

# ANTH0017: Advanced Topics in Digital Culture

UG course in Advanced Digital Culture:  
Old code : ANTH3001

View Online



1.

boyd, danah, Crawford, K.: CRITICAL QUESTIONS FOR BIG DATA. Information, Communication & Society. 15, 662-679 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2012.678878>.

2.

Seaver, N.: The nice thing about context is that everyone has it. Media, Culture & Society. 37, 1101-1109 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443715594102>.

3.

Immersion – Taylor C. Nelms – Medium,  
<https://medium.com/@tnelms/immersion-4c103c0fcac5>.

4.

The Anxieties of Big Data – The New Inquiry,  
<https://thenewinquiry.com/the-anxieties-of-big-data/>.

5.

Beer, D.: How should we do the history of Big Data? Big Data & Society. 3, (2016).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716646135>.

6.

Boellstorff, T., Maurer, B. eds: Seaver, N. (2015) Bastard Algebra. In: Data, now bigger and

better! Prickly Paradigm Press, Chicago (2015).

7.

Rubio, F.D., Baert, P. eds: Leach, J. (2012) 'Step inside: knowledge freely available': The politics of (making) knowledge-objects'. In: The politics of knowledge. Routledge, London (2012).

8.

Halpern, O.: Beautiful Data. Duke University Press (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822376323-010>.

9.

Kitchin, R.: The data revolution: big data, open data, data infrastructures and their consequences. SAGE, Los Angeles (2014).

10.

Beer, D.: Productive measures: Culture and measurement in the context of everyday neoliberalism. Big Data & Society. 2, (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951715578951>.

11.

Striphas, T.: Algorithmic culture. European Journal of Cultural Studies. 18, 395–412 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1367549415577392>.

12.

Latour, B.: Bruno Latour Pandora's Hope Ch 2: Circulating Reference. In: Pandora's hope: essays on the reality of science studies. pp. 24–79. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass (1999).

13.

Rosenberg, D.: Data before the fact. In: Gitelman, L. (ed.) 'Raw data' is an oxymoron. The

MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts (2013).

14.

Chua, L., Salmond, A.: Artefacts in anthropology. In: SAGE handbook of social anthropology. pp. 101–115. SAGE (2012).

15.

Verran, H.: The changing lives of measures and values: from centre stage in the fading 'disciplinary' society to pervasive background instrument in the emergent 'control' society. *The Sociological Review*. 59, 60–72 (2011).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02059.x>.

16.

Hacking, I.: The argument. In: *The Taming of Chance*. pp. 1–10. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990).

17.

Porter, T.M.: *Trust in numbers: the pursuit of objectivity in science and public life*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J (1995).

18.

Hui, Y.: What is a Digital Object? *Metaphilosophy*. 43, 380–395 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9973.2012.01761.x>.

19.

Halpern, O.: Cybernetic rationality. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*. 15, 223–238 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1600910X.2014.923320>.

20.

Halpern, O.: *Beautiful data: a history of vision and reason since 1945*. Duke University Press, Durham, N.C. (2014).

21.

Riles, A.: Introduction: in response. In: Documents: artifacts of modern knowledge. pp. 1–38. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor (2006).

22.

Gitelman, L.: 'Raw data' is an oxymoron. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass (2013).

23.

Dourish, P., Mazmanian, M.: Media as Material: Information Representations as Material Foundations for Organizational Practice. In: Carlile, P.R., Nicolini, D., Langley, A., and Tsoukas, H. (eds.) How Matter Matters. pp. 92–118. Oxford University Press (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199671533.003.0005>.

24.

Starosielski, N.: The undersea network. Duke University Press, Durham (2015).

25.

Vonderau, A.: Scaling the Cloud: Making State and Infrastructure in Sweden. *Ethnos*. 84, 698–712 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00141844.2018.1471513>.

26.

Alex Hern: Google says non to French demand to expand right to be forgotten worldwide. *Guardian*. (2015).

27.

Microsoft Project Natick: Is it really a good idea to dump data centres at the bottom of the sea? | WIRED UK, <https://www.wired.co.uk/article/microsoft-data-centre-orkney-sinks-project-natick>.

28.

Hogan, M.: Data flows and water woes: The Utah Data Center. *Big Data & Society*. 2, (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951715592429>.

29.

Geismar, H., Mohns, W.: Social relationships and digital relationships: rethinking the database at the Vanuatu Cultural Centre. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. 17, S133–S155 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9655.2011.01693.x>.

30.

Dourish, P. (2014) No SQL: The Shifting Materialities of Databases. *Computational Culture: a Journal of Software Studies*. Issue 4.

31.

Manovich, L.: Database as Symbolic Form. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies*. 5, 80–99 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1177/135485659900500206>.

