

# LAWS3045: Lawyers: Practice and Ethics: Richard Moorhead

[View Online](#)

---

1.

Introduction and Course Information:

2.

Boon, Andrew, Levin, Jennifer: The ethics and conduct of lawyers in England and Wales. Hart, Oxford (2008).

3.

Griseri, P., Seppala, N.: Theories of ethics. In: Business ethics and corporate social responsibility. pp. 49-79. South-Western Cengage Learning, Andover (2010).

4.

Abel, Richard L.: Lawyers: a critical reader. New Press, New York (1997).

5.

O'Dair, Richard: Legal ethics: text and materials. Butterworths, London (2001).

6.

Rhode, D.L.: Ethics in practice: lawyers' roles, responsibilities, and regulation. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2000).

7.

Simon, William H.: The practice of justice: a theory of lawyers' ethics. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass (1998).

8.

Ongoing/Varied Assessment/Participation in classes:

9.

Moorhead, R.: Precarious Professionalism: Some Empirical and Behavioural Perspectives on Lawyers. Current Legal Problems. 67, 447–481 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/clp/cuu004>.

10.

Dingwall, R., Fenn, P.: "A respectable profession"? Sociological and economic perspectives on the regulation of professional services. International Review of Law and Economics. 7, 51–64 (1987). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0144-8188\(87\)90006-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0144-8188(87)90006-8).

11.

The Legal Services Board: Regulatory Objectives: The Legal Service Act 2007, [http://www.legalservicesboard.org.uk/news\\_publications/publications/index.htm](http://www.legalservicesboard.org.uk/news_publications/publications/index.htm).

12.

Boon, A.: Professionalism under the Legal Services Act 2007. International Journal of the Legal Profession. 17, 195–232 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09695958.2011.580561>.

13.

Anthony Ogus: Regulation revisited. Public Law. 332–346 (2009).

14.

Parker, C.: Twenty years of responsive regulation: An appreciation and appraisal. *Regulation & Governance*. 7, 2–13 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12006>.

15.

Scott, C.: A Meta-Regulatory Turn? Control and Learning in Regulatory Governance. In: Kistemaker, L., Frishman, M., Zouridis, S., and Muller, S. (eds.) *The Law of the Future and the Future of Law* (2012).

16.

Campbell, R.: Rethinking Regulation and Innovation in the U.S. Legal Services Market. *New York University Journal of Law and Business*. 9, 1–70 (2012).

17.

Hadfield, G. K.: Legal Barriers to Innovation: The Growing Economic Cost of Professional Control over Corporate Legal Markets. *Stanford Law Review*. 60, 1689–1732 (2008).

18.

SRA | Joint statement by the Law Society and the General Council of the Bar on the academic stage of training | Solicitors Regulation Authority, <https://www.sra.org.uk/students/academic-stage-joint-statement-bsb-law-society.page>.

19.

SRA | Consultation - A new route to qualification: The Solicitors Qualifying Examination (SQE) | Solicitors Regulation Authority, <https://www.sra.org.uk/sra/consultations/solicitors-qualifying-examination.page>.

20.

Ormrod, R.: The reform of legal education. *The Law Teacher*. 5, 77–87 (1971). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03069400.1971.9992353>.

21.

Politeia | A forum for Social and Economic Thinking, <http://www.politeia.co.uk/>.

22.

LETR | Legal Education and Training Review, <http://letr.org.uk/literature-review/>.

23.

SRA | Research on lawyer-client relationships in large firms | Solicitors Regulation Authority, <https://www.sra.org.uk/sra/how-we-work/reports/independence-report.page>.

24.

Marc Galanter and William Henderson: The Elastic Tournament: A Second Transformation of the Big Law Firm. *Stanford Law Review*. 60, 1867–1929 (2008).

25.

Dinovitzer, R., Gunz, H., Gunz, S.: Unpacking client capture: evidence from corporate law firms. *Journal of Professions and Organization*. 1, 99–117 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jpo/jou003>.

26.

Henderson, W.D.: From Big Law to Lean Law. *International Review of Law and Economics*. 38, 5–16 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irl.2013.06.001>.

27.

Faulconbridge, J.R., Muzio, D.: The financialization of large law firms: situated discourses and practices of reorganization. *Journal of Economic Geography*. 9, 641–661 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jeg/lbp038>.

28.

Pepper, S. L.: Three Dichotomies in Lawyers' Ethics (with Particular Attention to the Corporation as Client). *Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics*. 28, 1069–1134 (2015).

29.

Dinovitzer, R., Gunz, H.P., Gunz, S.P.: Reconsidering Lawyer Autonomy: The Nexus Between Firm, Lawyer, and Client in Large Commercial Practice. *American Business Law Journal*. 51, 661–719 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ablj.12035>.

30.

Kirkland, K.: Ethics in Large Law Firms: The Principle of Pragmatism. *University of Memphis Law Review*. 35, 631–730 (2005).

31.

