

PUBL0085: Introduction to Qualitative Research Methods: Researching Politics using Texts, Transcripts and Images

Cathy Elliott

View Online



Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S. H., & Robinson, J. A. (2001). An African Success Story: Botswana. SSRN Electronic Journal. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.290791>

Adams, V. (2005). *Markets of Sorrow, Labors of Faith: New Orleans in the Wake of Katrina*. Duke University Press. <https://read.dukeupress.edu/books/book/418/>

Adcock, R. (2001). Measurement Validity: A Shared Standard for Qualitative and Quantitative Research. *American Political Science Review*, 95(03), 529–546. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055401003100>

Agius, C. (2013). Performing identity: The Danish cartoon crisis and discourses of identity and security. *Security Dialogue*, 44(3), 241–258. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010613485871>

Alexander L. George, Andrew Bennett. (2005a). Case studies and theory development. In *Case studies and theory development in the social sciences* (pp. 3–36). MIT Press. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=5cfb1281-6c04-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

Alexander L. George, Andrew Bennett. (2005b). Process-Tracing and Historical Explanation. In *Case studies and theory development in the social sciences* (pp. 205–232). MIT Press.

Amoore, L. (2007). Vigilant Visualities: The Watchful Politics of the War on Terror. *Security Dialogue*, 38(2), 215–232. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010607078526>

Anderson, E. (2018). Policy Entrepreneurs and the Origins of the Regulatory Welfare State: Child Labor Reform in Nineteenth-Century Europe. *American Sociological Review*, 83(1), 173–211. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0003122417753112>

Andrew Bennett and Colin Elman. (2006). Complex Causal Relations and Case Study Methods: The Example of Path Dependence. *Political Analysis*, 14(3). https://www.jstor.org/stable/25791852?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

Atkinson, P. (2001). *Handbook of ethnography*. SAGE.

Barabantseva, E., & Lawrence, A. (2015). Encountering Vulnerabilities through 'Filmmaking for Fieldwork'. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 43(3), 911–930. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829815583083>

Belsey, C. (2002). *Poststructuralism: a very short introduction*. Oxford University Press.

- Bennett, A., & Elman, C. (2006). Qualitative Research: Recent Developments in Case Study Methods. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 9(1), 455–476.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.8.082103.104918>
- Billaud, Julie. (n.d.). Visible under the Veil: Dissimulation, performance and agency in an Islamic public space. *Journal of International Women's Studies*, Suppl. Special Issue: Gender and Islam in Asia, 11, 120–135.
<https://search.proquest.com/docview/232179263?OpenUrlRefId=info:xri/sid:primo&accountid=14511>
- Brady, H. E., & Collier, D. (2004). *Rethinking social inquiry: diverse tools, shared standards*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Brady, H. E., & Collier, D. (2010). *Rethinking social inquiry: diverse tools, shared standards* (2nd ed). Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
<https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9781442203457>
- Brown, N. E., & Gershon, S. A. (2016). Intersectional Presentations: An Exploratory Study of Minority Congresswomen's Websites' Biographies". *Du Bois Review: Social Science Research on Race*, 13(01), 85–108. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742058X15000181>
- Büscher, B. (2016). 'Rhino poaching is out of control!' Violence, race and the politics of hysteria in online conservation. *Environment and Planning A*, 48(5), 979–998.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X16630988>
- Clifford, J., Marcus, G. E., & School of American Research (Santa Fe, N.M.). (1986). *Writing culture: the poetics and politics of ethnography*. University of California Press.
- Coleman, S. (2012). Spaces of Disappearance. In *How Voters Feel* (pp. 149–168). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139035354.007>
- Collier, D. (2011). Understanding Process Tracing. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 44(04), 823–830. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096511001429>
- Conti, J. A., & O'Neil, M. (2007). Studying power: qualitative methods and the global elite. *Qualitative Research*, 7(1), 63–82. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794107071421>
- Costello, L., McDermott, M.-L., & Wallace, R. (2017). Netnography. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917700647>
- Cramer, K. J. (2016). A Method of Listening. In *The politics of resentment: rural consciousness in Wisconsin and the rise of Scott Walker* (pp. 26–44). University of Chicago Press.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=95229e86-afff-e811-80cd-005056af4099>
- Crewe, E. (2017). Ethnography of Parliament: Finding Culture and Politics Entangled in the Commons and the Lords. *Parliamentary Affairs*, 70(1), 155–172.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsw012>
- Dave, N. N. (2010). To Render Real the Imagined: An Ethnographic History of Lesbian Community in India. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 35(3), 595–619.

