

# LAWSG144: Principles of Media Regulation: Eric Barendt

View Online



[1]

E. M. Barendt and et al, Media law: text, cases and materials, vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson, 2014.

[2]

L. Hitchens, Broadcasting pluralism and diversity: a comparative study of policy and regulation. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2006.

[3]

Lee C. Bollinger, Jr., 'Freedom of the Press and Public Access: Toward a Theory of Partial Regulation of the Mass Media', Michigan Law Review, vol. 75, no. 1, pp. 1-42 [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1287849>

[4]

US Supreme Court, Reno v ACLU (1997) 521 US 844. 1997 [Online]. Available: <http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5BGK-W1K1-DYBP-W2KF&csi=274794&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

[5]

High Court of Australia, Dow Jones & Co Inc v Gutnick 1 LRC 368. 2002 [Online]. Available: <http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=531V-T6P1-DYJ0-8137&csi=280207&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

[6]

Jacob Rowbottom, 'Media Freedom and Political Debate in the Digital Era', *The Modern Law Review*, vol. 69, no. 4, pp. 489-513 [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3877224>

[7]

E. M. Barendt and et al, *Media law: text, cases and materials*, vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson, 2014.

[8]

P. Stewart, 'Or of the press', *Hastings Law Journal*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 631-637 [Online]. Available: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fhastlj&collection=journals>

[9]

E. M. Barendt, *Freedom of speech*, 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007 [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199225811.001.0001>

[10]

Thomas Gibbons, 'Freedom of the press: ownership and editorial values', *Public Law*, pp. 279-299, 1992.

[11]

House of Lords Communications Committee, 'The Ownership of the News - First Report of 2007-8, HL 122'. 2008 [Online]. Available: <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200708/ldselect/ldcomuni/ldcomuni.htm>

[12]

'European Convention on Human Rights & fundamental freedoms'. European Court of Human Rights, 1950 [Online]. Available: [http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=basictexts&c=#n1359128122487\\_pointer](http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=basictexts&c=#n1359128122487_pointer)

[13]

Observer and Guardian v United Kingdom (Application 13585/88) 14 EHRR 153. Court: European Court of Human Rights, 1991 [Online]. Available: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXM-YJM0-TWW4-21NF&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

[14]

O. R. McGregor and Great Britain, Royal Commission on the Press - Final Report, vol. Cmnd 6810. London: H.M.S.O., 1977.

[15]

J. Lichtenberg, Democracy and the mass media: a collection of essays, vol. Cambridge studies in philosophy and public policy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[16]

D. A. Anderson, 'Freedom of the Press', Texas Law Review, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 429-530, 2002 [Online]. Available: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=bth&AN=6306076&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

[17]

Association Ekin v France (Application No 39288/98) 35 EHRR 1207. 2002 [Online]. Available: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7XP6-W3W0-Y9D2-B0M5&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

[18]

E. M. Barendt and et al, Media law: text, cases and materials, vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson, 2014.

[19]

G. Robertson and A. G. L. Nicol, *Media law*, 5th ed. London: Penguin, 2008.

[20]

Queen's Bench Division (Administrative Court), *R on the application of Anna Ford v PCC* EMLR 95 [2002] EMLR 5. 2002 [Online]. Available:  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I79468360E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

[21]

L. J. Leveson, 'The Leveson Report, Executive Summary HC 779'. National Archives, 2012 [Online]. Available:  
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140122145147/http://www.levesoninquiry.org.uk/about/the-report/>

[22]

E. Barendt, 'Statutory Underpinning: A Threat to Press Freedom Media Law after Leveson', *Journal of Media Law*, vol. 5, no. 2, 2013 [Online]. Available:  
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fjoomaw&collection=journals>

[23]

L. J. Leveson, 'The Leveson Report, Executive Summary'. National Archives, 2012 [Online]. Available:  
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140122145147/http://www.levesoninquiry.org.uk/about/the-report/>

[24]

'Leveson Report: Cross Party Royal Charter', 2013. [Online]. Available:  
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/leveson-report-cross-party-royal-charter>

[25]

'The Independent Press Standards Organization (IPSO)'. [Online]. Available:  
<https://www.ipso.co.uk/IPSO/whoweare.html>