32.

Bowker, G.: Databasing the world: biodiversity and the 2000s. In: *Memory practices in the sciences*. pp. 107–136. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass (2005).

33.

Salmond, A.J.M.: Transforming translations (part I). *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory*. 3, (2013). <https://doi.org/10.14318/hau3.3.002>.

34.

Bowker, G.C., Star, S.L.: *Sorting things out: classification and its consequences*. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass (1999).

35.

Chun, W.H.K.: The Enduring Ephemeral, or the Future Is a Memory. *Critical Inquiry*. 35, 148–171 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1086/595632>.

36.

J. Holt, Patrick Vondereau: Where the Internet Lives: Data Centers as Cloud Infrastructure. In: Traffic signal timing manual. U.S. Department of Transportation, [Washington, D.C.] (2014).

37.

Fuller, M., Goffey, A.: Digital Infrastructures and the Machinery of Topological Abstraction. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 29, 311–333 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276412450466>.

38.

To the Cloud: Big Data in a Turbulent World. *Contemporary Sociology: A Journal of Reviews*. 44, 436–437 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0094306115579192c>.

39.

Haraway, D.: Chapter 4: Gene. In: *Modest[Witness@Second]Millennium.FemaleMan[Meets]OncoMouse: feminism and technoscience*. Routledge, New York (1997).

40.

Strathern, M., University of Cambridge. Department of Social Anthropology: The relation: issues in complexity and scale. Prickly Pear Press, Cambridge, U.K. (1995).

41.

Jensen, C.B., Morita, A.: Infrastructures as Ontological Experiments. *Engaging Science, Technology, and Society*. 1, 81–87 (2015).

42.

Harvey, P.: The Topological Quality of Infrastructural Relation: An Ethnographic Approach. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 29, 76–92 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276412448827>.

43.

Mackenzie, A.: Multiplying numbers differently: an epidemiology of contagious convolution. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*. 15, 189–207 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1600910X.2014.922110>.

44.

Verran, H.: On assemblage. *Journal of Cultural Economy*. 2, 169–182 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17530350903064188>.

45.

Salmond, A.J.M.: Transforming translations (part I): 'The owner of these bones'. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory*. 3, 1–32 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.14318/hau3.3.002>.

46.

Strathern, M.: Gifts money cannot buy. *Social Anthropology*. 20, 397–410 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8676.2012.00224.x>.

47.

John, N.A.: Sharing and Web 2.0: The emergence of a keyword. *New Media & Society*. 15, 167–182 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444812450684>.

48.

Van Dijck, J., Poell, T.: Understanding the promises and premises of online health platforms. *Big Data & Society*. 3, (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716654173>.

49.

What's Not Included in Facebook's 'Download Your Data' | WIRED,

<https://www.wired.com/story/whats-not-included-in-facebooks-download-your-data/>.

50.

We May Own Our Data, But Facebook Has a Duty to Protect It | The New Yorker,  
<https://www.newyorker.com/tech/elements/we-may-own-our-data-but-facebook-has-a-duty-to-protect-it>.

51.

Brian Moylan: Grindr was a safe space for gay men. Its HIV status leak betrayed us | Brian Moylan. Guardian. (2018).

52.

Paul Taylor · Whose Property?: Big Medical Data · LRB 8 February 2018,  
<https://www.lrb.co.uk/v40/n03/paul-taylor/whose-property>.

53.

Tsing, Anna: Sorting out commodities: How capitalist value is made through gifts. HAU : Journal of Ethnographic Theory. 3, 21–43 (2013).

54.

Maurer, W.M.: Principles of Alliance and Descent for Big Data. Presented at the (2015).

55.

Laidlaw, J.: A free gift makes no friends. Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute. 6, 617–634 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9655.00036>.

56.

S. Day, C. Lury: Biosensing: Tracking Persons. In: Quantified: Biosensing Technologies in Everyday Life. MIT Press (2016).



57.

Marwick, A.E., boyd, danah: Networked privacy: How teenagers negotiate context in social media. *New Media & Society*. 16, 1051–1067 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444814543995>.

58.

Janet Carsten: Ch. 4: The person. In: *After Kinship* by Janet Carsten. pp. 83–108. Cambridge University Press.

59.

Leonelli, S.: Why the Current Insistence on Open Access to Scientific Data? Big Data, Knowledge Production, and the Political Economy of Contemporary Biology. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society*. 33, 6–11 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0270467613496768>.

60.

M. Fortun: What Toll Pursuit: Affective Assemblages in Genomics and Postgenomics. In: Richardson, S.S. and Stevens, H. (eds.) *Postgenomics*. Duke University Press (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822375449>.

61.

