

LAWS3045: Lawyers: Practice and Ethics: Richard Moorhead

[View Online](#)

1

Introduction and Course Information:

2

Boon, Andrew, Levin, Jennifer. The ethics and conduct of lawyers in England and Wales. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Hart 2008.

3

Griseri P, Seppala N. Theories of ethics. In: Business ethics and corporate social responsibility. Andover: : South-Western Cengage Learning 2010. 49–79. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=25a9c84c-7b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

4

Abel, Richard L. Lawyers: a critical reader. New York: : New Press 1997.

5

O'Dair, Richard. Legal ethics: text and materials. London: : Butterworths 2001.

6

Rhode DL. Ethics in practice: lawyers' roles, responsibilities, and regulation. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2000.

7

Simon, William H. The practice of justice: a theory of lawyers' ethics. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1998.

8

Ongoing/Varied Assessment/Participation in classes:

9

Moorhead R. Precarious Professionalism: Some Empirical and Behavioural Perspectives on Lawyers. Current Legal Problems 2014;**67**:447–81. doi:10.1093/clp/cuu004

10

Dingwall R, Fenn P. "A respectable profession"? Sociological and economic perspectives on the regulation of professional services. International Review of Law and Economics 1987;**7**:51–64. doi:10.1016/0144-8188(87)90006-8

11

The Legal Services Board. Regulatory Objectives: The Legal Service Act 2007. 2010.http://www.legalservicesboard.org.uk/news_publications/publications/index.htm

12

Boon A. Professionalism under the Legal Services Act 2007. International Journal of the Legal Profession 2010;**17**:195–232. doi:10.1080/09695958.2011.580561

13

Anthony Ogus. Regulation revisited. Public Law 2009;:332–46.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IFC258810231F11DEBA18CA797BE6038F&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

14

Parker C. Twenty years of responsive regulation: An appreciation and appraisal. *Regulation & Governance* 2013;**7**:2–13. doi:10.1111/rego.12006

15

Scott C. A Meta-Regulatory Turn? Control and Learning in Regulatory Governance. In: Kistemaker L, Frishman M, Zouridis S, et al., eds. *The Law of the Future and the Future of Law*. 2012. http://www.ficlh.org/fileadmin/ficlh/documents/FICHL_11_Web.pdf

16

Campbell, R. Rethinking Regulation and Innovation in the U.S. Legal Services Market. *New York University Journal of Law and Business* 2012;**9**:1–70.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/nyujolbu9&id=7>

17

Hadfield, G. K. Legal Barriers to Innovation: The Growing Economic Cost of Professional Control over Corporate Legal Markets. *Stanford Law Review* 2008;**60**:1689–732.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/stflr60&id=1>

18

SRA | Joint statement by the Law Society and the General Council of the Bar on the academic stage of training | Solicitors Regulation Authority.
<https://www.sra.org.uk/students/academic-stage-joint-statement-bsb-law-society.page>

19

SRA | Consultation - A new route to qualification: The Solicitors Qualifying Examination (SQE) | Solicitors Regulation Authority.
<https://www.sra.org.uk/sra/consultations/solicitors-qualifying-examination.page>

20

Ormrod R. The reform of legal education. *The Law Teacher* 1971;**5**:77-87.
doi:10.1080/03069400.1971.9992353

21

Politeia | A forum for Social and Economic Thinking. <http://www.politeia.co.uk/>

22

LETR | Legal Education and Training Review. <http://letr.org.uk/literature-review/>

23

SRA | Research on lawyer-client relationships in large firms | Solicitors Regulation Authority. <https://www.sra.org.uk/sra/how-we-work/reports/independence-report.page>

24

Marc Galanter and William Henderson. The Elastic Tournament: A Second Transformation of the Big Law Firm. *Stanford Law Review* 2008;**60**
:1867-929.http://www.jstor.org/stable/40040427?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

25

Dinovitzer R, Gunz H, Gunz S. Unpacking client capture: evidence from corporate law firms. *Journal of Professions and Organization* 2014;**1**:99-117. doi:10.1093/jpo/jou003

26

Henderson WD. From Big Law to Lean Law. *International Review of Law and Economics* 2014;**38**:5-16. doi:10.1016/j.irl.2013.06.001

27

Faulconbridge JR, Muzio D. The financialization of large law firms: situated discourses and

practices of reorganization. *Journal of Economic Geography* 2009;**9**:641-61.
doi:10.1093/jeg/lbp038

28

Pepper, S. L. Three Dichotomies in Lawyers' Ethics (with Particular Attention to the Corporation as Client). *Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics* 2015;**28**:1069-134.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/geojleg28&id=1>

29

Dinovitzer R, Gunz HP, Gunz SP. Reconsidering Lawyer Autonomy: The Nexus Between Firm, Lawyer, and Client in Large Commercial Practice. *American Business Law Journal* 2014;**51**:661-719. doi:10.1111/ablj.12035

30

Kirkland, K. Ethics in Large Law Firms: The Principle of Pragmatism. *University of Memphis Law Review* 2005;**35**:631-730.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/umem35&id=1>

31

Flood, J. Institutional Bridging: How Large Law Firms Engage in Globalization. *Boston College Law Review* 2013;**54**:1087-122.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/bclr54&id=1>

32

Parker, C.; Evans, A.; Haller, L.; Le Mire, S. The Ethical Infrastructure of Legal Practice in Larger Law Firms: Values, Policy and Behaviour. *University of New South Wales Law Journal* 2008;**31**:158-88.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/swales31&id=1>

