

# SECU0039: Practices of Crime Scene Investigation and Expert Testimony

[View Online](#)

---

[1]

Baber, C. and Butler, M. 2012. Expertise in crime scene examination: Comparing search strategies of expert and novice crime scene examiners in simulated crime scenes. *Human Factors*. 54, 3 (Jun. 2012), 413–424. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720812440577>.

[2]

Brayley-Morris, H. et al. 2015. Persistence of DNA from laundered semen stains: Implications for child sex trafficking cases. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. 19, (Nov. 2015), 165–171. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2015.07.016>.

[3]

Channel 4 News 2018. Jordan Peterson debate on the gender pay gap, campus protests and postmodernism - YouTube.

[4]

College of Policing: Managing Investigations:  
<https://www.app.college.police.uk/app-content/investigations/managing-investigations/>.

[5]

Dror, I.E. et al. 2006. Contextual information renders experts vulnerable to making erroneous identifications. *Forensic Science International*. 156, 1 (Jan. 2006), 74–78. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2005.10.017>.

[6]

van den Eeden, C.A.J. et al. 2016. Forensic expectations: Investigating a crime scene with prior information. *Science & Justice*. 56, 6 (Dec. 2016), 475–481.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2016.08.003>.

[7]

G. N. Ruttly 2003. The effectiveness of protective clothing in the reduction of potential DNA contamination of the scene of crime. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*. 117, 3 (2003), 170–174. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00414-002-0348-1>.

[8]

Goray, M. et al. 2012. DNA transfer within forensic exhibit packaging: Potential for DNA loss and relocation. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. 6, 2 (Mar. 2012), 158–166. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2011.03.013>.

[9]

Harbison, S. and Fleming, R. 2016. Forensic body fluid identification: state of the art. *Research and Reports in Forensic Medical Science*. (Feb. 2016). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2147/RRFMS.S57994>.

[10]

Kanokwongnuwut, P. et al. 2018. Detection of latent DNA. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. 37, (Nov. 2018), 95–101. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2018.08.004>.

[11]

Margiotta, G. et al. 2015. Risk of DNA transfer by gloves in forensic casework. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series*. 5, (Dec. 2015), e527–e529. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigss.2015.09.208>.

[12]

Morgan, R.M. et al. 2010. The reincorporation and redistribution of trace geoforensic particulates on clothing: An introductory study. *Science & Justice*. 50, 4 (Dec. 2010), 195–199. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2010.04.002>.

[13]

van Oorschot, R. et al. 2005. Beware of the Possibility of Fingerprinting Techniques Transferring DNA. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 50, (2005), 1417–1422.

[14]

O'Sullivan, S. et al. 2011. The migration of fragments of glass from the pockets to the surfaces of clothing. *Forensic Science International*. 208, 1–3 (May 2011), 149–155.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2010.11.020>.

[15]

Pang, B.C.M. and Cheung, B.K.K. 2007. Double swab technique for collecting touched evidence. *Legal Medicine*. 9, 4 (Jul. 2007), 181–184.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.legalmed.2006.12.003>.

[16]

Poy, A. and van Oorschot, R.A.H. 2006. Beware; gloves and equipment used during the examination of exhibits are potential vectors for transfer of DNA-containing material. *International Congress Series*. 1288, (Apr. 2006), 556–558.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ics.2005.09.126>.

[17]

Proff, C. et al. 2006. Experiments on the DNA contamination risk via latent fingerprint brushes. *International Congress Series*. 1288, (Apr. 2006), 601–603.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ics.2005.10.053>.

[18]

Tobias, S.H.A. et al. 2017. The effect of pressure on DNA deposition by touch. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series*. 6, (Dec. 2017), e12–e14.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigss.2017.09.020>.

[19]

Wood, I. et al. 2017. Efficiencies of recovery and extraction of trace DNA from non-porous surfaces. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series*. 6, (Dec. 2017), e153–e155. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigss.2017.09.022>.

[20]

Criminal Procedure Rules-2015-part-19.pdf.

[21]

ENFSI Scenes of Crime Examination Best Practice Manual.

[22]

Forensic Science Regulator Annual Report 2015.

[23]

Forensic Science Regulator Annual Report 2016.

[24]

Forensic Science Regulator Guidance: Cognitive Bias Effects Relevant to Forensic Science Examinations.

[25]

Forensic Science Regulator Guidance: The Control and Avoidance of Contamination In Crime Scene Examination involving DNA Evidence Recovery. Forensic Science Regulator.

[26]

Guide to Coroner Services.

[27]

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR).

[28]

25AD. Processing a Crime Scene.

[29]

Why is evidence continuity and integrity so important? R v Sean Hoey, 2007.