INST0041: Concepts and Contexts



1.

Caswell, ML. "'The Archive' Is Not an Archives: On Acknowledging the Intellectual Contributions of Archival Studies" [Internet]. Available from: https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7bn4v1fk

2.

BS ISO 15489-1:2016 Information and documentation. Records management. Concepts and principles [Internet]. BSI; 2016. Available from: https://bsol.bsigroup.com/Bibliographic/BibliographicInfoData/000000000030298891

3.

Sue McKemmish. Traces: Document, record, archive, archives. In: Archives: recordkeeping in society. Wagga Wagga, N.S.W.: Centre for Information Studies, Charles Sturt University; 2005. p. 1–20.

4.

Yeo G. Concepts of Record (1): Evidence, Information, and Persistent Representations. The American Archivist. 2007 Sept;70(2):315–43.

5.

Schellenberg, T. R. Modern archives: principles and techniques [Internet]. Melbourne: F.W. Cheshire; 1956. Available from: http://www2.archivists.org/publications/epubs

MacNeil H, Eastwood T, editors. Currents of archival thinking [Internet]. Second edition. Santa Barbara, California: Libraries Unlimited; 2017. Available from: http://ebookcentral.proguest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=4776509

7.

Jenkinson, Hilary, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. Manual of archive administration [Internet]. 1922. Available from: http://www2.archivists.org/publications/epubs

8.

International Conference on the History of Records and Archives. Engaging with records and archives: histories and theories [Internet]. Foscarini F, MacNeil H, Mak B, Oliver G, editors. London: Facet Publishing; 2016. Available from: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1424541&site=ehost-live&scope=site

9.

Rekrut A. Matters of substance: materiality and meaning in historical records and their digital images. Archives and Manuscripts. 2014 Sept 2;42(3):238–47.

10.

Smit F, editor. Archives in Liquid Times [Internet]. Dutch Society for Archival Publications (S@P); 2017. Available from: http://library.oapen.org/handle/20.500.12657/30942

11.

Dever M. Photographs and manuscripts: working in the archive. Archives and Manuscripts. 2014 Sept 2;42(3):282-94.

12.

Acker A. How cells became records: standardization and infrastructure in tissue culture. Archival Science. 2015 Mar;15(1):1–24.

Gilliland AJ, Caswell M. Records and their imaginaries: imagining the impossible, making possible the imagined. Archival Science. 2015 Nov 7;

14.

Upward F. Modelling the continuum as paradigm shift in recordkeeping and archiving processes, and beyond: a personal reflection. Records Management Journal. 2000;10(3):115–39.

15.

Yeo G. Concepts of Record (2): Prototypes and Boundary Objects. The American Archivist. 2008 Apr;71(1):118–43.

16.

InterPARES 3 Intellectual Framework.

17.

Stockholm Conference on Archival Science and the Concept of Record. The concept of record: report from the second Stockholm Conference on Archival Science and the Concept of Record, 30-31 May 1996. Vol. Skrifter utgivna av Riksarkivet. [Stockholm]: Riksarkivet; 1998.

18.

Wooldridge JM. Introductory econometrics: a modern approach. 5th ed. Mason, Ohio: South-Western Cengage Learning; 2013.

19.

Duranti, Luciana, Thibodeau, Kenneth. The Concept of Record in Interactive, Experiential and Dynamic Environments: the View of InterPARES*. Archival Science. 2006 Oct 18;6(1):13–68.

Atherton, Jay. From Life Cycle to Continuum: Some Thoughts on the Records Management - Archives Relationship. Archiviria. 1985;(21).

21.

Kahle B. Universal Access to All Knowledge. The American Archivist. 2007 Jan;70(1):23–31.

22.

Craven, Louise. What are archives?: cultural and theoretical perspectives: a reader [Internet]. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2008. Available from: https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.4324/9781315547497

23.

Duranti, Luciana, Preston, Randy, InterPARES Project, Associazione nazionale archivistica italiana. International reseach on permanent authentic records in electronic systems (InterPARES) 2: Experimental, interactive and dynamic records. Padova: CLEUP; 2008.

24.

Heather M. Conceptualizing an Authentic Electronic Record. 2000.

25.

McKemmish, Sue, Piggott, Michael, Australia. The Records continuum: Ian Maclean and Australian Archives first fifty years. Vol. Monash occasional papers in librarianship, recordkeeping and bibliography. Clayton: Ancora Press in association with Australian Archives; 1994.

26.

Sue M. Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow: A Continuum of Responsibility (Information Technology). 1997.

Schwartz JM, Cook T. Archives, records, and power: The making of modern memory. Archival Science. 2002 Mar;2(1–2):1–19.

28.

Trace CB. What is recorded is never simply 'what happened': Record keeping in modern organizational culture. Archival Science. 2002 Mar;2(1–2):137–59.

29.

Upward, Frank. Structuring the Records Continuum - Part One: Postcustodial principles and properties. 1996.

30.

Upward, Frank. Structuring the Records Continuum, Part Two: Structuration Theory and Recordkeeping.

31.

InterPARES 1 Template for Analysis.

32.

Yeo G. Rising to the level of a record? Some thoughts on records and documents. Records Management Journal. 2011;21(1):8–27.

33.

Yeo G. 'Nothing is the same as something else': significant properties and notions of identity and originality. Archival Science [Internet]. 2010;10(2):85–116. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10502-010-9119-9

34.

Frank Upward. Structuring the Records Continuum - Part One: Postcustodial principles and

properties (Information Technology). 1996.

35.

Ketelaar E. Cultivating archives: meanings and identities. Archival Science. 2012 Mar;12(1):19–33.

36.

Cumming K. Ways of seeing: contextualising the continuum. Records Management Journal. 2010;20(1):41–52.

37.

MacNeil H, Eastwood T, editors. Currents of archival thinking [Internet]. Second edition. Santa Barbara, California: Libraries Unlimited; 2017. Available from: http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=4776509

38.

Ngoepe M, Makhubela S. "Justice delayed is justice denied". Records Management Journal. 2015 Nov 16;25(3):288–305.

39.

Lemieux VL. The records-risk nexus: exploring the relationship between records and risk. Records Management Journal. 2010;20(2):199–216.

40.

Dirks J. Accountability, History, and Archives: Conflicting Priorities or Synthesized Strands? Archivaria [Internet]. 2004;57. Available from: http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/viewFile/12451/13554

41.

Birkinshaw P. Freedom of information and its impact in the United Kingdom. Government Information Quarterly. 2010 Oct;27(4):312–21.

Longmore B. The Public Records (Scotland) Act 2011: creating a culture that values public records. Archives and Records. 2013 Oct;34(2):248-62.

43.

Worthy B, Hazell R. Disruptive, Dynamic and Democratic? Ten Years of FOI in the UK. Parliamentary Affairs. 2017 Jan;70(1):22–42.

44.

Moss M. The Hutton Inquiry, the President of Nigeria and What the Butler Hoped to See. The English Historical Review. 2005 June 1;120(487):577–92.

45.

Thurston A. Integrity in government through records management: essays in honour of Anne Thurston. Lowry J, Wamukoya J, editors. Farnham, Surrey, England: Ashgate; 2014.

46.

'Say nothing': silenced records and the Boston College subpoenas. Available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/23257962.2013.859573

47.

Henttonen P. Privacy as an archival problem and a solution. Archival Science. 2017 Sept;17(3):285–303.

48.

Shepherd E. Freedom of Information, Right to Access Information, Open Data: Who is at the Table? The Round Table. 2015 Nov 2;104(6):715–26.

Duchein M. The History of European Archives and the Development of the Archival Profession in Europe. The American Archivist. 1992 Jan;55(1):14–25.

50.

WORTHY B. More Open but Not More Trusted? The Effect of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 on the United Kingdom Central Government. Governance. 2010 Sept 24;23(4):561–82.

51.

