

# PUBL0085: Introduction to Qualitative Research Methods: Researching Politics using Texts, Transcripts and Images

Cathy Elliott

View Online



1

Fahmy S. Picturing Afghan Women: A Content Analysis of AP Wire Photographs During the Taliban Regime and after the Fall of the Taliban Regime. *International Communication Gazette* 2004;**66**:91–112. doi:10.1177/0016549204041472

2

Billaud, Julie. Visible under the Veil: Dissimulation, performance and agency in an Islamic public space. *Journal of International Women's Studies*, suppl Special Issue: Gender and Islam in Asia;**11**:120–35. <https://search.proquest.com/docview/232179263?OpenUrlRefId=info:xri/sid:primo&accountid=14511>

3

Shepherd LJ. Veiled references: Constructions of gender in the Bush administration discourse on the attacks on Afghanistan post-9/11. *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 2006;**8**:19–41. doi:10.1080/14616740500415425

4

Sarah Riley, et al. Coming Up with a Research Question. In: Sarah Riley, Cath Sullivan, Stephen Gibson, eds. *Doing your qualitative psychology project*. London, [England]: : SAGE Publications 2012. 23–6. <http://methods.sagepub.com/book/doing-your-qualitative-psychology-project>

5

Lene Hansen. Ontologies, epistemologies, methodologies. In: Shepherd L, ed. *Gender*

Matters in Global Politics: A Feminist Introduction to International Relations. London: :  
Routledge 42–51. doi:10.4324/9781315879819

6

Sabaratnam M. IR in Dialogue ... but Can We Change the Subjects? A Typology of  
Decolonising Strategies for the Study of World Politics. Millennium: Journal of International  
Studies 2011;**39**:781–803. doi:10.1177/0305829811404270

7

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath. Objectivity and Values. In: Political research: methods  
and practical skills. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2017. 54–78.

8

Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes.  
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203854907>

9

Jackson PT. The conduct of inquiry in international relations: philosophy of science and its  
implications for the study of world politics. by New York: : Routledge 2010.  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780203843321>

10

Jackson PT. The conduct of inquiry in international relations: philosophy of science and its  
implications for the study of world politics. Second edition. Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon: :  
Routledge 2016.

11

Belsey C. Poststructuralism: a very short introduction. Oxford: : Oxford University Press  
2002.

12

Michel Foucault. Truth and Power. In: The Foucault reader. London: : Penguin 1991. 51–75. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=8cd663f8-de02-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

13

Hacking I. The social construction of what? Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1999.

14

Kratochwil F. Constructivism: what it is (not) and how it matters. In: Della Porta D, Keating M, eds. Approaches and Methodologies in the Social Sciences. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2008. 80–98. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511801938.006

15

King G, Verba S, Keohane RO. Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1994.

16

Smith RM. Should We Make Political Science More of a Science or More about Politics? *Political Science & Politics* 2002;**35**:199–201. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ps-political-science-and-politics/article/should-we-make-political-science-more-of-a-science-or-more-about-politics/6B149CA2D34B349B68753E0953534FEC>

17

Laitin DD. The Perestroika Challenge to Social Science. *Politics & Society* 2003;**31**:163–84. doi:10.1177/0032329202250167

18

Rose Wiles. Thinking ethically: approaches to research ethics. In: What are qualitative research ethics? London: : Bloomsbury 2013. 9–24. doi:10.5040/9781849666558.ch-002

19

Rose G. Understanding Visual Research Ethics. In: Visual methodologies: an introduction to researching with visual materials. London: : SAGE Publications Ltd 2023. 94–110. <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/2038408>

20

How people feel about what companies do with their data is just as important as what they know about it: LSE Impact Blog.  
<http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/impactofsocialsciences/2018/03/29/how-people-feel-about-what-companies-do-with-their-data-is-just-as-important-as-what-they-know-about-it/>

21

Peregrine Schwartz-Shea. Designing for Trustworthiness: Knowledge Claims and Evaluations of Interpretive Research. In: Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes. New York: : Routledge 2012. 105–28. doi:10.4324/9780203854907

