

LAWSG132: Judges, Courts and Judicial Decision-Making: Cheryl Thomas

[View Online](#)

1.

Maveety N. The Pioneers of Judicial Behavior [Electronic Resource]. University of Michigan Press; 2003.

2.

Sheldon Goldman. Voting Behavior on the United States Courts of Appeals Revisited. The American Political Science Review. 1975;69(2):491-506.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/1959082>

3.

Epstein L, Knight J. A Strategic Account of Judicial Decision-Making. In: The Choices Justices Make. CQ Press; 1998.

4.

Feeley M, Rubin EL. Judicial Policy-Making and the Modern State. Vol Cambridge criminology series. Cambridge University Press; 1998.

5.

Cameron C, Cummings C. Diversity and Judicial Decision-Making: Evidence from Affirmative Action Cases in the Federal Courts of Appeal 1971-1999.

6.

Review by: Anne Bloom. The 'Post-Attitudinal Moment': Judicial Policymaking through the Lens of New Institutionalism. *Law & Society Review*. 2001;35(1):219-230.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3185391>

7.

Baum, Lawrence. *Judges and Their Audiences: A Perspective on Judicial Behavior*. Princeton University Press; 2006.

8.

Schauer F. Is There a Psychology of Judging? In: *The Psychology of Judicial Decision Making*. Vol American Psychology-Law Society series. Oxford University Press; 2010.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14455024690004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

9.

Sunstein CR. Are Judges Conformists Too? In: *Why Societies Need Dissent*. Vol Oliver Wendell Holmes lectures. Harvard University Press; 2003.
<https://www-jstor-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/j.ctv1pncntj.12>

10.

Knight J. Are Empiricists Asking the Right Questions about Judicial Decisionmaking. *Duke law journal*. 2009;58:1531-1556. <http://scholarship.law.duke.edu/dlj/vol58/iss7/9/>

11.

Baum L. Motivation and Judicial Behaviour: Expanding the Scope of Inquiry. In: *The Psychology of Judicial Decision Making*. Vol American Psychology-Law Society series. Oxford University Press; 2010.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14455024690004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

12.

Powell, HJ. A RESPONSE TO PROFESSOR KNIGHT, ARE EMPIRICISTS ASKING THE RIGHT QUESTIONS ABOUT JUDICIAL DECISIONMAKING?
<http://scholarship.law.duke.edu/dlj/vol58/iss7/>

13.

Guarnieri, Carlo, Pederzoli, Patrizia, Thomas, C. A., European Secretariat for Scientific Publications. The Power of Judges: A Comparative Study of Courts and Democracy. Vol Oxford socio-legal studies. Oxford University Press; 2002.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198298359.001.0001>

14.

Guarnieri C, Pederzoli P, Thomas CA. The Judicial System. In: The Power of Judges: A Comparative Study of Courts and Democracy. Vol Oxford socio-legal studies. Oxford University Press; 2002. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198298359.001.0001>

15.

Multiple Constraint Satisfaction in Judging. In: The Psychology of Judicial Decision Making. Vol American Psychology-Law Society series. Oxford University Press; 2010.
https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14455024690004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

16.

Klein, David E., Mitchell, Gregory. The Psychology of Judicial Decision Making. Vol American Psychology-Law Society series. Oxford University Press; 2010.
<https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195367584.001.0001>

17.

Vidmar N. A Historical and Comparative Perspective on the Common Law Jury. In: World Jury Systems. Vol Oxford socio-legal studies. Oxford University Press; 2000.
<https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198298564.001.0001>

18.

Cheryl Thomas. Exposing the myths of jury service. Criminal Law Review.

2008;(6):415-430.

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I11381A7114F711DD9CE4E82430A3B777/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

19.

Thomas C. Are Juries Fair. Ministry%20of%20Justice%2C; 2010.

<http://copac.ac.uk/search?title=Are%20juries%20fair&sort-order=rank&rn=1>

20.

Lord Chief Justice. Judges, Tribunals and Magistrates | 2010 | NI Judicial Studies Board Lecture, Belfast: Jury trials.