Birkinshaw P. Freedom of information: the law, the practice and the ideal [Internet]. 4th ed. Vol. The law in context series. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511844904

52.

Birkinshaw P. Government and information: the law relating to access, disclosure and their regulation. 3rd ed. Haywards Heath: Tottel; 2005.

53.

Legislation and regulations | The National Archives [Internet]. Available from: http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/information-management/legislation/

54.

Wallace, David A., Cox, Richard J. Archives and the public good: accountability and records in modern society [Internet]. London: Quorum Books; 2002. Available from: https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=74622&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451

55.

MacNeil, Heather, Eastwood, Terry. Currents of archival thinking vol 1. Oxford: Libraries Unlimited; 2010.

Shepherd E, Stevenson A, Flinn A. The Impact of Freedom of Information on Records Management and Record Use in Local Government: A Literature Review. Journal of the Society of Archivists. 2009 Oct;30(2):227–48.

57.

Shepherd E. Freedom of Information and Records Management in the UK: What has been the Impact? Journal of the Society of Archivists. 2007 Oct;28(2):125–38.

58.

Holmlund, Mona, Avery, Cheryl. Better off forgetting?: essays on archives, public policy, and collective memory [Internet]. London: University of Toronto Press; 2010. Available from: https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3138/9781442689879

59.

McLeod J. Thoughts on the opportunities for records professionals of the open access, open data agenda. Records Management Journal. 2012;22(2):92–7.

60.

Shepherd E, Stevenson A, Flinn A. Records management in English local government: the effect of freedom of information. Records Management Journal. 2011;21(2):122–34.

61.

McKemmish S, Faulkhead S, Russell L. Distrust in the archive: reconciling records. Archival Science. 2011 Nov;11(3–4):211–39.

62.

Tough A. Accountability, open government and record keeping: time to think again? Records Management Journal. 2011;21(3):225–36.

Birkinshaw P. Government and information: the law relating to access, disclosure and their regulation. 3rd ed. Haywards Heath: Tottel; 2005.

64.

Birkinshaw P. Freedom of information: the law, the practice and the ideal [Internet]. 4th ed. Vol. The law in context series. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511844904

65.

Interview with Dr Anne Thurston on Open Government and trustworthy records | Gov 2.0 Radio.

66.

Wallace, David A., Cox, Richard J. Archives and the public good: accountability and records in modern society [Internet]. London: Quorum Books; 2002. Available from: https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=74622&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451

67.

Meijer A. Accountability in an Information Age: Opportunities and Risks for Records Management - ProQuest.

68.

Jimerson RC. Ripples across the pond: global implications of the Heiner Affair. 2011;39(1).

69.

Hurley C. Archivists and Accountability. 2006;34(2).

70.

McKemmish, Sue, Charles Sturt University. Archives: recordkeeping in society. Vol. Topics

in Australasian library and information studies. Wagga Wagga, N.S.W.: Centre for Information Studies, Charles Sturt University; 2005.

71.

Wamukoya J. Records and archives as a basis for good government: implications and challenges for records managers and archivists in Africa. Records Management Journal. 2000;10(1):23–33.

72.

Cox, Richard J. Ethics, accountability and recordkeeping in a dangerous world. Vol. Principles and practice in records management and archives. London: Facet; 2006.

73.

Caron DJ, Kellerhals A. Supporting Democratic Values Through a Relevant Documentary Foundation - An Evolutionary Complex.

74.

Public Sector Information | Europa - Information Society.

75.

Hurley C. Recordkeeping, Document Destruction and the Law (Heiner, Enron and McCabe). 2002;30(2).

76

Council of Europe. Access to official documents Recommendation Rec (2002) 2 of the Committee of Ministers and explanatory memorandum. Directorate General of Human Rights, Council of Europe; 2003.

77.

Open Government Data | Open Knowledge Foundation Blog.

Valge J, Kibal B. Restrictions on Access to Archives and Records in Europe: A History and the Current Situation. Journal of the Society of Archivists. 2007 Oct;28(2):193–214.

79.

Council of Europe - Council of Europe Convention on Access to Official Documents (CETS No. 205). 2009.

80.

The National Archives. The United Kingdom Report on the Re-use of Public Sector Information

81.

Critical Archival Studies: An Introduction | Journal of Critical Library and Information Studies. Available from: https://journals.litwinbooks.com/index.php/jclis/article/view/50

82.

L, Hughes-Watkins. Moving Toward a Reparative Archive: A Roadmap for a Holistic Approach to Disrupting Homogenous Histories in Academic Repositories and Creating Inclusive Spaces for Marginalized Voices. Available from: https://elischolar.library.yale.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1045&context=jcas

83.

Sachs, Albie. Archives, Truth, and Reconciliation. Archivaria [Internet]. :1–14. Available from:

 $https://ucl-new-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_proquest200553204\&context=PC\&vid=UCL_VU2\&lang=en_US\&search_scope=CSCOP_UCL\&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe\&tab=local\&query=any,contains,archives,%20truth%20and%20reconciliation%20sachs\&offset=0$

Harris V. Antonyms of our remembering. Archival Science. 2014 Oct;14(3-4):215-29.

85.

Archival Amnesty: In Search of Black American Transitional and Restorative Justice | Journal of Critical Library and Information Studies. Available from: https://journals.litwinbooks.com//index.php/jclis/article/view/42

86.

We Already Are - Sustainable Futures - Medium [Internet]. Available from: https://medium.com/community-archives/we-already-are-52438b863e31

87.

Cifor M. Affecting relations: introducing affect theory to archival discourse. Archival Science. 2016 Mar;16(1):7–31.

88.

An Archive of Feelings: Trauma, Sexuality, and Lesbian Public Cultures | Books Gateway | Duke University Press [Internet]. Available from: https://read.dukeupress.edu/books/book/773/An-Archive-of-FeelingsTrauma-Sexuality-and-Lesbian

89.

Russell L. Affect in the archive: trauma, grief, delight and texts. Some personal reflections. Archives and Manuscripts. 2018 May 4;46(2):200–7.

90.

N, Laurent, M, Hart. Emotional Labour and Archival Practice: Reflection [Internet]. Available from:

http://www.ncarchivists.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/jsnca_vol15_laurent-hart-1.pdf

Gilliland AJ, Caswell M. Records and their imaginaries: imagining the impossible, making possible the imagined. Archival Science. 2016 Mar;16(1):53–75.

92.

Wilson JZ, Golding F. Latent scrutiny: personal archives as perpetual mementos of the official gaze. Archival Science. 2016 Mar;16(1):93–109.

93.

Sloan K, Vanderfluit J, Douglas J. Not 'Just my Problem to Handle': Emerging Themes on Secondary Trauma and Archivists. Available from: https://elischolar.library.yale.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1090&context=jcas

94.

Duff WM, Flinn A, Suurtamm KE, Wallace DA. Social justice impact of archives: a preliminary investigation. Archival Science. 2013 Dec;13(4):317–48.

95.

Dunbar AW. Introducing critical race theory to archival discourse: getting the conversation started. Archival Science. 2006 Oct 31;6(1):109–29.

96.

Confronting Our Failure of Care Around the Legacies of Marginalized People in the Archives [Internet]. Available from:

https://medium.com/on-archivy/confronting-our-failure-of-care-around-the-legacies-of-marg inalized-people-in-the-archives-dc4180397280

97.

Gilliland A. Neutrality, social justice and the obligations of archival education and educators in the twenty-first century. Archival Science. 2011 Nov;11(3-4):193-209.

Greene, Mark A. A Critique of Social Justice as an Archival Imperative: What Is It We're Doing That's All That Important? The American Archivist [Internet]. 2012;76(2):302–34. Available from:

https://www.jstor.org/stable/43490357?seq=1#metadata info tab contents

99.

Jimerson R. Archivists and Social Responsibility: A Response to Mark Greene. The American Archivist. 2013 Sept;76(2):335–45.

