

SECU0039: Practices of Crime Scene Investigation and Expert Testimony

[View Online](#)

1.

Guide to Coroner Services [Internet]. Available from:
https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/363879/guide-to-coroner-service.pdf

2.

College of Policing: Managing Investigations [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.app.college.police.uk/app-content/investigations/managing-investigations/>

3.

Forensic Science Regulator Annual Report 2015 [Internet]. Available from:
https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/482248/2015_FSR_Annual_Report_v1_0_final.pdf

4.

Forensic Science Regulator Annual Report 2016 [Internet]. Available from:
https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/581653/FSR_Annual_Report_v1.0.pdf

5.

Forensic Science Regulator Guidance: The Control and Avoidance of Contamination In Crime Scene Examination involving DNA Evidence Recovery [Internet]. Forensic Science Regulator; Available from:
https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/393866/206_FSR_SOC_contamination_consultation.pdf

6.

Processing a Crime Scene [Internet]. 25AD. Available from:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ur1GxXZGnNI>

7.

Baber C, Butler M. Expertise in crime scene examination: Comparing search strategies of expert and novice crime scene examiners in simulated crime scenes. *Human Factors*. 2012 Jun;54(3):413–24.

8.

van den Eeden CAJ, de Poot CJ, van Koppen PJ. Forensic expectations: Investigating a crime scene with prior information. *Science & Justice*. 2016 Dec;56(6):475–81.

9.

Forensic Science Regulator Guidance: Cognitive Bias Effects Relevant to Forensic Science Examinations [Internet]. Available from:
https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/510147/217_FSR-G-217_Cognitive_bias_appendix.pdf

10.

Poy A, van Oorschot RAH. Beware; gloves and equipment used during the examination of exhibits are potential vectors for transfer of DNA-containing material. *International Congress Series*. 2006 Apr;1288:556–8.

11.

Proff C, Schmitt C, Schneider PM, Foerster G, Rothschild MA. Experiments on the DNA contamination risk via latent fingerprint brushes. *International Congress Series*. 2006 Apr;1288:601–3.

12.

van Oorschot R, Treadwell S, Beaurepaire J, Holding N, Mitchell R. Beware of the Possibility of Fingerprinting Techniques Transferring DNA. *Journal of Forensic Sciences* [Internet]. 2005;50:1417–22. Available from: https://compass.astm.org/DIGITAL_LIBRARY/JOURNALS/JFS/PAGES/JFS2004430.htm

13.

O'Sullivan S, Geddes T, Lovelock TJ. The migration of fragments of glass from the pockets to the surfaces of clothing. *Forensic Science International*. 2011 May;208(1–3):149–55.

14.

Morgan RM, French JC, O'Donnell L, Bull PA. The reincorporation and redistribution of trace geoforensic particulates on clothing: An introductory study. *Science & Justice*. 2010 Dec;50(4):195–9.

15.

Goray M, van Oorschot RAH, Mitchell JR. DNA transfer within forensic exhibit packaging: Potential for DNA loss and relocation. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. 2012 Mar;6(2):158–66.

16.

ENFSI Scenes of Crime Examination Best Practice Manual [Internet]. Available from: http://library.college.police.uk/docs/appref/ENFSI-BPM-v1_0.pdf

17.

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2KoLnIwoZKU&feature=youtu.be>

18.

G. N. Ruttly. The effectiveness of protective clothing in the reduction of potential DNA contamination of the scene of crime. *International Journal of Legal Medicine* [Internet]. 2003;117(3):170–4. Available from: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00414-002-0348-1>

19.

Margiotta G, Tasselli G, Tommolini F, Lancia M, Massetti S, Carnevali E. Risk of DNA transfer by gloves in forensic casework. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series*. 2015 Dec;5:e527–9.

20.

Harbison S, Fleming R. Forensic body fluid identification: state of the art. *Research and Reports in Forensic Medical Science*. 2016 Feb;

21.

Kanokwongnuwut P, Kirkbride KP, Linacre A. Detection of latent DNA. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. 2018 Nov;37:95–101.

22.

Tobias SHA, Jacques GS, Morgan RM, Meakin GE. The effect of pressure on DNA deposition by touch. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series*. 2017 Dec;6:e12–4.

23.

Brayley-Morris H, Sorrell A, Revoir AP, Meakin GE, Court DS, Morgan RM. Persistence of DNA from laundered semen stains: Implications for child sex trafficking cases. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. 2015 Nov;19:165–71.

24.

Wood I, Park S, Tooke J, Smith O, Morgan RM, Meakin GE. Efficiencies of recovery and extraction of trace DNA from non-porous surfaces. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series*. 2017 Dec;6:e153–5.

25.

Pang BCM, Cheung BKK. Double swab technique for collecting touched evidence. *Legal Medicine*. 2007 Jul;9(4):181–4.

26.

Dror IE, Charlton D, Péron AE. Contextual information renders experts vulnerable to making erroneous identifications. *Forensic Science International*. 2006 Jan;156(1):74–8.

27.

Criminal Procedure Rules-2015-part-19.pdf [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.justice.gov.uk/courts/procedure-rules/criminal/docs/2015/crim-proc-rules-2015-part-19.pdf>

28.

Channel 4 News. Jordan Peterson debate on the gender pay gap, campus protests and postmodernism - YouTube [Internet]. 2018. Available from:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aMcjxSThD54>

29.

Why is evidence continuity and integrity so important? R v Sean Hoey, 2007 [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.bailii.org/cgi-bin/markup.cgi?doc=/nie/cases/NICC/2007/49.html&query=sean+and+hoey&method=boolean>