<http://www.judiciary.gov.uk/media/speeches/2010/speech-by-lcj-jsb-lecture-jury-trials>

21.

Sutton Trust. Research - Sutton Trust.

<http://www.suttontrust.com/our-work/research/item/law-educational-backgrounds/>

22.

Thomas C. Review of Judicial Diversity in the UK and Other Jurisdictions: parts 1 and 2. Published online 2006. <http://www.ucl.ac.uk/laws/judicial-institute/publications.html>

23.

Hale B. The Appointment and Removal of Judges: Independence and Diversity. International Association of Women Judges. Published online 2006.

<http://www.iawj.org/InternationalConferences.html>

24.

Genn, Hazel. The attractiveness of senior judicial appointment to highly qualified practitioners: report to the Judicial Executive Board. Published online 2008.

<http://copac.ac.uk/search?title=The%20attractiveness%20of%20senior%20judicial%20appointment%20to%20highly%20qualified%20practitioners&sort-order=rank&rn=1>

25.

House of Lords Select Committee on the Constitution. Judicial Appointments Process - UK Parliament.

<http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/lords-select/constitution-committee/inquiries/judicial-appointments-process/>

26.

House of Lords, Select Committee on the Constitution. Judicial Appointments: 25th Report of Session. Published online December 2010.

<http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/lords-select/constitution-committee/publications/>

27.

Judith R. Judicial Selection and Democratic Theory: Demand, Supply, and Life Tenure. 26 Cardozo Law Review 597. Published online 2005.

http://digitalcommons.law.yale.edu/fss_papers/759/

28.

Farber D, Sherry S. Building a Better Judiciary. In: The Psychology of Judicial Decision Making. Vol American Psychology-Law Society series. Oxford University Press; 2010.

https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14455024690004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

29.

Prashar U. Translating Aspirations into Reality: Establishing the Judicial Appointments Commission. In: Judicial Appointments: Balancing Independence, Accountability and Legitimacy. s.n.; 2010.

<http://copac.ac.uk/search?title=Judicial%2520Appointments%253A%2520Independence%252C%2520Accountability%2520and%2520Legitimacy&sort-order=rank&rn=1>

30.

Sumption J. The Constitutional Reform Act 2005. In: Judicial Appointments: Balancing

Independence, Accountability and Legitimacy. s.n.; 2010.

<http://copac.ac.uk/search?title=Judicial%2520Appointments%253A%2520Independence%252C%2520Accountability%2520and%2520Legitimacy&sort-order=rank&rn=1>

31.

Sedley, Stephen. When should a judge not be a judge? London Review of Books. Published online 6 January 2011. <http://www.lrb.co.uk/contributors/stephen-sedley>

32.

Geyh CG. The Dimensions of Judicial Impartiality. SSRN Electronic Journal. Published online 2012. doi:10.2139/ssrn.2016522

33.

Wistrich A. Defining Good Judging. In: The Psychology of Judicial Decision Making. Vol American Psychology-Law Society series. Oxford University Press; 2010.

https://ucl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=14455024690004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760&VE=true

34.

Hickinbottom G. What Makes a Good Judge. In: Judicial Appointments: Balancing Independence, Accountability and Legitimacy. s.n.; 2010.

<http://copac.ac.uk/search?title=Judicial%2520Appointments%253A%2520Balancing&sort-order=rank&rn=1>

35.

Sedley S. Judicial Politics. London Review of Books. 2012;34(4).

<http://www.lrb.co.uk/contributors/stephen-sedley>

36.

Sentencing Guidelines in England and Wales: An Evolutionary Approach. Sentencing Commission Working Group; 2008.

<http://copac.jisc.ac.uk/id/1878814?style=html&title=Sentencing%20guidelines%20in%20E>

England and Wales an evolutionary

37.

Roberts JV. Sentencing Guidelines and Judicial Discretion: Evolution of the Duty of Courts to Comply in England and Wales. *British Journal of Criminology*. 2011;51(6):997-1013.
doi:10.1093/bjc/azr044

38.

