

LAWS3045: Lawyers: Practice and Ethics: Richard Moorhead

View Online



1.

Introduction and Course Information:

2.

Boon, Andrew, Levin, Jennifer. The ethics and conduct of lawyers in England and Wales. 2nd ed. Oxford: Hart; 2008.

3.

Griseri P, Seppala N. Theories of ethics. In: Business ethics and corporate social responsibility [Internet]. Andover: South-Western Cengage Learning; 2010. p. 49-79.

Available from:

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=25a9c84c-7b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

4.

Abel, Richard L. Lawyers: a critical reader. New York: New Press; 1997.

5.

O'Dair, Richard. Legal ethics: text and materials. Vol. Law in context. London: Butterworths; 2001.

6.

Rhode DL. Ethics in practice: lawyers' roles, responsibilities, and regulation. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2000.

7.

Simon, William H. The practice of justice: a theory of lawyers' ethics. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1998.

8.

Ongoing/Varied Assessment/Participation in classes:

9.

Moorhead R. Precarious Professionalism: Some Empirical and Behavioural Perspectives on Lawyers. Current Legal Problems. 2014 Jan 1;67(1):447–81.

10.

Dingwall R, Fenn P. "A respectable profession"? Sociological and economic perspectives on the regulation of professional services. International Review of Law and Economics. 1987 Jun;7(1):51–64.

11.

The Legal Services Board. Regulatory Objectives: The Legal Service Act 2007 [Internet]. 2010. Available from: http://www.legalservicesboard.org.uk/news_publications/publications/index.htm

12.

Boon A. Professionalism under the Legal Services Act 2007. International Journal of the Legal Profession. 2010 Nov;17(3):195–232.

13.

Anthony Ogus. Regulation revisited. Public Law [Internet]. 2009;(Apr):332–46. Available

from:

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IFC258810231F11DEBA18CA797BE6038F&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

14.

Parker C. Twenty years of responsive regulation: An appreciation and appraisal. *Regulation & Governance*. 2013 Mar;7(1):2-13.

15.

Scott C. A Meta-Regulatory Turn? Control and Learning in Regulatory Governance. In: Kistemaker L, Frishman M, Zouridis S, Muller S, editors. *The Law of the Future and the Future of Law* [Internet]. 2012. Available from: http://www.fichl.org/fileadmin/fichl/documents/FICHL_11_Web.pdf

16.

Campbell, R. Rethinking Regulation and Innovation in the U.S. Legal Services Market. *New York University Journal of Law and Business* [Internet]. 2012;9(1):1-70. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/nyujolbu9&id=7>

17.

Hadfield, G. K. Legal Barriers to Innovation: The Growing Economic Cost of Professional Control over Corporate Legal Markets. *Stanford Law Review* [Internet]. 2008;60(6):1689-732. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/stflr60&id=1>

18.

SRA | Joint statement by the Law Society and the General Council of the Bar on the academic stage of training | Solicitors Regulation Authority [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.sra.org.uk/students/academic-stage-joint-statement-bsb-law-society.page>

19.

SRA | Consultation - A new route to qualification: The Solicitors Qualifying Examination (SQE) | Solicitors Regulation Authority [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.sra.org.uk/sra/consultations/solicitors-qualifying-examination.page>

20.

Ormrod R. The reform of legal education. *The Law Teacher*. 1971 Jan;5(2):77-87.

21.

Politeia | A forum for Social and Economic Thinking [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.politeia.co.uk/>

22.

LETR | Legal Education and Training Review [Internet]. Available from:
<http://letr.org.uk/literature-review/>

23.

SRA | Research on lawyer-client relationships in large firms | Solicitors Regulation Authority [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.sra.org.uk/sra/how-we-work/reports/independence-report.page>

24.

Marc Galanter and William Henderson. The Elastic Tournament: A Second Transformation of the Big Law Firm. *Stanford Law Review* [Internet]. 2008;60(6):1867-929. Available from:
http://www.jstor.org/stable/40040427?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

25.

Dinovitzer R, Gunz H, Gunz S. Unpacking client capture: evidence from corporate law firms. *Journal of Professions and Organization*. 2014 Sep 1;1(2):99-117.

26.

Henderson WD. From Big Law to Lean Law. *International Review of Law and Economics*. 2014 Jun;38:5–16.

27.

Faulconbridge JR, Muzio D. The financialization of large law firms: situated discourses and practices of reorganization. *Journal of Economic Geography*. 2009 Sep 1;9(5):641–61.

28.

Pepper, S. L. Three Dichotomies in Lawyers' Ethics (with Particular Attention to the Corporation as Client). *Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics* [Internet]. 2015;28(4):1069–134. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/geojlege28&id=1>

29.

Dinovitzer R, Gunz HP, Gunz SP. Reconsidering Lawyer Autonomy: The Nexus Between Firm, Lawyer, and Client in Large Commercial Practice. *American Business Law Journal*. 2014 Sep;51(3):661–719.

30.

Kirkland, K. Ethics in Large Law Firms: The Principle of Pragmatism. *University of Memphis Law Review* [Internet]. 2005;35(4):631–730. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/umem35&id=1>

31.

Flood, J. Institutional Bridging: How Large Law Firms Engage in Globalization. *Boston College Law Review* [Internet]. 2013;54(3):1087–122. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/bclr54&id=1>

32.

