

LAWSG144: Principles of Media Regulation: Eric Barendt

[View Online](#)

1

Barendt EM, et al. Media law: text, cases and materials. Harlow: : Pearson 2014.

2

Hitchens L. Broadcasting pluralism and diversity: a comparative study of policy and regulation. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2006.

3

Lee C. Bollinger, Jr. Freedom of the Press and Public Access: Toward a Theory of Partial Regulation of the Mass Media. Michigan Law Review; **75**
:1-42.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/1287849>

4

US Supreme Court. Reno v ACLU (1997) 521 US 844. 1997.
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5BGK-W1K1-DYBP-W2KF&csi=274794&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

5

High Court of Australia. Dow Jones & Co Inc v Gutnick 1 LRC 368. 2002.
<http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=531V-T6P1-DYJ0-8137&csi=280207&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

6

Jacob Rowbottom. Media Freedom and Political Debate in the Digital Era. The Modern Law Review; **69**:489–513. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3877224>

7

Barendt EM, et al. Media law: text, cases and materials. Harlow: : Pearson 2014.

8

Stewart P. Or of the press. Hastings Law Journal; **26**
:631–7. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fhastlj&collection=journals>

9

Barendt EM. Freedom of speech. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199225811.001.0001>

10

Thomas Gibbons. Freedom of the press: ownership and editorial values. Public Law
1992;:279–99.

11

House of Lords Communications Committee. The Ownership of the News - First Report of
2007-8, HL 122.
2008. <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200708/ldselect/ldcomuni/ldcomuni.htm>

12

European Convention on Human Rights & fundamental freedoms.
1950. http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=basictexts&c=#n1359128122487_point
er

13

Observer and Guardian v United Kingdom (Application 13585/88) 14 EHRR 153. Court:
European Court of Human Rights 1991.
[http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-YJM0-TWW4-21NF
&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t](http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-YJM0-TWW4-21NF&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t)

14

McGregor OR, Great Britain. Royal Commission on the Press - Final Report. London: :
H.M.S.O. 1977.

15

Lichtenberg J. Democracy and the mass media: a collection of essays. Cambridge: :
Cambridge University Press 1990.

16

Anderson DA. Freedom of the Press. Texas Law Review 2002;**80**
:429-530.[http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&am
p;db=bth&AN=6306076&site=ehost-live&scope=site](http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&p;db=bth&AN=6306076&site=ehost-live&scope=site)

17

Association Ekin v France (Application No 39288/98) 35 EHRR 1207. 2002.
[http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7XP6-W3W0-Y9D2-B0M5
&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t](http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7XP6-W3W0-Y9D2-B0M5&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t)

18

Barendt EM, et al. Media law: text, cases and materials. Harlow: : Pearson 2014.

19

Robertson G, Nicol AGL. Media law. 5th ed. London: : Penguin 2008.

20

Queen's Bench Division (Administrative Court). R on the application of Anna Ford v PCC
EMLR 95 [2002] EMLR 5. 2002.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I79468360E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

21

Leveson LJ. The Leveson Report, Executive Summary HC 779.

2012.<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140122145147/http://www.levesoninquiry.org.uk/about/the-report/>

22

Barendt E. Statutory Underpinning: A Threat to Press Freedom Media Law after Leveson.
Journal of Media Law 2013;**5**

<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fjoomaw&collection=journals>

23

Leveson LJ. The Leveson Report, Executive Summary.

2012.<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140122145147/http://www.levesoninquiry.org.uk/about/the-report/>

24

Leveson Report: Cross Party Royal Charter.

2013.<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/leveson-report-cross-party-royal-charter>

25

The Independent Press Standards Organization (IPSO).

<https://www.ipso.co.uk/IPSO/whoweare.html>

26

IPSO Editors' Code of Practice. <https://www.ipso.co.uk/IPSO/cop.html>

27

Press Complaints Commission Editors' Code of Practice.
<http://www.pcc.org.uk/cop/practice.html>

28

Leveson LJ. The Leveson Report.
2012.<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140122145147/http://www.levesoninquiry.org.uk/about/the-report/>

29

Leveson LJ. The Leveson Report.
2012.<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140122145147/http://www.levesoninquiry.org.uk/about/the-report/>

30

Leveson Report: Cross Party Royal Charter.
2013.<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/leveson-report-cross-party-royal-charter>

31

Barendt EM, et al. Media law: text, cases and materials. Harlow: : Pearson 2014.