22

Peregrine Schwartz-Shea. Design in Context: From the Human Side of Research to Writing Research Manuscripts. In: Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes. New York: : Routledge 2013. 129–43. doi:10.4324/9780203854907

23

Hope Alkon A. Reflexivity and Environmental Justice Scholarship: A Role for Feminist Methodologies. *Organization & Environment* 2011;**24**:130–49. doi:10.1177/1096026611414347

24

Schwartz-Shea P, Yanow D. Interpretive research design: concepts and processes. New York: : Routledge 2012. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203854907>

25

Sandercock L, Attili G. Digital Ethnography as Planning Praxis: An Experiment with Film as Social Research, Community Engagement and Policy Dialogue. *Planning Theory & Practice* 2010;**11**:23–45. doi:10.1080/14649350903538012

26

Conti JA, O'Neil M. Studying power: qualitative methods and the global elite. *Qualitative Research* 2007;**7**:63–82. doi:10.1177/1468794107071421

27

Tom Clark. 'We're Over-Researched Here!' Exploring Accounts of Research Fatigue within Qualitative Research Engagements. *Sociology* 2008;**42**:953–70. [https://www.jstor.org/stable/42857198?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/42857198?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

28

Hammersley M. On the ethics of interviewing for discourse analysis. *Qualitative Research* 2014;**14**:529–41. doi:10.1177/1468794113495039

29

Fujii LA. Research Ethics 101: Dilemmas and Responsibilities. *PS: Political Science & Politics* 2012;**45**:717–23. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ps-political-science-and-politics/article/research-ethics-101-dilemmas-and-responsibilities/90D5442392CD0716F04E764939054FF7>

30

Lundy P, McGovern M. The ethics of silence. *Action Research* 2006;**4**:49–64. doi:10.1177/1476750306060542

31

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath. What is data? In: *Political research: methods and practical skills*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2017. 168–87.

32

Coleman S. Spaces of Disappearance. In: *How Voters Feel*. New York: : Cambridge University Press 2012. 149–68. doi:10.1017/CBO9781139035354.007

33

Hutchison E. A Global Politics of Pity? Disaster Imagery and the Emotional Construction of Solidarity after the 2004 Asian Tsunami. *International Political Sociology* 2014;**8**:1–19. doi:10.1111/ips.12037

34

Geddes B. *Paradigms and sand castles: theory building and research design in comparative politics*. Ann Arbor: : University of Michigan Press 2003. <https://muse.jhu.edu/book/7163>

35

Ian Shapiro. Problems, Methods, and Theories in the Study of Politics, or What's Wrong with Political Science and What to Do about It. *Political Theory* 2002;**30**:596–619. [https://www.jstor.org/stable/3072623?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3072623?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

36

Sartori G. Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics. *American Political Science Review* 1970;**64**:1033–53. doi:10.2307/1958356

37

John Gerring. What Makes a Concept Good? A Criterial Framework for Understanding Concept Formation in the Social Sciences. *Polity* 1999;**31**:357–93. doi:10.2307/3235246

38

Adcock R. *Measurement Validity: A Shared Standard for Qualitative and Quantitative*

Research. *American Political Science Review* 2001;**95**:529–46.  
doi:10.1017/S0003055401003100

39

Adams V. *Markets of Sorrow, Labors of Faith: New Orleans in the Wake of Katrina*. Durham, N.C. ; London: : Duke University Press 2005. <https://read.dukeupress.edu/books/book/418/>

40

Amoore L. *Vigilant Visualities: The Watchful Politics of the War on Terror*. *Security Dialogue* 2007;**38**:215–32. doi:10.1177/0967010607078526

41

*Qualitative Methods Newsletter of the American Political Science Association*. 2012;**10**  
. [https://www.maxwell.syr.edu/moynihan/cqrm/qmmr/Table\\_of\\_Contents\\_10\\_2/](https://www.maxwell.syr.edu/moynihan/cqrm/qmmr/Table_of_Contents_10_2/)

42

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath. *Research Design*. In: *Political research: methods and practical skills*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2017. 146–67.

