

ANTH0017: Advanced Topics in Digital Culture

UG course in Advanced Digital Culture:
Old code : ANTH3001

View Online



1

boyd danah, Crawford K. CRITICAL QUESTIONS FOR BIG DATA. Information, Communication & Society 2012;**15**:662–79. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2012.678878

2

Seaver N. The nice thing about context is that everyone has it. Media, Culture & Society 2015;**37**:1101–9. doi:10.1177/0163443715594102

3

Immersion – Taylor C. Nelms – Medium.
<https://medium.com/@tnelms/immersion-4c103c0fcac5>

4

The Anxieties of Big Data – The New Inquiry.
<https://thenewinquiry.com/the-anxieties-of-big-data/>

5

Beer D. How should we do the history of Big Data? Big Data & Society 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716646135

6

Boellstorff T, Maurer B, editors. Seaver, N. (2015) Bastard Algebra. In: Data, now bigger and better! Chicago: : Prickly Paradigm Press 2015.

7

Rubio FD, Baert P, editors. Leach, J. (2012) 'Step inside: knowledge freely available': The politics of (making) knowledge-objects'. In: The politics of knowledge. London: : Routledge 2012. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781134004386>

8

Halpern O. Beautiful Data. Duke University Press 2015. doi:10.1215/9780822376323-010

9

Kitchin R. The data revolution: big data, open data, data infrastructures and their consequences. Los Angeles: : SAGE 2014.
<http://srmo.sagepub.com/view/the-data-revolution/SAGE.xml>

10

Beer D. Productive measures: Culture and measurement in the context of everyday neoliberalism. Big Data & Society 2015;**2**. doi:10.1177/2053951715578951

11

Striphas T. Algorithmic culture. European Journal of Cultural Studies 2015;**18**:395–412. doi:10.1177/1367549415577392

12

Latour B. Bruno Latour Pandora's Hope Ch 2: Circulating Reference. In: Pandora's hope: essays on the reality of science studies. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1999. 24–79. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=a214d3b6-7cf1-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

13

Rosenberg D. Data before the fact. In: Gitelman L, ed. 'Raw data' is an oxymoron. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 2013.

<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/servlet/opac?bknumber=6451327>

14

Chua L, Salmond A. Artefacts in anthropology. In: SAGE handbook of social anthropology. SAGE 2012. 101-15.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=8fd0b472-7efb-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

15

Verran H. The changing lives of measures and values: from centre stage in the fading 'disciplinary' society to pervasive background instrument in the emergent 'control' society. The Sociological Review 2011;**59**:60-72. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02059.x

16

Hacking I. The argument. In: The Taming of Chance. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1990. 1-10.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511819766>

17

Porter TM. Trust in numbers: the pursuit of objectivity in science and public life. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1995.

18

Hui Y. What is a Digital Object? Metaphilosophy 2012;**43**:380-95. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9973.2012.01761.x

19

Halpern O. Cybernetic rationality. Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory 2014; **15**:223-38. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.923320

20

Halpern O. Beautiful data: a history of vision and reason since 1945. Durham, N.C.: : Duke

University Press 2014.

21

Riles A. Introduction: in response. In: Documents: artifacts of modern knowledge. Ann Arbor: : University of Michigan Press 2006. 1–38.

22

Gitelman L. 'Raw data' is an oxymoron. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2013.
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/bkabstractplus.jsp?bkn=6451327>

23

Dourish P, Mazmanian M. Media as Material: Information Representations as Material Foundations for Organizational Practice. In: Carlile PR, Nicolini D, Langley A, et al., eds. How Matter Matters. Oxford University Press 2013. 92–118.
[doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199671533.003.0005](https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199671533.003.0005)

24

Starosielski N. The undersea network. Durham: : Duke University Press 2015.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/9780822376224>

25

Vonderau A. Scaling the Cloud: Making State and Infrastructure in Sweden. Ethnos 2018;**84**:698–712. [doi:10.1080/00141844.2018.1471513](https://doi.org/10.1080/00141844.2018.1471513)

26

Alex Hern. Google says non to French demand to expand right to be forgotten worldwide. Guardian Published Online First: 30 July 2015.
<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2015/jul/30/google-rejects-france-expand-right-to-be-forgotten-worldwide>

27

Microsoft Project Natick: Is it really a good idea to dump data centres at the bottom of the sea? | WIRED UK.

<https://www.wired.co.uk/article/microsoft-data-centre-orkney-sinks-project-natick>

28

Hogan M. Data flows and water woes: The Utah Data Center. *Big Data & Society* 2015;**2**. doi:10.1177/2053951715592429

29

Geismar H, Mohns W. Social relationships and digital relationships: rethinking the database at the Vanuatu Cultural Centre. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 2011;**17**:S133-55. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9655.2011.01693.x

30

Dourish, P. (2014) No SQL: The Shifting Materialities of Databases. *Computational Culture: a Journal of Software Studies*. Issue 4.

<http://computationalculture.net/article/no-sql-the-shifting-materialities-of-database-technology>

31

Manovich L. Database as Symbolic Form. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies* 1999;**5**:80-99. doi:10.1177/135485659900500206

32

Bowker G. Databasing the world: biodiversity and the 2000s. In: *Memory practices in the sciences*. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2005.