Flood, J.: Institutional Bridging: How Large Law Firms Engage in Globalization. *Boston College Law Review*. 54, 1087–1122 (2013).

32.

Parker, C.; Evans, A.; Haller, L.; Le Mire, S.: The Ethical Infrastructure of Legal Practice in Larger Law Firms: Values, Policy and Behaviour. *University of New South Wales Law Journal*. 31, 158–188 (2008).

33.

Gray, J.: Lawyers and systemic risk in finance: could (and should) the legal profession contribute to macroprudential regulation? *Legal Ethics*. 19, 122–144 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1460728x.2016.1189115>.

34.

Rhode, D. L.; Ricca, L.: Diversity in the Legal Profession: Perspectives from Managing Partners and General Counsel. *Fordham Law Review*. 83, 2483–2508 (2015).

35.

Sommerland, H.: The Social Magic of Merit: Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in the English and Welsh Legal Profession. *Fordham Law Review*. 83, 2325–2348 (2015).

36.

'Poshness test' is the new glass ceiling: Working-class denied top jobs as firms prefer 'well-travelled candidates with the right accent' | The Independent, <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/poshness-test-is-the-new-glass-ceiling-lack-of-wealthy-background-denies-working-class-people-top-10319541.html>.

37.

Non-educational barriers to the elite professions evaluation - GOV.UK, <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/non-educational-barriers-to-the-elite-professions-evaluation>.

38.

Vaughan, S.: The state of the nation: diversity and the British legal academy. The Law Teacher. 50, 255–263 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03069400.2016.1163811>.

39.

Kumra, S.: Busy Doing Nothing: An Exploration of the Disconnect between Gender Equity Issues Faced by Large Law Firms in the United Kingdom and the Diversity Management Initiatives Devised to Address Them. Fordham Law Review. 83, 2277–2300 (2015).

40.

Vaughan, S.: Going Public: Diversity Disclosures by Large U. K. Law Firms. Fordham Law Review. 83, 2301–2324 (2015).

41.

Ashley, L.: Making a difference? The use (and abuse) of diversity management at the UK's elite law firms. Work, employment and society. 24, 711–727 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017010380639>.

42.

Cook, A.C.G., Faulconbridge, J.R., Muzio, D.: London's Legal Elite: Recruitment through Cultural Capital and the Reproduction of Social Exclusivity in City Professional Service Fields. *Environment and Planning A*. 44, 1744–1762 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1068/a43605>.

43.

Celia Davies: The Sociology of the Professions and the Profession of Gender. *Sociology*. 30, 661–678 (1996).

44.

Law Journal Library 2008 - HeinOnline.org,  
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=external-journals/wjcli/wjcli2008&collection=journals>.

45.

Vaughan, S.: 'Prefer not to say': diversity and diversity reporting at the bar of England & Wales. *International Journal of the Legal Profession*. 24, 207–226 (2017).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09695958.2016.1181076>.

46.

Vaughan, S., Thomas, L., Young, A.: Symbolism over substance? Large law firms and corporate social responsibility. *Legal Ethics*. 18, 138–163 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1460728x.2015.1119530>.

47.

Menkel-Meadow, C.: Can They Do That - Legal Ethics in Popular Culture: Of Characters and Acts. *UCLA Law Review*. 48, 1305–1338 (2001).

48.

Tarlton Law Library: Exhibit: Law in Popular Culture: Introduction.

49.

Lord Neuberger's Speech on Ethics and Advocacy in the twenty-first century, Iain Miller, <http://theregulationoflegalservices.passle.net/post/102dgub/lord-neuberger-s-speech-on-ethics-and-advocacy-in-the-twenty-first-century>.

50.

Postema, G. J.: Moral Responsibility in Professional Ethics. *New York University Law Review*. 55, 63–91 (1980).

51.

Pearce, R. G.; Wald, E.: Rethinking Lawyer Regulation: How a Relational Approach Would Improve Professional Rules and Roles. *Michigan State Law Review*. 2012, 513–536 (2012).

52.

Fried, C.: The Lawyer as Friend: The Moral Foundations of the Lawyer-Client Relation. *Yale Law Journal*. 85, 1060–1090 (1976).

53.

Alice Woolley: Context, Meaning and Morality in the Life of the Lawyer. *Legal Ethics*.

54.

Moorhead, Richard: The Ethical Capacities of New Advocates.

55.

Moorhead, Richard: The Ethical Capacities of New Advocates.

56.

Robbennolt, J. K.; Sternlight, J. R.: Behavioral Legal Ethics. *Arizona State Law Journal*. 45,



1107–1182 (2013).

57.

Prentice, Robert: Teaching Ethics, Heuristics, and Biases. *Journal of Business Ethics Education*. 1, 55–72 (2004).

58.

Perlman, A. M.: Behavioral Theory of Legal Ethics, *A. Indiana Law Journal*. 90, 1639–1670 (2015).

59.

Robbennolt, J.K.: Behavioral Ethics Meets Legal Ethics. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*. 11, 75–93 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-lawsocsci-120814-121631>.