

# PUBL0085: Introduction to Qualitative Research Methods: Researching Politics using Texts, Transcripts and Images

Cathy Elliott

View Online



1.  
Fahmy, S.: Picturing Afghan Women: A Content Analysis of AP Wire Photographs During the Taliban Regime and after the Fall of the Taliban Regime. *International Communication Gazette*. 66, 91-112 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0016549204041472>.
  
2.  
Billaud, Julie: Visible under the Veil: Dissimulation, performance and agency in an Islamic public space. *Journal of International Women's Studies*, suppl. Special Issue: Gender and Islam in Asia. 11, 120-135.
  
3.  
Shepherd, L.J.: Veiled references: Constructions of gender in the Bush administration discourse on the attacks on Afghanistan post-9/11. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*. 8, 19-41 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616740500415425>.
  
4.  
Sarah Riley, et al: Coming Up with a Research Question. In: Sarah Riley, Cath Sullivan, and Stephen Gibson (eds.) *Doing your qualitative psychology project*. pp. 23-26. SAGE Publications, London, [England] (2012).
  
5.  
Lene Hansen: Ontologies, epistemologies, methodologies. In: Shepherd, L. (ed.) *Gender Matters in Global Politics: A Feminist Introduction to International Relations*. pp. 42-51. Routledge, London. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315879819>.

6.

Sabaratnam, M.: IR in Dialogue ... but Can We Change the Subjects? A Typology of Decolonising Strategies for the Study of World Politics. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 39, 781–803 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829811404270>.

7.

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath: Objectivity and Values. In: *Political research: methods and practical skills*. pp. 54–78. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2017).

8.

*Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes*.

9.

Jackson, P.T.: *The conduct of inquiry in international relations: philosophy of science and its implications for the study of world politics*. Routledge, by New York (2010).

10.

Jackson, P.T.: *The conduct of inquiry in international relations: philosophy of science and its implications for the study of world politics*. Routledge, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon (2016).

11.

Belsey, C.: *Poststructuralism: a very short introduction*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2002).

12.

Michel Foucault: Truth and Power. In: *The Foucault reader*. pp. 51–75. Penguin, London (1991).

13.

Hacking, I.: *The social construction of what?* Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass (1999).

14.

Kratochwil, F.: *Constructivism: what it is (not) and how it matters*. In: Della Porta, D. and Keating, M. (eds.) *Approaches and Methodologies in the Social Sciences*. pp. 80–98. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2008).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511801938.006>.

15.

King, G., Verba, S., Keohane, R.O.: *Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1994).

16.

Smith, R.M.: *Should We Make Political Science More of a Science or More about Politics?* *Political Science & Politics*. 35, 199–201 (2002).

17.

Laitin, D.D.: *The Perestroika Challenge to Social Science*. *Politics & Society*. 31, 163–184 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329202250167>.

18.

Rose Wiles: *Thinking ethically: approaches to research ethics*. In: *What are qualitative research ethics?* pp. 9–24. Bloomsbury, London (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.5040/9781849666558.ch-002>.

19.

Rose, G.: *Understanding Visual Research Ethics*. In: *Visual methodologies: an introduction to researching with visual materials*. pp. 94–110. SAGE Publications Ltd, London (2023).

20.

How people feel about what companies do with their data is just as important as what they know about it: LSE Impact Blog,  
<http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/impactofsocialsciences/2018/03/29/how-people-feel-about-what-companies-do-with-their-data-is-just-as-important-as-what-they-know-about-it/>.

21.

Peregrine Schwartz-Shea: Designing for Trustworthiness: Knowledge Claims and Evaluations of Interpretive Research. In: Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes. pp. 105–128. Routledge, New York (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203854907>.

22.

Peregrine Schwartz-Shea: Design in Context: From the Human Side of Research to Writing Research Manuscripts. In: Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes. pp. 129–143. Routledge, New York (2013). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203854907>.

23.

Hope Alkon, A.: Reflexivity and Environmental Justice Scholarship: A Role for Feminist Methodologies. *Organization & Environment*. 24, 130–149 (2011).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1096026611414347>.

24.

Schwartz-Shea, P., Yanow, D.: *Interpretive research design: concepts and processes*. Routledge, New York (2012).

25.

Sandercock, L., Attili, G.: Digital Ethnography as Planning Praxis: An Experiment with Film as Social Research, Community Engagement and Policy Dialogue. *Planning Theory & Practice*. 11, 23–45 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649350903538012>.