33

Gray J. Lawyers and systemic risk in finance: could (and should) the legal profession contribute to macroprudential regulation? *Legal Ethics* 2016;**19**:122–44. doi:10.1080/1460728x.2016.1189115

34

Rhode, D. L.; Ricca, L. Diversity in the Legal Profession: Perspectives from Managing Partners and General Counsel. *Fordham Law Review* 2015;**83**:2483–508. <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/flr83&id=2535>

35

Sommerland, H. The Social Magic of Merit: Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in the English and Welsh Legal Profession. *Fordham Law Review* 2015;**83**:2325–48. <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/flr83&id=2377>

36

'Poshness test' is the new glass ceiling: Working-class denied top jobs as firms prefer 'well-travelled candidates with the right accent' | The Independent. <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/poshness-test-is-the-new-glass-ceiling-lack-of-wealthy-background-denies-working-class-people-top-10319541.html>

37

Non-educational barriers to the elite professions evaluation - GOV.UK. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/non-educational-barriers-to-the-elite-professions-evaluation>

38

Vaughan S. The state of the nation: diversity and the British legal academy. *The Law Teacher* 2016;**50**:255–63. doi:10.1080/03069400.2016.1163811

39

Kumra, S. *Busy Doing Nothing: An Exploration of the Disconnect between Gender Equity*

Issues Faced by Large Law Firms in the United Kingdom and the Diversity Management Initiatives Devised to Address Them. *Fordham Law Review* 2015;**83**:2277–300.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/flr83&id=1>

40

Vaughan, S. Going Public: Diversity Disclosures by Large U. K. Law Firms. *Fordham Law Review* 2015;**83**:2301–24.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/flr83&id=1>

41

Ashley L. Making a difference? The use (and abuse) of diversity management at the UK's elite law firms. *Work, employment and society* 2010;**24**:711–27. doi:10.1177/0950017010380639

42

Cook ACG, Faulconbridge JR, Muzio D. London's Legal Elite: Recruitment through Cultural Capital and the Reproduction of Social Exclusivity in City Professional Service Fields. *Environment and Planning A* 2012;**44**:1744–62. doi:10.1068/a43605

43

Celia Davies. The Sociology of the Professions and the Profession of Gender. *Sociology* 1996;**30**:661–78.http://www.jstor.org/stable/42855732?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

44

Law Journal Library 2008 - HeinOnline.org.
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=external-journals/wjcli/wjcli2008&collection=journals>

45

Vaughan S. 'Prefer not to say': diversity and diversity reporting at the bar of England &

Wales. *International Journal of the Legal Profession* 2017;**24**:207–26.
doi:10.1080/09695958.2016.1181076

46

Vaughan S, Thomas L, Young A. Symbolism over substance? Large law firms and corporate social responsibility. *Legal Ethics* 2015;**18**:138–63. doi:10.1080/1460728x.2015.1119530

47

Menkel-Meadow, C. Can They Do That - Legal Ethics in Popular Culture: Of Characters and Acts. *UCLA Law Review* 2001;**48**
:1305–38.[http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/uclalr48
&id=1321](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/uclalr48&id=1321)

48

Tarlton Law Library: Exhibit: Law in Popular Culture: Introduction.
<http://tarlton.law.utexas.edu/lpop>

49

Lord Neuberger's Speech on Ethics and Advocacy in the twenty-first century, Iain Miller.
[http://theregulationoflegalservices.passle.net/post/102dgub/lord-neuberger-s-speech-on-eth
ics-and-advocacy-in-the-twenty-first-century](http://theregulationoflegalservices.passle.net/post/102dgub/lord-neuberger-s-speech-on-ethics-and-advocacy-in-the-twenty-first-century)

50

Postema, G. J. Moral Responsibility in Professional Ethics. *New York University Law Review* 1980;**55**
:63–91.[http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/nylr55&
p;id=1](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/nylr55&p;id=1)

51

Pearce, R. G.; Wald, E. Rethinking Lawyer Regulation: How a Relational Approach Would Improve Professional Rules and Roles. *Michigan State Law Review* 2012;**2012**
:513–36.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/mslr2012>

&id=1

52

Fried, C. The Lawyer as Friend: The Moral Foundations of the Lawyer-Client Relation. Yale Law Journal 1976;**85**
:1060-90.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/ylr85&id=1>

53

Alice Woolley. Context, Meaning and Morality in the Life of the Lawyer. Legal Ethics
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.5235/1460728X.17.1.1>

54

Moorhead, Richard. The Ethical Capacities of New Advocates.
https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2849698

55

Moorhead, Richard. The Ethical Capacities of New Advocates.
https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2849698

56

Robbennolt, J. K.; Sternlight, J. R. Behavioral Legal Ethics. Arizona State Law Journal 2013;
45
:1107-82.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/arzjl45&id=1147>

57

Prentice, Robert. Teaching Ethics, Heuristics, and Biases. Journal of Business Ethics
Education 2004;**1**
:55-72.<https://search.proquest.com/docview/884628944/AAD7525B70EB4776PQ/10?accountid=14511>

58

Perlman, A. M. Behavioral Theory of Legal Ethics, A. Indiana Law Journal 2015;**90**
:1639-70.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/indana90&id=1>

59

Robbennolt JK. Behavioral Ethics Meets Legal Ethics. Annual Review of Law and Social
Science 2015;**11**:75-93. doi:10.1146/annurev-lawsocsci-120814-121631