107-36. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=bb97c1ed-7efb-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

33

Salmond AJM. Transforming translations (part I). *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory* 2013;**3**. doi:10.14318/hau3.3.002

34

Bowker GC, Star SL. Sorting things out: classification and its consequences. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press 1999. <http://cognet.mit.edu/book/sorting-things-out>

35

Chun WHK. The Enduring Ephemeral, or the Future Is a Memory. *Critical Inquiry* 2008;**35**:148–71. doi:10.1086/595632

36

J. Holt, Patrick Vondereau. Where the Internet Lives: Data Centers as Cloud Infrastructure. In: Traffic signal timing manual. [Washington, D.C.]: U.S. Department of Transportation 2014. http://app.knovel.com/hotlink/toc/id:kpTSTMPNF2/traffic_signal_timing_manual_publication_no_fhwahop08024

37

Fuller M, Goffey A. Digital Infrastructures and the Machinery of Topological Abstraction. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2012;**29**:311–33. doi:10.1177/0263276412450466

38

To the Cloud: Big Data in a Turbulent World. *Contemporary Sociology: A Journal of Reviews* 2015;**44**:436–7. doi:10.1177/0094306115579192c

39

Haraway D. Chapter 4: Gene. In: *Modest[Witness@Second]Millennium.FemaleMan[Meets]OncoMouse: feminism and technoscience*. New York.: Routledge 1997.

40

Strathern M, University of Cambridge. Department of Social Anthropology. The relation: issues in complexity and scale. Cambridge, U.K.: Prickly Pear Press 1995.

41

Jensen CB, Morita A. Infrastructures as Ontological Experiments. *Engaging Science, Technology, and Society* 2015;**1**:81–7. <http://estsjournal.org/article/view/21>

42

Harvey P. The Topological Quality of Infrastructural Relation: An Ethnographic Approach. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2012;**29**:76–92. doi:10.1177/0263276412448827

43

Mackenzie A. Multiplying numbers differently: an epidemiology of contagious convolution. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory* 2014;**15**:189–207. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.922110

44

Verran H. On assemblage. *Journal of Cultural Economy* 2009;**2**:169–82. doi:10.1080/17530350903064188

45

Salmond AJM. Transforming translations (part I): 'The owner of these bones'. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory* 2013;**3**:1–32. doi:10.14318/hau3.3.002

46

Strathern M. Gifts money cannot buy. *Social Anthropology* 2012;**20**:397–410. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8676.2012.00224.x

47

John NA. Sharing and Web 2.0: The emergence of a keyword. *New Media & Society* 2013;**15**:167–82. doi:10.1177/1461444812450684

48

Van Dijck J, Poell T. Understanding the promises and premises of online health platforms. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716654173

49

What's Not Included in Facebook's 'Download Your Data' | WIRED.
<https://www.wired.com/story/whats-not-included-in-facebooks-download-your-data/>

50

We May Own Our Data, But Facebook Has a Duty to Protect It | The New Yorker.
<https://www.newyorker.com/tech/elements/we-may-own-our-data-but-facebook-has-a-duty-to-protect-it>

51

Brian Moylan. Grindr was a safe space for gay men. Its HIV status leak betrayed us | Brian Moylan. *Guardian Published Online First*: 4 April 2018.
<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/04/grindr-gay-men-hiv-status-leak-app>

52

Paul Taylor · Whose Property?: Big Medical Data · LRB 8 February 2018.
<https://www.lrb.co.uk/v40/n03/paul-taylor/whose-property>

53

Tsing, Anna. Sorting out commodities: How capitalist value is made through gifts. *HAU : Journal of Ethnographic Theory* 2013;**3**:21–43.
https://search-proquest-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/docview/1850088542?rfr_id=info%3Axri%2Fsid%3Aprimo

54

Maurer, W.M. Principles of Alliance and Descent for Big Data. *Prickly Paradigm Press/University of Chicago* 2015. 67–86.
<http://escholarship.org/uc/item/41r1s161>

55

Laidlaw J. A free gift makes no friends. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 2000;**6**:617–34. doi:10.1111/1467-9655.00036

56

S. Day, C. Lury. *Biosensing: Tracking Persons*. In: *Quantified: Biosensing Technologies in Everyday Life*. MIT Press 2016.
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/xpl/ebooks/bookPdfWithBanner.jsp?fileName=7580073.pdf&bkn=7580015&pdfType=chapter>

57

Marwick AE, boyd danah. *Networked privacy: How teenagers negotiate context in social media*. *New Media & Society* 2014;**16**:1051–67. doi:10.1177/1461444814543995

58

Janet Carsten. Ch. 4: The person. In: *After Kinship* by Janet Carsten. Cambridge University Press
83–108. <https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/after-kinship/BF660970EC79E6A4847E76A38CBE1DB9>

59

Leonelli S. Why the Current Insistence on Open Access to Scientific Data? Big Data, Knowledge Production, and the Political Economy of Contemporary Biology. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society* 2013;**33**:6–11. doi:10.1177/0270467613496768

60

M. Fortun. *What Toll Pursuit: Affective Assemblages in Genomics and Postgenomics*. In: Richardson SS, Stevens H, eds. *Postgenomics*. Duke University Press 2015.
doi:10.1215/9780822375449

