

SOCS0059: Research Engagement, Participation and Impact

Institute of Education.
Module leader: Gillian Stokes
Module administrator: Stephanie Fox

View Online



1.

Heggenhougen K, Quah SR. International encyclopedia of public health [Internet]. Amsterdam: Elsevier/Academic Press; 2008. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/referenceworks/9780123739605>
2.

Oliver S. Public and Consumer Participation in Policy and Research. In: International Encyclopedia of Public Health [Internet]. Elsevier; 2008. p. 408–15. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/referenceworks/9780123739605>
3.

Burawoy M. Public Sociologies: Contradictions, Dilemmas, and Possibilities. *Social Forces*. 2004 June 1;82(4):1603–18.
4.

Rifkin SB, Pridmore P. Teaching Aids at Low Cost. *Partners in planning: information, participation and empowerment*. London: Macmillan; 2001.
5.

Warren J, Garthwaite K. Whose side are we on and for whom do we write? Notes on issues and challenges facing those researching and evaluating public policy. *Evidence & Policy: A Journal of Research, Debate and Practice*. 2015 May 22;11(2):225–37.

6.

Jan Wallcraft and Mary Nettle. Chapter 1: History, context and language. In: Handbook of service user involvement in mental health research [Internet]. Chichester, UK: Wiley-Blackwell; 2009. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470743157>

7.

Moore K. Powered by the People: Scientific Authority in Participatory Science. In: The New Political Sociology of Science: Institutions, Networks, and Power [Internet]. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press; 2015. p. 299–323. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=4d5b1072-890a-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

8.

Nowotny H, Scott P, Gibbons M. Introduction: `Mode 2' Revisited: The New Production of Knowledge. *Minerva*. 2003;41(3):179–94.

9.

Beresford P. User involvement, research and health inequalities: developing new directions. *Health & Social Care in the Community*. 2007 Feb 19;15(4):306–12.

10.

Arnstein SR. A Ladder Of Citizen Participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*. 1969 July;35(4):216–24.

11.

Collins K, Ison R. Dare we jump off Arnstein's ladder? Social learning as a new policy paradigm [Internet]. Proceedings of PATH (Participatory Approaches in Science Technology) Conference, 4-7 June 2006, Edinburgh; Available from: <http://oro.open.ac.uk/8589/>

12.

Pawson, R., Boaz, A., Grayson, L., Long, A. & Barnes, C. Types and quality of knowledge in

social care [Internet]. Social Care Institute for Excellence; 2003. Available from: <https://www.scie-socialcareonline.org.uk/types-and-quality-of-knowledge-in-social-care/r/a11G00000017vBqIAI>

13.

Cornwall A. Towards participatory practice: Participatory rural appraisal (PAR) and the participatory process. In: Participatory research in health: issues and experiences. London: Zed; 1996. p. 94-107.

14.

Hart RA, UNICEF. International Child Development Centre. Children's participation: from tokenism to citizenship. Vol. no.4. Florence: UNICEF International Child Development Centre; 1992.

15.

Nind M. What is inclusive research? [Internet]. London: Bloomsbury; 2014. Available from: <https://www.bloomsburycollections.com/book/what-is-inclusive-research/>

16.

Frickel S, Gibbon S, Howard J, Kempner J, Ottinger G, Hess DJ. Undone Science: Charting Social Movement and Civil Society Challenges to Research Agenda Setting. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*. 2010 July;35(4):444-73.

17.

Martin GP. 'Ordinary people only': knowledge, representativeness, and the publics of public participation in healthcare. *Sociology of Health & Illness*. 2008 Jan;30(1):35-54.

18.

Rickinson M, Sebba J, Edwards A. Ways of thinking about user engagement. In: Improving research through user engagement [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2011. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=22b2337c-3700-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

19.

Stewart R, Liabo K. Involvement in research without compromising research quality. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy*. 2012 Oct;17(4):248-51.

20.

Rowe G, Frewer LJ. A Typology of Public Engagement Mechanisms. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*. 2005 Apr;30(2):251-90.

21.

Oliver S, Clarke-Jones L, Rees R, Milne R, Buchanan P, Gabbay J, et al. Involving consumers in research and development agenda setting for the NHS: developing an evidence-based approach. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2004 Apr;8(15).

22.

Oliver S, Liabo K, Stewart R, Rees R. Public involvement in research: making sense of the diversity. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy*. 2015 Jan;20(1):45-51.

23.

Byrne A, Canavan J, Millar M. Participatory research and the voice-centred relational method of data analysis: is it worth it? *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 2009 Feb;12(1):67-77.

