&facet=frbrgroupid,include,9022657445453213247&offset=0

89

Richard Maxwell ; Jon Raundand Nina Lager Vestberg. Gabrys, J. (2015) *Powering Ecologies: From Energy Ecologies to Electronic Environmentalism*. In: *Media and the Ecological Crisis: Routledge research in cultural and media studies* 67.

90

Sabina Leonelli. *What Counts as Scientific Data? A Relational Framework*. *Philosophy of Science* 2015;**82**

:810–21. http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/684083?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

91

Shackley S, Wynne B. *Representing Uncertainty in Global Climate Change Science and Policy: Boundary-Ordering Devices and Authority*. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 1996;**21**:275–302. doi:10.1177/016224399602100302

92

Fairhead J, Leach M, Scoones I. *Green Grabbing: a new appropriation of nature?* *Journal of Peasant Studies* 2012;**39**:237–61. doi:10.1080/03066150.2012.671770

93

Lehning M, Dawes N, Bavay et. al. M. *Instrumenting the earth: Next-generation sensor networks and environmental science*. In: *The fourth paradigm: data-intensive scientific discovery*. Redmond, Wash: : Microsoft Research 45–51.

94

Helmreich S. Blue-green Capital, Biotechnological Circulation and an Oceanic Imaginary: A Critique of Biopolitical Economy. *BioSocieties* 2007;**2**:287–302. doi:10.1017/S1745855207005753

95

Igoe J. The spectacle of nature in the global economy of appearances: Anthropological engagements with the spectacular mediations of transnational conservation. *Critique of Anthropology* 2010;**30**:375–97. doi:10.1177/0308275X10372468

96

Franklin S. Re-thinking nature-culture: Anthropology and the new genetics. *Anthropological Theory* 2003;**3**:65–85. doi:10.1177/1463499603003001752

97

Rapp R. Big data, small kids: Medico-scientific, familial and advocacy visions of human brains. *BioSocieties* Published Online First: 26 October 2015. doi:10.1057/biosoc.2015.33

98

Lupton D. Quantifying the body: monitoring and measuring health in the age of mHealth technologies. *Critical Public Health* 2013;**23**:393–403. doi:10.1080/09581596.2013.794931

99

Greenfield, D. (2016) Deep Data: Notes on the n of 1. In 'Quantified: biosensing technologies in everyday life' ed. Dawn Nafus. MIT Press. <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/xpl/ebooks/bookPdfWithBanner.jsp?fileName=7580296.pdf&bkn=7580015&pdfType=chapter>

100

Emily Martin. The End of the Body? *American Ethnologist* 1992;**19**:121–40. http://www.jstor.org/stable/644828?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

101

Tutton R, Prainsack B. Enterprising or altruistic selves? Making up research subjects in genetics research. *Sociology of Health & Illness* 2011;**33**:1081–95. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9566.2011.01348.x

102

Ruckenstein M. Visualized and Interacted Life: Personal Analytics and Engagements with Data Doubles. *Societies* 2014;**4**:68–84. doi:10.3390/soc4010068

103

After Kinship by Janet Carsten.

<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/after-kinship/BF660970EC79E6A4847E76A38CBE1DB9>

104

Sharp LA. The Commodification of the Body and its Parts. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 2000;**29**:287–328. doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.29.1.287

105

Thacker E. What is Biomedica? *Configurations* 2003;**11**:47–79. doi:10.1353/con.2004.0014

106

Beaulieu A. From brainbank to database: the informational turn in the study of the brain. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 2004;**35**:367–90. doi:10.1016/j.shpsc.2004.03.011

107

Singer N. From knowing yourself to prodding yourself. *The New York Times* 2015.

108

Strathern M. *After nature: English kinship in the late twentieth century*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1992.

