

PUBL0085: Introduction to Qualitative Research Methods: Researching Politics using Texts, Transcripts and Images

Cathy Elliott

View Online



[1]

Acemoglu, D. et al. 2001. An African Success Story: Botswana. SSRN Electronic Journal. (2001). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.290791>.

[2]

Adams, V. 2005. Markets of Sorrow, Labors of Faith: New Orleans in the Wake of Katrina. Duke University Press.

[3]

Adcock, R. 2001. Measurement Validity: A Shared Standard for Qualitative and Quantitative Research. *American Political Science Review*. 95, 03 (Sep. 2001), 529–546. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055401003100>.

[4]

Agius, C. 2013. Performing identity: The Danish cartoon crisis and discourses of identity and security. *Security Dialogue*. 44, 3 (Jun. 2013), 241–258. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010613485871>.

[5]

Alexander L. George, Andrew Bennett 2005. Case studies and theory development. *Case studies and theory development in the social sciences*. MIT Press. 3–36.

[6]

Alexander L. George, Andrew Bennett 2005. *Process-Tracing and Historical Explanation. Case studies and theory development in the social sciences.* MIT Press. 205–232.

[7]

Amoore, L. 2007. *Vigilant Visualities: The Watchful Politics of the War on Terror.* *Security Dialogue.* 38, 2 (Jun. 2007), 215–232. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010607078526>.

[8]

Anderson, E. 2018. *Policy Entrepreneurs and the Origins of the Regulatory Welfare State: Child Labor Reform in Nineteenth-Century Europe.* *American Sociological Review.* 83, 1 (Feb. 2018), 173–211. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0003122417753112>.

[9]

Andrew Bennett and Colin Elman 2006. *Complex Causal Relations and Case Study Methods: The Example of Path Dependence.* *Political Analysis.* 14, 3 (2006).

[10]

Atkinson, P. 2001. *Handbook of ethnography.* SAGE.

[11]

Barabantseva, E. and Lawrence, A. 2015. *Encountering Vulnerabilities through 'Filmmaking for Fieldwork'.* *Millennium: Journal of International Studies.* 43, 3 (Jun. 2015), 911–930. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829815583083>.

[12]

Belsey, C. 2002. *Poststructuralism: a very short introduction.* Oxford University Press.

[13]

Bennett, A. and Elman, C. 2006. *Qualitative Research: Recent Developments in Case Study Methods.* *Annual Review of Political Science.* 9, 1 (Jun. 2006), 455–476.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.8.082103.104918>.

[14]

Billaud, Julie Visible under the Veil: Dissimulation, performance and agency in an Islamic public space. *Journal of International Women's Studies*, suppl. Special Issue: Gender and Islam in Asia. 11, 120–135.

[15]

Brady, H.E. and Collier, D. 2010. *Rethinking social inquiry: diverse tools, shared standards*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

[16]

Brady, H.E. and Collier, D. 2004. *Rethinking social inquiry: diverse tools, shared standards*. Rowman & Littlefield.

[17]

Brown, N.E. and Gershon, S.A. 2016. Intersectional Presentations: An Exploratory Study of Minority Congresswomen's Websites' Biographies". *Du Bois Review: Social Science Research on Race*. 13, 01 (2016), 85–108.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742058X15000181>.

[18]

Büscher, B. 2016. 'Rhino poaching is out of control!' Violence, race and the politics of hysteria in online conservation. *Environment and Planning A*. 48, 5 (May 2016), 979–998.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X16630988>.

[19]

Clifford, J. et al. 1986. *Writing culture: the poetics and politics of ethnography*. University of California Press.

[20]

Coleman, S. 2012. Spaces of Disappearance. How Voters Feel. Cambridge University Press. 149–168.

[21]

Collier, D. 2011. Understanding Process Tracing. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 44, 04 (Oct. 2011), 823–830. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096511001429>.

[22]

Conti, J.A. and O'Neil, M. 2007. Studying power: qualitative methods and the global elite. *Qualitative Research*. 7, 1 (Feb. 2007), 63–82. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794107071421>.

[23]

Costello, L. et al. 2017. Netnography. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*. 16, 1 (Dec. 2017). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917700647>.

[24]

Cramer, K.J. 2016. A Method of Listening. *The politics of resentment: rural consciousness in Wisconsin and the rise of Scott Walker*. University of Chicago Press. 26–44.

[25]

Crewe, E. 2017. Ethnography of Parliament: Finding Culture and Politics Entangled in the Commons and the Lords. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 70, 1 (Jan. 2017), 155–172. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsw012>.