61

Biagioli M. Rights or rewards? Changing frameworks of scientific authorship. In: Scientific authorship: credit and intellectual property in science. New York: : Routledge 2013. 253–81. doi:10.4324/9780203954270

62

Richardson SS, Stevens H. Postgenomics: perspectives on biology after the genome. Durham: : Duke University Press 2015. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/9780822375449>

63

Weiner AB. Inalienable possessions: The forgotten dimension. In: Inalienable possessions: the paradox of keeping-while-giving. Berkeley: : University of California Press 1992. 23–43. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c630b45e-7ffb-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

64

Weiner AB. Reconfiguring Exchange Theory: The Maori Hau. In: Inalienable possessions: the paradox of keeping-while-giving. Berkeley: : University of California Press 1992.

65

Lezaun J, Montgomery CM. The Pharmaceutical Commons: Sharing and Exclusion in Global Health Drug Development. Science, Technology & Human Values 2015;**40**:3–29. doi:10.1177/0162243914542349

66

Hilgartner S. Selective flows of knowledge in technoscientific interaction: information control in genome research. The British Journal for the History of Science 2012;**45**:267–80. doi:10.1017/S0007087412000106

67

Kelty CM. This is not an article: Model organism newsletters and the question of 'open science'. BioSocieties 2012;**7**:140–68. doi:10.1057/biosoc.2012.8

68

Hirsch E, Strathern M. Transactions and creations: property debates and the stimulus of Melanesia. New York: : Berghahn Books 2004. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctv287skdk>

69

Leach J. The Self of the Scientist, Material for the Artist: Emergent Distinctions in an Interdisciplinary Collaboration. *Social Analysis* 2011;**55**. doi:10.3167/sa.2011.550308

70

D. Miller. Alienable Gifts and Inalienable Commodities. In: *The empire of things: regimes of value and material culture*. Santa Fe, N.M.: : School of American Research Press 2001.

71

Gregg M. The Gift That Is Not Given. In: Boellstorff T, Maurer B, eds. *Data, now bigger and better!* Chicago: : Prickly Paradigm Press 2015.

72

Antonia Walford. Data Moves: Taking Amazonian Climate Science Seriously. *The Cambridge Journal of Anthropology* 2012;**30**
:101-17. http://www.jstor.org/stable/43610877?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

73

Haraway D. Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective. *Feminist Studies* 1988;**14**. doi:10.2307/3178066

74

Jasanoff S. Virtual, visible, and actionable: Data assemblages and the sightlines of justice. *Big Data & Society* 2017;**4**. doi:10.1177/2053951717724477

75

Nadim T. Blind regards: Troubling data and their sentinels. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**.

doi:10.1177/2053951716666301

76

Gabrys J, Pritchard H, Barratt B. Just good enough data: Figuring data citizenships through air pollution sensing and data stories. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**.
doi:10.1177/2053951716679677

77

Tollefson J. Climate-change 'hiatus' disappears with new data. *Nature* Published Online First: 4 June 2015. doi:10.1038/nature.2015.17700

78

Rogue Scientists Race to Save Climate Data from Trump | WIRED.
<https://www.wired.com/2017/01/rogue-scientists-race-save-climate-data-trump/>

79

There's life in the old Pause yet | Watts Up With That?
<https://wattsupwiththat.com/2015/10/08/theres-life-in-the-old-pause-yet/>

80

Asdal K. Enacting things through numbers: Taking nature into account/ing. *Geoforum* 2008;**39**:123–32. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2006.11.004

81

Büscher B. Nature 2.0: Exploring and theorizing the links between new media and nature conservation. *New Media & Society* 2016;**18**:726–43. doi:10.1177/1461444814545841

82

Kim Fortun. From Bhopal to the Informing of Environmentalism: Risk Communication in Historical Perspective. *Osiris* 2004;**19**:283–96.
[http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/3655245?seq=1#page_scan_tab_co](http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/3655245?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

ntents

83

Arturo Escobar. After Nature Steps to an Antiessentialist Political Ecology. *Current Anthropology* 1999;**40**:1–30. http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/515799?sid=primo&origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

84

Whittington J. Carbon as a Metric of the Human. *PoLAR: Political and Legal Anthropology Review* 2016;**39**:46–63. doi:10.1111/plar.12130

85

Nadim T. Blind regards: Troubling data and their sentinels. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716666301

86

Garnett E. Developing a feeling for error: Practices of monitoring and modelling air pollution data. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716658061

87

Fortun K, Poirier L, Morgan A, et al. Pushback: Critical data designers and pollution politics. *Big Data & Society* 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716668903

88

Lippert I. Environment as datascape: Enacting emission realities in corporate carbon accounting. *Geoforum* 2015;**66**:126–35. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2014.09.009

89

Dalsgaard S. The commensurability of carbon. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory* 2013;**3**:80–98. doi:10.14318/hau3.1.006

90

Grebowicz M. Glacial Time and Lonely Crowds: The social effects of climate change as internet spectacle. *Environmental Humanities* 2014; **5**:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1215/22011919-3615388>

91

Stefan Helmreich. From Spaceship Earth to Google Ocean: Planetary Icons, Indexes, and Infrastructures. *Social Research* 2011; **78**:1211–42. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/23349849?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

92

Gabrys J. *Program earth: environmental sensing technology and the making of a computational planet*. Minneapolis: : University of Minnesota Press 2016. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5749/j.ctt1b7x5gq>

93

Verran H. Number as Generative Device. In: Lury C, Wakeford N, eds. *Inventive methods: the happening of the social*. Abingdon: : Routledge 2014.