[26]

'IPSO Editors' Code of Practice'. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ipso.co.uk/IPSO/cop.html>

[27]

'Press Complaints Commission Editors' Code of Practice'. [Online]. Available: <http://www.pcc.org.uk/cop/practice.html>

[28]

L. J. Leveson, 'The Leveson Report'. National Archives, 2012 [Online]. Available: <http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140122145147/http://www.levesoninquiry.org.uk/about/the-report/>

[29]

L. J. Leveson, 'The Leveson Report'. National Archives, 2012 [Online]. Available: <http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140122145147/http://www.levesoninquiry.org.uk/about/the-report/>

[30]

'Leveson Report: Cross Party Royal Charter', 2013. [Online]. Available: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/leveson-report-cross-party-royal-charter>

[31]

E. M. Barendt and et al, Media law: text, cases and materials, vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson, 2014.

[32]

G. Robertson and A. G. L. Nicol, Media law, 5th ed. London: Penguin, 2008.

[33]

'British Board of Film Classification guidelines'. [Online]. Available: <http://www.bbfc.co.uk/>

[34]

British Board of Film Classification, 'BBFC policy statement, sexual and sadistic violence'. [Online]. Available: <http://www.bbfc.co.uk/what-classification/research>

[35]

UK Government, 'Video Recordings Act 1984', 1984. [Online]. Available: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1984/39/contents>

[36]

European Court of Human Rights, *Wingrove v UK* (17419/90), 24 EHRR 1. 1997 [Online]. Available: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I08BF5580E42911DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fshib-idp.ucl.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth>

[37]

B. Williams, *Report of the Committee on obscenity and film censorship*, vol. Cmnd 7772. London: H.M.S.O., 1979.

[38]

British Board of Film Classification, 'Report on effects of sexual and sadistic violence in films'. [Online]. Available: <http://www.bbfc.co.uk/what-classification/research>

[39]

European Court of Human Rights, *Otto-Preminger Institute v Austria* (A/295-A), 19 EHRR 34. 1994 [Online]. Available: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I14CC5090E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

oleth

[40]

R v Video Appeals Committee of the BBFC, ex parte the BBFC, EMLR 850. 2000 [Online]. Available:  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=59MP-VFV1-DYBP-P1FV&csi=274662&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

[41]

E. M. Barendt and et al, Media law: text, cases and materials, vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson, 2014.

[42]

'A public service for all: the BBC in the digital age (Cm 6763, 2006)'. UK Government, 2006 [Online]. Available:  
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/a-public-service-for-all-the-bbc-in-the-digital-age>

[43]

House of Lords Select Committee on BBC Charter Review, 'The Review of the BBC's Royal Charter (2005, HL Paper 50-1)', 2005. [Online]. Available:  
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200506/ldselect/ldbbsc/50/5002.htm>

[44]

Dept of National Heritage and British Broadcasting Corporation, Broadcasting: copy of Royal Charter for the continuance of the British Broadcasting Corporation, vol. Cm 6925. London: HMSO, 1996.

[45]

Dept for Culture, Media and Sport, Broadcasting: an agreement between Her Majesty's Secretary of State for Culture, Media and Sport and the BBC, vol. Cm 6872. London: TSO, 2006.

[46]

'Communications Act 2003', 2003. [Online]. Available:  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2003/21/contents>

[47]

BBC, 'BBC Annual Report 2012/13 - Overview', 2013. [Online]. Available:  
[http://www.bbc.co.uk/bbctrust/news/press\\_releases/2013/annual\\_report.html](http://www.bbc.co.uk/bbctrust/news/press_releases/2013/annual_report.html)

[48]

G. Born and T. Prosser, 'Culture and Consumerism: Citizenship, Public Service Broadcasting and the BBC's Fair Trading Obligations', *The Modern Law Review*, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 657–687 [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1097275>

[49]

D. W. Vick, 'Regulatory convergence?', *Legal Studies*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 26–64, doi: 10.1111/j.1748-121X.2006.00005.x.

