

LAWSG132: Judges, Courts and Judicial Decision-Making: Cheryl Thomas

[View Online](#)

1.

Maveety, N.: The pioneers of judicial behavior [electronic resource]. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor (2003).

2.

Sheldon Goldman: Voting Behavior on the United States Courts of Appeals Revisited. The American Political Science Review. 69, 491–506 (1975).

3.

Epstein, L., Knight, J.: A Strategic Account of Judicial Decision-Making. In: The Choices Justices Make. CQ Press, Washington, D.C. (1998).

4.

Feeley, M., Rubin, E.L.: Judicial Policy-Making and the Modern State. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).

5.

Cameron, C., Cummings, C.: Diversity and Judicial Decision-Making: Evidence from Affirmative Action Cases in the Federal Courts of Appeal 1971-1999.

6.

Review by: Anne Bloom: The 'Post-Attitudinal Moment': Judicial Policymaking through the

Lens of New Institutionalism. *Law & Society Review*. 35, 219–230 (2001).

7.

Baum, Lawrence: *Judges and their audiences: a perspective on judicial behavior*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (2006).

8.

Schauer, F.: *Is There a Psychology of Judging?* In: *The psychology of judicial decision making*. Oxford University Press, New York (2010).

9.

Sunstein, C.R.: *Are Judges Conformists Too?* In: *Why societies need dissent*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass (2003).

10.

Knight, J.: *Are Empiricists Asking the Right Questions about Judicial Decisionmaking*. *Duke law journal*. 58, 1531–1556 (2009).

11.

Baum, L.: *Motivation and Judicial Behaviour: Expanding the Scope of Inquiry*. In: *The psychology of judicial decision making*. Oxford University Press, New York (2010).

12.

Powell, HJ: *A RESPONSE TO PROFESSOR KNIGHT, ARE EMPIRICISTS ASKING THE RIGHT QUESTIONS ABOUT JUDICIAL DECISIONMAKING?*

13.

Guarnieri, Carlo, Pederzoli, Patrizia, Thomas, C. A., *European Secretariat for Scientific Publications: The power of judges: a comparative study of courts and democracy*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2002).

14.

Guarnieri, C., Pederzoli, P., Thomas, C.A.: The Judicial System. In: The power of judges: a comparative study of courts and democracy. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2002).

15.

Multiple Constraint Satisfaction in Judging. In: The psychology of judicial decision making. Oxford University Press, New York (2010).

16.

Klein, David E., Mitchell, Gregory: The psychology of judicial decision making. Oxford University Press, New York (2010).

17.

Vidmar, N.: A Historical and Comparative Perspective on the Common Law Jury. In: World jury systems. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2000).

18.

Cheryl Thomas: Exposing the myths of jury service. Criminal Law Review. 415–430 (2008).

19.

Thomas, C.: Are juries fair. Ministry%20of%20Justice%2C, London%20%3A (2010).

20.

Lord Chief Justice: Judges, Tribunals and Magistrates | 2010 | NI Judicial Studies Board Lecture, Belfast: Jury trials,
<http://www.judiciary.gov.uk/media/speeches/2010/speech-by-lcj-jsb-lecture-jury-trials>.

21.

Sutton Trust: Research - Sutton Trust,
<http://www.suttontrust.com/our-work/research/item/law-educational-backgrounds/>.

22.

Thomas, C.: Review of Judicial Diversity in the UK and Other Jurisdictions: parts 1 and 2. (2006).

23.

Hale, B.: The Appointment and Removal of Judges: Independence and Diversity. International Association of Women Judges. (2006).

24.

Genn, Hazel.: The attractiveness of senior judicial appointment to highly qualified practitioners: report to the Judicial Executive Board. (2008).

25.

House of Lords Select Committee on the Constitution: Judicial Appointments Process - UK Parliament,
<http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/lords-select/constitution-committee/inquiries/judicial-appointments-process/>.

26.

