

SOCS0059: Research Engagement, Participation and Impact

View Online



Institute of Education.

Module leader: Gillian Stokes

Module administrator: Stephanie Fox

1.

Heggenhougen, K., Quah, S.R.: International encyclopedia of public health. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam (2008).

2.

Oliver, S.: Public and Consumer Participation in Policy and Research. In: International Encyclopedia of Public Health. pp. 408–415. Elsevier (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012373960-5.00586-4>.

3.

Burawoy, M.: Public Sociologies: Contradictions, Dilemmas, and Possibilities. *Social Forces*. 82, 1603–1618 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1353/sof.2004.0064>.

4.

Rifkin, S.B., Pridmore, P., Teaching Aids at Low Cost: Partners in planning: information, participation and empowerment. Macmillan, London (2001).

5.

Warren, J., Garthwaite, K.: Whose side are we on and for whom do we write? Notes on issues and challenges facing those researching and evaluating public policy. *Evidence & Policy: A Journal of Research, Debate and Practice*. 11, 225–237 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1332/174426415X14314311257040>.

6.

Jan Wallcraft and Mary Nettle: Chapter 1: History, context and language. In: Handbook of service user involvement in mental health research. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, UK (2009).

7.

Moore, K.: Powered by the People: Scientific Authority in Participatory Science. In: The New Political Sociology of Science: Institutions, Networks, and Power. pp. 299–323. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, WI (2015).

8.

Nowotny, H., Scott, P., Gibbons, M.: Introduction: `Mode 2' Revisited: The New Production of Knowledge. *Minerva*. 41, 179–194 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025505528250>.

9.

Beresford, P.: User involvement, research and health inequalities: developing new directions. *Health & Social Care in the Community*. 15, 306–312 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2524.2007.00688.x>.

10.

Arnstein, S.R.: A Ladder Of Citizen Participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*. 35, 216–224 (1969). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944366908977225>.

11.

Collins K, Ison R: Dare we jump off Arnstein's ladder? Social learning as a new policy paradigm, <http://oro.open.ac.uk/8589/>.

12.

Pawson, R., Boaz, A., Grayson, L., Long, A. & Barnes, C.: Types and quality of knowledge in social care, <https://www.scie-socialcareonline.org.uk/types-and-quality-of-knowledge-in-social-care/r/a1>

1G00000017vBqIAI, (2003).

13.

Cornwall, A.: Towards participatory practice: Participatory rural appraisal (PAR) and the participatory process. In: Participatory research in health: issues and experiences. pp. 94–107. Zed, London (1996).

14.

Hart, R.A., UNICEF. International Child Development Centre: Children's participation: from tokenism to citizenship. UNICEF International Child Development Centre, Florence (1992).

15.

Nind, M.: What is inclusive research? Bloomsbury, London (2014).

16.

Frickel, S., Gibbon, S., Howard, J., Kempner, J., Ottinger, G., Hess, D.J.: Undone Science: Charting Social Movement and Civil Society Challenges to Research Agenda Setting. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*. 35, 444–473 (2010).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0162243909345836>.

17.

Martin, G.P.: 'Ordinary people only': knowledge, representativeness, and the publics of public participation in healthcare. *Sociology of Health & Illness*. 30, 35–54 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9566.2007.01027.x>.

18.

Rickinson M, Sebba J, Edwards A: Ways of thinking about user engagement. In: Improving research through user engagement. Routledge, Abingdon (2011).

19.

Stewart, R., Liabo, K.: Involvement in research without compromising research quality. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy*. 17, 248–251 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1258/jhsrp.2012.011086>.

20.

Rowe, G., Frewer, L.J.: A Typology of Public Engagement Mechanisms. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*. 30, 251–290 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0162243904271724>.

21.

Oliver, S., Clarke-Jones, L., Rees, R., Milne, R., Buchanan, P., Gabbay, J., Gyte, G., Oakley, A., Stein, K.: Involving consumers in research and development agenda setting for the NHS: developing an evidence-based approach. *Health Technology Assessment*. 8, (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.3310/hta8150>.

22.

Oliver, S., Liabo, K., Stewart, R., Rees, R.: Public involvement in research: making sense of the diversity. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy*. 20, 45–51 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1355819614551848>.

23.

Byrne, A., Canavan, J., Millar, M.: Participatory research and the voice-centred relational method of data analysis: is it worth it? *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 12, 67–77 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13645570701606044>.

24.