Biagioli, M.: Rights or rewards? Changing frameworks of scientific authorship. In: *Scientific authorship: credit and intellectual property in science*. pp. 253–281. Routledge, New York (2013). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203954270>.

62.

Richardson, S.S., Stevens, H.: *Postgenomics: perspectives on biology after the genome*. Duke University Press, Durham (2015).

63.

Weiner, A.B.: Inalienable possessions: The forgotten dimension. In: *Inalienable possessions: the paradox of keeping-while-giving*. pp. 23–43. University of California Press, Berkeley (1992).

64.

Weiner, A.B.: Reconfiguring Exchange Theory: The Maori Hau. In: Inalienable possessions: the paradox of keeping-while-giving. University of California Press, Berkeley (1992).

65.

Lezaun, J., Montgomery, C.M.: The Pharmaceutical Commons: Sharing and Exclusion in Global Health Drug Development. *Science, Technology & Human Values*. 40, 3–29 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0162243914542349>.

66.

Hilgartner, S.: Selective flows of knowledge in technoscientific interaction: information control in genome research. *The British Journal for the History of Science*. 45, 267–280 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007087412000106>.

67.

Kelty, C.M.: This is not an article: Model organism newsletters and the question of 'open science'. *BioSocieties*. 7, 140–168 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1057/biosoc.2012.8>.

68.

Hirsch, E., Strathern, M.: Transactions and creations: property debates and the stimulus of Melanesia. Berghahn Books, New York (2004).

69.

Leach, J.: The Self of the Scientist, Material for the Artist: Emergent Distinctions in an Interdisciplinary Collaboration. *Social Analysis*. 55, (2011). <https://doi.org/10.3167/sa.2011.550308>.

70.

D. Miller: Alienable Gifts and Inalienable Commodities. In: The empire of things: regimes of value and material culture. School of American Research Press, Santa Fe, N.M. (2001).

71.

Gregg, M.: The Gift That Is Not Given. In: Boellstorff, T. and Maurer, B. (eds.) Data, now bigger and better! Prickly Paradigm Press, Chicago (2015).

72.

Antonia Walford: Data Moves: Taking Amazonian Climate Science Seriously. The Cambridge Journal of Anthropology. 30, 101–117 (2012).

73.

Haraway, D.: Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective. Feminist Studies. 14, (1988). <https://doi.org/10.2307/3178066>.

74.

Jasanoff, S.: Virtual, visible, and actionable: Data assemblages and the sightlines of justice. Big Data & Society. 4, (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951717724477>.

75.

Nadim, T.: Blind regards: Troubling data and their sentinels. Big Data & Society. 3, (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716666301>.

76.

Gabrys, J., Pritchard, H., Barratt, B.: Just good enough data: Figuring data citizenships through air pollution sensing and data stories. Big Data & Society. 3, (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716679677>.

77.

Tollefson, J.: Climate-change 'hiatus' disappears with new data. Nature. (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature.2015.17700>.

78.

Rogue Scientists Race to Save Climate Data from Trump | WIRED,  
<https://www.wired.com/2017/01/rogue-scientists-race-save-climate-data-trump/>.

79.

There's life in the old Pause yet | Watts Up With That?,  
<https://wattsupwiththat.com/2015/10/08/theres-life-in-the-old-pause-yet/>.

80.

Asdal, K.: Enacting things through numbers: Taking nature into account/ing. *Geoforum*. 39, 123–132 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2006.11.004>.

81.

Büscher, B.: Nature 2.0: Exploring and theorizing the links between new media and nature conservation. *New Media & Society*. 18, 726–743 (2016).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444814545841>.

82.

Kim Fortun: From Bhopal to the Informing of Environmentalism: Risk Communication in Historical Perspective. *Osiris*. 19, 283–296 (2004).

83.

Arturo Escobar: After Nature Steps to an Antiessentialist Political Ecology. *Current Anthropology*. 40, 1–30 (1999).

84.

Whittington, J.: Carbon as a Metric of the Human. *PoLAR: Political and Legal Anthropology Review*. 39, 46–63 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1111/plar.12130>.

85.

Nadim, T.: Blind regards: Troubling data and their sentinels. *Big Data & Society*. 3, (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716666301>.

86.

Garnett, E.: Developing a feeling for error: Practices of monitoring and modelling air pollution data. *Big Data & Society*. 3, (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716658061>.

87.

Fortun, K., Poirier, L., Morgan, A., Costelloe-Kuehn, B., Fortun, M.: Pushback: Critical data designers and pollution politics. *Big Data & Society*. 3, (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716668903>.

88.

Lippert, I.: Environment as datascape: Enacting emission realities in corporate carbon accounting. *Geoforum*. 66, 126–135 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2014.09.009>.

89.