[50]

Queen's Bench Division, *R v BBC, ex parte Referendum Party*, EMLR 605. 1997 [Online]. Available:  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I333CFA70E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

[51]

Dept of Culture, Media and Sport, 'Details of Culture, Media and Sport select committee inquiry - The Future of the BBC'. [Online]. Available:  
<http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/commons-select/culture-media-and-sport-committee/news/131022-future-of-the-bbc-tor/>

[52]

Culture, Media & Sport Committee, 'BBC Licence Fee Settlement and Annual Report - Fourth Report'. 2011 [Online]. Available:  
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201012/cmselect/cmcumeds/454/45402.htm>

[53]

E. M. Barendt and et al, Media law: text, cases and materials, vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson, 2014.

[54]

G. Robertson and A. G. L. Nicol, Media law, 5th ed. London: Penguin, 2008.

[55]

'Communications Act 2003', 2003. [Online]. Available:  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2003/21/contents>

[56]

OFCOM, 'OFCOM Broadcasting Code'. [Online]. Available:  
<http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/broadcasting/broadcast-codes/broadcast-code/>

[57]

OFCOM, 'OFCOM dismissal of complaints against Jerry Springer - the Opera', 9AD. [Online]. Available:  
<http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/enforcement/broadcast-bulletins/pcb61/>

[58]

House of Lords, R (On the Application of Pro-Life Alliance) v BBC, 1 AC 185 (Hoffmann, Scott). 2004 [Online]. Available:  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7ECA41A0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

[59]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division), *Gaunt v OFCOM*, EWCA Civ 692, 1 WLR 2355. 2011

[Online]. Available:

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I5F0750B0993B11E0AD16BA3024D1BECD&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

[60]

L. Hitchens, *Broadcasting pluralism and diversity: a comparative study of policy and regulation*. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2006.

[61]

OFCOM, 'OFCOM Broadcasting Code'. [Online]. Available:

<http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/broadcasting/broadcast-codes/broadcast-code/>

[62]

BBC Trust, 'From Seesaw to Wagon Wheel: safeguarding impartiality in the 21st century'. 2007 [Online]. Available:

[http://www.bbc.co.uk/bbctrust/our\\_work/editorial\\_standards/impartiality/safeguarding\\_imp](http://www.bbc.co.uk/bbctrust/our_work/editorial_standards/impartiality/safeguarding_imp)

[artiality.html](http://www.bbc.co.uk/bbctrust/our_work/editorial_standards/impartiality/safeguarding_imp)

[63]

UK Government, 'Broadcasting Act 1990', 1990. [Online]. Available:

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1990/42/contents>

[64]

I. Hare, 'Insulting Politicians on the Radio?', *Journal of Media Law*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 29–34, doi: 10.5235/175776312802483899.

[65]

House of Lords Communications Committee, 'Media Convergence - Second Report', 2013.

[Online]. Available:

<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201213/ldselect/ldcomuni/154/15402.htm>

[66]

L. Fielden, Regulating for trust in journalism: standards regulation in the age of blended media. Oxford: Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism, 2011 [Online]. Available: <http://copac.ac.uk/search?title=Regulating%20for%20Trust%20in%20Journalism&rn=1>

[67]

E. M. Barendt and et al, Media law: text, cases and materials, vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson, 2014.

[68]

Queen's Bench Division, R v ASA, ex parte Charles Robertson, EMLR 463. 2000 [Online]. Available: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I2ED2E991E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

[69]

Committee of Advertising Practice, 'UK Code of Non-Broadcasting Advertising, Sales Promotion and Direct Marketing'. [Online]. Available: <http://www.cap.org.uk/Advertising-Codes/Non-Broadcast.aspx>

[70]

OFCOM, 'Code on the Scheduling of Television Advertising'. [Online]. Available: <http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/broadcasting/broadcast-codes/advert-code/>

[71]

E. M. Barendt and et al, Media law: text, cases and materials, vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson, 2014.