43

Anderson E. *Policy Entrepreneurs and the Origins of the Regulatory Welfare State: Child Labor Reform in Nineteenth-Century Europe*. *American Sociological Review* 2018;**83**  
:173–211. doi:10.1177/0003122417753112

44

Simmons ES, Smith NR. *Comparison with an Ethnographic Sensibility*. *PS: Political Science & Politics* 2017;**50**:126–30. doi:10.1017/S1049096516002286

45

Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes.  
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203854907>

46

Brady HE, Collier D. Rethinking social inquiry: diverse tools, shared standards. 2nd ed. Lanham: : Rowman & Littlefield Publishers 2010.  
<https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9781442203457>

47

Brady HE, Collier D. Rethinking social inquiry: diverse tools, shared standards. Lanham, Md: : Rowman & Littlefield 2004.

48

Alexander L. George, Andrew Bennett. Case studies and theory development. In: Case studies and theory development in the social sciences. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2005. 3–36.  
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=5cfb1281-6c04-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

49

Henry E. Brady, David Collier and Jason Seawright. Toward a Pluralistic Vision of Methodology. Political Analysis 2006;**14**:353–68.  
[https://www.jstor.org/stable/25791861?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/25791861?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

50

King G, Verba S, Keohane RO. Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1994.

51

Goodwin J, Horowitz R. Introduction: The Methodological Strengths and Dilemmas of Qualitative Sociology. Qualitative Sociology 2002;**25**:33–47. doi:10.1023/A:1014300123105



52

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath. Comparative Research. In: Political research: methods and practical skills. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2017. 211–38.

53

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath. Historical Research. In: Political research: methods and practical skills. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2017. 239–60.

54

Kristin M. Bakke. Help Wanted?: The Mixed Record of Foreign Fighters in Domestic Insurgencies. *International Security* 2014;**38**:150–87.<https://muse.jhu.edu/article/545070>

55

Hale HE. Formal Constitutions in Informal Politics: Institutions and Democratization in Post-Soviet Eurasia. *World Politics* 2011;**63**:581–617. doi:10.1017/S0043887111000189

56

Pouliot V. Practice tracing. In: Bennett A, Checkel JT, eds. *Process Tracing*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2014. 237–59. doi:10.1017/CBO9781139858472.013

57

Collier D. Understanding Process Tracing. *PS: Political Science & Politics* 2011;**44**:823–30. doi:10.1017/S1049096511001429

58

Acemoglu D, Johnson SH, Robinson JA. An African Success Story: Botswana. SSRN Electronic Journal Published Online First: 2001. doi:10.2139/ssrn.290791

59

Alexander L. George, Andrew Bennett. Process-Tracing and Historical Explanation. In: Case studies and theory development in the social sciences. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2005. 205–32.

60

Renwick A. "Do 'Wrong Winner' Elections Trigger Electoral Reform? Lessons From New Zealand. Representation 2009;**45**:357–67. doi:10.1080/00344890903235256

61

Snyder, Richard. Scaling down: The subnational comparative method. Studies in Comparative International Development;**36**:93–110.<https://search.proquest.com/docview/220640216/abstract/4BA58C125E3B4EDEPQ/7?accountid=14511>

62

Jason Seawright and John Gerring. Case Selection Techniques in Case Study Research: A Menu of Qualitative and Quantitative Options. Political Research Quarterly 2008;**61**.[https://www.jstor.org/stable/20299733?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20299733?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

63

Bennett A, Elman C. Qualitative Research: Recent Developments in Case Study Methods. Annual Review of Political Science 2006;**9**:455–76. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.8.082103.104918

64

Andrew Bennett and Colin Elman. Complex Causal Relations and Case Study Methods: The Example of Path Dependence. Political Analysis 2006;**14**.[https://www.jstor.org/stable/25791852?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/25791852?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

65

Michael Burawoy. The Extended Case Method. Sociological Theory 1998;**16**.[https://www.jstor.org/stable/202212?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/202212?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

66

Mahoney J. Strategies of Causal Inference in Small-N Analysis. *Sociological Methods & Research* 2000;**28**:387–424. doi:10.1177/0049124100028004001

67

Yes, But What's the Mechanism? (Don't Expect an Easy Answer). *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*;**98**  
.http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=00005205-201004000-00002&LSLINK=80&D=ovft

68

Gillian Rose. Content Analysis and Cultural Analytics: Finding Patterns in What You See. In: *Visual methodologies: an introduction to researching with visual materials*. London: : SAGE Publications Ltd 2016. 85–105.