100.

Caswell M. Not Just Between Us: A Riposte to Mark Greene / Letter to the Editor. American Archivist [Internet]. 2013;76(2). Available from: http://americanarchivist.org/doi/pdf/10.17723/aarc.76.2.89324135v02r2g74

101.

Ramirez M. Being Assumed Not to Be: A Critique of Whiteness as an Archival Imperative | The American Archivist. Available from: https://americanarchivist.org/doi/full/10.17723/0360-9081.78.2.339

102.

Ishmael HJM. Reclaiming history: Arthur Schomburg. Archives and Manuscripts. 2018 Sept 2;46(3):269–88.

103.

Critical Archival Studies: An Introduction | Journal of Critical Library and Information Studies. Available from: https://journals.litwinbooks.com/index.php/jclis/article/view/50

104.

Ramirez M. Being Assumed Not to Be: A Critique of Whiteness as an Archival Imperative | The American Archivist. Available from: https://americanarchivist.org/doi/full/10.17723/0360-9081.78.2.339

Brilmyer G. Center for the Study of Women | Why Disability Studies for Archives? | Center for the Study of Women [Internet]. Available from: https://csw.ucla.edu/2018/07/13/why-disability-studies-for-archives/

106.

Schlesselman-Tarango G. The Legacy of Lady Bountiful: White Women in the Library. Library Trends. 2016;64(4):667–86.

107.

Identifying and Dismantling White Supremacy in Archives [Internet]. Available from: http://www.gracenbrilmyer.com/dismantling_whiteSupremacy_archives3.pdf

108.

Goudarouli E, Sexton A, Sheridan J. The Challenge of the Digital and the Future Archive: Through the Lens of The National Archives UK. Philosophy & Technology. 2019 Mar;32(1):173–83.

109.

Duranti L. Whose truth? Records and archives as evidence in the era of post-truth and disinformation. In: Brown C, editor. Archival Futures. Facet; 2018. p. 19–32.

110.

How Archivists Could Stop Deepfakes From Rewriting History [Internet]. Available from: https://gizmodo.com/how-archivists-could-stop-deepfakes-from-rewriting-hist-1829666009

111.

Robertson T. digitization: just because you can, doesn't mean you should – tara robertson [Internet]. Available from: http://tararobertson.ca/2016/oob/

Noble SU. Algorithms of oppression: how search engines reinforce racism [Internet]. New York: New York University Press; 2018. Available from:

http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1497317&site=ehost-live&scope=site

113.

Sutherland T. The Carceral Archive: Documentary Records, Narrative Construction, and Predictive Risk Assessment «CA: Journal of Cultural Analytics. Available from: https://culturalanalytics.org/2019/06/the-carceral-archive-documentary-records-narrative-construction-and-predictive-risk-assessment%EF%BB%BF/

114.

S. L. Ziegler. Digitization Selection Criteria as Anti-Racist Action. Code4Lib Journal [Internet]. (45). Available from: https://journal.code4lib.org/articles/14667

115.

Cook T. 'We Are What We Keep; We Keep What We Are': Archival Appraisal Past, Present and Future. Journal of the Society of Archivists. 2011 Oct;32(2):173–89.

116

Anne G. The Archival Paradigm: The Genesis and Rationales of Archival Principles and Practices: Council on Library and Information Resources [Internet]. Available from: http://www.clir.org/pubs/reports/pub89/archival.html

117.

Thethi J. Archives and Inclusivity: Respectful descriptions of marginalised groups. – Intersectional GLAM [Internet]. Available from: https://intersectionalglam.home.blog/2018/11/22/archives-and-inclusivity-respectful-descriptions-of-marginalised-groups/

118.

Wright K. Archival interventions and the language we use. Archival Science. 2019 May 15;

Mortensen P. The Place of Theory in Archival Practice. 1999.

120.

Lager Vestberg N. Archival Value. Photographies. 2008 Mar;1(1):49-65.

121.

International Council on Archives. Statement of Principles Regarding Archival Description. Archivaria [Internet]. 1992;1(34). Available from: http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/11837/12789

122.

Cook, Terry. What is Past is Prologue: A History of Archival Ideas Since 1898, and the Future Paradigm Shift. Archiviaria. 1997;(43):17–63.

123.

Groth P, Gil Y, Cheney J, Miles S. Requirements for Provenance on the Web. International Journal of Digital Curation. 2012 Mar 12;7(1).

124.

Duranti L. From Digital Diplomatics to Digital Records Forensics [Internet]. Available from: http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13229/14548

125.

Millar L. The Death of the Fonds and the Resurrection of Provenance: Archival Context in Space and Time.

MacNeil H. Providing Grounds for Trust II: The Findings of the Authenticty Task Force of InterPARES.

127.

Ketelaar E. Archival Theory and the Dutch Manual.

128.

Cook, Terry. The Concept of the Archival Fonds in the Post-Custodial Era: Theory, Problems and Solutions. Archivaria. 1993;35.

129.

Muller, Samuel, Feith, Johan Adriaan, Fruin, R., Vereniging van Archivarissen in Nederland. Manual for the arrangement and description of archives. Vol. Archival classics series. Chicago: Society of American Archivists; 2003.

130.

Stockholm Conference on Archival Theory and the Principle of Provenance, Sweden. The principle of provenance: report from the First Stockholm Conference on Archival Theory and the Principle of Provenance 2-3 September 1993. Vol. Skrifter utgivna av Svenska riksarkivet. [Stockholm]: Swedish National Archives; 1994.

131.

Brothman B. Orders of Value: Probing the Theoretical Terms of Archival Practice. 1991.

132.

Duranti L. Diplomatics: New Uses for an Old Science 1. 1989.

133.

Duranti L. Diplomatics: New Uses for an Old Science 2. 1989.

134.
Duranti L. Diplomatics: New Uses for an Old Science 3. 1990.
135.
Duranti L. Diplomatics: New Uses for an Old Science 4. 1990.
136.
Duranti L. Diplomatics: New Uses for an Old Science 5. 1991.
137.
Duranti, Luciana. Diplomatics: new uses for an old science. Lanham, Md: Scarecrow Press; 1998.
138.
Duranti L. Reliability and Authenticity: The Concepts and Their Implications.
139.
Duranti L, MacNeil H. The Protection of the Integrity of Electronic Records: An Overview of the UBC-MAS Research Project.
140.
Eastwood T. Nailing a Little Jelly to the Wall of Archival Studies. 1993.
141.

Eastwood T. What is Archival Theory and Why is it Important?

Horsman P. The Last Dance of the Phoenix, or the De-discovery of the Archival Fonds.

143.

MacNeil H. Archival Theory and Practice: Between Two Paradigms.

144.

Nesmith T. Still Fuzzy, But More Accurate: Some Thoughts on the 'Ghosts' of Archival Theory. 1999.

145.

Ridener, John. From Polders to postmodernism: a concise history of archival theory. Duluth, Minn: Litwin Books; 2009.

146.

Roberts J. Practice Makes Perfect, Theory Makes Theorists.

147.

Roberts J. What is Archival Theory and Why is it Important? Response to Terry Eastwood's Paper.

148.

Duranti L. Concepts and principles for the management of electronic records, or records management theory is archival diplomatics. Records Management Journal. 2010;20(1):78–95.

149.

Mak B. On the Uses of Authenticity. Available from: http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13381/14 688

Geoffrey Yeo. The Conceptual Fonds and the Physical Collection. Available from: http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13384/14691

151.

Yeo G. Bringing Things Together: Aggregate Records in a Digital Age. Available from: http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13407/14713

152.

Zhang J. Original Order in Digital Archives. Available from: http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13410/14719

153.

Duranti L. Origin and Development of the Concept of Archival Description | Duranti | Archivaria. Archivaria [Internet]. 1992;35. Available from: https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/92f1/d3b23cfc46f8c4ed7638ca58fac9c05c4574.pdf

154.