32

Robertson G, Nicol AGL. Media law. 5th ed. London: : Penguin 2008.

33

British Board of Film Classification guidelines. <http://www.bbfc.co.uk/>

34

British Board of Film Classification. BBFC policy statement, sexual and sadistic violence.
<http://www.bbfc.co.uk/what-classification/research>

35

UK Government. Video Recordings Act 1984.
1984.<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1984/39/contents>

36

European Court of Human Rights. Wingrove v UK (17419/90), 24 EHRR 1. 1997.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I08BF5580E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fshib-idp.ucl.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth>

37

Williams B. Report of the Committee on obscenity and film censorship. London: : H.M.S.O. 1979.

38

British Board of Film Classification. Report on effects of sexual and sadistic violence in films. <http://www.bbfc.co.uk/what-classification/research>

39

European Court of Human Rights. Otto-Preminger Institute v Austria (A/295-A), 19 EHRR 34. 1994.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I14CC5090E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

40

R v Video Appeals Committee of the BBFC, ex parte the BBFC, EMLR 850. 2000.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=59MP-VFV1-DYBP-P1FV&>

csi=274662&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

41

Barendt EM, et al. Media law: text, cases and materials. Harlow: : Pearson 2014.

42

A public service for all: the BBC in the digital age (Cm 6763, 2006).
2006.<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/a-public-service-for-all-the-bbc-in-the-digital-age>

43

House of Lords Select Committee on BBC Charter Review. The Review of the BBC's Royal Charter (2005, HL Paper 50-1).
2005.<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200506/ldselect/ldbbr/50/5002.htm>

44

Dept of National Heritage, British Broadcasting Corporation. Broadcasting: copy of Royal Charter for the continuance of the British Broadcasting Corporation. London: : HMSO 1996.

45

Dept for Culture, Media and Sport. Broadcasting: an agreement between Her Majesty's Secretary of State for Culture, Media and Sport and the BBC. London: : TSO 2006.

46

Communications Act 2003. 2003.<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2003/21/contents>

47

BBC. BBC Annual Report 2012/13 - Overview.
2013.http://www.bbc.co.uk/bbctrust/news/press_releases/2013/annual_report.html

48

Born G, Prosser T. Culture and Consumerism: Citizenship, Public Service Broadcasting and the BBC's Fair Trading Obligations. *The Modern Law Review*; **64**:657-87. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1097275>

49

Vick DW. Regulatory convergence? *Legal Studies*; **26**:26-64.
doi:10.1111/j.1748-121X.2006.00005.x

50

Queen's Bench Division. *R v BBC, ex parte Referendum Party*, EMLR 605. 1997.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I333CFA70E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

51

Dept of Culture, Media and Sport. Details of Culture, Media and Sport select committee inquiry - The Future of the BBC.
<http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/commons-select/culture-media-and-sport-committee/news/131022-future-of-the-bbc-tor/>

52

Culture, Media & Sport Committee. BBC Licence Fee Settlement and Annual Report - Fourth Report. 2011. <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201012/cmselect/cmcumeds/454/45402.htm>

53

Barendt EM, et al. *Media law: text, cases and materials*. Harlow: : Pearson 2014.

54

Robertson G, Nicol AGL. Media law. 5th ed. London: : Penguin 2008.

55

Communications Act 2003. 2003.<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2003/21/contents>

56

OFCOM. OFCOM Broadcasting Code.
<http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/broadcasting/broadcast-codes/broadcast-code/>

57

OFCOM. OFCOM dismissal of complaints against Jerry Springer - the Opera.
9AD.<http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/enforcement/broadcast-bulletins/pcb61/>

58

House of Lords. R (On the Application of Pro-Life Alliance) v BBC, 1 AC 185 (Hoffmann, Scott). 2004.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7ECA41A0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

59

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Gaunt v OFCOM, EWCA Civ 692, 1 WLR 2355. 2011.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5F0750B0993B11E0AD16BA3024D1BECD&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

60

Hitchens L. Broadcasting pluralism and diversity: a comparative study of policy and regulation. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2006.

61

OFCOM. OFCOM Broadcasting Code.

<http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/broadcasting/broadcast-codes/broadcast-code/>

62

BBC Trust. From Seesaw to Wagon Wheel: safeguarding impartiality in the 21st century.