69

Kristina Boréus and Göran Bergström. Content Analysis. In: Boréus K, Bergström G, eds. *Analyzing text and discourse: eight approaches for the social sciences*. Los Angeles: : SAGE 2017.  
23–52.https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9edf456b-47d5-ec11-997e-0050f2f09783

70

Woolley JK, Limperos AM, Oliver MB. The 2008 Presidential Election, 2.0: A Content Analysis of User-Generated Political Facebook Groups. *Mass Communication and Society* 2010;**13**:631–52. doi:10.1080/15205436.2010.516864

71

Brown NE, Gershon SA. Intersectional Presentations: An Exploratory Study of Minority Congresswomens' Websites' Biographies". *Du Bois Review: Social Science Research on Race* 2016;**13**:85–108. doi:10.1017/S1742058X15000181

72

Schreier M. Qualitative content analysis in practice. Los Angeles: : SAGE 2012.

73

Neuendorf KA. The content analysis guidebook. Thousand Oaks, Calif: : Sage Publications 2002.

74

Neuendorf KA. The content analysis guidebook. Second edition. Thousand Oaks, California: : SAGE Publications Inc 2017.

75

Krippendorff K. Content analysis: an introduction to its methodology. 3rd ed. Los Angeles: : SAGE 2013.

76

Krippendorff K. Content analysis: an introduction to its methodology. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, Calif: : Sage 2004.

77

Cramer KJ. A Method of Listening. In: The politics of resentment: rural consciousness in Wisconsin and the rise of Scott Walker. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 2016. 26-44.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=95229e86-afff-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

78

Büscher B. 'Rhino poaching is out of control!' Violence, race and the politics of hysteria in online conservation. Environment and Planning A 2016;**48**:979-98.  
doi:10.1177/0308518X16630988

79

Dave NN. To Render Real the Imagined: An Ethnographic History of Lesbian Community in India. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society* 2010;**35**:595–619.  
doi:10.1086/648514

80

Kozinets RV. *Netnography: redefined*. 2nd edition. Los Angeles: : Sage 2015.

81

Pink S, Horst HA, Postill J, et al. *Digital ethnography: principles and practice*. London: : SAGE Publications 2016.

82

Costello L, McDermott M-L, Wallace R. *Netnography*. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods* 2017;**16**. doi:10.1177/1609406917700647

83

Atkinson P. *Handbook of ethnography*. London: : SAGE 2001.

84

H. Lidchi. The Poetics and Politics of Exhibiting Other Cultures. In: Hall S, ed. *Representation: cultural representations and signifying practices*. London: : Sage in association with the Open University 1997. 151–222.

85

DeWalt KM, DeWalt BR. *Participant observation: a guide for fieldworkers*. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: : Rowman & Littlefield 2011. <https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780759119284>

86

Clifford J, Marcus GE, School of American Research (Santa Fe, N.M.). Writing culture: the poetics and politics of ethnography. Berkeley, Calif: : University of California Press 1986.

87

Schatz E. Political ethnography: what immersion contributes to the study of power. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 2009.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/UCL/detail.action?docID=3038320>

88

Crewe E. Ethnography of Parliament: Finding Culture and Politics Entangled in the Commons and the Lords. Parliamentary Affairs 2017;**70**:155–72. doi:10.1093/pa/gsw012

89

Hammersley M, Atkinson P. Ethnography: principles in practice. 3rd ed. London: : Routledge 2007.  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780203944769>

90

Hammersley M, Atkinson P. Ethnography: principles in practice. 2nd ed. London: : Routledge 1995.