O'Meara E, Tuomala M. Finding Balance between Archival Principles and Real-Life Practices in an Institutional Repository. Available from: http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13385/14693

155.

Fear K, Donaldson DR. Provenance and credibility in scientific data repositories. Archival Science. 2012 Sept;12(3):319–39.

Williams C. Studying Reality: The Application of Theory in an Aspect of UK Practice.

157.

Bazerman C. The orders of documents, the orders of activity, and the orders of information. Archival Science. 2012 Dec;12(4):377–88.

158.

Bunn J. Questioning autonomy: an alternative perspective on the principles which govern archival description. Archival Science. 2013 Mar 12;

159.

Findlay C. Archival activism. Archives and Manuscripts. 2016 Sept;44(3):155-9.

160.

Cook T. Evidence, memory, identity, and community: four shifting archival paradigms. Archival Science. 2013 June;13(2-3):95-120.

161.

Bastian J. Taking Custody, Giving Access: A Postcustodial Role for a New Century. Archivaria. 2002;(53).

162.

International Conference on the History of Records and Archives. Engaging with records and archives: histories and theories [Internet]. Foscarini F, MacNeil H, Mak B, Oliver G, editors. London: Facet Publishing; 2016. Available from: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1424541&site=ehost-live&scope=site

163.

ARMA Information Governance Professional Code of Ethics [Internet]. Available from: https://www.arma.org/page/IGP_Ethics

Archives and Records Association Code of Ethics [Internet]. Available from: https://www.archives.org.uk/ara-code-of-ethics

165.

Caswell M. On archival pluralism: what religious pluralism (and its critics) can teach us about archives. Available from:

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10502-012-9197-y

166.

McKemmish S, Gililand AJ, Lau A, editors. Research in the archival multiverse [Internet]. Clayton, Victoria, Australia: Monash University Publishing; 2017. Available from: http://www.oapen.org/search?identifier=628143

167.

McKemmish S, Piggott M. Toward the Archival Multiverse: Challenging the Binary Opposition of the Personal and Corporate Archive in Modern Archival Theory and Practice. Archivaria [Internet]. 2013;76. Available from:

http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13461/14781

168.

Cox, Richard J. Rethinking Archival Ethics. Journal of Information Ethics [Internet]. 22:13–20. Available from:

https://search.proquest.com/docview/1661892025?rfr id=info%3Axri%2Fsid%3Aprimo

169.

Neazor M. Recordkeeping Professional Ethics and their Application. 2007; Available from: http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13146/14 390

Jimerson RC. Ethical Concerns for Archivists. The Public Historian. 2006 Feb;28(1):87–92.

171.

Dingwall G. Trusting Archivists: The Role of Archival Ethics Codes in Establishing Public Faith. The American Archivist. 2004 Jan;67(1):11–30.

172.

Gale C. Record-keeping as an ethical imperative. Journal of the Society of Archivists. 2006 Apr;27(1):17–27.

173.

Benedict, Karen M., Society of American Archivists. Ethics and the archival profession: introduction and case studies. Chicago: Society of American Archivists; 2003.

174.

Cook M. Professional ethics and practice in archives and records management in a human rights context *. Journal of the Society of Archivists. 2006 Apr;27(1):1–15.

175.

Danielson, Elena S. The ethical archivist. Chicago: Society of American Archivists; 2010.

176.

Cox, Richard J. Ethics, accountability and recordkeeping in a dangerous world. Vol. Principles and practice in records management and archives. London: Facet; 2006.

177.

Menne-Haritz A. Access — The reformulation of an archival paradigm. Archival Science. 2001 Mar;1(1):57–82.

Vukliš, V. Archival Activism: Emerging Forms, Local Applications. Available from: http://escholarship.org/uc/item/112790sz#page-1

179.

Jenkinson H. A manual of archive administration. 2nd ed. reprinted. Lund, Humphries; 1965

180.

Schellenberg, T. R. Modern archives: principles and techniques. Melbourne: F.W. Cheshire; 1956.

181.

Cook T. Fashionable Nonsense or Professional Rebirth: Postmodernism and the Practice of Archives. Archivaria [Internet]. 2001;51. Available from: http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12792/13989

182.

Cook T. Electronic Records, Paper Minds: The Revolution in Information Management and Archives in the Post-Custodial and Post-Modernist Era. Archives & Social Studies. 2007;

183.

Brothman B. Declining Derrida: Integrity, Tensegrity, and the Preservation of Archives from Deconstruction. Archivaria [Internet]. 1999;48. Available from: http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12717/13894

184.

Bastian J. A Question of Custody: The Colonial Archives of the United States Virgin Islands. The American Archivist. 2001 Jan;64(1):96–114.

MacNeil H. Trusting Records in a Postmodern World. Archivaria [Internet]. 2001;51. Available from:

http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12793/13991

186.

Tom Nesmith. Seeing Archives: Postmodernism and the Changing Intellectual Place of Archives. American Archivist [Internet]. 2002;65. Available from: https://americanarchivist.org/doi/pdf/10.17723/aarc.65.1.rr48450509r0712u

187.

Ham G. Archival Strategies for the Post-Custodial Era. The American Archivist. 1981;44–3.

188.

Harris V. Claiming Less, Delivering More: A Critique of Positivist Formulations on Archives in South Africa. Archivaria [Internet]. 1997;44. Available from: http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12200/13217

189.

Derrida, Jacques, Prenowitz, Eric. Archive fever: a Freudian impression. Vol. Religion and postmodernism. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1996.

190.

Cook T. Archival science and postmodernism: new formulations for old concepts. Archival Science. 2001 Mar;1(1):3–24.

191.

Cook T, Schwartz JM. Archives, records, and power: From (postmodern) theory to (archival) performance. Archival Science. 2002 Sept;2(3-4):171-85.

Reed B. Reinventing access. Archives and Manuscripts. 2014 May 4;42(2):123-32.

193.

Vilar P, Sauperl A. Archives, Quo Vadis et Cum Quibus?: Archivists' self-perceptions and perceptions of users of contemporary archives. International Journal of Information Management. 2015 Oct;35(5):551–60.

194.

Flanagan M, Carini P. How Games Can Help Us Access and Understand Archival Images. The American Archivist. 2012 Oct;75(2):514–37.

195.

Duff W, Johnson C. Where Is the List with All the Names? Information-Seeking Behavior of Genealogists. The American Archivist. 2003 Jan;66(1):79–95.

196.

Prom C. Using Web Analytics to Improve Online Access to Archival Resources. The American Archivist. 2011 Apr;74(1):158–84.

197.

Fear K. User Understanding of Metadata in Digital Image Collections: Or, What Exactly Do You Mean by 'Coverage'? The American Archivist. 2010 May;73(1):26–60.

198.

Daniels M, Yakel E. Seek and You May Find: Successful Search in Online Finding Aid Systems. The American Archivist. 2010 Sept;73(2):535-68.

Altman B, Nemmers J. The Usability of On-line Archival Resources: The Polaris Project Finding Aid. The American Archivist. 2001 Jan;64(1):121–31.

200.

Yakel E, Bost LL. Understanding Administrative Use and Users in University Archives - American Archivist - Volume 57, Number 4 / Fall 1994 - Society of American Archivists. The American Archivist [Internet]. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/40293870

201.

Yakel E, Torres D. Al: Archival Intelligence and User Expertise. The American Archivist. 2003 Jan;66(1):51–78.

202.

The Guide to Researching Audiences.

203.

Daines JG, Nimer CL. Re-Imagining Archival Display: Creating User-Friendly Finding Aids. Journal of Archival Organization. 2011 Jan;9(1):4–31.

204.

Sexton A, Turner C, Yeo G, Hockey S. Understanding users: a prerequisite for developing new technologies. Journal of the Society of Archivists. 2004 Apr;25(1):33–49.

205.