109

Lupton D. The commodification of patient opinion: the digital patient experience economy in the age of big data. *Sociology of Health & Illness* 2014;**36**:856–69.
doi:10.1111/1467-9566.12109

110

Franklin S. *Biologization Re-visited: Kinship Theory*. In: *Relative values: reconfiguring kinship studies*. Durham [N.C.]: : Duke University Press 2001.
302–25. https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma9931045211204761&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=any,contains,Relative%20values:%20reconfiguring%20kinship%20studies&sortby=date_d&facet=frbrgroupid,include,61215140135456524&offset=0

111

Helmreich S. *Kinship in Hypertext*. In: *Relative values: reconfiguring kinship studies*. Durham, N.C.: : Duke University Press 2002.
116–43. <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780822383222>

112

Dawn Nafus. Big Data, Big Questions - This One Does Not Go Up To 11: The Quantified Self Movement as an Alternative Big Data Practice. *International Journal of Communication* 2014;**8**. <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2170>

113

Fujimara J. *The Practice of Producing Meaning in Bioinformatics*. In: *The practices of human genetics*. Dordrecht: : Kluwer Academic 1999. 49–87.

114

Rabinow P. *Essays on the anthropology of reason*. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1996.

115

Gross A. The economy of social data: exploring research ethics as device. *The Sociological Review* 2011;**59**:113–29. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02055.x

116

Van Dijck J. Digital cadavers: the visible human project as anatomical theater. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 2000;**31**:271–85. doi:10.1016/S1369-8486(99)00020-5

117

Gerlitz C, Lury C. Social media and self-evaluating assemblages: on numbers, orderings and values. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory* 2014;**15**:174–88. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.920267

118

Kaufmann M. Resilience 2.0: social media use and (self-)care during the 2011 Norway attacks. *Media, Culture & Society* 2015;**37**:972–87. doi:10.1177/0163443715584101

119

Nafus D. Stuck data, dead data, and disloyal data: the stops and starts in making numbers into social practices. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory* 2014;**15**:208–22. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.920266

120

Strathern M. Chapter 5: Losing (out on) Intellectual Resources. In: *Kinship, Law and the Unexpected: Relatives are Always a Surprise*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2005. 111–34. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511614514>

121

Steinmetz G. Mitchell, T. (1999) Society, Economy and the State Effect. In State/Culture: State Formation after the Cultural Turn. In: State/culture: state-formation after the cultural turn. Ithaca, N.Y.: : Cornell University Press 1999.

https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14616198260004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

122

Amoore L. Biometric borders: Governing mobilities in the war on terror. *Political Geography* 2006;**25**:336–51. doi:10.1016/j.polgeo.2006.02.001

123

Browne S. *Dark Matters*. Duke University Press 2015. doi:10.1215/9780822375302

124

The World of Indicators edited by Richard Rottenburg.

<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/world-of-indicators/C7903E69E0329A256EF02C3CB5C918ED>

125

Birchall C. Shareveillance: Subjectivity between open and closed data. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716663965

126

Adams V. *Metrics*. Duke University Press 2016. doi:10.1215/9780822374480

127

D. Haggerty, Richard V. Ericson K. The surveillant assemblage. *British Journal of Sociology* 2000;**51**:605–22. doi:10.1080/00071310020015280

128

Sharma A, Gupta A. Sharma, A. and Gupta, A. (2006) Introduction: Re-thinking Theories of the State in an Age of Globalization. In: *The anthropology of the state: a reader*. Malden, Mass: : Blackwell 2006.

129

Universitetet i Oslo. *State formation: anthropological perspectives*. London: : Pluto Press 2005. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt18fs5n7>

130

Isin, E and Ruppert E (2017) Citizen Snowden. *International Journal of Communication* 11 843-857. <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/viewFile/5642/1934>

131

Kirstie Ball ; Kevin D. Haggend David Lyon. Bruno, Fernanda. (2012) Surveillance and Participation on Web 2.0. In: *Routledge Handbook of Surveillance Studies*. doi:10.4324/9780203814949

132

Isin, E and Ruppert E (2017) Citizen Snowden. *International Journal of Communication* 11 843-857. <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/viewFile/5642/1934>

133

Cheney-Lippold J. A New Algorithmic Identity. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2011;**28**:164–81. doi:10.1177/0263276411424420

134

Roderick L. Discipline and Power in the Digital Age: The Case of the US Consumer Data Broker Industry. *Critical Sociology* 2014;**40**:729–46. doi:10.1177/0896920513501350