[26]

Dave, N.N. 2010. To Render Real the Imagined: An Ethnographic History of Lesbian Community in India. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*. 35, 3 (Mar. 2010), 595–619. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1086/648514>.

[27]

Debbie Lisle 2006. Introduction: the global imaginary of contemporary travel writing. *The Global Politics of Contemporary Travel Writing*. Cambridge University Press. 1–26.

[28]

DeWalt, K.M. and DeWalt, B.R. 2011. *Participant observation: a guide for fieldworkers*. Rowman & Littlefield.

[29]

Fahmy, S. 2004. Picturing Afghan Women: A Content Analysis of AP Wire Photographs During the Taliban Regime and after the Fall of the Taliban Regime. *International Communication Gazette*. 66, 2 (Apr. 2004), 91–112.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0016549204041472>.

[30]

Fujii, L.A. 2012. Research Ethics 101: Dilemmas and Responsibilities. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 45, 04 (Oct. 2012), 717–723.

[31]

Geddes, B. 2003. *Paradigms and sand castles: theory building and research design in comparative politics*. University of Michigan Press.

[32]

Gillian Rose 2016. *Content Analysis and Cultural Analytics: Finding Patterns in What You See*. *Visual methodologies: an introduction to researching with visual materials*. SAGE Publications Ltd. 85–105.

[33]

Goodwin, J. and Horowitz, R. 2002. Introduction: The Methodological Strengths and Dilemmas of Qualitative Sociology. *Qualitative Sociology*. 25, 1 (2002), 33–47.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1014300123105>.

[34]

H. Lidchi 1997. The Poetics and Politics of Exhibiting Other Cultures. Representation: cultural representations and signifying practices. S. Hall, ed. Sage in association with the Open University. 151-222.

[35]

Hacking, I. 1999. The social construction of what?. Harvard University Press.

[36]

Hale, H.E. 2011. Formal Constitutions in Informal Politics: Institutions and Democratization in Post-Soviet Eurasia. World Politics. 63, 04 (Oct. 2011), 581-617.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887111000189>.

[37]

Hall, S. 2001. The Spectacle of the 'Other'. Discourse theory and practice: a reader. Sage. 324-344.

[38]

Hammersley, M. 2014. On the ethics of interviewing for discourse analysis. Qualitative Research. 14, 5 (Oct. 2014), 529-541. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794113495039>.

[39]

Hammersley, M. and Atkinson, P. 2007. Ethnography: principles in practice. Routledge.

[40]

Hammersley, M. and Atkinson, P. 1995. Ethnography: principles in practice. Routledge.

[41]

Hammersley, M. and Atkinson, P. 1989. *Ethnography: principles in practice*. Routledge.

[42]

Hammersley, M. and Atkinson, P. 1983. *Ethnography: principles in practice*. Tavistock.

[43]

Hansen, L. 2006. *Security as practice: discourse analysis and the Bosnian war*. Routledge.

[44]

Henry E. Brady, David Collier and Jason Seawright 2006. *Toward a Pluralistic Vision of Methodology*. *Political Analysis*. 14, 3 (2006), 353–368.

[45]

Hollander, J.A. 2004. *The Social Contexts of Focus Groups*. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography*. 33, 5 (Oct. 2004), 602–637. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0891241604266988>.

[46]

Hope Alkon, A. 2011. *Reflexivity and Environmental Justice Scholarship: A Role for Feminist Methodologies*. *Organization & Environment*. 24, 2 (Jun. 2011), 130–149. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1096026611414347>.

[47]

How people feel about what companies do with their data is just as important as what they know about it: LSE Impact Blog:
<http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/impactofsocialsciences/2018/03/29/how-people-feel-about-what-companies-do-with-their-data-is-just-as-important-as-what-they-know-about-it/>.

[48]

How We Write: Thirteen Ways of Looking at a Blank Page: 2015.
<https://punctumbooks.com/titles/how-we-write/>.

[49]

Hutchison, E. 2014. A Global Politics of Pity? Disaster Imagery and the Emotional Construction of Solidarity after the 2004 Asian Tsunami. *International Political Sociology*. 8, 1 (Mar. 2014), 1–19. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/ips.12037>.

[50]

Ian Shapiro 2002. Problems, Methods, and Theories in the Study of Politics, or What's Wrong with Political Science and What to Do about It. *Political Theory*. 30, 4 (2002), 596–619.

[51]

Jackson, P.T. 2010. *The conduct of inquiry in international relations: philosophy of science and its implications for the study of world politics*. Routledge.

[52]

Jackson, P.T. 2016. *The conduct of inquiry in international relations: philosophy of science and its implications for the study of world politics*. Routledge.