House of Lords, Select Committee on the Constitution: Judicial Appointments: 25th Report of Session,
<http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/lords-select/constitution-committee/publications/>, (2010).

27.

Judith, R.: Judicial Selection and Democratic Theory: Demand, Supply, and Life Tenure. 26 Cardozo Law Review 597. (2005).

28.

Farber, D., Sherry, S.: Building a Better Judiciary. In: The psychology of judicial decision making. Oxford University Press, New York (2010).

29.

Prashar, U.: Translating Aspirations into Reality: Establishing the Judicial Appointments Commission. In: Judicial appointments: balancing independence, accountability and legitimacy. s.n., S.I. (2010).

30.

Sumption, J.: The Constitutional Reform Act 2005. In: Judicial appointments: balancing independence, accountability and legitimacy. s.n., S.I. (2010).

31.

Sedley, Stephen: When should a judge not be a judge? London Review of Books. (2011).

32.

Geyh, C.G.: The Dimensions of Judicial Impartiality. SSRN Electronic Journal. (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2016522>.

33.

Wistrich, A.: Defining Good Judging. In: The psychology of judicial decision making. Oxford University Press, New York (2010).

34.

Hickinbottom, G.: What Makes a Good Judge. In: Judicial appointments: balancing independence, accountability and legitimacy. s.n., S.I. (2010).

35.

Sedley, S.: Judicial Politics. London Review of Books. 34, (2012).

36.

Sentencing guidelines in England and Wales: an evolutionary approach. Sentencing Commission Working Group, London (2008).

37.

Roberts, J.V.: Sentencing Guidelines and Judicial Discretion: Evolution of the Duty of Courts to Comply in England and Wales. British Journal of Criminology. 51, 997–1013 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/bjc/azr044>.

38.

Jacobson, Jessica: Mitigation: the role of personal factors in sentencing. Prison Reform Trust, London (2007).

39.

Austin Lovegrove: Public opinion, sentencing and lenience: an empirical study involving judges consulting the community. Criminal Law Review. 769–781 (2007).

40.

Judge, S.I.: The Sentencing Decision. (2005).

41.

Gibb, F.: Judges fear prisons will burst under new rules. The Times. (2010).

42.

Callaway, E.: Justice may be hard-wired into the human brain. New Scientist. (2008).

43.

Judges, Tribunals and Magistrates | 2012 | The Queen (on the application of Tony Nicklinson) -v- Ministry of Justice,
<http://www.judiciary.gov.uk/media/judgments/2012/tony-nicklinson-judgment-16082012>.

44.

Court of Appeal (Criminal Division): R. v. Twomey. (2009).

45.

Court of Appeal (Criminal Division): R. v Thompson (Benjamin)R. v Crawford (Jason)R. v Gomulu (Ahmed)R. v Allen (Christopher)R. v Blake (David)R. v Kasunga (Kamulete). (2010).

46.

Dwoell, K.: Dinah Rose QC hailed for actions that led her to apologise in torture hearing. Lawyer. 24, (2010).

47.

European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber): Taxquet v Belgium (926/05). (16)AD.

48.

King's Bench Division: R. v Sussex Justices Ex p. McCarthy. (1924).

49.

Court of Appeal (Criminal Division): R. v Hughes (Michael). (17)AD.

50.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Binyam Mohamed v Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs EWCA Civ 65. (2010).

51.

Court of Appeal (Civil Division): Binyam Mohamed v Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs (Approved Judgment) EWCA Civ 158. (2010).

52.

Norton-Taylor, R.: Judges 'irresponsible' for wanting CIA torture files disclosed. The Guardian. (2009).

53.

Aston, J., Gordon, C.: Minister's lawyers attack 'torture' case judges The Independent. The Independent. (2008).

54.

Hirsch, A.R.B.I.C., Booth, R., Cobain, I.: Government fury after judges attack security services. The Guardian. (2010).

55.

Gibb, F.: Supreme ambition, jealousy and outrage. The Times. (2010).