<https://doi.org/10.1086/648514>

Debbie Lisle. (2006). Introduction: the global imaginary of contemporary travel writing. In *The Global Politics of Contemporary Travel Writing* (pp. 1–26). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511491535.003>

DeWalt, K. M., & DeWalt, B. R. (2011). *Participant observation: a guide for fieldworkers* (2nd ed). Rowman & Littlefield. <https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780759119284>

Fahmy, S. (2004). Picturing Afghan Women: A Content Analysis of AP Wire Photographs During the Taliban Regime and after the Fall of the Taliban Regime. *International Communication Gazette*, 66(2), 91–112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0016549204041472>

Fujii, L. A. (2012). Research Ethics 101: Dilemmas and Responsibilities. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 45(04), 717–723. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ps-political-science-and-politics/article/research-ethics-101-dilemmas-and-responsibilities/90D5442392CD0716F04E764939054FF7>

Geddes, B. (2003). *Paradigms and sand castles: theory building and research design in comparative politics*. University of Michigan Press. <https://muse.jhu.edu/book/7163>

Gillian Rose. (2016). Content Analysis and Cultural Analytics: Finding Patterns in What You See. In *Visual methodologies: an introduction to researching with visual materials* (4th edition, pp. 85–105). SAGE Publications Ltd.

Goodwin, J., & Horowitz, R. (2002). Introduction: The Methodological Strengths and Dilemmas of Qualitative Sociology. *Qualitative Sociology*, 25(1), 33–47. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1014300123105>

H. Lidchi. (1997). The Poetics and Politics of Exhibiting Other Cultures. In S. Hall (Ed.), *Representation: cultural representations and signifying practices* (Vol. 2, pp. 151–222). Sage in association with the Open University.

Hacking, I. (1999). *The social construction of what?* Harvard University Press.

Hale, H. E. (2011). Formal Constitutions in Informal Politics: Institutions and Democratization in Post-Soviet Eurasia. *World Politics*, 63(04), 581–617. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887111000189>

Hall, S. (2001). The Spectacle of the 'Other'. In *Discourse theory and practice: a reader* (pp. 324–344). Sage. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=2d5fc837-6b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

Hammersley, M. (2014). On the ethics of interviewing for discourse analysis. *Qualitative Research*, 14(5), 529–541. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794113495039>

Hammersley, M., & Atkinson, P. (1983). *Ethnography: principles in practice* (Vol. 249). Tavistock.

Hammersley, M., & Atkinson, P. (1989). *Ethnography: principles in practice*. Routledge.

Hammersley, M., & Atkinson, P. (1995). *Ethnography: principles in practice* (2nd ed). Routledge.

Hammersley, M., & Atkinson, P. (2007). *Ethnography: principles in practice* (3rd ed). Routledge.

<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780203944769>

Hansen, L. (2006). *Security as practice: discourse analysis and the Bosnian war*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203236338>

Henry E. Brady, David Collier and Jason Seawright. (2006). *Toward a Pluralistic Vision of Methodology*. *Political Analysis*, 14(3), 353–368.

https://www.jstor.org/stable/25791861?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

Hollander, J. A. (2004). *The Social Contexts of Focus Groups*. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography*, 33(5), 602–637. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0891241604266988>

Hope Alkon, A. (2011). *Reflexivity and Environmental Justice Scholarship: A Role for Feminist Methodologies*. *Organization & Environment*, 24(2), 130–149.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1096026611414347>

How people feel about what companies do with their data is just as important as what they know about it: LSE Impact Blog. (n.d.).