Jacobson, Jessica. Mitigation: The Role of Personal Factors in Sentencing. Prison Reform Trust; 2007.
[http://copac.jisc.ac.uk/id/24551221?style=html&title=Mitigationthe%20role%20of%20personal%20factors%20in%20sentencing](http://copac.jisc.ac.uk/id/24551221?style=html&title=Mitigation%20the%20role%20of%20personal%20factors%20in%20sentencing)

39.

Austin Lovegrove. Public opinion, sentencing and lenience: an empirical study involving judges consulting the community. *Criminal Law Review*. 2007;(Oct):769-781.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I393E8AB1529F11DCB2ABCD1148869588/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

40.

Judge SI. The Sentencing Decision. Published online 2005.

41.

Gibb F. Judges fear prisons will burst under new rules. *The Times*. Published online 2010.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/nexis/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7Y08-2FX0-Y9M1-H0N5&csi=10939&oc=00240&perma=true>

42.

Callaway E. Justice may be hard-wired into the human brain. *New Scientist*. Published online 2008.
<http://www.newscientist.com/article/dn16256-justice-may-be-hardwired-into-the-human-brain.html#.Umk0Olv1D6U>

43.

Judges, Tribunals and Magistrates | 2012 | The Queen (on the application of Tony Nicklinson) -v- Ministry of Justice.

<http://www.judiciary.gov.uk/media/judgments/2012/tony-nicklinson-judgment-16082012>

44.

Court of Appeal (Criminal Division). R. v. Twomey.(2009).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I72178E105D4011DE87E28F67B42D8222/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

45.

Court of Appeal (Criminal Division). R. v Thompson (Benjamin)R. v Crawford (Jason)R. v Gomulu (Ahmed)R. v Allen (Christopher)R. v Blake (David)R. v Kasunga (Kamulete). Court of Appeal (Criminal Division) | July 14, 2010 | 2010 WL 2754137(2010).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/IB1183210905F11DFA138B71712AA6103/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

46.

Dwoell K. Dinah Rose QC hailed for actions that led her to apologise in torture hearing. Lawyer. 2010;24(8).

<https://search-ebshost-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=bth&AN=49868416&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

47.

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber). Taxquet v Belgium (926/05).(16AD).

<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I6A0C1310836411E1BC298D03BAA33194/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

48.

King's Bench Division. *R. v Sussex Justices Ex p. McCarthy*. (1924).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=I6D7D1670E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

49.

Court of Appeal (Criminal Division). *R. v Hughes (Michael)*. Court of Appeal (Criminal Division) | June 17, 2011 | 2011 WL 883594(17AD).
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I30DBAEE09D2111E09B45D883AEA2A88A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

50.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Binyam Mohamed v Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs* EWCA Civ 65.; 2010.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?docguid=IDC00550016C211DF970C9D47B1B6D882&crumb-action=reset&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

51.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). *Binyam Mohamed v Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs*(Approved Judgment) EWCA Civ 158.(2010).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7ABDB6D0235511DFB9AA9F265CBD0413&entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth>

52.

Norton-Taylor R. Judges 'irresponsible' for wanting CIA torture files disclosed. *The Guardian* . Published online 2009.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/nexis/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X9Y-P7V1-2R54-209J&csi=138620&oc=00240&perma=true>

53.

Aston J, Gordon C. Minister's lawyers attack 'torture' case judges *The Independent*. *The Independent*. Published online 2008.
<http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/ministers-lawyers-attack-torture-case-judges-1840649.html>

54.

Hirsch ARBIC, Booth R, Cobain I. Government fury after judges attack security services. The Guardian. Published online 2010.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/nexis/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7XXC-R5N1-2R54-201V&csi=138620&oc=00240&perma=true>

55.

Gibb F. Supreme ambition, jealousy and outrage. The Times. Published online 2010.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/nexis/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7XPT-31W0-Y9M1-H0CN&csi=10939&oc=00240&perma=true>