Chapman A. Collection-level description: Joining up the domains. Journal of the Society of Archivists. 2004 Oct;25(2):149–55.

206.

Hill A. Serving the invisible researcher: Meeting the needs of online users. Journal of the Society of Archivists. 2004 Oct;25(2):139–48.

Lowry J. Radical empathy, the imaginary and affect in (post)colonial records: how to break out of international stalemates on displaced archives. Archival Science. 2019 June;19(2):185–203.

208.

Sato S. 'Operation Legacy': Britain's Destruction and Concealment of Colonial Records Worldwide. The Journal of Imperial and Commonwealth History. 2017 July 4;45(4):697–719.

209.

Espinal, Isabel. A Holistic Approach for Inclusive Librarianship: Decentering Whiteness in Our Profession. Library Trends [Internet]. 2018;67(1):147–62. Available from: https://search.proquest.com/docview/2130845198?OpenUrlRefId=info:xri/sid:primo&accountid=14511

210.

Schwartz JM, Cook T. Archives, records, and power: The making of modern memory. Archival Science. 2002 Mar;2(1–2):1–19.

211.

Lamont J. Records Management: An Expanding Role. Available from: http://www.kmworld.com/Articles/Editorial/Features/Records-management-an-expanding-role-96372.aspx

212.

Broady-Preston J. The information professional of the future: polymath or dinosaur? Library Management. 2010;31(1/2):66-78.

213.

Procter M. What's an 'Archivist'? Some Nineteenth-Century Perspectives. Journal of the

Society of Archivists. 2010 Apr;31(1):15-27.

214.

Scanlan K. ARMA v. SAA: The History and Heart of Professional Friction. The American Archivist [Internet]. 2011;74. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/23079045?seg=1#page scan tab contents

215.

Millar, Laura. Explaining Ourselves: 40 Years of Archivaria. Available from: http://archivaria.ca/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13542

216

Ismail A, Jamaludin A. Records professionals: an invisible profession in Malaysia. Records Management Journal. 2011;21(1):69–75.

217.

Cline S. 'To the Limit of Our Integrity': Reflections on Archival Being. The American Archivist. 2009 Sept;72(2):331–43.

218.

Shepherd, Elizabeth. Gatekeepers to the Profession: Archival e ducation, 1880–1980. In: Archives and archivists in 20th century England. Farnham: Ashgate; 2009.

219.

Jenkinson, Hilary. The English archivist: a new profession. London: H.K. Lewis; 1948.

220.

Elizabeth G, Rebecca S. 'Golden Age,' Quiescence, and Revival: How the Sociology of Professions Became the Study of Knowledge-Based Work. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258200542_Golden_Age_Quiescence_and_Revival

Currall J, Moss M. We are archivists, but are we OK? Records Management Journal. 2008;18(1):69–91.

222.

McLeod J, Hare C. Development of RMJ: A mirror of the development of the profession and discipline of records management. Records Management Journal. 2010;20(1):9–40.

223.

Cline S. "Dust Clouds of Camels Shall Cover You": Covenant and the Archival Endeavor. American Archivist [Internet]. 2012; Available from: https://americanarchivist.org/doi/abs/10.17723/aarc.75.2.03193j1517858r34

224.

Shepherd E. Pioneering women archivists in England: Ethel Stokes (1870–1944), record agent. Archival Science. 2017 June;17(2):175–94.

225.

Lappin J. What will be the next records management orthodoxy? Records Management Journal. 2010;20(3):252-64.

226.

Ceeney N. The Role of a 21st-century National Archive—The Relevance of the Jenkinsonian Tradition, and a Redefinition for the Information Society. Journal of the Society of Archivists. 2008 Apr;29(1):57–71.

227.

Shepherd E. Archives and archivists in 20th century England [Internet]. Farnham: Ashgate; 2009. Available from:

http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780754681922

Gauld C. Democratising or privileging: the democratisation of knowledge and the role of the archivist. Archival Science. 2015 Nov 12;

229.

Hall S. Constituting an archive. Third Text. 2001 Mar;15(54):89-92.

230.

Against Precarity: Towards a Community-Based Notion of Fiscal Sustainability [Internet]. Available from:

https://medium.com/community-archives/against-precarity-towards-a-community-based-notion-of-fiscal-sustainability-815d1d889309

231.

Flinn A, Stevens M, Shepherd E. Whose memories, whose archives? Independent community archives, autonomy and the mainstream. Archival Science. 2009 Oct 31;9(1–2):71–86.

232.

Sexton A, Sen D. More voice, less ventriloquism– exploring the relational dynamics in a participatory archive of mental health recovery. International Journal of Heritage Studies. 2018 Sept 14;24(8):874–88.

233.

We Already Are - Sustainable Futures - Medium [Internet]. Available from: https://medium.com/community-archives/we-already-are-52438b863e31

234.

Eveleigh A. Welcoming the World: An Exploration of Participatory Archives, ICA Congress Brisbane 2012 [Internet]. 2012. Available from: http://ica2012.ica.org/files/pdf/Full%20papers%20upload/ica12Final00128.pdf

Bastian JA, Flinn A, editors. Community archives, community spaces: heritage, memory and identity. London: Facet Publishing; 2020.

236.

Welland S, Cossham A. Defining the undefinable: an analysis of definitions of community archives. Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication. 2019 Nov 24;68(8/9):617–34.

237.

Flinn, A., Stevens, M. "It is noh mistri, wi mekin histri". Telling Our Own Story: Independent and Community Archives in the United Kingdom, Challenging and Subverting the Mainstream. In: Community archives: the shaping of memory [Internet]. London: Facet; 2009. Available from: https://doi.org/10.29085/9781856049047

238.

Cole J, Nolan J, Seko Y, Mancuso K, Ospina A. GimpGirl grows up: Women with disabilities rethinking, redefining, and reclaiming community. New Media & Society. 2011 Nov 1;13(7):1161–79.

239.

EICHHORN K. D.I.Y. Collectors, Archiving Scholars, and Activist Librarians: Legitimizing Feminist Knowledge and Cultural Production Since 1990. Women's Studies. 2010 July 27;39(6):622–46.

240.

Lau AJ, Gilliland AJ, Anderson K. NATURALIZING COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT IN INFORMATION STUDIES. Information, Communication & Society. 2012 Sept;15(7):991–1015.

Flinn, A. The Impact of independent and community archives on professional archival thinking and practice. In: The future of archives and recordkeeping: a reader [Internet]. London: Facet; 2011. Available from:

https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14509809360004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

242.

Baker S, Huber A. Notes towards a typology of the DIY institution: Identifying do-it-yourself places of popular music preservation. European Journal of Cultural Studies. 2013 Oct 1;16(5):513–30.

243.

Caswell M, Mallick S. Collecting the easily missed stories: digital participatory microhistory and the South Asian American Digital Archive. Archives and Manuscripts. 2014 Jan 2;42(1):73–86.

244.

Flinn, A. Independent Community Archives and Community-Generated Content: 'Writing, Saving and Sharing our Histories'. Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies. 2010 Feb 19;16(1):39–51.

245.

Stevens M, Flinn A, Shepherd E. New frameworks for community engagement in the archive sector: from handing over to handing on. International Journal of Heritage Studies. 2010 Jan;16(1-2):59-76.

246.

Gilliland A, Flinn A. Community Archives: What are we really talking about? In: Nexus, Confluence, and Difference: Community Archives meets Community Informatics: Prato CIRN Conference Oct 28-30 2013, [Internet]. Centre for Community Networking Research, Centre for Social Informatics, Monash University; Available from: http://www.monash.edu/ data/assets/pdf file/0007/920626/gilliland flinn keynote.pdf

Bastian, Jeannette Allis, Alexander, Ben. Community archives: the shaping of memory. Vol. Principles and practice in records management and archives. London: Facet; 2009.

248.