<http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/impactofsocialsciences/2018/03/29/how-people-feel-about-what-companies-do-with-their-data-is-just-as-important-as-what-they-know-about-it/>

Hutchison, E. (2014). *A Global Politics of Pity? Disaster Imagery and the Emotional Construction of Solidarity after the 2004 Asian Tsunami*. *International Political Sociology*, 8(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ips.12037>

Ian Shapiro. (2002). *Problems, Methods, and Theories in the Study of Politics, or What's Wrong with Political Science and What to Do about It*. *Political Theory*, 30(4), 596–619.

https://www.jstor.org/stable/3072623?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes. (n.d.-a).

<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203854907>

Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes. (n.d.-b).

<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203854907>

Jackson, P. T. (2010). *The conduct of inquiry in international relations: philosophy of science and its implications for the study of world politics*. Routledge.

<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780203843321>

Jackson, P. T. (2016). *The conduct of inquiry in international relations: philosophy of science and its implications for the study of world politics* (Second edition). Routledge.

Jason Seawright and John Gerring. (2008). *Case Selection Techniques in Case Study Research: A Menu of Qualitative and Quantitative Options*. *Political Research Quarterly*, 61

(2). https://www.jstor.org/stable/20299733?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

John Gerring. (1999). What Makes a Concept Good? A Criterial Framework for Understanding Concept Formation in the Social Sciences. *Polity*, 31(3), 357–393. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3235246>

King, G., Verba, S., & Keohane, R. O. (1994a). *Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research*. Princeton University Press.

King, G., Verba, S., & Keohane, R. O. (1994b). *Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research*. Princeton University Press.

Kozinets, R. V. (2015). *Netnography: redefined* (2nd edition). Sage.

Kratochwil, F. (2008). Constructivism: what it is (not) and how it matters. In D. Della Porta & M. Keating (Eds.), *Approaches and Methodologies in the Social Sciences* (pp. 80–98). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511801938.006>

Krippendorff, K. (2004). *Content analysis: an introduction to its methodology* (2nd ed). Sage.

Krippendorff, K. (2013). *Content analysis: an introduction to its methodology* (3rd ed). SAGE.

Kristin M. Bakke. (2014). Help Wanted?: The Mixed Record of Foreign Fighters in Domestic Insurgencies. *International Security*, 38(4), 150–187. <https://muse.jhu.edu/article/545070>

Kristina Boréus and Göran Bergström. (2017). Content Analysis. In K. Boréus & G. Bergström (Eds.), *Analyzing text and discourse: eight approaches for the social sciences* (pp. 23–52). SAGE. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9edf456b-47d5-ec11-997e-0050f2f09783>

Laitin, D. D. (2003). The Perestroika Challenge to Social Science. *Politics & Society*, 31(1), 163–184. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329202250167>

Lene Hansen. (n.d.). Ontologies, epistemologies, methodologies. In L. Shepherd (Ed.), *Gender Matters in Global Politics: A Feminist Introduction to International Relations* (pp. 42–51). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315879819>

Lisle, D. (2012). *The global politics of contemporary travel writing*. Cambridge University Press.

Lundy, P., & McGovern, M. (2006). The ethics of silence. *Action Research*, 4(1), 49–64. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1476750306060542>

Mahoney, J. (2000). Strategies of Causal Inference in Small-N Analysis. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 28(4), 387–424. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124100028004001>

Michael Burawoy. (1998). The Extended Case Method. *Sociological Theory*, 16(1). https://www.jstor.org/stable/202212?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

Michael Shapiro. (2001). Textualising Global Politics. In M. Wetherell, S. Taylor, & S. Yates

(Eds.), *Discourse theory and practice: a reader* (pp. 318–323). SAGE Publications.