[53]

Jason Seawright and John Gerring 2008. Case Selection Techniques in Case Study Research: A Menu of Qualitative and Quantitative Options. *Political Research Quarterly*. 61, 2 (2008).

[54]

John Gerring 1999. What Makes a Concept Good? A Criterial Framework for Understanding Concept Formation in the Social Sciences. *Polity*. 31, 3 (Mar. 1999), 357–393.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3235246>.

[55]

King, G. et al. 1994. *Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research*. Princeton University Press.

[56]

King, G. et al. 1994. *Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research*. Princeton University Press.

[57]

Kozinets, R.V. 2015. *Netnography: redefined*. Sage.

[58]

Kratochwil, F. 2008. Constructivism: what it is (not) and how it matters. *Approaches and Methodologies in the Social Sciences*. D. Della Porta and M. Keating, eds. Cambridge University Press. 80–98.

[59]

Krippendorff, K. 2013. *Content analysis: an introduction to its methodology*. SAGE.

[60]

Krippendorff, K. 2004. *Content analysis: an introduction to its methodology*. Sage.

[61]

Kristin M. Bakke 2014. Help Wanted?: The Mixed Record of Foreign Fighters in Domestic Insurgencies. *International Security*. 38, 4 (2014), 150–187.

[62]

Kristina Boréus and Göran Bergström 2017. *Content Analysis. Analyzing text and discourse: eight approaches for the social sciences*. K. Boréus and G. Bergström, eds.

SAGE. 23–52.

[63]

Laitin, D.D. 2003. The Perestroikan Challenge to Social Science. *Politics & Society*. 31, 1 (Mar. 2003), 163–184. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329202250167>.

[64]

Lene Hansen Ontologies, epistemologies, methodologies. *Gender Matters in Global Politics: A Feminist Introduction to International Relations*. L. Shepherd, ed. Routledge. 42–51.

[65]

Lisle, D. 2012. *The global politics of contemporary travel writing*. Cambridge University Press.

[66]

Lundy, P. and McGovern, M. 2006. The ethics of silence. *Action Research*. 4, 1 (Mar. 2006), 49–64. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1476750306060542>.

[67]

Mahoney, J. 2000. Strategies of Causal Inference in Small-N Analysis. *Sociological Methods & Research*. 28, 4 (May 2000), 387–424.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124100028004001>.

[68]

Michael Burawoy 1998. The Extended Case Method. *Sociological Theory*. 16, 1 (1998).

[69]

Michael Shapiro 2001. Textualising Global Politics. *Discourse theory and practice: a reader*. M. Wetherell et al., eds. SAGE Publications. 318–323.

[70]

Michel Foucault 1991. Truth and Power. The Foucault reader. Penguin. 51–75.

[71]

Michel Foucault, Paul Rabinow 1991. Nietzsche, Genealogy, History. The Foucault reader. Penguin. 76–100.

[72]

Milliken, J. 1999. The Study of Discourse in International Relations: European Journal of International Relations. 5, 2 (Jun. 1999), 225–254.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066199005002003>.

[73]

Neuendorf, K.A. 2002. The content analysis guidebook. Sage Publications.

[74]

Neuendorf, K.A. 2017. The content analysis guidebook. SAGE Publications Inc.

[75]

Ortbals, C.D. and Rincker, M.E. 2009. Fieldwork, Identities, and Intersectionality: Negotiating Gender, Race, Class, Religion, Nationality, and Age in the Research Field Abroad: Editors' Introduction. PS: Political Science & Politics. 42, 02 (Apr. 2009), 287–290.

[76]

Penttinen, E. 2013. Joy and international relations: a new methodology. Routledge.

[77]

Peregrine Schwartz-Shea 2013. Design in Context: From the Human Side of Research to Writing Research Manuscripts. *Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes*. Routledge. 129–143.

[78]

Peregrine Schwartz-Shea 2012. Designing for Trustworthiness: Knowledge Claims and Evaluations of Interpretive Research. *Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes*. Routledge. 105–128.

[79]

Pink, S. et al. 2016. *Digital ethnography: principles and practice*. SAGE Publications.

[80]

Pouliot, V. 2014. Practice tracing. *Process Tracing*. A. Bennett and J.T. Checkel, eds. Cambridge University Press. 237–259.

[81]

Ravecca, P. and Dauphinee, E. 2018. Narrative and the Possibilities for Scholarship. *International Political Sociology*. 12, 2 (Jun. 2018), 125–138.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/ips/olx029>.

[82]

Renwick, A. 2009. "Do 'Wrong Winner' Elections Trigger Electoral Reform? Lessons From New Zealand. *Representation*. 45, 4 (Nov. 2009), 357–367.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890903235256>.