94

Knox H. Carbon, Convertibility, and the Technopolitics of Oil. In: Appel H, Mason A, Watts M, eds. *Subterranean estates: life worlds of oil and gas*. Ithaca: : Cornell University Press 2015. https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma9931090819204761&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=any,contains,990022437450204761&sortby=date_d&facet=frbrgroupid,include,9022657445453213247&offset=0

95

Gabrys J. Powering the digital: From energy ecologies to electronic environmentalism. In: Maxwell R, Raundalen J, Vestberg NL, eds. *Media and the Ecological Crisis*. London: : Routledge 2017. 3–18.

96

Sabina Leonelli. What Counts as Scientific Data? A Relational Framework. *Philosophy of Science* 2015;**82**:810–21. http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/684083?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

97

Strathern M. *After nature: English kinship in the late twentieth century*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1992.

98

Shackley S, Wynne B. Representing Uncertainty in Global Climate Change Science and Policy: Boundary-Ordering Devices and Authority. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 1996;**21**:275–302. doi:10.1177/016224399602100302

99

Fairhead J, Leach M, Scoones I. Green Grabbing: a new appropriation of nature? *Journal of Peasant Studies* 2012;**39**:237–61. doi:10.1080/03066150.2012.671770

100

Igoe J. The spectacle of nature in the global economy of appearances: Anthropological engagements with the spectacular mediations of transnational conservation. *Critique of Anthropology* 2010;**30**:375–97. doi:10.1177/0308275X10372468

101

Helmreich S. Blue-green Capital, Biotechnological Circulation and an Oceanic Imaginary: A Critique of Biopolitical Economy. *BioSocieties* 2007;**2**:287–302. doi:10.1017/S1745855207005753

102

Lehning M, Dawes N, Bavay et. al. M. Instrumenting the earth: Next-generation sensor networks and environmental science. In: *The fourth paradigm: data-intensive scientific*

discovery. Redmond, Wash: : Microsoft Research 45–51.

103

Franklin S. Re-thinking nature-culture: Anthropology and the new genetics. *Anthropological Theory* 2003;**3**:65–85. doi:10.1177/1463499603003001752

104

The Postgenomic Condition: Ethics, Justice, and Knowledge after the Genome, Reardon.
<https://www.press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/P/bo22726485.html>

105

23andMe Is Terrifying, but Not for the Reasons the FDA Thinks - Scientific American.
<https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/23andme-is-terrifying-but-not-for-the-reasons-the-fda-thinks/>

106

With genetic testing, I gave my parents the gift of divorce - Vox.
<http://www.vox.com/2014/9/9/5975653/with-genetic-testing-i-gave-my-parents-the-gift-of-divorce-23andme>

107

Take an online DNA test and you could be revealing far more than you realise | University of Oxford.
<http://www.ox.ac.uk/research/take-online-dna-test-and-you-could-be-revealing-far-more-you-realise>

108

Barbara Ellen. What I learned from home DNA testing. *Guardian Published Online First*: 23 July 2017.
<https://www.theguardian.com/science/2017/jul/23/what-i-learned-from-home-dna-test-kits-are-they-accurate-or-worthwhile>

109

Alan Travis. Innocent suspects' profiles still reaching DNA database. Guardian Published Online First: 28 October 2009.<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2009/oct/28/dna-database-innocent-profiles>

110

Report: Police Forced a DNA Testing Company to Share a Customer's Identity in the Golden State Killer Case.
<https://gizmodo.com/report-police-forced-a-dna-testing-company-to-share-a-1825687924>

111

Thacker E. What is Biomedica? Configurations 2003;**11**:47–79. doi:10.1353/con.2004.0014

112

Bioinformation - Parry and Greenhough.
<http://politybooks.com/author-books/?authid=Beth%20Greenhough>

113

Tutton R, Prainsack B. Enterprising or altruistic selves? Making up research subjects in genetics research. Sociology of Health & Illness 2011;**33**:1081–95.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9566.2011.01348.x

114

Hayles NK. How we became posthuman: virtual bodies in cybernetics, literature, and informatics. Chicago: : University of Chicago P. 1999.

115

After Kinship by Janet Carsten.
<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/after-kinship/BF660970EC79E6A4847E76A38CBE1DB9>

116

Ruckenstein M. Visualized and Interacted Life: Personal Analytics and Engagements with Data Doubles. *Societies* 2014;**4**:68–84. doi:10.3390/soc4010068

117

Beaulieu A. From brainbank to database: the informational turn in the study of the brain. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 2004;**35**:367–90. doi:10.1016/j.shpsc.2004.03.011

118

Helmreich S. Kinship in Hypertext. In: *Relative values: reconfiguring kinship studies*. Durham, N.C.: : Duke University Press 2002. 116–43. <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780822383222>

119

Franklin S. Biologization Re-visited: Kinship Theory. In: *Relative values: reconfiguring kinship studies*. Durham [N.C.]: : Duke University Press 2001. 302–25. https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma9931045211204761&context=L&vid=44UCL_INST:UCL_VU2&lang=en&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&adaptor=Local%20Search%20Engine&isFrbr=true&tab=Everything&query=any,contains,Relative%20values:%20reconfiguring%20kinship%20studies&sortby=date_d&facet=frbrgroupid,include,61215140135456524&offset=0

120

Singer N. From knowing yourself to prodding yourself. *The New York Times* 2015.