Michel Foucault. (1991). *Truth and Power*. In *The Foucault reader* (pp. 51–75). Penguin.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=8cd663f8-de02-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

Michel Foucault, Paul Rabinow. (1991). *Nietzsche, Genealogy, History*. In *The Foucault reader* (pp. 76–100). Penguin.

Milliken, J. (1999). *The Study of Discourse in International Relations: European Journal of International Relations*, 5(2), 225–254. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066199005002003>

Neuendorf, K. A. (2002). *The content analysis guidebook*. Sage Publications.

Neuendorf, K. A. (2017). *The content analysis guidebook (Second edition)*. SAGE Publications Inc.

Ortbals, C. D., & Rincker, M. E. (2009). *Fieldwork, Identities, and Intersectionality: Negotiating Gender, Race, Class, Religion, Nationality, and Age in the Research Field Abroad: Editors' Introduction*. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 42(02), 287–290.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ps-political-science-and-politics/article/fieldwork-identities-and-intersectionality-negotiating-gender-race-class-religion-nationality-and-age-in-the-research-field-abroad-editors-introduction/E7B583F128E0B577FFC3C90B61CCC478>

Penttinen, E. (2013). *Joy and international relations: a new methodology*. Routledge.

Peregrine Schwartz-Shea. (2012). *Designing for Trustworthiness: Knowledge Claims and Evaluations of Interpretive Research*. In *Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes* (pp. 105–128). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203854907>

Peregrine Schwartz-Shea. (2013). *Design in Context: From the Human Side of Research to Writing Research Manuscripts*. In *Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes* (pp. 129–143). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203854907>

Pink, S., Horst, H. A., Postill, J., Hjorth, L., Lewis, T., & Tacchi, J. (2016). *Digital ethnography: principles and practice*. SAGE Publications.

Pouliot, V. (2014). *Practice tracing*. In A. Bennett & J. T. Checkel (Eds.), *Process Tracing* (pp. 237–259). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139858472.013>

Qualitative Methods Newsletter of the American Political Science Association. (2012). 10 (Issue 2 (Fall)).
https://www.maxwell.syr.edu/moynihan/cqrm/qmmr/Table_of_Contents_10_2/

Ravecca, P., & Dauphinee, E. (2018). *Narrative and the Possibilities for Scholarship*. *International Political Sociology*, 12(2), 125–138. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ips/olx029>

Renwick, A. (2009). "Do 'Wrong Winner' Elections Trigger Electoral Reform? Lessons From New Zealand". *Representation*, 45(4), 357–367.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890903235256>

Rose, G. (2023). *Understanding Visual Research Ethics*. In *Visual methodologies: an*

introduction to researching with visual materials (5th edition, pp. 94–110). SAGE Publications Ltd. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/2038408>

Rose Wiles. (2013). Thinking ethically: approaches to research ethics. In *What are qualitative research ethics?* (pp. 9–24). Bloomsbury. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781849666558.ch-002>

Sabaratnam, M. (2011). IR in Dialogue ... but Can We Change the Subjects? A Typology of Decolonising Strategies for the Study of World Politics. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 39(3), 781–803. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829811404270>

Sandercock, L., & Attili, G. (2010a). Digital Ethnography as Planning Praxis: An Experiment with Film as Social Research, Community Engagement and Policy Dialogue. *Planning Theory & Practice*, 11(1), 23–45. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649350903538012>

Sandercock, L., & Attili, G. (2010b). Digital Ethnography as Planning Praxis: An Experiment with Film as Social Research, Community Engagement and Policy Dialogue. *Planning Theory & Practice*, 11(1), 23–45. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649350903538012>

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath. (2017a). Comparative Research. In *Political research: methods and practical skills* (Second edition, pp. 211–238). Oxford University Press.

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath. (2017b). Historical Research. In *Political research: methods and practical skills* (Second edition, pp. 239–260). Oxford University Press.