Gray V. 'Who's that Knocking on Our Door?': Archives, Outreach and Community. Journal of the Society of Archivists. 2008 Apr;29(1):1–8.

249.

Flinn A. Community Histories, Community Archives: Some Opportunities and Challenges1. Journal of the Society of Archivists. 2007 Oct;28(2):151–76.

250.

MacNeil H, Eastwood T, editors. Currents of archival thinking [Internet]. Second edition. Santa Barbara, California: Libraries Unlimited; 2017. Available from: http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=4776509

251.

Cifor, Marika C Michelle. 'To Suddenly Discover Yourself Existing': Uncovering the Impact of Community Archives. American Archivist [Internet]. 2016;79(1). Available from: http://escholarship.org/uc/item/0nd5g0p7

252.

Caswell, ML. Seeing Yourself in History: Community Archives in the Fight Against Symbolic Annihilation. The Public Historian: a journal of public history [Internet]. 2016; Available from: http://escholarship.org/uc/item/9gc14537#page-1

253.

Flinn A. Chapter 8: The impact of independent and community archives on professional archival thinking and practice. In: The future of archives and recordkeeping: a reader [Internet]. London: Facet; 2011. p. 145–69. Available from: https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f8a3983f-8a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099

Ramírez M. The Task of the Latino/a Archivist: On Archiving Identity and Community. Studies [eScholarship]. InterActions: UCLA Journal of Education and Information Studies, 5(1), Article 3 [Internet]. 2009; Available from: http://escholarship.org/uc/gseis_interactions?volume=5;issue=1

255.

Hopkins I. Places From Which to Speak. Journal of the Society of Archivists. 2008 Apr;29(1):83–109.

256.

Cooper D. House proud: an ethnography of the BC Gay and Lesbian Archives. Archival Science. 2015 July 16;

257.

Bastian, Jeannette Allis, Alexander, Ben. Community archives: the shaping of memory. Vol. Principles and practice in records management and archives. London: Facet; 2009.

258.

McKemmish S. Evidence of me . . . (first published in Archives and Manucscripts, 24 (1) 1996.).

259.

Halilovich H. Reclaiming erased lives: archives, records and memories in post-war Bosnia and the Bosnian diaspora. Archival Science. 2014 Oct;14(3-4):231-47.

260.

An argument for Archiving Facebook as a Heterogeneous Personal Store [Internet]. Available from: http://www.csdl.tamu.edu/~marshall/DL14-marshall-and-shipman.pdf

261.

Leighton John J. Digital lives - an initial synthesis Version 0.1. British Library; 2010.

Lost in Translation: Understanding the Possession of Digital Things in the Cloud [Internet]. Available from: http://willodom.com/publications/paper1202-odom.pdf

263.

paradigm | workbook on digital private papers.

264.

Catherine Hobbs. The Character of Personal Archives: Reflections on the Value of Records of Individuals. Archivaria [Internet]. 2001;52. Available from: http://archivaria.ca/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12817/14028

265.

Protocols for Native American Archival Materials.

266.

ATSILIRN - Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Library and Information Resource Network.

267.

lacovino L. Rethinking archival, ethical and legal frameworks for records of Indigenous Australian communities: a participant relationship model of rights and responsibilities. Archival Science. 2010 June 30;10(4):353–72.

268.

Krebs AB. Native America's twenty-first-century right to know. Archival Science. 2012 June;12(2):173–90.

Riley T. Prospects in First Nations Archives: Reflections based on my participation in the Tribal Archives, Libraries and Museums Institutes and Conference programs [Internet]. 2009. Available from:

http://dspace.ucalgary.ca/bitstream/1880/47975/1/Prospects%2520-%2520final.pdf

270.

Thorpe K. Protocols for libraries and archives in Australia: incorporating Indigenous perspectives in the information field [Internet]. IFLA World Library and Information Congress, 17 - 23 August 2013, Singapore; 2013. Available from: http://library.ifla.org/99/

271.

Roy L, Trace C, Gilbert S. Indigenous ways: assessing the awareness of and potential need for identifying content on indigenous world view in educating Libraries/Archives/Museum (LAM) entry level professionals [Internet]. IFLA World Library and Information Congress, 17 - 23 August 2013, Singapore; 2013. Available from: http://library.ifla.org/108/

272.

Christen K. Opening Archives: Respectful Repatriation. The American Archivist. 2011 Apr;74(1):185–210.

273

Gilliland AJ. Moving past: probing the agency and affect of recordkeeping in individual and community lives in post-conflict Croatia. Archival Science. 2014 Oct;14(3-4):249-74.

274

Hawkins DT. Personal archiving: preserving our digital heritage. Medford, N.J.: Information Today, Inc; 2013.

275.

Riva A. Pollard. The Appraisal of Personal Papers: A Critical Literature Review. Archivaria [Internet]. 2001;52. Available from:

http://archivaria.ca/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12818/14030

Jennifer Meehan. Rethinking Original Order and Personal Records. Archivaria [Internet]. 2010;70:27–44. Available from:

http://archivaria.ca/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13294

277.

Creighton Barrett. Respect Which Fonds? Personal Archives and Family Businesses in Nova Scotia. Archivaria [Internet]. 2013;76:75–92. Available from: https://archivaria.ca/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13459

278.

Amelia Acker. Death, Memorialization, and Social Media: A Platform Perspective for Personal Archives. Archivaria [Internet]. 2014;77:1–23. Available from: https://archivaria.ca/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13469

279.

Anna Chen. Disorder: Vocabularies of Hoarding in Personal Digital Archiving Practices. Archivaria [Internet]. 2014;78:115–34. Available from: http://archivaria.ca/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13507

280.

Johnston R, Marwood K. Action heritage: research, communities, social justice. International Journal of Heritage Studies. 2017 Oct 21;23(9):816–31.

281.

Runnymede Trust. Finding Common Cause: building research collaborations between universities and Black and minority ethnic communities [Internet]. Available from: https://www.runnymedetrust.org/uploads/publications/Runnymede%20Common%20Cause%20briefing%20FINAL.pdf

Shilton K, Srinivasan R. Participatory Appraisal and Arrangement for Multicultural Archival Collections. 2007.

283.

Isto Huvila. The Unbearable Lightness of Participating? Revisiting the discourses of 'participation' in archival literature [Internet]. Available from: http://www.istohuvila.se/files/IstoHuvilaUnbearableLightnessPreprint.pdf

284.

Huvila I. Participatory archive: towards decentralised curation, radical user orientation, and broader contextualisation of records management. Archival Science. 2008 Mar;8(1):15–36.

285.

Rolan G. Agency in the archive: a model for participatory recordkeeping. Archival Science. 2017 Sept;17(3):195–225.

286.

Theimer K. What Is the Meaning of Archives 2.0? The American Archivist. 2011 Apr;74(1):58–68.

287.

Waterton E, Smith L. The recognition and misrecognition of community heritage. International Journal of Heritage Studies. 2010 Jan;16(1-2):4-15.

288.

Anderson S, Allen R. Envisioning the Archival Commons. The American Archivist. 2009 Sept;72(2):383–400.

289.

Flinn, A., Pick, G. Diversifying and deomcratising archive collections - an agenda for

transformation. In: Embedding shared heritage: the Heritage Diversity Task Force report [Internet]. London: Greater London Authority; 2009. Available from: http://www.mla.gov.uk/what/programmes/renaissance/regions/london/News_and_Resource s/~/media/London/Files/2009/Publications/Embedding%20Shared%20Heritage_LOW%20res olution.ashx

290.

Lang C, Stillman L, Linger H, Dalvean J, McNamara B, McGrath J, et al. COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH PARTNERSHIPS IN THE COMMUNITY. Information, Communication & Society. 2012 Sept;15(7):1081–105.

291.

Battley B. Co-producing archival research with communication, reflexivity and friendship: crossing the three-wire bridge. Archival Science. 2017 Dec;17(4):371–91.

292.