2007.http://www.bbc.co.uk/bbctrust/our_work/editorial_standards/impartiality/safeguarding_impartiality.html

63

UK Government. Broadcasting Act 1990.

1990.<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/42/contents>

64

Hare I. Insulting Politicians on the Radio? *Journal of Media Law*; **4**:29–34.

doi:10.5235/175776312802483899

65

House of Lords Communications Committee. Media Convergence - Second Report.

2013.<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201213/ldselect/ldcomuni/154/15402.htm>

66

Fielden L. Regulating for trust in journalism: standards regulation in the age of blended media. Oxford: : Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism 2011.

<http://copac.ac.uk/search?title=Regulating%20for%20Trust%20in%20Journalism&rn=1>

67

Barendt EM, et al. Media law: text, cases and materials. Harlow: : Pearson 2014.

68

Queen's Bench Division. R v ASA, ex parte Charles Robertson, EMLR 463. 2000.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I2ED2E991E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

69

Committee of Advertising Practice. UK Code of Non-Broadcasting Advertising, Sales Promotion and Direct Marketing.
<http://www.cap.org.uk/Advertising-Codes/Non-Broadcast.aspx>

70

OFCOM. Code on the Scheduling of Television Advertising.
<http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/broadcasting/broadcast-codes/advert-code/>

71

Barendt EM, et al. Media law: text, cases and materials. Harlow: : Pearson 2014.

72

VGT Verein gegen Tierfabriken v Switzerland, 34 EHRR 4. 2002.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE73CB0B0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fshib-idp.ucl.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth>

73

R (On the Application of ADI) v Secretary of State for Culture, Media and Sport, 1 AC 1312. 2008.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IF99845A0F0C211DC810BBB39FEDB30E7&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fshib-idp.ucl.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth>

74

European Court of Human Rights. Animal Defenders International v UK, European Court decision of 22 April 2013.
22AD.<http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/fra/pages/search.aspx?i=001-119244>

75

Robertson G, Nicol AGL. Media law. 5th ed. London: : Penguin 2008.

76

Hitchens L. Broadcasting pluralism and diversity: a comparative study of policy and regulation. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2006.

77

Chancery Division. DGFT v Tobyward, 2 All ER 266 - [1989] 1 W.L.R. 517. 1989.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9943DD31E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

78

Queen's Bench Division (Administrative Court). R v ASA, ex parte Matthias Rath, EMLR 581. 2001.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7A529C30E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

79

Colin R. Munro. Self-regulation in the media. Public Law;;6-17.

80

Committee of Advertising Practice. BCAP Television Advertising Code.
<http://www.cap.org.uk/Advertising-Codes/Broadcast.aspx>

81

European Court of Human Rights. TV Vest and Pensioners Party v Norway, 48 EHRR 51. 2009.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8DD21A00403311DEB8B88BCF555915D2&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

82

Andrew Scott. 'A Monstrous and Unjustifiable Infringement?': Political Expression and the Broadcasting Ban on Advocacy Advertising. *The Modern Law Review*; **66**:224-44. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1097625>

83

Rowbottom J. Animal Defenders International: Speech, Spending, and a Change of Direction in Strasbourg. *Journal of Media Law*; **5**:1-13. doi:10.5235/17577632.5.1.1

84

Barendt EM, et al. *Media law: text, cases and materials*. Harlow: : Pearson 2014.

85

Communications Act 2003. 2003. <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2003/21/contents>

86

House of Lords Communications Committee. *The Ownership of News*. 2008; **HL 122**. <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200708/ldselect/ldcomuni/122/12202.htm>

87

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *BSkyB v Competition Commission*, EWCA Civ 2. 2010. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7F645240070B11DFBAF4824E29209369&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

88

Smith RC, Tambini D. *Measuring Media Plurality in the United Kingdom: Policy Choices and*

Regulatory Challenges. *Journal of Media Law*;4:35–63. doi:10.5235/175776312802483862

89

House of Lords Communications Committee. Media Plurality. 2014.<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201314/ldselect/ldcomm/120/12002.htm>

90

Hitchens L. *Broadcasting pluralism and diversity: a comparative study of policy and regulation*. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2006.

91

Rowbottom J. *Democracy distorted: wealth, influence and democratic politics*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2010.