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath. (2017c). Objectivity and Values. In *Political research: methods and practical skills* (Second edition, pp. 54–78). Oxford University Press.

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath. (2017d). Research Design. In *Political research: methods and practical skills* (Second edition, pp. 146–167). Oxford University Press.

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath. (2017e). What is data? In *Political research: methods and practical skills* (Second edition, pp. 168–187). Oxford University Press.

Sarah Riley, et al. (2012). Coming Up with a Research Question. In Sarah Riley, Cath Sullivan, & Stephen Gibson (Eds.), *Doing your qualitative psychology project* (pp. 23–26). SAGE Publications. <http://methods.sagepub.com/book/doing-your-qualitative-psychology-project>

Sartori, G. (1970). Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics. *American Political Science Review*, 64(04), 1033–1053. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1958356>

Schatz, E. (2009). *Political ethnography: what immersion contributes to the study of power*. University of Chicago Press. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/UCL/detail.action?docID=3038320>

Schreier, M. (2012). *Qualitative content analysis in practice*. SAGE.

Schwartz-Shea, P., & Yanow, D. (2012). *Interpretive research design: concepts and processes*. Routledge. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203854907>

- Shepherd, L. J. (2006). Veiled references: Constructions of gender in the Bush administration discourse on the attacks on Afghanistan post-9/11. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*, 8(1), 19–41. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616740500415425>
- Simmons, E. S., & Smith, N. R. (2017). Comparison with an Ethnographic Sensibility. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 50(01), 126–130. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096516002286>
- Smith, R. M. (2002). Should We Make Political Science More of a Science or More about Politics? *Political Science & Politics*, 35(02), 199–201. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ps-political-science-and-politics/article/should-we-make-political-science-more-of-a-science-or-more-about-politics/6B149CA2D34B349B68753E0953534FEC>
- Snyder, Richard. (n.d.). Scaling down: The subnational comparative method. *Studies in Comparative International Development*, 36, 93–110. <https://search.proquest.com/docview/220640216/abstract/4BA58C125E3B4EDEPQ/7?accountid=14511>
- Stephanie Taylor. (2013). Theories and common concerns. In *What is Discourse Analysis?* (pp. 7–28). Bloomsbury Academic. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781472545213.ch-002>
- Stritzel, H. (2012). Securitization, power, intertextuality: Discourse theory and the translations of organized crime. *Security Dialogue*, 43(6), 549–567. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010612463953>
- Suzanne Conklin Akbari (Ed.). (2015). *How We Write: Thirteen Ways of Looking at a Blank Page*. Dead Letter Office. <https://punctumbooks.com/titles/how-we-write/>
- Taylor, S. (2013). Four examples of discourse analysis. In *What is Discourse Analysis?* (pp. 29–52). Bloomsbury Academic. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781472545213.ch-003>
- Tom Clark. (2008). 'We're Over-Researched Here!' Exploring Accounts of Research Fatigue within Qualitative Research Engagements. *Sociology*, 42(5), 953–970. https://www.jstor.org/stable/42857198?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents
- Vrasti, W. (2010). Dr Strangelove, or How I Learned to Stop Worrying about Methodology and Love Writing. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 39(1), 79–88. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829810371017>
- Vucetic, Srdjan. (n.d.). Genealogy as a research tool in International Relations. *Review of International Studies*, 37(3), 1295–1312. <https://search.proquest.com/docview/899294200/2991417F0B324BAAPQ/17?accountid=14511>
- Woolley, J. K., Limperos, A. M., & Oliver, M. B. (2010). The 2008 Presidential Election, 2.0: A Content Analysis of User-Generated Political Facebook Groups. *Mass Communication and Society*, 13(5), 631–652. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15205436.2010.516864>
- Yes, But What's the Mechanism? (Don't Expect an Easy Answer). (n.d.). *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 98(4).

[http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext
&AN=00005205-201004000-00002&LSLINK=80&D=ovft](http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=00005205-201004000-00002&LSLINK=80&D=ovft)