Dalsgaard, S.: The commensurability of carbon. *HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory*. 3, 80–98 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.14318/hau3.1.006>.

90.

Grebowicz, M.: Glacial Time and Lonely Crowds: The social effects of climate change as internet spectacle. *Environmental Humanities*. 5, 1–11 (2014).

91.

Stefan Helmreich: From Spaceship Earth to Google Ocean: Planetary Icons, Indexes, and Infrastructures. *Social Research*. 78, 1211–1242 (2011).

92.

Gabrys, J.: *Program earth: environmental sensing technology and the making of a computational planet*. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis (2016).

93.

Verran, H.: *Number as Generative Device*. In: Lury, C. and Wakeford, N. (eds.) *Inventive methods: the happening of the social*. Routledge, Abingdon (2014).

94.

Knox, H.: *Carbon, Convertibility, and the Technopolitics of Oil*. In: Appel, H., Mason, A., and Watts, M. (eds.) *Subterranean estates: life worlds of oil and gas*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca (2015).

95.

Gabrys, J.: *Powering the digital: From energy ecologies to electronic environmentalism*. In: Maxwell, R., Raundalen, J., and Vestberg, N.L. (eds.) *Media and the Ecological Crisis*. pp. 3–18. Routledge, London (2017).

96.

Sabina Leonelli: *What Counts as Scientific Data? A Relational Framework*. *Philosophy of Science*. 82, 810–821 (2015).

97.

Strathern, M.: *After nature: English kinship in the late twentieth century*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992).

98.

Shackley, S., Wynne, B.: *Representing Uncertainty in Global Climate Change Science and Policy: Boundary-Ordering Devices and Authority*. *Science, Technology & Human Values*. 21, 275–302 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1177/016224399602100302>.

99.

Fairhead, J., Leach, M., Scoones, I.: Green Grabbing: a new appropriation of nature? *Journal of Peasant Studies*. 39, 237–261 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2012.671770>.

100.

Igoe, J.: The spectacle of nature in the global economy of appearances: Anthropological engagements with the spectacular mediations of transnational conservation. *Critique of Anthropology*. 30, 375–397 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308275X10372468>.

101.

Helmreich, S.: Blue-green Capital, Biotechnological Circulation and an Oceanic Imaginary: A Critique of Biopolitical Economy. *BioSocieties*. 2, 287–302 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1745855207005753>.

102.

Lehning, M., Dawes, N., Bavay et. al., M.: Instrumenting the earth: Next-generation sensor networks and environmental science. In: *The fourth paradigm: data-intensive scientific discovery*. pp. 45–51. Microsoft Research, Redmond, Wash.

103.

Franklin, S.: Re-thinking nature-culture: Anthropology and the new genetics. *Anthropological Theory*. 3, 65–85 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1463499603003001752>.

104.

*The Postgenomic Condition: Ethics, Justice, and Knowledge after the Genome*, Reardon, <https://www.press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/P/bo22726485.html>.

105.

23andMe Is Terrifying, but Not for the Reasons the FDA Thinks - *Scientific American*, <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/23andme-is-terrifying-but-not-for-the-reasons-the-fda-thinks/>.

106.

With genetic testing, I gave my parents the gift of divorce - Vox,  
<http://www.vox.com/2014/9/9/5975653/with-genetic-testing-i-gave-my-parents-the-gift-of-divorce-23andme>.

107.

Take an online DNA test and you could be revealing far more than you realise | University of Oxford,  
<http://www.ox.ac.uk/research/take-online-dna-test-and-you-could-be-revealing-far-more-you-realise>.

108.

Barbara Ellen: What I learned from home DNA testing. Guardian. (2017).

109.

Alan Travis: Innocent suspects' profiles still reaching DNA database. Guardian. (2009).

110.

Report: Police Forced a DNA Testing Company to Share a Customer's Identity in the Golden State Killer Case,  
<https://gizmodo.com/report-police-forced-a-dna-testing-company-to-share-a-1825687924>.

111.

Thacker, E.: What is Biomedica? Configurations. 11, 47-79 (2003).  
<https://doi.org/10.1353/con.2004.0014>.

112.

Bioinformation - Parry and Greenhough,  
<http://politybooks.com/author-books/?authid=Beth%20Greenhough>.



113.

Tutton, R., Prainsack, B.: Enterprising or altruistic selves? Making up research subjects in genetics research. *Sociology of Health & Illness*. 33, 1081–1095 (2011).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9566.2011.01348.x>.

114.

Hayles, N.K.: *How we became posthuman: virtual bodies in cybernetics, literature, and informatics*. University of Chicago P., Chicago (1999).

115.

*After Kinship* by Janet Carsten,  
<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/after-kinship/BF660970EC79E6A4847E76A38CBE1DB9>.