121

Lupton D. The commodification of patient opinion: the digital patient experience economy in the age of big data. *Sociology of Health & Illness* 2014;**36**:856–69. doi:10.1111/1467-9566.12109

122

Dawn Nafus. Big Data, Big Questions - This One Does Not Go Up To 11: The Quantified Self Movement as an Alternative Big Data Practice. *International Journal of Communication* 2014;**8**.<http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2170>

123

Doe G. With genetic testing, I gave my parents the gift of divorce - Vox. Vox Genetics. 2014.<http://www.vox.com/2014/9/9/5975653/with-genetic-testing-i-gave-my-parents-the-gift-of-divorce-23andme>

124

Fujimara J. The Practice of Producing Meaning in Bioinformatics. In: *The practices of human genetics*. Dordrecht: : Kluwer Academic 1999. 49–87.

125

Rabinow P. *Essays on the anthropology of reason*. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1996.

126

Gross A. The economy of social data: exploring research ethics as device. *The Sociological Review* 2011;**59**:113–29. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02055.x

127

Van Dijck J. Digital cadavers: the visible human project as anatomical theater. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 2000;**31**:271–85. doi:10.1016/S1369-8486(99)00020-5

128

Gerlitz C, Lury C. Social media and self-evaluating assemblages: on numbers, orderings and values. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory* 2014;**15**:174–88. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.920267

129

Kaufmann M. Resilience 2.0: social media use and (self-)care during the 2011 Norway attacks. *Media, Culture & Society* 2015;**37**:972–87. doi:10.1177/0163443715584101

130

Nafus D. Stuck data, dead data, and disloyal data: the stops and starts in making numbers into social practices. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory* 2014;**15**:208–22. doi:10.1080/1600910X.2014.920266

131

Sharp LA. The Commodification of the Body and its Parts. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 2000;**29**:287–328. doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.29.1.287

132

Strathern M. Chapter 5: Losing (out on) Intellectual Resources. In: *Kinship, Law and the Unexpected: Relatives are Always a Surprise*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2005. 111–34. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511614514>

133

Lupton D. *The quantified self: a sociology of self-tracking*. Cambridge: : Polity Press 2016. <https://search-ebscohost-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1353377&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

134

This one does not go up to 11 - Nafus and Sherman.
<http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/viewFile/2170/1157>

135

Greenfield, D. (2016) *Deep Data: Notes on the n of 1*. In 'Quantified: biosensing technologies in everyday life' ed. Dawn Nafus. MIT Press.
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/xpl/ebooks/bookPdfWithBanner.jsp?fileName=7580296.pdf&bkn=7580015&pdfType=chapter>

136

The frightening promise of self-tracking pills - The Verge.

<https://www.theverge.com/2015/10/7/9466121/proteus-digital-pill-tracking-privacy-quantified-self>

137

The Healing Power of Your Own Medical Records - The New York Times.

http://www.nytimes.com/2015/04/01/technology/the-healing-power-of-your-own-medical-data.html?_r=0

138

Hippocratic Hacking | Platypus. <http://blog.castac.org/2016/10/hippocratic-hacking/>

139

Lupton D. Quantifying the body: monitoring and measuring health in the age of mHealth technologies. *Critical Public Health* 2013;**23**:393–403. doi:10.1080/09581596.2013.794931

140

Lupton, D. 2016 *You are Your Data: Self-tracking Practices and Concepts of Data*.

141

Ruckenstein M. Uncovering Everyday Rhythms and Patterns: Food tracking and new forms of visibility and temporality in health care. In: Botin L, Bertelsen P, Nohr C, eds.

Techno-anthropology in health informatics: methodologies for improving human-technology relations. Amsterdam: : IOS Press 2015.

28–40.<http://ebooks.iospress.nl/isbn/978-1-61499-560-9>

142

Ruckenstein M. Visualized and Interacted Life: Personal Analytics and Engagements with Data Doubles. *Societies* 2014;**4**:68–84. doi:10.3390/soc4010068

143

Ruckenstein M, Schüll ND. The Datafication of Health. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 2017;**46**:261–78. doi:10.1146/annurev-anthro-102116-041244

144

Hippocratic Hacking | Platypus. <http://blog.castac.org/2016/10/hippocratic-hacking/>

145

The Healing Power of Your Own Medical Records - The New York Times.
http://www.nytimes.com/2015/04/01/technology/the-healing-power-of-your-own-medical-data.html?_r=0

146

Pantzar M, Ruckenstein M. The heart of everyday analytics: emotional, material and practical extensions in self-tracking market. *Consumption Markets & Culture* 2015;**18**:92–109. doi:10.1080/10253866.2014.899213

