

SECU0039: Practices of Crime Scene Investigation and Expert Testimony

[View Online](#)

1

Guide to Coroner Services.

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/363879/guide-to-coroner-service.pdf

2

College of Policing: Managing Investigations.

<https://www.app.college.police.uk/app-content/investigations/managing-investigations/>

3

Forensic Science Regulator Annual Report 2015.

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/482248/2015_FSR_Annual_Report_v1_0_final.pdf

4

Forensic Science Regulator Annual Report 2016.

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/581653/FSR_Annual_Report_v1.0.pdf

5

Forensic Science Regulator Guidance: The Control and Avoidance of Contamination In Crime Scene Examination involving DNA Evidence Recovery.

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/393866/206_FSR_SOC_contamination_consultation.pdf

6

Processing a Crime Scene. 25AD.<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ur1GxXZGnNI>

7

Baber C, Butler M. Expertise in crime scene examination: Comparing search strategies of expert and novice crime scene examiners in simulated crime scenes. *Human Factors* 2012;**54**:413–24. doi:10.1177/0018720812440577

8

van den Eeden CAJ, de Poot CJ, van Koppen PJ. Forensic expectations: Investigating a crime scene with prior information. *Science & Justice* 2016;**56**:475–81. doi:10.1016/j.scijus.2016.08.003

9

Forensic Science Regulator Guidance: Cognitive Bias Effects Relevant to Forensic Science Examinations.
https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/510147/217_FSR-G-217_Cognitive_bias_appendix.pdf

10

Poy A, van Oorschot RAH. Beware; gloves and equipment used during the examination of exhibits are potential vectors for transfer of DNA-containing material. *International Congress Series* 2006;**1288**:556–8. doi:10.1016/j.ics.2005.09.126

11

Proff C, Schmitt C, Schneider PM, et al. Experiments on the DNA contamination risk via latent fingerprint brushes. *International Congress Series* 2006;**1288**:601–3. doi:10.1016/j.ics.2005.10.053

12

van Oorschot R, Treadwell S, Beaurepaire J, et al. Beware of the Possibility of Fingerprinting Techniques Transferring DNA. Journal of Forensic Sciences 2005;**50**:1417–22. https://compass.astm.org/DIGITAL_LIBRARY/JOURNALS/JFS/PAGES/JFS2004430.htm

13

O'Sullivan S, Geddes T, Lovelock TJ. The migration of fragments of glass from the pockets to the surfaces of clothing. Forensic Science International 2011;**208**:149–55. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2010.11.020

14

Morgan RM, French JC, O'Donnell L, et al. The reincorporation and redistribution of trace geoforensic particulates on clothing: An introductory study. Science & Justice 2010;**50**:195–9. doi:10.1016/j.scijus.2010.04.002

15

Goray M, van Oorschot RAH, Mitchell JR. DNA transfer within forensic exhibit packaging: Potential for DNA loss and relocation. Forensic Science International: Genetics 2012;**6**:158–66. doi:10.1016/j.fsigen.2011.03.013

16

ENFSI Scenes of Crime Examination Best Practice Manual.
http://library.college.police.uk/docs/appref/ENFSI-BPM-v1_0.pdf

17

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR).
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2KoLnlwoZKU&feature=youtu.be>

18

G. N. Ruttly. The effectiveness of protective clothing in the reduction of potential DNA contamination of the scene of crime. International Journal of Legal Medicine 2003;**117**:170–4. doi:10.1007/s00414-002-0348-1

19

Margiotta G, Tasselli G, Tommolini F, et al. Risk of DNA transfer by gloves in forensic casework. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series* 2015;**5**:e527–9. doi:10.1016/j.fsigss.2015.09.208

20

Harbison S, Fleming R. Forensic body fluid identification: state of the art. *Research and Reports in Forensic Medical Science Published Online First*: February 2016. doi:10.2147/RRFMS.S57994

21

Kanokwongnuwut P, Kirkbride KP, Linacre A. Detection of latent DNA. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 2018;**37**:95–101. doi:10.1016/j.fsigen.2018.08.004

22

Tobias SHA, Jacques GS, Morgan RM, et al. The effect of pressure on DNA deposition by touch. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series* 2017;**6**:e12–4. doi:10.1016/j.fsigss.2017.09.020

23

Brayley-Morris H, Sorrell A, Revoir AP, et al. Persistence of DNA from laundered semen stains: Implications for child sex trafficking cases. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 2015;**19**:165–71. doi:10.1016/j.fsigen.2015.07.016

24

Wood I, Park S, Tooke J, et al. Efficiencies of recovery and extraction of trace DNA from non-porous surfaces. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series* 2017;**6**:e153–5. doi:10.1016/j.fsigss.2017.09.022

25

Pang BCM, Cheung BKK. Double swab technique for collecting touched evidence. *Legal Medicine* 2007;**9**:181–4. doi:10.1016/j.legalmed.2006.12.003

26

Dror IE, Charlton D, Péron AE. Contextual information renders experts vulnerable to making erroneous identifications. *Forensic Science International* 2006;**156**:74–8. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2005.10.017

27

Criminal Procedure Rules-2015-part-19.pdf.
<http://www.justice.gov.uk/courts/procedure-rules/criminal/docs/2015/crim-proc-rules-2015-part-19.pdf>

28

Channel 4 News. Jordan Peterson debate on the gender pay gap, campus protests and postmodernism - YouTube. 2018.<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aMcjxSThD54>

29

Why is evidence continuity and integrity so important? R v Sean Hoey, 2007.
<http://www.bailii.org/cgi-bin/markup.cgi?doc=/nie/cases/NICC/2007/49.html&query=sean+and+hoey&method=boolean>