26.

Conti, J.A., O'Neil, M.: Studying power: qualitative methods and the global elite. *Qualitative Research*. 7, 63–82 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794107071421>.

27.

Tom Clark: 'We're Over-Researched Here!' Exploring Accounts of Research Fatigue within Qualitative Research Engagements. *Sociology*. 42, 953–970 (2008).

28.

Hammersley, M.: On the ethics of interviewing for discourse analysis. *Qualitative Research*. 14, 529–541 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794113495039>.

29.

Fujii, L.A.: Research Ethics 101: Dilemmas and Responsibilities. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 45, 717–723 (2012).

30.

Lundy, P., McGovern, M.: The ethics of silence. *Action Research*. 4, 49–64 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1476750306060542>.

31.

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath: What is data? In: *Political research: methods and practical skills*. pp. 168–187. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2017).

32.

Coleman, S.: Spaces of Disappearance. In: *How Voters Feel*. pp. 149–168. Cambridge University Press, New York (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139035354.007>.

33.

Hutchison, E.: A Global Politics of Pity? Disaster Imagery and the Emotional Construction of Solidarity after the 2004 Asian Tsunami. *International Political Sociology*. 8, 1–19 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ips.12037>.

34.

Geddes, B.: *Paradigms and sand castles: theory building and research design in comparative politics*. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor (2003).

35.

Ian Shapiro: Problems, Methods, and Theories in the Study of Politics, or What's Wrong with Political Science and What to Do about It. *Political Theory*. 30, 596–619 (2002).

36.

Sartori, G.: Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics. *American Political Science Review*. 64, 1033–1053 (1970). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1958356>.

37.

John Gerring: What Makes a Concept Good? A Criterial Framework for Understanding Concept Formation in the Social Sciences. *Polity*. 31, 357–393 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.2307/3235246>.

38.

Adcock, R.: Measurement Validity: A Shared Standard for Qualitative and Quantitative Research. *American Political Science Review*. 95, 529–546 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055401003100>.

39.

Adams, V.: *Markets of Sorrow, Labors of Faith: New Orleans in the Wake of Katrina*. Duke University Press, Durham, N.C. ; London (2005).

40.

Amoore, L.: Vigilant Visualities: The Watchful Politics of the War on Terror. *Security Dialogue*. 38, 215–232 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010607078526>.

41.

Qualitative Methods Newsletter of the American Political Science Association. 10, (2012).

42.

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath: Research Design. In: *Political research: methods and practical skills*. pp. 146–167. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2017).

43.

Anderson, E.: Policy Entrepreneurs and the Origins of the Regulatory Welfare State: Child Labor Reform in Nineteenth-Century Europe. *American Sociological Review*. 83, 173–211 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0003122417753112>.

44.

Simmons, E.S., Smith, N.R.: Comparison with an Ethnographic Sensibility. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 50, 126–130 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096516002286>.

45.

*Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes*.

46.

Brady, H.E., Collier, D.: *Rethinking social inquiry: diverse tools, shared standards*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Lanham (2010).

47.

Brady, H.E., Collier, D.: *Rethinking social inquiry: diverse tools, shared standards*. Rowman & Littlefield, Lanham, Md (2004).

48.

Alexander L. George, Andrew Bennett: Case studies and theory development. In: Case studies and theory development in the social sciences. pp. 3–36. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass (2005).

49.

Henry E. Brady, David Collier and Jason Seawright: Toward a Pluralistic Vision of Methodology. *Political Analysis*. 14, 353–368 (2006).

50.

King, G., Verba, S., Keohane, R.O.: *Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1994).

51.

Goodwin, J., Horowitz, R.: Introduction: The Methodological Strengths and Dilemmas of Qualitative Sociology. *Qualitative Sociology*. 25, 33–47 (2002).  
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1014300123105>.

52.

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath: Comparative Research. In: *Political research: methods and practical skills*. pp. 211–238. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2017).

53.

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath: Historical Research. In: *Political research: methods and practical skills*. pp. 239–260. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2017).

54.

Kristin M. Bakke: Help Wanted?: The Mixed Record of Foreign Fighters in Domestic Insurgencies. *International Security*. 38, 150–187 (2014).



55.

Hale, H.E.: Formal Constitutions in Informal Politics: Institutions and Democratization in Post-Soviet Eurasia. *World Politics*. 63, 581–617 (2011).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887111000189>.

56.