147

Poole D, Das V. *Anthropology in the margins of the state*. 1st ed. Santa Fe, N.M.: : School of American Research Press 2004. <http://muse.jhu.edu/books/9781934691656/>

148

Browne S. *Dark Matters*. Duke University Press 2015. doi:10.1215/9780822375302

149

Amoore L. Biometric borders: Governing mobilities in the war on terror. *Political Geography* 2006;**25**:336–51. doi:10.1016/j.polgeo.2006.02.001

150

A pioneer in predictive policing is starting a troubling new project - The Verge.
<https://www.theverge.com/2018/4/26/17285058/predictive-policing-predpol-pentagon-ai-racial-bias>

151

Owen Bowcott. Home Office data exemption sparks fears of further Windrush scandals. Guardian Published Online First: 23 April 2018.
<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2018/apr/23/home-office-data-exemption-sparks-fears-of-renewed-windrush-scandals>

152

Mike Rowe. AI profiling: the social and moral hazards of 'predictive' policing. The Conversation
<https://theconversation.com/ai-profiling-the-social-and-moral-hazards-of-predictive-policing-92960>

153

Steinmetz G. Mitchell, T. (1999) Society, Economy and the State Effect. In State/Culture: State Formation after the Cultural Turn. In: State/culture: state-formation after the cultural turn. Ithaca, N.Y.: : Cornell University Press 1999.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14616198260004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

154

The World of Indicators edited by Richard Rottenburg.
<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/world-of-indicators/C7903E69E0329A256EF02C3CB5C918ED>

155

Birchall C. Shareveillance: Subjectivity between open and closed data. Big Data & Society 2016;**3**. doi:10.1177/2053951716663965

156

Gilles Deleuze. Postscript on the Societies of Control. October 1992;**59**:3–7. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/778828?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

157

Adams V. Metrics. Duke University Press 2016. doi:10.1215/9780822374480

158

D. Haggerty, Richard V. Ericson K. The surveillant assemblage. British Journal of Sociology 2000;**51**:605–22. doi:10.1080/00071310020015280

159

Nafus, D ; Sherman, J. This One Does Not Go Up to 11: The Quantified Self Movement as an Alternative Big Data Practice.

[http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_wos000349010500023&indx=1&reclids=TN_wos000349010500023&reclidxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&dsCnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl\(freeText0\)=%20Nafus%2C%20D.%20and%20J.%20Sherman%20%282014%29%20This%20One%20Does%20Not%20Go%20Up%20to%20Eleven%3A%20The%20Quantified%20Self%20Movement%20as%20an%20Alternative%20Big%20Data%20Practice.%20International%20Journal%20of%20Communication%2C%20Vol%208.&dstmp=1471435285056](http://ucl-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_wos000349010500023&indx=1&reclids=TN_wos000349010500023&reclidxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&dsCnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%28UCL%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&mode=Basic&vid=UCL_VU1&srt=rank&tab=local&dum=true&vl(freeText0)=%20Nafus%2C%20D.%20and%20J.%20Sherman%20%282014%29%20This%20One%20Does%20Not%20Go%20Up%20to%20Eleven%3A%20The%20Quantified%20Self%20Movement%20as%20an%20Alternative%20Big%20Data%20Practice.%20International%20Journal%20of%20Communication%2C%20Vol%208.&dstmp=1471435285056)

160

Ruppert E, Savage M. Transactional politics. The Sociological Review 2011;**59**:73–92. doi:10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02057.x

161

Roderick L. Discipline and Power in the Digital Age: The Case of the US Consumer Data Broker Industry. Critical Sociology 2014;**40**:729–46. doi:10.1177/0896920513501350

162

M'charek A, Schramm K, Skinner D. Topologies of Race. *Science, Technology, & Human Values* 2014;**39**:468–87. doi:10.1177/0162243913509493

163

Sharma A, Gupta A. Sharma, A. and Gupta, A. (2006) Introduction: Re-thinking Theories of the State in an Age of Globalization. In: *The anthropology of the state: a reader*. Malden, Mass: : Blackwell 2006.

164

Universitetet i Oslo. State formation: anthropological perspectives. London: : Pluto Press 2005. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt18fs5n7>

165

Kirstie Ball ; Kevin D. Haggend David Lyon. Bruno, Fernanda. (2012) Surveillance and Participation on Web 2.0. In: *Routledge Handbook of Surveillance Studies*. doi:10.4324/9780203814949

166

Lyon D. Surveillance, Snowden, and Big Data: Capacities, consequences, critique. *Big Data & Society* 2014;**1**. doi:10.1177/2053951714541861

167

Isin E, Ruppert E. Digital Citizenship and Surveillance| Citizen Snowden. *International Journal of Communication* 2017;**11**.<http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/5642>

168

LYON D. BIOMETRICS, IDENTIFICATION AND SURVEILLANCE. *Bioethics* 2008;**22**:499–508. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00697.x

169

Evelyn Ruppert. Population Objects: Interpassive Subjects. *Sociology* 2011;**45**

:218–33.http://www.jstor.org/stable/42857535?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

170

Lupton D. Self-tracking modes: reflexive self-monitoring and data practices. Social life of big data symposium 2nd June 2015.

https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2483549

171

Fuller M, Goffey A. Leak early, leak often. In: Evil media. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 2012.

