

SECU0039: Practices of Crime Scene Investigation and Expert Testimony

View Online



1.

Guide to Coroner Services.

2.

College of Policing: Managing Investigations.

<https://www.app.college.police.uk/app-content/investigations/managing-investigations/>.

3.

Forensic Science Regulator Annual Report 2015.

4.

Forensic Science Regulator Annual Report 2016.

5.

Forensic Science Regulator Guidance: The Control and Avoidance of Contamination In Crime Scene Examination involving DNA Evidence Recovery.

6.

Processing a Crime Scene. (25AD).

7.

Baber, C. & Butler, M. Expertise in crime scene examination: Comparing search strategies of expert and novice crime scene examiners in simulated crime scenes. *Human Factors* **54**, 413–424 (2012).

8.

van den Eeden, C. A. J., de Poot, C. J. & van Koppen, P. J. Forensic expectations: Investigating a crime scene with prior information. *Science & Justice* **56**, 475–481 (2016).

9.

Forensic Science Regulator Guidance: Cognitive Bias Effects Relevant to Forensic Science Examinations.

10.

Poy, A. & van Oorschot, R. A. H. Beware; gloves and equipment used during the examination of exhibits are potential vectors for transfer of DNA-containing material. *International Congress Series* **1288**, 556–558 (2006).

11.

Proff, C., Schmitt, C., Schneider, P. M., Foerster, G. & Rothschild, M. A. Experiments on the DNA contamination risk via latent fingerprint brushes. *International Congress Series* **1288**, 601–603 (2006).

12.

van Oorschot, R., Treadwell, S., Beaurepaire, J., Holding, N. & Mitchell, R. Beware of the Possibility of Fingerprinting Techniques Transferring DNA. *Journal of Forensic Sciences* **50**, 1417–1422 (2005).

13.

O'Sullivan, S., Geddes, T. & Lovelock, T. J. The migration of fragments of glass from the pockets to the surfaces of clothing. *Forensic Science International* **208**, 149–155 (2011).

14.

Morgan, R. M., French, J. C., O'Donnell, L. & Bull, P. A. The reincorporation and redistribution of trace geoforensic particulates on clothing: An introductory study. *Science & Justice* **50**, 195–199 (2010).

15.

Goray, M., van Oorschot, R. A. H. & Mitchell, J. R. DNA transfer within forensic exhibit packaging: Potential for DNA loss and relocation. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* **6**, 158–166 (2012).

16.

ENFSI Scenes of Crime Examination Best Practice Manual.

17.

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR).

18.

G. N. Ratty. The effectiveness of protective clothing in the reduction of potential DNA contamination of the scene of crime. *International Journal of Legal Medicine* **117**, 170–174 (2003).

19.

Margiotta, G. et al. Risk of DNA transfer by gloves in forensic casework. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series* **5**, e527–e529 (2015).

20.

Harbison, S. & Fleming, R. Forensic body fluid identification: state of the art. *Research and Reports in Forensic Medical Science* (2016) doi:10.2147/RRFMS.S57994.

21.

Kanokwongnuwut, P., Kirkbride, K. P. & Linacre, A. Detection of latent DNA. Forensic Science International: Genetics **37**, 95–101 (2018).

22.

Tobias, S. H. A., Jacques, G. S., Morgan, R. M. & Meakin, G. E. The effect of pressure on DNA deposition by touch. Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series **6**, e12–e14 (2017).

23.

Brayley-Morris, H. et al. Persistence of DNA from laundered semen stains: Implications for child sex trafficking cases. Forensic Science International: Genetics **19**, 165–171 (2015).

24.

Wood, I. et al. Efficiencies of recovery and extraction of trace DNA from non-porous surfaces. Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series **6**, e153–e155 (2017).

25.

Pang, B. C. M. & Cheung, B. K. K. Double swab technique for collecting touched evidence. Legal Medicine **9**, 181–184 (2007).

26.

Dror, I. E., Charlton, D. & Péron, A. E. Contextual information renders experts vulnerable to making erroneous identifications. Forensic Science International **156**, 74–78 (2006).

27.

Criminal Procedure Rules-2015-part-19.pdf.

28.

Channel 4 News. Jordan Peterson debate on the gender pay gap, campus protests and postmodernism - YouTube. (2018).

29.

Why is evidence continuity and integrity so important? R v Sean Hoey, 2007.