

# LAWSG132: Judges, Courts and Judicial Decision-Making: Cheryl Thomas

---

View Online



1.

Maveety, N. The pioneers of judicial behavior [electronic resource]. (University of Michigan Press, 2003).

2.

Sheldon Goldman. Voting Behavior on the United States Courts of Appeals Revisited. The American Political Science Review **69**, 491-506 (1975).

3.

Epstein, L. & Knight, J. A Strategic Account of Judicial Decision-Making. in The Choices Justices Make (CQ Press, 1998).

4.

Feeley, M. & Rubin, E. L. Judicial Policy-Making and the Modern State. Judicial Policy-Making and the Modern State vol. Cambridge criminology series (Cambridge University Press, 1998).

5.

Cameron, C. & Cummings, C. Diversity and Judicial Decision-Making: Evidence from Affirmative Action Cases in the Federal Courts of Appeal 1971-1999.

6.

Review by: Anne Bloom. The 'Post-Attitudinal Moment': Judicial Policymaking through the Lens of New Institutionalism. *Law & Society Review* **35**, 219–230 (2001).

7.

Baum, Lawrence. *Judges and their audiences: a perspective on judicial behavior*. (Princeton University Press, 2006).

8.

Schauer, F. Is There a Psychology of Judging? in *The psychology of judicial decision making* vol. American Psychology-Law Society series (Oxford University Press, 2010).

9.

Sunstein, C. R. Are Judges Conformists Too? in *Why societies need dissent* vol. Oliver Wendell Holmes lectures (Harvard University Press, 2003).

10.

Knight, J. Are Empiricists Asking the Right Questions about Judicial Decisionmaking. *Duke law journal* **58**, 1531–1556 (2009).

11.

Baum, L. Motivation and Judicial Behaviour: Expanding the Scope of Inquiry. in *The psychology of judicial decision making* vol. American Psychology-Law Society series (Oxford University Press, 2010).

12.

Powell, HJ. A RESPONSE TO PROFESSOR KNIGHT, ARE EMPIRICISTS ASKING THE RIGHT QUESTIONS ABOUT JUDICIAL DECISIONMAKING?

13.

Guarnieri, Carlo, Pederzoli, Patrizia, Thomas, C. A., & European Secretariat for Scientific

Publications. The power of judges: a comparative study of courts and democracy. vol. Oxford socio-legal studies (Oxford University Press, 2002).

14.

Guarnieri, C., Pederzoli, P. & Thomas, C. A. The Judicial System. in The power of judges: a comparative study of courts and democracy vol. Oxford socio-legal studies (Oxford University Press, 2002).

15.

Multiple Constraint Satisfaction in Judging. in The psychology of judicial decision making vol. American Psychology-Law Society series (Oxford University Press, 2010).

16.

Klein, David E. & Mitchell, Gregory. The psychology of judicial decision making. vol. American Psychology-Law Society series (Oxford University Press, 2010).

17.

Vidmar, N. A Historical and Comparative Perspective on the Common Law Jury. in World jury systems vol. Oxford socio-legal studies (Oxford University Press, 2000).

18.

Cheryl Thomas. Exposing the myths of jury service. Criminal Law Review 415–430 (2008).

19.

Thomas, C. Are juries fair. (Ministry%20of%20Justice%2C, 2010).

20.

Lord Chief Justice. Judges, Tribunals and Magistrates | 2010 | NI Judicial Studies Board Lecture, Belfast: Jury trials.

<http://www.judiciary.gov.uk/media/speeches/2010/speech-by-lcj-jsb-lecture-jury-trials>.

21.

Sutton Trust. Research - Sutton Trust.

<http://www.suttontrust.com/our-work/research/item/law-educational-backgrounds/>.

22.

Thomas, C. Review of Judicial Diversity in the UK and Other Jurisdictions: parts 1 and 2. (2006).

23.

Hale, B. The Appointment and Removal of Judges: Independence and Diversity. International Association of Women Judges (2006).

24.

Genn, Hazel. The attractiveness of senior judicial appointment to highly qualified practitioners: report to the Judicial Executive Board. (2008).

25.

House of Lords Select Committee on the Constitution. Judicial Appointments Process - UK Parliament.