Newman J. Revisiting Archive Collections: Developing Models for Participatory Cataloguing. Journal of the Society of Archivists. 2012 Apr;33(1):57–73.

293.

Flinn A, Sexton A. Research on community heritage: moving from collaborative research to participatory and co-designed practice [Internet]. Available from: https://studylib.net/doc/12864587/research-on-community-heritage--moving-from-collabor ative

294.

Bastian JA, Flinn A, editors. Community archives, community spaces: heritage, memory and identity. London: Facet Publishing; 2020.

295.

No red lines: Industry defuses ethics guidelines for artificial intelligence – AlgorithmWatch [Internet]. Available from:

https://algorithmwatch.org/en/industry-defuses-ethics-guidelines-for-artificial-intelligence/

National Audit Office. Challenges in Using Data Across Government [Internet]. Available from:

https://www.nao.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/Challenges-in-using-data-across-government.pdf

297.

Lemieux VL. Trusting records: is Blockchain technology the answer? Records Management Journal. 2016 July 18;26(2):110–39.

298.

Goudarouli E, Sexton A, Sheridan J. The Challenge of the Digital and the Future Archive: Through the Lens of The National Archives UK. Philosophy & Technology. 2019 Mar;32(1):173–83.

299.

Kirk D, Sellen A. On Human Remains: Values and Practice in the Home Archiving of Cherished Objects. Microsoft Research [Internet]. Available from: https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/publication/on-human-remains-value-and-practice-in-the-home-archiving-of-cherished-objects/

300.

Irons A. Computer forensics and records management – compatible disciplines. Records Management Journal. 2006 May;16(2):102–12.

301.

Rethinking the Web as a Personal Archive. Microsoft Research [Internet]. Available from: https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/publication/rethinking-the-web-as-a-personal-archive/

The Institute of Conservation Professional Standards in Conservation [Internet]. Available from:

https://www.icon.org.uk/resources/resources-for-conservation-professionals/standards-and-ethics/icon-professional-standards.html

303.

Michele V. Cloonan. The Boundaries of Preservation and Conservation Research. Libraries & the Cultural Record [Internet]. 2011;46(2):220–9. Available from: https://www.jstor.org/stable/23053599?seq=1#page scan tab contents

304.

Kim Y, Ross S. Closing the loop: Assisting archival appraisal and information retrieval in one sweep. Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology. 2013;50(1):1–10.

305.

Harvey R, Thompson D. Automating the appraisal of digital materials. Library Hi Tech. 2010;28(2):313–22.

306.

International Conference on the History of Records and Archives. Engaging with records and archives: histories and theories [Internet]. Foscarini F, MacNeil H, Mak B, Oliver G, editors. London: Facet Publishing; 2016. Available from: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1424541&site=ehost-live&scope=site

307.

Bunn J. Developing descriptive standards: a renewed call to action. Archives and Records. 2013 Oct;34(2):235–47.

308.

Niu J. Organisation and description of datasets. Archives and Manuscripts. 2016 May 3;44(2):73–85.

Gracy KF. Archival description and linked data: a preliminary study of opportunities and implementation challenges. Archival Science. 2015 Sept;15(3):239–94.

310.

MacNeil H. What finding aids do: archival description as rhetorical genre in traditional and web-based environments. Archival Science. 2012 Dec;12(4):485–500.

311.

Clough P, Tang J, Hall MM, Warner A. Linking archival data to location: a case study at the UK National Archives. Aslib Proceedings. 2011;63(2/3):127–47.

312.

Rolan G. Towards Archive 2.0: issues in archival systems interoperability. Archives and Manuscripts. 2015 Jan 2;43(1):42–60.

313.

When Archivists and Digital Asset Managers Collide: Tensions and Ways Forward | The American Archivist [Internet]. Available from: http://americanarchivist.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.17723/0360-9081.79.1.121

314.

Eito-Brun R. Context-based aggregation of archival data: the role of authority records in the semantic landscape. Archival Science. 2014 Feb 2;

315.

Marty PF. An Introduction to Digital Convergence: Libraries, Archives, and Museums in the Information Age. The Library Quarterly. 2010;80(1):1–5.

Niu J. Event-based archival information organization. Archival Science. 2014 June 13;

317.

Ray J. Sharks, digital curation, and the education of information professionals. Museum Management and Curatorship. 2009 Dec;24(4):357–68.

318.

Cunningham A. Digital Curation/Digital Archiving: A View from the National Archives of Australia. The American Archivist. 2008 Sept;71(2):530-43.

319.

Higgins S. Digital Curation: The Emergence of a New Discipline. International Journal of Digital Curation. 2011 Oct 7;6(2).

320.

Timms K. New Partnerships for Old Sibling Rivals: The Development of Integrated Access Systems for the Holdings of Archives, Libraries and Museums [Internet]. Available from: http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13230/14549

321.

Lee C, Tibbo H. Where's the Archivist in Digital Curation? Exploring the Possibities through a Matrix of Knowledge and Skills [Internet]. Available from: http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13362/14668

322.

Cumming K, Findlay C. Digital recordkeeping: are we at a tipping point? Records Management Journal. 2010;20(3):265–78.

Kalfatovic MR, Kapsalis E, Spiess KP, Camp A, Edson M. Smithsonian Team Flickr: a library, archives, and museums collaboration in web 2.0 space. Archival Science. 2008 Dec;8(4):267–77.

324.

Museum Management and Curatorship - Volume 24, Issue 4 [Internet]. Available from: https://www.tandfonline.com/toc/rmmc20/24/4

325.

Marsden C. Sectors and Domains: Some reflections on co-operation and integration. Journal of the Society of Archivists. 2001 Apr;22(1):17–23.

326.

Robinson H. Remembering things differently: museums, libraries and archives as memory institutions and the implications for convergence. Museum Management and Curatorship. 2012 Oct;27(4):413–29.

327.

Exploring Heritage in Participatory Culture: The MuseumApp | museumsandtheweb.com [Internet]. Available from:

http://www.museumsandtheweb.com/mw2011/papers/exploring_heritage_in_participatory_culture_th

328.

Strauss A. Treading the ground of contested memory: archivists and the human rights movement in Chile. Archival Science. 2014 Sept 3;

329.

Gilliland AJ, Halilovich H. Migrating memories: transdisciplinary pedagogical approaches to teaching about diasporic memory, identity and human rights in archival studies. Archival Science. 2017 Mar;17(1):79–96.

Ricardo P, Michelle C. Critical Directions for Archival Approaches to Social Justice. The Library Quarterly [Internet]. Available from: http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/684145

331.

Matthews RJ. Is the archivist a "radical atheist" now? Deconstruction, its new wave, and archival activism. Archival Science. 2015 June 13;

332.

Butler B. 'Othering' the archive—from exile to inclusion and heritage dignity: the case of Palestinian archival memory. Archival Science. 2009 June;9(1–2):57–69.

333.

Susan Pell. Radicalizing the Politics of the Archive: An Ethnographic Reading of an Activist Archive. Archivaria [Internet]. 2015;80:33–57. Available from: http://archivaria.ca/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13543/14896

334.

Flinn A, Alexander B. "Humanizing an inevitability political craft": Introduction to the special issue on archiving activism and activist archiving. Archival Science. 2015 Dec;15(4):329–35.

335.

Evans J, McKemmish S, Daniels E, McCarthy G. Self-determination and archival autonomy: advocating activism. Archival Science. 2015 Dec;15(4):337–68.

336.

Jimerson, Randall C., Society of American Archivists. Archives power: memory, accountability, and social justice. Chicago: Society of American Archivists; 2009.

Wakimoto DK, Bruce C, Partridge H. Archivist as activist: lessons from three queer community archives in California. Archival Science. 2013 Dec;13(4):293–316.

338.

Flinn A. Archival Activism: Independent and Community-led Archives, Radical Public History and the Heritage Professions [eScholarship]. interActions UCLA Journal of Education and Information Studies. 2011;7(2).