116.

Ruckenstein, M.: Visualized and Interacted Life: Personal Analytics and Engagements with Data Doubles. *Societies*. 4, 68–84 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.3390/soc4010068>.

117.

Beaulieu, A.: From brainbank to database: the informational turn in the study of the brain. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences*. 35, 367–390 (2004).  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsc.2004.03.011>.

118.

Helmreich, S.: *Kinship in Hypertext*. In: *Relative values: reconfiguring kinship studies*. pp. 116–143. Duke University Press, Durham, N.C. (2002).

119.

Franklin, S.: *Biologization Re-visited: Kinship Theory*. In: *Relative values: reconfiguring kinship studies*. pp. 302–325. Duke University Press, Durham [N.C.] (2001).

120.

Singer, N.: From knowing yourself to prodding yourself. The New York Times. (2015).

121.

Lupton, D.: The commodification of patient opinion: the digital patient experience economy in the age of big data. *Sociology of Health & Illness*. 36, 856–869 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.12109>.

122.

Dawn Nafus: Big Data, Big Questions - This One Does Not Go Up To 11: The Quantified Self Movement as an Alternative Big Data Practice. *International Journal of Communication*. 8, (2014).

123.

Doe, G.: With genetic testing, I gave my parents the gift of divorce - Vox, <http://www.vox.com/2014/9/9/5975653/with-genetic-testing-i-gave-my-parents-the-gift-of-divorce-23andme>.

124.

Fujimara, J.: The Practice of Producing Meaning in Bioinformatics. In: *The practices of human genetics*. pp. 49–87. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1999).

125.

Rabinow, P.: *Essays on the anthropology of reason*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J (1996).

126.

Gross, A.: The economy of social data: exploring research ethics as device. *The Sociological Review*. 59, 113–129 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02055.x>.

127.

Van Dijck, J.: Digital cadavers: the visible human project as anatomical theater. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences*. 31, 271–285 (2000).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-8486\(99\)00020-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-8486(99)00020-5).

128.

Gerlitz, C., Lury, C.: Social media and self-evaluating assemblages: on numbers, orderings and values. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*. 15, 174–188 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1600910X.2014.920267>.

129.

Kaufmann, M.: Resilience 2.0: social media use and (self-)care during the 2011 Norway attacks. *Media, Culture & Society*. 37, 972–987 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443715584101>.

130.

Nafus, D.: Stuck data, dead data, and disloyal data: the stops and starts in making numbers into social practices. *Distinktion: Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*. 15, 208–222 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1600910X.2014.920266>.

131.

Sharp, L.A.: The Commodification of the Body and its Parts. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 29, 287–328 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.29.1.287>.

132.

Strathern, M.: Chapter 5: Losing (out on) Intellectual Resources. In: *Kinship, Law and the Unexpected: Relatives are Always a Surprise*. pp. 111–134. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2005).

133.

Lupton, D.: *The quantified self: a sociology of self-tracking*. Polity Press, Cambridge (2016).

134.

This one does not go up to 11 - Nafus and Sherman,  
<http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/viewFile/2170/1157>.

135.

Greenfield, D. (2016) Deep Data: Notes on the n of 1. In 'Quantified: biosensing technologies in everyday life' ed. Dawn Nafus. MIT Press,  
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/xpl/ebooks/bookPdfWithBanner.jsp?fileName=7580296.pdf&bkn=7580015&pdfType=chapter>.

136.

The frightening promise of self-tracking pills - The Verge,  
<https://www.theverge.com/2015/10/7/9466121/proteus-digital-pill-tracking-privacy-quantified-self>.

137.

The Healing Power of Your Own Medical Records - The New York Times,  
[http://www.nytimes.com/2015/04/01/technology/the-healing-power-of-your-own-medical-data.html?\\_r=0](http://www.nytimes.com/2015/04/01/technology/the-healing-power-of-your-own-medical-data.html?_r=0).

138.

Hippocratic Hacking | Platypus, <http://blog.castac.org/2016/10/hippocratic-hacking/>.

139.

Lupton, D.: Quantifying the body: monitoring and measuring health in the age of mHealth technologies. *Critical Public Health*. 23, 393–403 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09581596.2013.794931>.

140.

Lupton, D. 2016 *You are Your Data: Self-tracking Practices and Concepts of Data*.

141.

Ruckenstein, M.: Uncovering Everyday Rhythms and Patterns: Food tracking and new forms of visibility and temporality in health care. In: Botin, L., Bertelsen, P., and Nohr, C. (eds.) *Techno-anthropology in health informatics: methodologies for improving human-technology relations*. pp. 28–40. IOS Press, Amsterdam (2015).

142.