91

Hammersley M, Atkinson P. Ethnography: principles in practice. London: : Routledge 1989.

92

Hammersley M, Atkinson P. Ethnography: principles in practice. London: : Tavistock 1983.

93

Hollander JA. The Social Contexts of Focus Groups. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography* 2004;**33**:602–37. doi:10.1177/0891241604266988

94

Ortbals CD, Rincker ME. Fieldwork, Identities, and Intersectionality: Negotiating Gender, Race, Class, Religion, Nationality, and Age in the Research Field Abroad: Editors' Introduction. *PS: Political Science & Politics* 2009;**42**:287–90. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ps-political-science-and-politics/article/fieldwork-identities-and-intersectionality-negotiating-gender-race-class-religion-nationality-and-age-in-the-research-field-abroad-editors-introduction/E7B583F128E0B577FFC3C90B61CC478>

95

Stephanie Taylor. Theories and common concerns. In: *What is Discourse Analysis?* Bloomsbury Academic 2013. 7–28. doi:10.5040/9781472545213.ch-002

96

Taylor S. Four examples of discourse analysis. In: *What is Discourse Analysis?* Bloomsbury Academic 2013. 29–52. doi:10.5040/9781472545213.ch-003

97

Debbie Lisle. Introduction: the global imaginary of contemporary travel writing. In: *The Global Politics of Contemporary Travel Writing*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2006. 1–26. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511491535.003

98

Lisle D. *The global politics of contemporary travel writing*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012.

99

Hall S. The Spectacle of the 'Other'. In: *Discourse theory and practice: a reader*. London: :

Sage 2001.

324-44.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=2d5fc837-6b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

100

Hansen L. Security as practice: discourse analysis and the Bosnian war. London: : Routledge 2006. doi:10.4324/9780203236338

101

Stritzel H. Securitization, power, intertextuality: Discourse theory and the translations of organized crime. *Security Dialogue* 2012;**43**:549-67. doi:10.1177/0967010612463953

102

Agius C. Performing identity: The Danish cartoon crisis and discourses of identity and security. *Security Dialogue* 2013;**44**:241-58. doi:10.1177/0967010613485871

103

Milliken J. The Study of Discourse in International Relations: *European Journal of International Relations* 1999;**5**:225-54. doi:10.1177/1354066199005002003

104

Michael Shapiro. Textualising Global Politics. In: Wetherell M, Taylor S, Yates S, eds. *Discourse theory and practice: a reader*. London: : SAGE Publications 2001. 318-23.

105

Vucetic, Srdjan. Genealogy as a research tool in International Relations. *Review of International Studies*;**37**:1295-312.<https://search.proquest.com/docview/899294200/2991417F0B324BAAPQ/17?accountid=14511>

106



Michel Foucault, Paul Rabinow. Nietzsche, Genealogy, History. In: The Foucault reader. London: : Penguin 1991. 76–100.

107

Vrasti W. Dr Strangelove, or How I Learned to Stop Worrying about Methodology and Love Writing. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 2010;**39**:79–88.  
doi:10.1177/0305829810371017

108

Ravecca P, Dauphinee E. Narrative and the Possibilities for Scholarship. International Political Sociology 2018;**12**:125–38. doi:10.1093/ips/olx029

109

Barabantseva E, Lawrence A. Encountering Vulnerabilities through 'Filmmaking for Fieldwork'. Millennium: Journal of International Studies 2015;**43**:911–30.  
doi:10.1177/0305829815583083

110

Penttinen E. Joy and international relations: a new methodology. London: : Routledge 2013.

111

Suzanne Conklin Akbari, editor. How We Write:Thirteen Ways of Looking at a Blank Page. 2015.<https://punctumbooks.com/titles/how-we-write/>

112

Sandercock L, Attili G. Digital Ethnography as Planning Praxis: An Experiment with Film as Social Research, Community Engagement and Policy Dialogue. Planning Theory & Practice 2010;**11**:23–45. doi:10.1080/14649350903538012