339.

Sellie A, Goldstein J, Fair M, Hoyer J. Interference Archive: a free space for social movement culture. Archival Science. 2015 Dec;15(4):453–72.

340.

Gilliland, Anne J. The Role of Participatory Archives in Furthering Human Rights, Reconciliation and Recovery. Atlanti: Review for Modern Archival Theory and Practice, [Internet]. 24. Available from: http://escholarship.org/uc/item/346521tf

341.

Yaco S, Hardy BB. Historians, archivists, and social activism: benefits and costs. Archival Science. 2013 June;13(2-3):253-72.

342.

Buchanan A, Bastian M. Activating the archive: rethinking the role of traditional archives for local activist projects. Archival Science. 2015 Dec;15(4):429–51.

343.

Wood S, Carbone K, Cifor M, Gilliland A, Punzalan R. Mobilizing records: re-framing archival description to support human rights. Archival Science. 2014 Oct;14(3–4):397–419.

Caswell M. Defining human rights archives: introduction to the special double issue on archives and human rights. Archival Science. 2014 Oct;14(3-4):207-13.

345.

Schwartz JM, Cook T. Archives, records, and power: The making of modern memory. Archival Science. 2002 Mar;2(1–2):1–19.

346.

Harris V. The archival sliver: Power, memory, and archives in South Africa. Archival Science. 2002 Mar;2(1–2):63–86.

347.

Sachs A. Archives, Truth, and Reconciliation. Archivaria [Internet]. 2006;62. Available from: http://journals.sfu.ca/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/12887/14118

348.

Hamilton, Carolyn. Refiguring the archive. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic; 2002.

349.

Cumming K, Picot A. Reinventing appraisal. Archives and Manuscripts. 2014 May 4;42(2):133–45.

350.

Schellenberg TR. The Appraisal of Modern Records.

351.

The National Archives Appraisal Policy Background Paper - The 'Grigg System' and Beyond.

Cook T. Macroappraisal in Theory and Practice: Origins, Characteristics, and Implementation in Canada, 1950–2000. Archival Science. 2006 Sept 12;5(2–4):101–61.

353.

Significance 2.0: a guide to assessing the significance of collections - significance-2.0.pdf [Internet]. Available from:

https://www.arts.gov.au/what-we-do/museums-libraries-and-galleries/significance-20

354.

Booms H. Society and the Formation of a Documentary Heritage: Issues in the Appraisal of Archival Sources. 1987.

355.

Abraham T. Collection Policy or Documentation Strategy: Theory and Practice. The American Archivist. 1991 Jan;54(1):44–52.

356.

Cox R. The Documentation Strategy and Archival Appraisal Principles: A Different Perspective.

357.

Boles F, Young J. Exploring the Black Box: The Appraisal of University Administrative Records. The American Archivist. 1985 Apr;48(2):121–40.

358.

Bailey C. Past Imperfect? Reflections on the Evolution of Canadian Federal Government Records Appraisal. Available from:

http://journals.sfu.ca.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/archivar/index.php/archivaria/article/view/13432/14742

Hohmann P. On Impartiality and Interrelatedness: Reactions to Jenkinsonian Appraisal in the Twentieth Century. The American Archivist. 2016 June;79(1):14–25.

360.

Wisniewski Timothy. Framers of the Kept: Against the Grain Appraisal of Ephemeral Moving Images. The Moving Image. 2008;7(2):1–24.

361.

Cynthia K. Sauer. Doing the Best We Can? The Use of Collection Development Policies and Cooperative Collecting Activities at Manuscript Repositories. The American Archivist [Internet]. 2001;64(2):308–49. Available from:

http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/40294175?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=Doing&searchText=the&searchText=best&searchText=we&searchText=can?&searchText=The&searchText=use&searchText=of&searchText=collection&searchText=develop ment&searchText=policies&searchText=and&searchText=cooperative&searchText=collecting&searchText=activities&searchText=at&searchText=manuscript&searchText=repositories&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3DDoing%2Bthe%2Bbest%2Bwe%2Bcan%253F%2BThe%2Buse%2Bof%2Bcollection%2Bdevelopment%2Bpolicies%2Band%2Bcooperative%2Bcollecting%2Bactivities%2Bat%2Bmanuscript%2Brepositories%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj50000557%26amp%3BSearch%3DSearch%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bfc%3Doff%26amp%3BglobalSearch%3D%26amp%3BsbbBox%3D%26amp%3BsbbBox%3D%26amp%3BsbbBox%3D%26amp%3BsbbBox%3D

362.

Mancuso L. Archival appraisal in Brazil. Archives and Manuscripts. 2013 July;41(2):146–59.

363.

Kretzschmar R. Archival Appraisal in Germany: A Decade of Theory, Strategies, and Practices. Archival Science. 2006 July 28;5(2-4):219-38.

364.

Taylor I. The German appraisal discussion since 1990: an overview. Archives and Manuscripts. 2016 Jan 2;44(1):14–23.

Cook M. Appraisal and access: We should expect changes driven by the media and by public awareness. Records Management Journal. 2010;20(1):72–7.

366.

Eastwood T. Reflections on the Goal of Archival Appraisal in Demoncratic Societies.

367.

FORTIER N. Transparency, Compliance, and Accountability: Developing a Knowledge Infrastructure for Macroappraisal at Library and Archives Canada. Archival Science. 2005 Dec;5(2-4):343-60.

368.

The National Geospatial Digital Archives—Collection Development: Lessons Learned. Library Trends. 2009;57(3):490–515.

369.

Carvalho C. Appraisal based on organic functional analysis: a case study in an electronic records environment. Records Management Journal. 2001;11(3):175–205.

370.

Sims F. A new appraisal approach for English Heritage. Records Management Journal. 2002;12(1):8–13.

371.

Tschan R. A Comparison of Jenkinson and Schellenberg on Appraisal. The American Archivist. 2002 Sept;65(2):176–95.

Cox, Richard J. No innocent deposits: forming archives by rethinking appraisal. Lanham, Md: Scarecrow Press; 2004.

373.

Arias PB. Appraising the value of statistical records of the European Central Bank for retention scheduling purposes. Records Management Journal. 2008;18(3):205–20.

374.

Jonker AEM. No Privileged Past—Acquisition Revisited. Journal of the Society of Archivists. 2009 Apr;30(1):67–80.

375.

Cook, Terry, Samuels, Helen Willa. Controlling the past: documenting society and institutions: essays in honor of Helen Willa Samuels. Chicago: Society of American Archivists: 2011.

376.

Boles, Frank. Selecting & appraising archives & manuscripts. Vol. Archival fundamentals series. Chicago: Society of American Archivists; 2005.

377.

Samuels H. Improving our disposition: documentation strategy. Archivaria. 1991;33.

378.

Craig, Barbara Lazenby. Archival appraisal: theory and practice. München: K.G. Saur; 2004.

379.

SCOTT M. Functional appraisal of records: a methodology. Records Management Journal. 1997;7(2):101–14.

Cox R, Samuels H. The Archivist's First Responsibility: A Research Agenda to Improve the Identification and Retention of Records of Enduring Value. The American Archivist. 1988 Jan;51(1–2):28–42.

381.

Alexander P, Samuels H. The Roots of 128: A Hypothetical Documentation Strategy. The American Archivist. 1987 Oct;50(4):518–31.

382.

Honer E, Graham S. Should Users Have a Role in Determining the Future Archive? The Approach Adopted by the Public Record Office, the UK National Archive, to the Selection of Records for Permanent Preservation | Honer | LIBER Quarterly.

383.

MacNeil H, Eastwood T, editors. Currents of archival thinking [Internet]. Second edition. Santa Barbara, California: Libraries Unlimited; 2017. Available from: http://ebookcentral.proguest.com/lib/ucl/detail.action?docID=4776509