Ruckenstein, M.: Visualized and Interacted Life: Personal Analytics and Engagements with Data Doubles. *Societies*. 4, 68–84 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.3390/soc4010068>.

143.

Ruckenstein, M., Schüll, N.D.: The Datafication of Health. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 46, 261–278 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-anthro-102116-041244>.

144.

Hippocratic Hacking | Platypus, <http://blog.castac.org/2016/10/hippocratic-hacking/>.

145.

The Healing Power of Your Own Medical Records - The New York Times, [http://www.nytimes.com/2015/04/01/technology/the-healing-power-of-your-own-medical-data.html?\\_r=0](http://www.nytimes.com/2015/04/01/technology/the-healing-power-of-your-own-medical-data.html?_r=0).

146.

Pantzar, M., Ruckenstein, M.: The heart of everyday analytics: emotional, material and practical extensions in self-tracking market. *Consumption Markets & Culture*. 18, 92–109 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10253866.2014.899213>.

147.

Poole, D., Das, V.: *Anthropology in the margins of the state*. School of American Research Press, Santa Fe, N.M. (2004).

148.

Browne, S.: *Dark Matters*. Duke University Press (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822375302>.

149.

Amoore, L.: Biometric borders: Governing mobilities in the war on terror. *Political Geography*. 25, 336–351 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2006.02.001>.

150.

A pioneer in predictive policing is starting a troubling new project - The Verge,  
<https://www.theverge.com/2018/4/26/17285058/predictive-policing-predpol-pentagon-ai-racial-bias>.

151.

Owen Bowcott: Home Office data exemption sparks fears of further Windrush scandals. *Guardian*. (2018).

152.

Mike Rowe: AI profiling: the social and moral hazards of 'predictive' policing. *The Conversation*.

153.

Steinmetz, G.: Mitchell, T. (1999) *Society, Economy and the State Effect*. In *State/Culture: State Formation after the Cultural Turn*. In: *State/culture: state-formation after the cultural turn*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y. (1999).

154.

The World of Indicators edited by Richard Rottenburg,  
<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/world-of-indicators/C7903E69E0329A256EF02C3CB5C918ED>.

155.

Birchall, C.: Shareveillance: Subjectivity between open and closed data. *Big Data & Society*. 3, (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716663965>.

156.

Gilles Deleuze: Postscript on the Societies of Control. *October*. 59, 3–7 (1992).

157.

Adams, V.: *Metrics*. Duke University Press (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822374480>.

158.

D. Haggerty, Richard V. Ericson, K.: The surveillant assemblage. *British Journal of Sociology*. 51, 605–622 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071310020015280>.

159.

Nafus, D ; Sherman, J: This One Does Not Go Up to 11: The Quantified Self Movement as an Alternative Big Data Practice.

160.

Ruppert, E., Savage, M.: Transactional politics. *The Sociological Review*. 59, 73–92 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2012.02057.x>.

161.

Roderick, L.: Discipline and Power in the Digital Age: The Case of the US Consumer Data Broker Industry. *Critical Sociology*. 40, 729–746 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0896920513501350>.

162.

M'charek, A., Schramm, K., Skinner, D.: Topologies of Race. Science, Technology, & Human Values. 39, 468–487 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0162243913509493>.

163.

Sharma, A., Gupta, A.: Sharma, A. and Gupta, A. (2006) Introduction: Re-thinking Theories of the State in an Age of Globalization. In: The anthropology of the state: a reader. Blackwell, Malden, Mass (2006).

164.

Universitetet i Oslo: State formation: anthropological perspectives. Pluto Press, London (2005).

165.

Kirstie Ball ; Kevin D. Haggend David Lyon: Bruno, Fernanda. (2012) Surveillance and Participation on Web 2.0. In: Routledge Handbook of Surveillance Studies. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203814949>.

166.

Lyon, D.: Surveillance, Snowden, and Big Data: Capacities, consequences, critique. Big Data & Society. 1, (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951714541861>.

167.

Isin, E., Ruppert, E.: Digital Citizenship and Surveillance| Citizen Snowden. International Journal of Communication. 11, (2017).

168.

LYON, D.: BIOMETRICS, IDENTIFICATION AND SURVEILLANCE. Bioethics. 22, 499–508 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00697.x>.

169.

Evelyn Ruppert: Population Objects: Interpassive Subjects. Sociology. 45, 218–233 (2011).



170.

Lupton, D.: Self-tracking modes: reflexive self-monitoring and data practices, [https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=2483549](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2483549).

171.

Fuller, M., Goffey, A.: Leak early, leak often. In: *Evil media*. pp. 100–104. The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts (2012).

172.

Vertesi, J.: My Experiment Opting Out of Big Data Made Me Look Like a Criminal. *Time.com*. 1–1 (2014).