Parker, C.; Evans, A.; Haller, L.; Le Mire, S. The Ethical Infrastructure of Legal Practice in

Larger Law Firms: Values, Policy and Behaviour. University of New South Wales Law Journal [Internet]. 2008;31(1):158–88. Available from:
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/swales31&id=1>

33.

Gray J. Lawyers and systemic risk in finance: could (and should) the legal profession contribute to macroprudential regulation? Legal Ethics. 2016 Jan 2;19(1):122–44.

34.

Rhode, D. L.; Ricca, L. Diversity in the Legal Profession: Perspectives from Managing Partners and General Counsel. Fordham Law Review [Internet]. 2015;83(5):2483–508. Available from:
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/flr83&id=2535>

35.

Sommerland, H. The Social Magic of Merit: Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in the English and Welsh Legal Profession. Fordham Law Review [Internet]. 2015;83(5):2325–48. Available from:
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/flr83&id=2377>

36.

‘Poshness test’ is the new glass ceiling: Working-class denied top jobs as firms prefer ‘well-travelled candidates with the right accent’ | The Independent [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/poshness-test-is-the-new-glass-ceiling-lack-of-wealthy-background-denies-working-class-people-top-10319541.html>

37.

Non-educational barriers to the elite professions evaluation - GOV.UK [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/non-educational-barriers-to-the-elite-professions-evaluation>

38.

Vaughan S. The state of the nation: diversity and the British legal academy. *The Law Teacher*. 2016 May 3;50(2):255–63.

39.

Kumra, S. Busy Doing Nothing: An Exploration of the Disconnect between Gender Equity Issues Faced by Large Law Firms in the United Kingdom and the Diversity Management Initiatives Devised to Address Them. *Fordham Law Review* [Internet]. 2015;83(5):2277–300. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/flr83&id=1>

40.

Vaughan, S. Going Public: Diversity Disclosures by Large U. K. Law Firms. *Fordham Law Review* [Internet]. 2015;83(5):2301–24. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/flr83&id=1>

41.

Ashley L. Making a difference? The use (and abuse) of diversity management at the UK's elite law firms. *Work, employment and society*. 2010 Dec;24(4):711–27.

42.

Cook ACG, Faulconbridge JR, Muzio D. London's Legal Elite: Recruitment through Cultural Capital and the Reproduction of Social Exclusivity in City Professional Service Fields. *Environment and Planning A*. 2012 Jul;44(7):1744–62.

43.

Celia Davies. The Sociology of the Professions and the Profession of Gender. *Sociology* [Internet]. 1996;30(4):661–78. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/42855732?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

44.

Law Journal Library 2008 - HeinOnline.org [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=external-journals/wjcli/wjcli2008&collection=journals>

45.

Vaughan S. 'Prefer not to say': diversity and diversity reporting at the bar of England & Wales. *International Journal of the Legal Profession*. 2017 Sep 2;24(3):207–26.

46.

Vaughan S, Thomas L, Young A. Symbolism over substance? Large law firms and corporate social responsibility. *Legal Ethics*. 2015 Jul 3;18(2):138–63.

47.

Menkel-Meadow, C. Can They Do That - Legal Ethics in Popular Culture: Of Characters and Acts. *UCLA Law Review* [Internet]. 2001;48(6):1305–38. Available from:
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/uclalr48&id=1321>

48.

Tarlton Law Library: Exhibit: Law in Popular Culture: Introduction. Available from:
<http://tarlton.law.utexas.edu/lpop>

49.

Lord Neuberger's Speech on Ethics and Advocacy in the twenty-first century, Iain Miller [Internet]. Available from:
<http://theregulationoflegalservices.passle.net/post/102dgub/lord-neuberger-s-speech-on-ethics-and-advocacy-in-the-twenty-first-century>

50.

Postema, G. J. Moral Responsibility in Professional Ethics. *New York University Law Review* [Internet]. 1980;55(1):63–91. Available from:

<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/nylr55&id=1>

51.

Pearce, R. G.; Wald, E. Rethinking Lawyer Regulation: How a Relational Approach Would Improve Professional Rules and Roles. Michigan State Law Review [Internet]. 2012;2012(2):513-36. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/mslr2012&id=1>

52.

Fried, C. The Lawyer as Friend: The Moral Foundations of the Lawyer-Client Relation. Yale Law Journal [Internet]. 1976;85(8):1060-90. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/ylr85&id=1>

53.

Alice Woolley. Context, Meaning and Morality in the Life of the Lawyer. Legal Ethics [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.5235/1460728X.17.1.1>

54.

Moorhead, Richard. The Ethical Capacities of New Advocates. Available from: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2849698

55.

Moorhead, Richard. The Ethical Capacities of New Advocates. Available from: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2849698

56.

Robbennolt, J. K.; Sternlight, J. R. Behavioral Legal Ethics. Arizona State Law Journal [Internet]. 2013;45(3):1107-82. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/arzjl45&id=1>

147

57.

Prentice, Robert. Teaching Ethics, Heuristics, and Biases. *Journal of Business Ethics Education* [Internet]. 2004;1:55–72. Available from:
<https://search.proquest.com/docview/884628944/AAD7525B70EB4776PQ/10?accountid=14511>

58.

Perlman, A. M. Behavioral Theory of Legal Ethics, A. *Indiana Law Journal* [Internet]. 2015;90(4):1639–70. Available from:
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/indana90&id=1>

59.

Robbennolt JK. Behavioral Ethics Meets Legal Ethics. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*. 2015 Nov 3;11(1):75–93.