100–4.https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=15040989580004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

172

Vertesi J. My Experiment Opting Out of Big Data Made Me Look Like a Criminal. Time.com 2014;:1–1.<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=bth&AN=95911990&site=ehost-live&scope=site>

173

Amoore L. Data Derivatives: On the Emergence of a Security Risk Calculus for Our Times. Theory, Culture & Society 2011;**28**:24–43. doi:10.1177/0263276411417430

174

Strathern M. Parts and wholes: refiguring relationships in a post-plural world. In: Conceptualizing society. London: : Routledge 1992.

75–106.<http://www.tandfebooks.com/ISBN/9780203032244>

175

Ruppert E. The Governmental Topologies of Database Devices. Theory, Culture & Society 2012;**29**:116–36. doi:10.1177/0263276412439428

176

Lyon D. Biometrics, Identification and Surveillance. *Bioethics* 2008;**22**:499–508.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00697.x

177

Lyon D. Liquid Surveillance: The Contribution of Zygmunt Bauman to Surveillance Studies1. *International Political Sociology* 2010;**4**:325–38.
doi:10.1111/j.1749-5687.2010.00109.x

178

Anderson C. The End of Theory: The Data Deluge Makes the Scientific Method Obsolete. *Wired*. <http://www.wired.com/2008/06/pb-theory/>

179

Yuval Noah Harari on big data, Google and the end of free will. *Financial Times*
<https://www.ft.com/content/50bb4830-6a4c-11e6-ae5b-a7cc5dd5a28c>

180

Anna Tsing. The Global Situation. *Cultural Anthropology* 2000;**15**
:327–60.http://www.jstor.org/stable/656606?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

181

Coopmans C. Visual analytics as artful revelation. In: Coopmans C, ed. *Representation in scientific practice revisited*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: : The MIT Press 2014.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt9qf5hv.6?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

182

Captivating Algorithms: recommender systems as traps.
<https://static1.squarespace.com/static/55eb004ee4b0518639d59d9b/t/5b707506352f5356c8d6e7d2/1534096646595/seaver-captivating-algorithms.pdf>

183

Annelise Riles. Infinity within the Brackets. *American Ethnologist* 1998;**25**:378–98. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/645790?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

184

Abramson, Allen. (2016) What in/is the world in/of Big Data? Theorizing the Contemporary, *Cultural Anthropology*.
<https://culanth.org/fieldsights/833-what-in-is-the-world-is-of-big-data>

185

Boellstorff T, Maurer B, editors. Boellstorff, T. (2015) Making Big Data,. In: Data, now bigger and better! Chicago: : Prickly Paradigm Press 2015.

186

Latour B, Jensen P, Venturini T, et al. 'The whole is always smaller than its parts' - a digital test of Gabriel Tardes' monads. *The British Journal of Sociology* 2012;**63**:590–615. doi:10.1111/j.1468-4446.2012.01428.x

187

Lake RW. Big Data, urban governance, and the ontological politics of hyperindividualism. *Big Data & Society* 2017;**4**. doi:10.1177/2053951716682537

188

boyd D, Crawford K. Critical Questions for Big Data. *Information, Communication & Society* 2012;**15**:662–79. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2012.678878

189

Seaver N. The nice thing about context is that everyone has it. *Media, Culture & Society* 2015;**37**:1101–9. doi:10.1177/0163443715594102

190

Breiger RL. Scaling down. *Big Data & Society* 2015;**2**. doi:10.1177/2053951715602497

191

Kitchin R. Big Data, new epistemologies and paradigm shifts. *Big Data & Society* 2014;**1**. doi:10.1177/2053951714528481

192

Strathern M. *Partial connections*. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, CA: : AltaMira Press 2004.

193

Strathern M. *Environments within: An ethnographic commentary on scale*. In: *Culture, landscape, and the environment: the Linacre lectures, 1997*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2000. 44–71.

194

Strathern M. *On Space and Depth*. In: *Complexities: social studies of knowledge practices*. Durham: : Duke University Press 2002. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/9780822383550>

195

Marr B. *The Difference Between Big Data and a Lot of Data*. 21AD.<http://data-informed.com/>

196

Marcus G. *Holism and the Expectations of Critique in Post-1980s Anthropology; Notes and Queries, and an Epilogue*. In: *Experiments in holism: theory and practice in contemporary anthropology*. Chichester, West Sussex: : Wiley-Blackwell 2010. 28–46.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781444324426>

197

Couldry N, Powell A. *Big Data from the bottom up*. *Big Data & Society* 2014;**1**.

doi:10.1177/2053951714539277

198

Lash S. Power after Hegemony: Cultural Studies in Mutation? *Theory, Culture & Society* 2007;**24**:55–78. doi:10.1177/0263276407075956

199

Bowker GC. The Theory/Data Thing. *International Journal of Communication* 2014;**8**. <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2190>

200

Beer D, Burrows R. Popular Culture, Digital Archives and the New Social Life of Data. *Theory, Culture & Society* 2013;**30**:47–71. doi:10.1177/0263276413476542