173.

Amoore, L.: Data Derivatives: On the Emergence of a Security Risk Calculus for Our Times. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 28, 24–43 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276411417430>.

174.

Strathern, M.: Parts and wholes: refiguring relationships in a post-plural world. In: *Conceptualizing society*. pp. 75–106. Routledge, London (1992).

175.

Ruppert, E.: The Governmental Topologies of Database Devices. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 29, 116–136 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276412439428>.

176.

Lyon, D.: Biometrics, Identification and Surveillance. *Bioethics*. 22, 499–508 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00697.x>.

177.

Lyon, D.: Liquid Surveillance: The Contribution of Zygmunt Bauman to Surveillance Studies1. *International Political Sociology*. 4, 325–338 (2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-5687.2010.00109.x>.

178.

Anderson, C.: The End of Theory: The Data Deluge Makes the Scientific Method Obsolete, <http://www.wired.com/2008/06/pb-theory/>.

179.

Yuval Noah Harari on big data, Google and the end of free will. *Financial Times*.

180.

Anna Tsing: The Global Situation. *Cultural Anthropology*. 15, 327–360 (2000).

181.

Coopmans, C.: Visual analytics as artful revelation. In: Coopmans, C. (ed.) *Representation in scientific practice revisited*. The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts (2014).

182.

Captivating Algorithms: recommender systems as traps,  
<https://static1.squarespace.com/static/55eb004ee4b0518639d59d9b/t/5b707506352f5356c8d6e7d2/1534096646595/seaver-captivating-algorithms.pdf>.

183.

Annelise Riles: Infinity within the Brackets. *American Ethnologist*. 25, 378–398 (1998).

184.

Abramson, Allen. (2016) What in/is the world in/of Big Data? Theorizing the Contemporary, Cultural Anthropology.

185.

Boellstorff, T., Maurer, B. eds: Boellstorff, T. (2015) Making Big Data,. In: Data, now bigger and better! Prickly Paradigm Press, Chicago (2015).

186.

Latour, B., Jensen, P., Venturini, T., Grauwin, S., Boullier, D.: 'The whole is always smaller than its parts' - a digital test of Gabriel Tardes' monads. The British Journal of Sociology. 63, 590–615 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-4446.2012.01428.x>.

187.

Lake, R.W.: Big Data, urban governance, and the ontological politics of hyperindividualism. Big Data & Society. 4, (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716682537>.

188.

boyd, D., Crawford, K.: Critical Questions for Big Data. Information, Communication & Society. 15, 662–679 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2012.678878>.

189.

Seaver, N.: The nice thing about context is that everyone has it. Media, Culture & Society. 37, 1101–1109 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443715594102>.

190.

Breiger, R.L.: Scaling down. Big Data & Society. 2, (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951715602497>.

191.

Kitchin, R.: Big Data, new epistemologies and paradigm shifts. Big Data & Society. 1, (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951714528481>.

192.

Strathern, M.: *Partial connections*. AltaMira Press, Walnut Creek, CA (2004).

193.

Strathern, M.: *Environments within: An ethnographic commentary on scale*. In: *Culture, landscape, and the environment: the Linacre lectures, 1997*. pp. 44–71. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2000).

194.

Strathern, M.: *On Space and Depth*. In: *Complexities: social studies of knowledge practices*. Duke University Press, Durham (2002).

195.

Marr, B.: *The Difference Between Big Data and a Lot of Data*, <http://data-informed.com/>.

196.

Marcus, G.: *Holism and the Expectations of Critique in Post-1980s Anthropology; Notes and Queries, and an Epilogue*. In: *Experiments in holism: theory and practice in contemporary anthropology*. pp. 28–46. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, West Sussex (2010).

197.

Couldry, N., Powell, A.: *Big Data from the bottom up*. *Big Data & Society*. 1, (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951714539277>.

198.

Lash, S.: *Power after Hegemony: Cultural Studies in Mutation?* *Theory, Culture & Society*. 24, 55–78 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276407075956>.

199.

Bowker, G.C.: The Theory/Data Thing. *International Journal of Communication*. 8, (2014).

200.

Beer, D., Burrows, R.: Popular Culture, Digital Archives and the New Social Life of Data. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 30, 47–71 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276413476542>.

201.

Boellstorff, T.: Making big data, in theory. *First Monday*. 18, (2013). <https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v18i10.4869>.

202.

Anderson, K., Nafus, D., Rattenbury, T., Aipperspach, R.: Numbers Have Qualities Too: Experiences with Ethno-Mining. *Ethnographic Praxis in Industry Conference Proceedings*. 2009, 123–140 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-8918.2009.tb00133.x>.

203.