[83]

Rose, G. 2023. *Understanding Visual Research Ethics*. *Visual methodologies: an introduction to researching with visual materials*. SAGE Publications Ltd. 94–110.

[84]

Rose Wiles 2013. Thinking ethically: approaches to research ethics. What are qualitative research ethics?. Bloomsbury. 9–24.

[85]

Sabaratnam, M. 2011. IR in Dialogue ... but Can We Change the Subjects? A Typology of Decolonising Strategies for the Study of World Politics. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 39, 3 (May 2011), 781–803. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829811404270>.

[86]

Sandercock, L. and Attili, G. 2010. Digital Ethnography as Planning Praxis: An Experiment with Film as Social Research, Community Engagement and Policy Dialogue. *Planning Theory & Practice*. 11, 1 (Mar. 2010), 23–45.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14649350903538012>.

[87]

Sandercock, L. and Attili, G. 2010. Digital Ethnography as Planning Praxis: An Experiment with Film as Social Research, Community Engagement and Policy Dialogue. *Planning Theory & Practice*. 11, 1 (Mar. 2010), 23–45.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14649350903538012>.

[88]

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath 2017. Comparative Research. *Political research: methods and practical skills*. Oxford University Press. 211–238.

[89]

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath 2017. Historical Research. *Political research: methods and practical skills*. Oxford University Press. 239–260.

[90]

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath 2017. Objectivity and Values. *Political research: methods and practical skills*. Oxford University Press. 54–78.

[91]

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath 2017. Research Design. Political research: methods and practical skills. Oxford University Press. 146–167.

[92]

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath 2017. What is data? Political research: methods and practical skills. Oxford University Press. 168–187.

[93]

Sarah Riley, et al 2012. Coming Up with a Research Question. Doing your qualitative psychology project. Sarah Riley et al., eds. SAGE Publications. 23–26.

[94]

Sartori, G. 1970. Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics. American Political Science Review. 64, 04 (Dec. 1970), 1033–1053. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/1958356>.

[95]

Schatz, E. 2009. Political ethnography: what immersion contributes to the study of power. University of Chicago Press.

[96]

Schreier, M. 2012. Qualitative content analysis in practice. SAGE.

[97]

Schwartz-Shea, P. and Yanow, D. 2012. Interpretive research design: concepts and processes. Routledge.

[98]

Shepherd, L.J. 2006. Veiled references: Constructions of gender in the Bush administration

discourse on the attacks on Afghanistan post-9/11. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*. 8, 1 (Mar. 2006), 19–41. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14616740500415425>.

[99]

Simmons, E.S. and Smith, N.R. 2017. Comparison with an Ethnographic Sensibility. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 50, 01 (Jan. 2017), 126–130.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096516002286>.

[100]

Smith, R.M. 2002. Should We Make Political Science More of a Science or More about Politics? *Political Science & Politics*. 35, 02 (Jun. 2002), 199–201.

[101]

Snyder, Richard Scaling down: The subnational comparative method. *Studies in Comparative International Development*. 36, 93–110.

[102]

Stephanie Taylor 2013. Theories and common concerns. *What is Discourse Analysis?*. Bloomsbury Academic. 7–28.

[103]

Stritzel, H. 2012. Securitization, power, intertextuality: Discourse theory and the translations of organized crime. *Security Dialogue*. 43, 6 (Dec. 2012), 549–567.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010612463953>.

[104]

Taylor, S. 2013. Four examples of discourse analysis. *What is Discourse Analysis?*. Bloomsbury Academic. 29–52.

[105]

Tom Clark 2008. 'We're Over-Researched Here!' Exploring Accounts of Research Fatigue within Qualitative Research Engagements. *Sociology*. 42, 5 (2008), 953–970.

[106]

Vrasti, W. 2010. Dr Strangelove, or How I Learned to Stop Worrying about Methodology and Love Writing. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 39, 1 (Aug. 2010), 79–88. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829810371017>.

[107]

Vucetic, Srdjan Genealogy as a research tool in International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 37, 3, 1295–1312.

[108]

Woolley, J.K. et al. 2010. The 2008 Presidential Election, 2.0: A Content Analysis of User-Generated Political Facebook Groups. *Mass Communication and Society*. 13, 5 (Oct. 2010), 631–652. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/15205436.2010.516864>.

[109]

Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes.

[110]

Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes.

[111]

2012. *Qualitative Methods Newsletter of the American Political Science Association*. 10, Issue 2 (Fall) (2012).

[112]

Yes, But What's the Mechanism? (Don't Expect an Easy Answer). *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 98, 4.