[72]

VGT Verein gegen Tierfabriken v Switzerland, 34 EHRR 4. 2002 [Online]. Available:  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IE73CB0B0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fshib-idp.ucl.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth>

[73]

R (On the Application of ADI) v Secretary of State for Culture, Media and Sport, 1 AC 1312. 2008 [Online]. Available:  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IF99845A0F0C211DC810BBB39FEDB30E7&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https%3A%2F%2Fshib-idp.ucl.ac.uk%2Fshibboleth>

[74]

European Court of Human Rights, 'Animal Defenders International v UK, European Court decision of 22 April 2013', 22AD. [Online]. Available:  
<http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/fra/pages/search.aspx?i=001-119244>

[75]

G. Robertson and A. G. L. Nicol, Media law, 5th ed. London: Penguin, 2008.

[76]

L. Hitchens, Broadcasting pluralism and diversity: a comparative study of policy and regulation. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2006.

[77]

Chancery Division, DGFT v Tobyward, 2 All ER 266 - [1989] 1 W.L.R. 517. 1989 [Online]. Available:  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9943DD31E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

[78]

Queen's Bench Division (Administrative Court), R v ASA, ex parte Matthias Rath, EMLR 581.

2001 [Online]. Available:

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7A529C30E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

[79]

Colin R. Munro, 'Self-regulation in the media', Public Law, no. 1, pp. 6–17.

[80]

Committee of Advertising Practice, 'BCAP Television Advertising Code'. [Online]. Available: <http://www.cap.org.uk/Advertising-Codes/Broadcast.aspx>

[81]

European Court of Human Rights, *TV Vest and Pensioners Party v Norway*, 48 EHRR 51.

2009 [Online]. Available:

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I8DD21A00403311DEB8B88BCF555915D2&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

[82]

Andrew Scott, '"A Monstrous and Unjustifiable Infringement"?: Political Expression and the Broadcasting Ban on Advocacy Advertising', *The Modern Law Review*, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 224–244 [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1097625>

[83]

J. Rowbottom, 'Animal Defenders International: Speech, Spending, and a Change of Direction in Strasbourg', *Journal of Media Law*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–13, doi: 10.5235/17577632.5.1.1.

[84]

E. M. Barendt and et al, *Media law: text, cases and materials*, vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson, 2014.

[85]

'Communications Act 2003', 2003. [Online]. Available:  
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2003/21/contents>

[86]

House of Lords Communications Committee, 'The Ownership of News', 2008. [Online]. Available:  
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200708/ldselect/ldcomuni/122/12202.htm>

[87]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division), BSKyB v Competition Commission, EWCA Civ 2. 2010  
[Online]. Available:  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7F645240070B11DFBAF4824E29209369&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

[88]

R. C. Smith and D. Tambini, 'Measuring Media Plurality in the United Kingdom: Policy Choices and Regulatory Challenges', *Journal of Media Law*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 35–63, doi: 10.5235/175776312802483862.

[89]

House of Lords Communications Committee, 'Media Plurality', 2014. [Online]. Available:  
<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201314/ldselect/ldcomm/120/12002.htm>

[90]

L. Hitchens, *Broadcasting pluralism and diversity: a comparative study of policy and regulation*. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2006.

[91]

J. Rowbottom, *Democracy distorted: wealth, influence and democratic politics*, vol. The law in context series. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[92]

R. Craufurd Smith, 'Reviewing Media Ownership Rules in the UK and Europe: Competing or Complementary Investigations?', *Journal of Media Law*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 332–344, doi: 10.5235/17577632.5.2.332.

[93]

C. Arnott, 'Media Mergers and the Meaning of Sufficient Plurality: A Tale of Two Acts', *Journal of Media Law*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 245–275, doi: 10.5235/175776310794389373.

[94]

Dept for Culture, Media & Sport, 'OfCOM Report and other documents on News Corporation acquisition of BSkyB', 2011. [Online]. Available: <http://www.culture.gov.uk/publications/7737.aspx>

[95]

Dept for Culture, Media & Sport, 'Media ownership and plurality', 2013. [Online]. Available: <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/media-ownership-and-plurality>

[96]

E. M. Barendt and et al, *Media law: text, cases and materials*, vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson, 2014.