<http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/lords-select/constitution-committee/inquiries/judicial-appointments-process/>.

26.

House of Lords & Select Committee on the Constitution. Judicial Appointments: 25th Report of Session. (2010).

27.

Judith, R. Judicial Selection and Democratic Theory: Demand, Supply, and Life Tenure. 26

Cardozo Law Review 597 (2005).

28.

Farber, D. & Sherry, S. Building a Better Judiciary. in The psychology of judicial decision making vol. American Psychology-Law Society series (Oxford University Press, 2010).

29.

Prashar, U. Translating Aspirations into Reality: Establishing the Judicial Appointments Commission. in Judicial appointments: balancing independence, accountability and legitimacy (s.n., 2010).

30.

Sumption, J. The Constitutional Reform Act 2005. in Judicial appointments: balancing independence, accountability and legitimacy (s.n., 2010).

31.

Sedley, Stephen. When should a judge not be a judge? London Review of Books (2011).

32.

Geyh, C. G. The Dimensions of Judicial Impartiality. SSRN Electronic Journal (2012)  
doi:10.2139/ssrn.2016522.

33.

Wistrich, A. Defining Good Judging. in The psychology of judicial decision making vol. American Psychology-Law Society series (Oxford University Press, 2010).

34.

Hickinbottom, G. What Makes a Good Judge. in Judicial appointments: balancing independence, accountability and legitimacy. (s.n., 2010).

35.

Sedley, S. Judicial Politics. London Review of Books **34**, (2012).

36.

Sentencing guidelines in England and Wales: an evolutionary approach. (Sentencing Commission Working Group, 2008).

37.

Roberts, J. V. Sentencing Guidelines and Judicial Discretion: Evolution of the Duty of Courts to Comply in England and Wales. British Journal of Criminology **51**, 997–1013 (2011).

38.

Jacobson, Jessica. Mitigation: the role of personal factors in sentencing. (Prison Reform Trust, 2007).

39.

Austin Lovegrove. Public opinion, sentencing and lenience: an empirical study involving judges consulting the community. Criminal Law Review 769–781 (2007).

40.

Judge, S. I. The Sentencing Decision. (2005).

41.

Gibb, F. Judges fear prisons will burst under new rules. The Times (2010).

42.

Callaway, E. Justice may be hard-wired into the human brain. New Scientist (2008).

43.

Judges, Tribunals and Magistrates | 2012 | The Queen (on the application of Tony Nicklinson) -v- Ministry of Justice.

<http://www.judiciary.gov.uk/media/judgments/2012/tony-nicklinson-judgment-16082012>.

44.

Court of Appeal (Criminal Division). R. v. Twomey. (2009).

45.

Court of Appeal (Criminal Division). R. v Thompson (Benjamin)R. v Crawford (Jason)R. v Gomulu (Ahmed)R. v Allen (Christopher)R. v Blake (David)R. v Kasunga (Kamulete). Court of Appeal (Criminal Division) | July 14, 2010 | 2010 WL 2754137 (2010).

46.

Dwoell, K. Dinah Rose QC hailed for actions that led her to apologise in torture hearing. Lawyer **24**, (2010).

47.

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber). Taxquet v Belgium (926/05). (16AD).

48.

King's Bench Division. R. v Sussex Justices Ex p. McCarthy. (1924).

49.

Court of Appeal (Criminal Division). R. v Hughes (Michael). Court of Appeal (Criminal Division) | June 17, 2011 | 2011 WL 883594 (17AD).

50.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Binyam Mohamed v Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs EWCA Civ 65. R. (on the application of Mohamed) v Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs (2010).

51.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division). Binyam Mohamed v Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs (Approved Judgment) EWCA Civ 158. (2010).

52.

Norton-Taylor, R. Judges 'irresponsible' for wanting CIA torture files disclosed. The Guardian (2009).

53.

Aston, J. & Gordon, C. Minister's lawyers attack 'torture' case judges The Independent. The Independent (2008).

54.

Hirsch, A. R. B. I. C., Booth, R. & Cobain, I. Government fury after judges attack security services. The Guardian (2010).

55.

Gibb, F. Supreme ambition, jealousy and outrage. The Times (2010).