135

Ruppert E. Population Objects: Interpassive Subjects. *Sociology* 2011;**45**:218–33.

doi:10.1177/0038038510394027

136

M'charek A, Schramm K, Skinner D. Topologies of Race. *Science, Technology, & Human Values* 2014;**39**:468–87. doi:10.1177/0162243913509493

137

Gilles Deleuze. Postscript on the Societies of Control. October 1992;**59**:3–7. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/778828?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

138

Ruppert E, Savage M. Transactional politics. *The Sociological Review* 2011;**59**:73–92. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02057.x

139

Nafus, D ; Sherman, J. This One Does Not Go Up to 11: The Quantified Self Movement as an Alternative Big Data Practice. [http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_wos000349010500023&indx=1&reclds=TN_wos000349010500023&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl\(freeText0\)=%20Nafus%2C%20D.%20and%20J.%20Sherman%20%282014%29%20This%20One%20Does%20Not%20Go%20Up%20to%20Eleven%3A%20The%20Quantified%20Self%20Movement%20as%20an%20Alternative%20Big%20Data%20Practice.%20International%20Journal%20of%20Communication%2C%20Vol%208.&dstmp=1471435285056](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_wos000349010500023&indx=1&reclds=TN_wos000349010500023&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl(freeText0)=%20Nafus%2C%20D.%20and%20J.%20Sherman%20%282014%29%20This%20One%20Does%20Not%20Go%20Up%20to%20Eleven%3A%20The%20Quantified%20Self%20Movement%20as%20an%20Alternative%20Big%20Data%20Practice.%20International%20Journal%20of%20Communication%2C%20Vol%208.&dstmp=1471435285056)

140

Lyon D. Surveillance, Snowden, and Big Data: Capacities, consequences, critique. *Big Data & Society* 2014;**1**. doi:10.1177/2053951714541861

141

LYON D. BIOMETRICS, IDENTIFICATION AND SURVEILLANCE. *Bioethics* 2008;**22**:499–508. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00697.x

142

Evelyn Ruppert. Population Objects: Interpassive Subjects. *Sociology* 2011;**45**:218–33. http://www.jstor.org/stable/42857535?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

143

Lupton D. Self-tracking modes: reflexive self-monitoring and data practices. Social life of big data symposium 2nd June 2015. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2483549

144

Fuller M, Goffey A. Leak early, leak often. In: *Evil media*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 2012. 100–4. https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=15040989580004761&institutionId=4761&customErId=4760&VE=true

145

Vertesi J. My Experiment Opting Out of Big Data Made Me Look Like a Criminal. *Time.com* 2014;:1–1. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=bth&AN=95911990&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

146

Amoore L. Data Derivatives: On the Emergence of a Security Risk Calculus for Our Times. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2011;**28**:24–43. doi:10.1177/0263276411417430

147

Strathern M. Parts and wholes: refiguring relationships in a post-plural world. In: *Conceptualizing society*. London: : Routledge 1992. 75–106. <http://www.tandfebooks.com/ISBN/9780203032244>

148

Ruppert E. The Governmental Topologies of Database Devices. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2012;**29**:116–36. doi:10.1177/0263276412439428

149

Lyon D. Biometrics, Identification and Surveillance. *Bioethics* 2008;**22**:499–508. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00697.x

150

Lyon D. Liquid Surveillance: The Contribution of Zygmunt Bauman to Surveillance Studies1. *International Political Sociology* 2010;**4**:325–38. doi:10.1111/j.1749-5687.2010.00109.x

151

Anna Tsing. The Global Situation. *Cultural Anthropology* 2000;**15**:327–60. http://www.jstor.org/stable/656606?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

152

Coopmans C. Visual analytics as artful revelation. In: Coopmans C, ed. *Representation in scientific practice revisited*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 2014. http://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt9qf5hv.6?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

153

The End of Theory: The Data Deluge Makes the Scientific Method Obsolete | WIRED. <https://www.wired.com/2008/06/pb-theory/>