[97]

E. M. Barendt, *Freedom of speech*, 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007 [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199225811.001.0001>

[98]

A. Murray, Information technology law: the law and society. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010.

[99]

US Supreme Court, *Reno v ACLU* (1997) 521 US 844. 1997 [Online]. Available: <http://www.lexisnexus.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5BGK-W1K1-DYBP-W2KF&csi=274794&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

[100]

Divisional Court, *Chambers v DPP*, EWHC 2157 (QB). 2012 [Online]. Available: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I96CC0DB1D86411E18BFAEAE7E8BE854C&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

[101]

'Communications Act 2003', 2003. [Online]. Available: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2003/21/contents>

[102]

Jacob Rowbottom, 'Media Freedom and Political Debate in the Digital Era', *The Modern Law Review*, vol. 69, no. 4, pp. 489-513 [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3877224>

[103]

'Internet Watch Foundation'. [Online]. Available: <http://www.iwf.org.uk/services/blocking>

[104]

House of Lords Communications Committee, 'Media Convergence - Second Report', 2013. [Online]. Available: <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201213/ldselect/ldcomuni/154/15402.htm>

[105]

L. Hitchens, *Broadcasting pluralism and diversity: a comparative study of policy and regulation*. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2006.

[106]

M. E. Price, 'The Newness of New Technology', *Cardozo Law Review*, vol. 22, no. 5-6, pp. 1885-1913 [Online]. Available: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fcdozo&collection=journals>

[107]

L. Edwards and C. Waelde, *Law and the Internet*, 3rd ed. Oxford: Hart, 2009.

[108]

Jack L. Goldsmith, 'Against Cyberanarchy', *The University of Chicago Law Review*, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 1199-1250 [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1600262>

[109]

The Authority for Television on Demand, 'Authority for Television on Demand (ATVOD) Rules'. [Online]. Available: <http://www.atvod.co.uk/rules-and-guidance>

[110]

E. M. Barendt and et al, *Media law: text, cases and materials*, vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson, 2014.

[111]

E. M. Barendt, *Freedom of speech*, 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007 [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199225811.001.0001>

[112]

High Court of Australia, *Dow Jones & Co Inc v Gutnick* 1 LRC 368. 2002 [Online]. Available: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=531V-T6P1-DYJ0-8137&csi=280207&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

[113]

Queen's Bench Division, *Bunt v Tilley*, 3 All ER 336. 2006 [Online]. Available: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7D5A7A71E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

[114]

Queen's Bench Division, *Metropolitan International Schools v Designtecnica* EWHC 1765 (QB), EMLR 27. 2009 [Online]. Available: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I9AB8083075A111DE8908AB881D7C0247&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

[115]

Court of Appeal (Civil Division), *Tamiz v Google Inc*, EWCA Civ 308, EMLR 14. 2013 [Online]. Available: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IA400CDA0771911E2BDD5EE83071B65AA&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

[116]

'Defamation Act 2013', 2013. [Online]. Available: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2013/26/contents/enacted>

[117]

*R v Perrin*, EWCA Crim 747, All ER (D) 359 (Mar). 2002 [Online]. Available: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXP-Y980-TWW4-20VV&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

[118]

R v Bowden, 2 All ER 418. 2000 [Online]. Available:  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4CSP-4J80-TWP1-6013&csi=274668&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

[119]

M. D. Birnhack and J. H. Rowbottom, 'Shielding Children: The European Way Symposium: Do Children Have the Same First Amendment Rights as Adults', Chicago-Kent Law Review, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 175-227, 2004 [Online]. Available:  
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fchknt&collection=journals>

[120]

BBC News, 'Google and Microsoft agree steps to block abuse images', 18AD. [Online]. Available: <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-24980765>

[121]

H. Muir Watt, 'Yahoo Cyber-Collision of Cultures: Who Regulates (Special Feature - Cyberage Conflicts Law)', Michigan Journal Of International Law, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 673-696 [Online]. Available:  
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fmjil&collection=journals>

[122]

Godfrey v Demon Internet Ltd, QB 201. 2001 [Online]. Available:  
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K3D-RRG0-TXD5-X0YC&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

[123]

Divisional Court, Atkins, Goodland v DPP, 2 All ER 425. 2000 [Online]. Available:  
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I687E0E01E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

[124]

R v Porter, EWCA Crim 560, 2 All ER 625. 2006 [Online]. Available:

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JHK-XM70-TWW4-20H5  
&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t](http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JHK-XM70-TWW4-20H5&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t)