24.

Cook WK. Integrating research and action: a systematic review of community-based participatory research to address health disparities in environmental and occupational health in the USA. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*. 2008 Aug 1;62(8):668-76.

25.

Cullen AE, Coryn CLS, Rugh J. The Politics and Consequences of Including Stakeholders in

International Development Evaluation. *American Journal of Evaluation*. 2011
Sept;32(3):345–61.

26.

Jones N, Villar E. Situating children in international development policy: challenges involved in successful evidence-informed policy influencing. *Evidence & Policy: A Journal of Research, Debate and Practice*. 2008 Jan 1;4(1):31–51.

27.

MARSHMAN Z, GIBSON BJ, OWENS J, RODD HD, MAZEY H, BAKER SR, et al. Seen but not heard: a systematic review of the place of the child in 21st-century dental research. *International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry*. 2007 Sept;17(5):320–7.

28.

Chalmers I, Bracken MB, Djulbegovic B, Garattini S, Grant J, Gülmezoglu AM, et al. How to increase value and reduce waste when research priorities are set. *The Lancet*. 2014 Jan;383(9912):156–65.

29.

Davies C, Wetherell MS and Barnett E. Deliberation: towards an understanding of practice. In: *Citizens at the centre: deliberative participation in healthcare decisions* [Internet]. Bristol: Policy; 2006. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9125595f-a9f7-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

30.

BLACKSHER E, DIEBEL A, FOREST PG, GOOLD SD, ABELSON J. What Is Public Deliberation? *Hastings Center Report*. 2012 Mar;42(2):14–6.

31.

Staley K, Doherty C. It's not evidence, it's insight: bringing patients' perspectives into health technology appraisal at NICE. *Research Involvement and Engagement*. 2016 Dec;2(1).

32.

Watermeyer R. Public intellectuals vs. new public management: the defeat of public engagement in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*. 2016 Dec;41(12):2271–85.

33.

Percy-Smith B. 'You think you know? ... You have no idea': youth participation in health policy development. *Health Education Research*. 2006 Aug 8;22(6):879–94.

34.

Staley K. 'Is it worth doing?' Measuring the impact of patient and public involvement in research. *Research Involvement and Engagement*. 2015 Dec;1(1).

35.

Richardson L. Putting the Research Boot on the Policymakers' Foot: Can Participatory Approaches Change the Relationship between Policymakers and Evaluation? *Social Policy & Administration*. 2013 Aug;47(4):483–500.

36.

Telford R, Boote JD, Cooper CL. What does it mean to involve consumers successfully in NHS research? A consensus study. *Health Expectations*. 2004 Aug 20;7(3):209–20.

37.

Brunton, G; Caird, J; Stokes, G; Stansfield, C; Kneale, D; Richardson, M ; and others. Review 1: Community engagement for health via coalitions, collaborations and partnerships: A systematic review [Internet]. EPPI Centre, Social Science Research Unit, Institute of Education, University of London; 2015. Available from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng44/evidence/evidence-review-1-community-engagement-2015-brunton-pdf-2368403677>

38.

Hubbard G, Kidd L, Donaghy E, McDonald C, Kearney N. A review of literature about involving people affected by cancer in research, policy and planning and practice. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2007 Jan;65(1):21-33.

39.

Moules T, O'Brien N. Participation in perspective: reflections from research projects. *Nurse Researcher*. 2012 Jan 26;19(2):17-22.

40.

Grand, Ann ; Holliman, Richard ; Collins, Trevor ; Adams, Anne. 'We muddle our way through': shared and distributed expertise in digital engagement with research. *Journal of Science Communication* [Internet]. 2016;Vol.15(4). Available from: https://jcom.sissa.it/archive/15/04/JCOM_1504_2016_A05

41.

Murphy, M K ; Black, N A ; Lamping, D L ; Mckee, C M ; Sanderson, C F ; Askham, J ; Marteau, T. Consensus development methods, and their use in clinical guideline development. *Health Technology Assessment* [Internet]. 1998; Available from: <https://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta/hta2030/#/full-report>

42.

Holliman, Richard et al. An Open Research University [Internet]. The Open University; 2015. Available from: <http://oro.open.ac.uk/44255/>

43.

Stokes G, Richardson M, Brunton G, Khatwa M, Thomas J. Review 3: Community engagement for health via coalitions, collaborations and partnerships (on-line social media and social networks) – a systematic review and metaanalysis [Internet]. EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, UCL Institute of Education; 2015. Available from: <https://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/Default.aspx?tabid=3478>