154

Latour B, Jensen P, Venturini T, et al. 'The whole is always smaller than its parts' - a digital test of Gabriel Tardes' monads. *The British Journal of Sociology* 2012;**63**:590–615. doi:10.1111/j.1468-4446.2012.01428.x

155

Lake RW. Big Data, urban governance, and the ontological politics of hyperindividualism. *Big Data & Society* 2017;**4**. doi:10.1177/2053951716682537

156

Annelise Riles. Infinity within the Brackets. *American Ethnologist* 1998;**25**:378–98. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/645790?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

157

boyd danah, Crawford K. CRITICAL QUESTIONS FOR BIG DATA. *Information, Communication & Society* 2012;**15**:662–79. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2012.678878

158

Seaver N. The nice thing about context is that everyone has it. *Media, Culture & Society* 2015;**37**:1101–9. doi:10.1177/0163443715594102

159

MARILYN STRATHERN. VIRTUAL SOCIETY? GET REAL! Ashridge 4–5 May 2000: Conference of the 'Virtual Society?: the Social Science of Electronic Technologies' Programme: Abstraction and decontextualisation: an anthropological comment. *The Cambridge Journal of Anthropology* 2001;**22**:52–66. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/23820353?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

160

Boellstorff T, Maurer B, editors. Boellstorff, T. (2015) *Making Big Data*,. In: *Data, now bigger and better!* Chicago: : Prickly Paradigm Press 2015.

161

Abramson, Allen. (2016) What in/is the world in/of Big Data? *Theorizing the Contemporary, Cultural Anthropology*. <https://culanth.org/fieldsights/833-what-in-is-the-world-is-of-big-data>

162

Breiger RL. Scaling down. *Big Data & Society* 2015;**2**. doi:10.1177/2053951715602497

163

Kitchin R. Big Data, new epistemologies and paradigm shifts. *Big Data & Society* 2014;**1**. doi:10.1177/2053951714528481

164

Strathern M. *Partial connections*. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, CA: : AltaMira Press 2004.

165

Strathern M. *Environments within: An ethnographic commentary on scale*. In: *Culture, landscape, and the environment: the Linacre lectures, 1997*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2000. 44–71.

166

Strathern M. *On Space and Depth*. In: *Complexities: social studies of knowledge practices*. Durham: : Duke University Press 2002. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/9780822383550>

167

Marr B. *The Difference Between Big Data and a Lot of Data*. 21AD.<http://data-informed.com/>

168

Marcus G. *Holism and the Expectations of Critique in Post-1980s Anthropology; Notes and Queries, and an Epilogue*. In: *Experiments in holism: theory and practice in contemporary anthropology*. Chichester, West Sussex: : Wiley-Blackwell 2010. 28–46.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781444324426>

169

Couldry N, Powell A. Big Data from the bottom up. *Big Data & Society* 2014;**1**. doi:10.1177/2053951714539277

170

Lash S. Power after Hegemony: Cultural Studies in Mutation? *Theory, Culture & Society* 2007;**24**:55–78. doi:10.1177/0263276407075956

171

Bowker GC. The Theory/Data Thing. *International Journal of Communication* 2014;**8**. <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2190>

172

Beer D, Burrows R. Popular Culture, Digital Archives and the New Social Life of Data. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2013;**30**:47–71. doi:10.1177/0263276413476542

173

Riles A. *The network inside out*: Annelise Riles. Ann Arbor: : University of Michigan Press 2001.

174

Wendy F. Hsu. Hsu, W.F (2016) A Performative Digital Ethnography. In 'The Routledge Companion to Digital Ethnography' eds. Hjorth, Horst, Galloway, Bell. Routledge. Published Online First: 2016. doi:10.4324/9781315673974.ch4

175

Seaver N. Algorithms as culture: Some tactics for the ethnography of algorithmic systems. *Big Data & Society* 2017;**4**. doi:10.1177/2053951717738104

176

Mackenzie A. These Things Called Systems. *Social Studies of Science* 2003;**33**:365–87. doi:10.1177/03063127030333003

177

Candea M. Arbitrary locations: in defence of the bounded field-site. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 2007;**13**:167–84. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9655.2007.00419.x

178

Marcus GE. Ethnography in/of the World System: The Emergence of Multi-Sited Ethnography. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 1995;**24**:95–117. doi:10.1146/annurev.an.24.100195.000523

179

Boellstorff T. Rethinking Digital Anthropology. In: *Digital anthropology*. London: : Berg 2012. <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780857852922>

180

Jackson Jr. JL. Ethnography is, ethnography ain't. *Cultural Anthropology*;**27**:480–97. doi:10.1111/j.1548-1360.2012.01155.x

181

Strathern M. Chapter 1. In: *Property, substance and effect: anthropological essays on persons and things*. London: : Athlone 1999.