Cook, W.K.: Integrating research and action: a systematic review of community-based participatory research to address health disparities in environmental and occupational health in the USA. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*. 62, 668–676 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.2007.067645>.

25.

Cullen, A.E., Coryn, C.L.S., Rugh, J.: The Politics and Consequences of Including Stakeholders in International Development Evaluation. *American Journal of Evaluation*. 32,

345–361 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1098214010396076>.

26.

Jones, N., Villar, E.: Situating children in international development policy: challenges involved in successful evidence-informed policy influencing. *Evidence & Policy: A Journal of Research, Debate and Practice*. 4, 31–51 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1332/174426408783477891>.

27.

MARSHMAN, Z., GIBSON, B.J., OWENS, J., RODD, H.D., MAZEY, H., BAKER, S.R., BENSON, P.E., ROBINSON, P.G.: Seen but not heard: a systematic review of the place of the child in 21st-century dental research. *International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry*. 17, 320–327 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-263X.2007.00845.x>.

28.

Chalmers, I., Bracken, M.B., Djulbegovic, B., Garattini, S., Grant, J., Gülmezoglu, A.M., Howells, D.W., Ioannidis, J.P.A., Oliver, S.: How to increase value and reduce waste when research priorities are set. *The Lancet*. 383, 156–165 (2014).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)62229-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62229-1).

29.

Davies C, Wetherell MS and Barnett E: Deliberation: towards an understanding of practice. In: *Citizens at the centre: deliberative participation in healthcare decisions*. Policy, Bristol (2006).

30.

BLACKSHER, E., DIEBEL, A., FOREST, P.-G., GOOLD, S.D., ABELSON, J.: What Is Public Deliberation? *Hastings Center Report*. 42, 14–16 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1002/hast.26>.

31.

Staley, K., Doherty, C.: It's not evidence, it's insight: bringing patients' perspectives into health technology appraisal at NICE. *Research Involvement and Engagement*. 2, (2016).

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40900-016-0018-y>.

32.

Watermeyer, R.: Public intellectuals vs. new public management: the defeat of public engagement in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*. 41, 2271–2285 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2015.1034261>.

33.

Percy-Smith, B.: 'You think you know? ... You have no idea': youth participation in health policy development. *Health Education Research*. 22, 879–894 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1093/her/cym032>.

34.

Staley, K.: 'Is it worth doing?' Measuring the impact of patient and public involvement in research. *Research Involvement and Engagement*. 1, (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40900-015-0008-5>.

35.

Richardson, L.: Putting the Research Boot on the Policymakers' Foot: Can Participatory Approaches Change the Relationship between Policymakers and Evaluation? *Social Policy & Administration*. 47, 483–500 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/spol.12031>.

36.

Telford, R., Boote, J.D., Cooper, C.L.: What does it mean to involve consumers successfully in NHS research? A consensus study. *Health Expectations*. 7, 209–220 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1369-7625.2004.00278.x>.

37.

Brunton, G; Caird, J; Stokes, G; Stansfield, C; Kneale, D; Richardson, M ; and others: Review 1: Community engagement for health via coalitions, collaborations and partnerships: A systematic review, <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng44/evidence/evidence-review-1-community-engagement-2015-brunton-pdf-2368403677>, (2015).

38.

Hubbard, G., Kidd, L., Donaghy, E., McDonald, C., Kearney, N.: A review of literature about involving people affected by cancer in research, policy and planning and practice. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 65, 21–33 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2006.02.009>.

39.

Moules, T., O'Brien, N.: Participation in perspective: reflections from research projects. *Nurse Researcher*. 19, 17–22 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.7748/nr2012.01.19.2.17.c8904>.

40.

Grand, Ann ; Holliman, Richard ; Collins, Trevor ; Adams, Anne: 'We muddle our way through': shared and distributed expertise in digital engagement with research. *Journal of Science Communication*. Vol.15(4), (2016).

41.

Murphy, M K ; Black, N A ; Lamping, D L ; Mckee, C M ; Sanderson, C F ; Askham, J ; Marteau, T: Consensus development methods, and their use in clinical guideline development. *Health Technology Assessment*. (1998).

42.

Holliman, Richard et al: An Open Research University, <http://oro.open.ac.uk/44255/>, (2015).

43.

Stokes G, Richardson M, Brunton G, Khatwa M, Thomas J: Review 3: Community engagement for health via coalitions, collaborations and partnerships (on-line social media and social networks) – a systematic review and metaanalysis, <https://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/Default.aspx?tabid=3478>.