Pouliot, V.: Practice tracing. In: Bennett, A. and Checkel, J.T. (eds.) *Process Tracing*. pp. 237–259. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139858472.013>.

57.

Collier, D.: Understanding Process Tracing. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 44, 823–830 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096511001429>.

58.

Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S.H., Robinson, J.A.: An African Success Story: Botswana. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. (2001). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.290791>.

59.

Alexander L. George, Andrew Bennett: Process-Tracing and Historical Explanation. In: *Case studies and theory development in the social sciences*. pp. 205–232. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass (2005).

60.

Renwick, A.: "Do 'Wrong Winner' Elections Trigger Electoral Reform? Lessons From New Zealand. *Representation*. 45, 357–367 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890903235256>.

61.

Snyder, Richard: Scaling down: The subnational comparative method. *Studies in*

Comparative International Development. 36, 93–110.

62.

Jason Seawright and John Gerring: Case Selection Techniques in Case Study Research: A Menu of Qualitative and Quantitative Options. *Political Research Quarterly*. 61, (2008).

63.

Bennett, A., Elman, C.: Qualitative Research: Recent Developments in Case Study Methods. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 9, 455–476 (2006).  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.8.082103.104918>.

64.

Andrew Bennett and Colin Elman: Complex Causal Relations and Case Study Methods: The Example of Path Dependence. *Political Analysis*. 14, (2006).

65.

Michael Burawoy: The Extended Case Method. *Sociological Theory*. 16, (1998).

66.

Mahoney, J.: Strategies of Causal Inference in Small-N Analysis. *Sociological Methods & Research*. 28, 387–424 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124100028004001>.

67.

Yes, But What's the Mechanism? (Don't Expect an Easy Answer). *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 98,.

68.

Gillian Rose: Content Analysis and Cultural Analytics: Finding Patterns in What You See. In: *Visual methodologies: an introduction to researching with visual materials*. pp. 85–105. SAGE Publications Ltd, London (2016).

69.

Kristina Boréus and Göran Bergström: Content Analysis. In: Boréus, K. and Bergström, G. (eds.) *Analyzing text and discourse: eight approaches for the social sciences*. pp. 23–52. SAGE, Los Angeles (2017).

70.

Woolley, J.K., Limperos, A.M., Oliver, M.B.: The 2008 Presidential Election, 2.0: A Content Analysis of User-Generated Political Facebook Groups. *Mass Communication and Society*. 13, 631–652 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1080/15205436.2010.516864>.

71.

Brown, N.E., Gershon, S.A.: Intersectional Presentations: An Exploratory Study of Minority Congresswomen's Websites' Biographies". *Du Bois Review: Social Science Research on Race*. 13, 85–108 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742058X15000181>.

72.

Schreier, M.: *Qualitative content analysis in practice*. SAGE, Los Angeles (2012).

73.

Neuendorf, K.A.: *The content analysis guidebook*. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, Calif (2002).

74.

Neuendorf, K.A.: *The content analysis guidebook*. SAGE Publications Inc, Thousand Oaks, California (2017).

75.

Krippendorff, K.: *Content analysis: an introduction to its methodology*. SAGE, Los Angeles (2013).

76.

Krippendorff, K.: Content analysis: an introduction to its methodology. Sage, Thousand Oaks, Calif (2004).

77.

Cramer, K.J.: A Method of Listening. In: The politics of resentment: rural consciousness in Wisconsin and the rise of Scott Walker. pp. 26–44. University of Chicago Press, Chicago (2016).

78.

Büscher, B.: 'Rhino poaching is out of control!' Violence, race and the politics of hysteria in online conservation. *Environment and Planning A*. 48, 979–998 (2016).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X16630988>.

79.

Dave, N.N.: To Render Real the Imagined: An Ethnographic History of Lesbian Community in India. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*. 35, 595–619 (2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.1086/648514>.

80.

Kozinets, R.V.: *Netnography: redefined*. Sage, Los Angeles (2015).

81.

Pink, S., Horst, H.A., Postill, J., Hjorth, L., Lewis, T., Tacchi, J.: *Digital ethnography: principles and practice*. SAGE Publications, London (2016).

82.

Costello, L., McDermott, M.-L., Wallace, R.: Netnography. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*. 16, (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917700647>.

83.

Atkinson, P.: Handbook of ethnography. SAGE, London (2001).

84.

H. Lidchi: The Poetics and Politics of Exhibiting Other Cultures. In: Hall, S. (ed.) Representation: cultural representations and signifying practices. pp. 151–222. Sage in association with the Open University, London (1997).