182

Kelty C, Coleman G, Strassler K. Commentary and Discussion on the Digital Form Curated Collection. *Curated Collections, Cultural Anthropology Online*. 2012. http://www.culanth.org/curated_collections/8-the-digital-form/discussions/15-commentary-and-discussion-on-the-digital-form-curated-collection

183

George E. Marcus and Marcelo Pizarro. The End(s) of Ethnography: Social/Cultural Anthropology's Signature Form of Producing Knowledge in Transition. *Cultural Anthropology* 2008;**23**:1-14. http://www.jstor.org/stable/20484493?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

184

MARCUS GE. THE LEGACIES OF WRITING CULTURE AND THE NEAR FUTURE OF THE ETHNOGRAPHIC FORM: A Sketch. *Cultural Anthropology* 2012;**27**:427-45. doi:10.1111/j.1548-1360.2012.01152.x

185

Marilyn Strathern. Virtual society? Get real! Ashridge 4-5 May 2000: Conference of the 'Virtual Society?: the Social Science of Electronic Technologies' Programme: Abstraction and decontextualisation: an anthropological comment. *The Cambridge Journal of Anthropology* 2001;**22**:52-66. http://www.jstor.org/stable/23820353?seq=8#page_scan_tab_contents

186

Strathern M. The Limits of Auto-anthropology. In: *Anthropology at home*. London: : Tavistock Publications 1987. 16-37.

187

Underberg NM, Zorn E. Exploring Peruvian Culture through Multimedia Ethnography. *Visual Anthropology* 2013;**26**:1-17. doi:10.1080/08949468.2013.734760

188

Faubion JD, Marcus GE. *Fieldwork is not what it used to be: learning anthropology's method in a time of transition*. Ithaca: : Cornell University Press 2009.

189

Marres N, Weltevrede E. Scraping the social? *Journal of Cultural Economy* 2013;**6**:313-35. doi:10.1080/17530350.2013.772070

190

Blok A, Pedersen MA. Complementary social science? Quali-quantitative experiments in a Big Data world. *Big Data & Society* 2014;**1**. doi:10.1177/2053951714543908

191

Anderson K, Nafus D, Rattenbury T, et al. Numbers Have Qualities Too: Experiences with Ethno-Mining. *Ethnographic Praxis in Industry Conference Proceedings* 2009;**2009**:123-40. doi:10.1111/j.1559-8918.2009.tb00133.x

192

Why Big Data Needs Thick Data – Ethnography Matters – Medium.
<https://medium.com/ethnography-matters/why-big-data-needs-thick-data-b4b3e75e3d7>

193

Mike Savage and Roger Burrows. The Coming Crisis of Empirical Sociology. *Sociology* 2007;
41
:885–99. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/42858273?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

194

Nafus D. Data, Data, Everywhere, but Who Gets to Interpret It? | EPIC. EPIC. 2015. <https://www.epicpeople.org/data-data-everywhere/>

195

Ruppert E, Law J, Savage M. Reassembling Social Science Methods: The Challenge of Digital Devices. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2013;**30**:22–46. doi:10.1177/0263276413484941

196

Ford H. Big Data and Small: Collaborations between ethnographers and data scientists. *Big Data & Society* 2014;**1**. doi:10.1177/2053951714544337

197

» Abildegaard et al (2017) Five recent play dates - EASST.
<https://easst.net/article/five-recent-play-dates/>

198

Publications | Sciences Po | MedialabSciences Po | Medialab.
<http://www.medialab.sciences-po.fr/publication/>