201

Boellstorff T. Making big data, in theory. *First Monday* 2013;**18**. doi:10.5210/fm.v18i10.4869

202

Anderson K, Nafus D, Rattenbury T, et al. Numbers Have Qualities Too: Experiences with Ethno-Mining. *Ethnographic Praxis in Industry Conference Proceedings* 2009;**2009**:123–40. doi:10.1111/j.1559-8918.2009.tb00133.x

203

Povinelli EA. The Woman on the Other Side of the Wall: Archiving the Otherwise in Postcolonial Digital Archives. *differences* 2011;**22**:146–71. doi:10.1215/10407391-1218274

204

Blok A, Carlsen HB, Jørgensen TB, et al. Stitching together the heterogeneous party: A complementary social data science experiment. *Big Data & Society* 2017;**4**.

doi:10.1177/2053951717736337

205

Mike Savage and Roger Burrows. The Coming Crisis of Empirical Sociology. *Sociology* 2007; **41**:885–99. http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/42858273?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

206

Riles A. *The network inside out*: Annelise Riles. Ann Arbor: : The University of Michigan Press 2000.

207

Ford H. Big Data and Small: Collaborations between ethnographers and data scientists. *Big Data & Society* 2014;**1**. doi:10.1177/2053951714544337

208

Strathern M. The Limits of Auto-anthropology. In: *Anthropology at home*. London: : Tavistock Publications 1987. 16–37.

209

Seaver N. Algorithms as culture: Some tactics for the ethnography of algorithmic systems. *Big Data & Society* 2017;**4**. doi:10.1177/2053951717738104

210

Marres N, Weltevrede E. Scraping the social? *Journal of Cultural Economy* 2013;**6**:313–35. doi:10.1080/17530350.2013.772070

211

Wendy F. Hsu. Hsu, W.F (2016) *A Performative Digital Ethnography*. In 'The Routledge Companion to Digital Ethnography' eds. Hjorth, Horst, Galloway, Bell. Routledge. Published

Online First: 2016. doi:10.4324/9781315673974.ch4

212

George E. Marcus and Marcelo Pizarro. The End(s) of Ethnography: Social/Cultural Anthropology's Signature Form of Producing Knowledge in Transition. *Cultural Anthropology* 2008;**23**:1-14. http://www.jstor.org/stable/20484493?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

213

Blok A, Pedersen MA. Complementary social science? Quali-quantitative experiments in a Big Data world. *Big Data & Society* 2014;**1**. doi:10.1177/2053951714543908

214

Boellstorff T. Rethinking Digital Anthropology. In: *Digital anthropology*. London: : Berg 2012. <https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780857852922>

215

Riles A. *The network inside out*: Annelise Riles. Ann Arbor: : The University of Michigan Press 2000.

216

Verran H, Christie M, Anbins-King B, et al. Designing digital knowledge management tools with Aboriginal Australians. *Digital Creativity* 2007;**18**:129-42. doi:10.1080/14626260701531944

217

Haidy Geismar and William Mohns. Social relationships and digital relationships: rethinking the database at the Vanuatu Cultural Centre. *The Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* 2011;**17**. https://www-jstor-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/23011429?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

218

MARILYN STRATHERN. VIRTUAL SOCIETY? GET REAL! Ashridge 4-5 May 2000: Conference of the 'Virtual Society?: the Social Science of Electronic Technologies' Programme: Abstraction and decontextualisation: an anthropological comment. The Cambridge Journal of Anthropology 2001;**22**:52-66.http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/23820353?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

219

Kelty C, Coleman G, Strassler K. Commentary and Discussion on the Digital Form Curated Collection. Curated Collections, Cultural Anthropology Online. 2012.http://www.culanth.org/curated_collections/8-the-digital-form/discussions/15-commentary-and-discussion-on-the-digital-form-curated-collection

220

Strathern M. Chapter 1. In: Property, substance and effect: anthropological essays on persons and things. London: : Athlone 1999.

221

Why Big Data Needs Thick Data – Ethnography Matters – Medium.
<https://medium.com/ethnography-matters/why-big-data-needs-thick-data-b4b3e75e3d7>

222

Ruppert E, Law J, Savage M. Reassembling Social Science Methods: The Challenge of Digital Devices. Theory, Culture & Society 2013;**30**:22-46.
doi:10.1177/0263276413484941

223

Nafus D. Data, Data, Everywhere, but Who Gets to Interpret It? | EPIC. EPIC. 2015.<https://www.epicpeople.org/data-data-everywhere/>

224

» Abildegaard et al (2017) Five recent play dates - EASST.
<https://easst.net/article/five-recent-play-dates/>

225

Publications | Sciences Po | MedialabSciences Po | Medialab.
<http://www.medialab.sciences-po.fr/publication/>

226

Mackenzie A. These Things Called Systems. *Social Studies of Science* 2003;**33**:365–87.
doi:10.1177/03063127030333003

227

Strathern, M. Abstraction and Decontextualisation; an anthropological comment.
<http://virtualsociety.sbs.ox.ac.uk/GRpapers/strathern.htm>

228

Seaver N. Studying up: the ethnography of technologists. *Ethnography Matters*.
2014.<http://ethnographymatters.net/blog/2014/03/10/studying-up/>