92

Craufurd Smith R. Reviewing Media Ownership Rules in the UK and Europe: Competing or Complementary Investigations? *Journal of Media Law*;5:332–44. doi:10.5235/17577632.5.2.332

93

Arnott C. Media Mergers and the Meaning of Sufficient Plurality: A Tale of Two Acts. *Journal of Media Law*;2:245–75. doi:10.5235/175776310794389373

94

Dept for Culture, Media & Sport. OFCOM Report and other documents on News Corporation acquisition of BSkyB. 2011.<http://www.culture.gov.uk/publications/7737.aspx>

95

Dept for Culture, Media & Sport. Media ownership and plurality.
2013.<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/media-ownership-and-plurality>

96

Barendt EM, et al. Media law: text, cases and materials. Harlow: : Pearson 2014.

97

Barendt EM. Freedom of speech. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199225811.001.0001>

98

Murray A. Information technology law: the law and society. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.

99

US Supreme Court. Reno v ACLU (1997) 521 US 844. 1997.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5BGK-W1K1-DYBP-W2KF&csi=274794&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

100

Divisional Court. Chambers v DPP, EWHC 2157 (QB). 2012.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I96CC0DB1D86411E18BFAEAE7E8BE854C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

101

Communications Act 2003. 2003.<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2003/21/contents>

102

Jacob Rowbottom. Media Freedom and Political Debate in the Digital Era. The Modern Law Review; **69**:489–513.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3877224>

103

Internet Watch Foundation. <http://www.iwf.org.uk/services/blocking>

104

House of Lords Communications Committee. Media Convergence - Second Report. 2013. <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201213/ldselect/ldcomuni/154/15402.htm>

105

Hitchens L. Broadcasting pluralism and diversity: a comparative study of policy and regulation. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2006.

106

Price ME. The Newness of New Technology. Cardozo Law Review; **22**:1885–913. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fcdozo&collection=journals>

107

Edwards L, Waelde C. Law and the Internet. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Hart 2009.

108

Jack L. Goldsmith. Against Cyberanarchy. The University of Chicago Law Review; **65**:1199–250. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1600262>

109

The Authority for Television on Demand. Authority for Television on Demand (ATVOD) Rules. <http://www.atvod.co.uk/rules-and-guidance>

110

Barendt EM, et al. Media law: text, cases and materials. Harlow: : Pearson 2014.

111

Barendt EM. Freedom of speech. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199225811.001.0001>

112

High Court of Australia. Dow Jones & Co Inc v Gutnick 1 LRC 368. 2002.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=531V-T6P1-DYJ0-8137&csi=280207&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

113

Queen's Bench Division. Bunt v Tilley, 3 All ER 336. 2006.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7D5A7A71E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

114

Queen's Bench Division. Metropolitan International Schools v Designtecnica EWHC 1765 (QB), EMLR 27. 2009.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9AB8083075A111DE8908AB881D7C0247&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

115

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Tamiz v Google Inc, EWCA Civ 308, EMLR 14. 2013.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA400CDA0771911E2BDD5EE83071B65AA&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

116

Defamation Act 2013. 2013.<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2013/26/contents/enacted>

117

R v Perrin, EWCA Crim 747, All ER (D) 359 (Mar). 2002.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXP-Y980-TWW4-20VV&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

118

R v Bowden, 2 All ER 418. 2000.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-6013&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

119

Birnhack MD, Rowbottom JH. Shielding Children: The European Way Symposium: Do Children Have the Same First Amendment Rights as Adults. Chicago-Kent Law Review 2004;**79**:175-227.<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fchknt&collection=journals>

120

BBC News. Google and Microsoft agree steps to block abuse images.
18AD.<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-24980765>

121

Muir Watt H. Yahoo Cyber-Collision of Cultures: Who Regulates (Special Feature - Cyberage Conflicts Law). Michigan Journal Of International Law;**24**:673-96.<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fmjil&collection=journals>

122

Godfrey v Demon Internet Ltd, QB 201. 2001.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K3D-RRG0-TXD5-X0YC>

&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

123

Divisional Court. *Atkins, Goodland v DPP*, 2 All ER 425. 2000.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I687E0E01E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

124

R v Porter, EWCA Crim 560, 2 All ER 625. 2006.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JHK-XM70-TWW4-20H5&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>