85.

DeWalt, K.M., DeWalt, B.R.: Participant observation: a guide for fieldworkers. Rowman & Littlefield, Lanham, Md (2011).

86.

Clifford, J., Marcus, G.E., School of American Research (Santa Fe, N.M.): Writing culture: the poetics and politics of ethnography. University of California Press, Berkeley, Calif (1986).

87.

Schatz, E.: Political ethnography: what immersion contributes to the study of power. University of Chicago Press, Chicago (2009).

88.

Crewe, E.: Ethnography of Parliament: Finding Culture and Politics Entangled in the Commons and the Lords. Parliamentary Affairs. 70, 155–172 (2017).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsw012>.

89.

Hammersley, M., Atkinson, P.: Ethnography: principles in practice. Routledge, London (2007).

90.

Hammersley, M., Atkinson, P.: *Ethnography: principles in practice*. Routledge, London (1995).

91.

Hammersley, M., Atkinson, P.: *Ethnography: principles in practice*. Routledge, London (1989).

92.

Hammersley, M., Atkinson, P.: *Ethnography: principles in practice*. Tavistock, London (1983).

93.

Hollander, J.A.: The Social Contexts of Focus Groups. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography*. 33, 602–637 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0891241604266988>.

94.

Ortbals, C.D., Rincker, M.E.: Fieldwork, Identities, and Intersectionality: Negotiating Gender, Race, Class, Religion, Nationality, and Age in the Research Field Abroad: Editors' Introduction. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 42, 287–290 (2009).

95.

Stephanie Taylor: Theories and common concerns. In: *What is Discourse Analysis?* pp. 7–28. Bloomsbury Academic (2013). <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781472545213.ch-002>.

96.

Taylor, S.: Four examples of discourse analysis. In: *What is Discourse Analysis?* pp. 29–52. Bloomsbury Academic (2013). <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781472545213.ch-003>.

97.

Debbie Lisle: Introduction: the global imaginary of contemporary travel writing. In: *The Global Politics of Contemporary Travel Writing*. pp. 1–26. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511491535.003>.

98.

Lisle, D.: *The global politics of contemporary travel writing*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

99.

Hall, S.: The Spectacle of the 'Other'. In: *Discourse theory and practice: a reader*. pp. 324–344. Sage, London (2001).

100.

Hansen, L.: *Security as practice: discourse analysis and the Bosnian war*. Routledge, London (2006). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203236338>.

101.

Stritzel, H.: Securitization, power, intertextuality: Discourse theory and the translations of organized crime. *Security Dialogue*. 43, 549–567 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010612463953>.

102.

Agius, C.: Performing identity: The Danish cartoon crisis and discourses of identity and security. *Security Dialogue*. 44, 241–258 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010613485871>.

103.

Milliken, J.: The Study of Discourse in International Relations: *European Journal of International Relations*. 5, 225–254 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066199005002003>.

104.

Michael Shapiro: Textualising Global Politics. In: Wetherell, M., Taylor, S., and Yates, S. (eds.) *Discourse theory and practice: a reader*. pp. 318–323. SAGE Publications, London (2001).

105.

Vucetic, Srdjan: Genealogy as a research tool in International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 37, 1295–1312.

106.

Michel Foucault, Paul Rabinow: Nietzsche, Genealogy, History. In: *The Foucault reader*. pp. 76–100. Penguin, London (1991).

107.

Vrasti, W.: Dr Strangelove, or How I Learned to Stop Worrying about Methodology and Love Writing. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 39, 79–88 (2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829810371017>.

108.

Ravecca, P., Dauphinee, E.: Narrative and the Possibilities for Scholarship. *International Political Sociology*. 12, 125–138 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ips/olx029>.

109.

Barabantseva, E., Lawrence, A.: Encountering Vulnerabilities through 'Filmmaking for Fieldwork'. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 43, 911–930 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829815583083>.

110.

Penttinen, E.: *Joy and international relations: a new methodology*. Routledge, London (2013).



111.

Suzanne Conklin Akbari ed: *How We Write: Thirteen Ways of Looking at a Blank Page*,  
<https://punctumbooks.com/titles/how-we-write/>.

112.

Sandercock, L., Attili, G.: Digital Ethnography as Planning Praxis: An Experiment with Film as Social Research, Community Engagement and Policy Dialogue. *Planning Theory & Practice*. 11, 23-45 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649350903538012>.