Povinelli, E.A.: The Woman on the Other Side of the Wall: Archiving the Otherwise in Postcolonial Digital Archives. *differences*. 22, 146–171 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1215/10407391-1218274>.

204.

Blok, A., Carlsen, H.B., Jørgensen, T.B., Madsen, M.M., Ralund, S., Pedersen, M.A.: Stitching together the heterogeneous party: A complementary social data science experiment. *Big Data & Society*. 4, (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951717736337>.

205.

Mike Savage and Roger Burrows: The Coming Crisis of Empirical Sociology. *Sociology*. 41, 885–899 (2007).

206.

Riles, A.: *The network inside out*: Annelise Riles. The University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor (2000).

207.

Ford, H.: Big Data and Small: Collaborations between ethnographers and data scientists. *Big Data & Society*. 1, (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951714544337>.

208.

Strathern, M.: *The Limits of Auto-anthropology*. In: *Anthropology at home*. pp. 16–37. Tavistock Publications, London (1987).

209.

Seaver, N.: Algorithms as culture: Some tactics for the ethnography of algorithmic systems. *Big Data & Society*. 4, (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951717738104>.

210.

Marres, N., Weltevrede, E.: Scraping the social? *Journal of Cultural Economy*. 6, 313–335 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17530350.2013.772070>.

211.

Wendy F. Hsu: Hsu, W.F (2016) *A Performative Digital Ethnography*. In 'The Routledge Companion to Digital Ethnography' eds. Hjorth, Horst, Galloway, Bell. Routledge. (2016). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315673974.ch4>.

212.

George E. Marcus and Marcelo Pizarro: The End(s) of Ethnography: Social/Cultural Anthropology's Signature Form of Producing Knowledge in Transition. *Cultural Anthropology*. 23, 1–14 (2008).

213.

Blok, A., Pedersen, M.A.: Complementary social science? Quali-quantitative experiments in a Big Data world. *Big Data & Society*. 1, (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951714543908>.

214.

Boellstorff, T.: Rethinking Digital Anthropology. In: *Digital anthropology*. Berg, London (2012).

215.

Riles, A.: *The network inside out*: Annelise Riles. The University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor (2000).

216.

Verran, H., Christie, M., Anbins-King, B., Van Weeren, T., Yunupingu, W.: Designing digital knowledge management tools with Aboriginal Australians. *Digital Creativity*. 18, 129–142 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14626260701531944>.

217.

Haidy Geismar and William Mohns: Social relationships and digital relationships: rethinking the database at the Vanuatu Cultural Centre. *The Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. 17, (2011).

218.

MARILYN STRATHERN: VIRTUAL SOCIETY? GET REAL! Ashridge 4–5 May 2000: Conference of the 'Virtual Society?: the Social Science of Electronic Technologies' Programme: Abstraction and decontextualisation: an anthropological comment. *The Cambridge Journal of Anthropology*. 22, 52–66 (2001).

219.

Kelty, C., Coleman, G., Strassler, K.: Commentary and Discussion on the Digital Form Curated Collection,  
[http://www.culanth.org/curated\\_collections/8-the-digital-form/discussions/15-commentary-and-discussion-on-the-digital-form-curated-collection](http://www.culanth.org/curated_collections/8-the-digital-form/discussions/15-commentary-and-discussion-on-the-digital-form-curated-collection).

220.

Strathern, M.: Chapter 1. In: Property, substance and effect: anthropological essays on persons and things. Athlone, London (1999).

221.

Why Big Data Needs Thick Data – Ethnography Matters – Medium,  
<https://medium.com/ethnography-matters/why-big-data-needs-thick-data-b4b3e75e3d7>.

222.

Ruppert, E., Law, J., Savage, M.: Reassembling Social Science Methods: The Challenge of Digital Devices. Theory, Culture & Society. 30, 22–46 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276413484941>.

223.

Nafus, D.: Data, Data, Everywhere, but Who Gets to Interpret It? | EPIC,  
<https://www.epicpeople.org/data-data-everywhere/>.

224.

» Abildegaard et al (2017) Five recent play dates - EASST,  
<https://easst.net/article/five-recent-play-dates/>.

225.

Publications | Sciences Po | MedialabSciences Po | Medialab,  
<http://www.medialab.sciences-po.fr/publication/>.

226.

Mackenzie, A.: These Things Called Systems. Social Studies of Science. 33, 365–387 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1177/03063127030333003>.



227.

Strathern, M. Abstraction and Decontextualisation; an anthropological comment,  
<http://virtualsociety.sbs.ox.ac.uk/GRpapers/strathern.htm>.

228.

Seaver, N.: Studying up: the ethnography of technologists,  
<http://ethnographymatters.net/blog/2014/03/10/studying-up/>.