

# PUBL0085: Introduction to Qualitative Research Methods: Researching Politics using Texts, Transcripts and Images

Cathy Elliott

View Online



1.

Fahmy S. Picturing Afghan Women: A Content Analysis of AP Wire Photographs During the Taliban Regime and after the Fall of the Taliban Regime. *International Communication Gazette*. 2004 Apr 1;66(2):91-112.

2.

Billaud, Julie. Visible under the Veil: Dissimulation, performance and agency in an Islamic public space. *Journal of International Women's Studies*, suppl Special Issue: Gender and Islam in Asia [Internet]. 11:120-35. Available from: <https://search.proquest.com/docview/232179263?OpenUrlRefId=info:xri/sid:primo&accountid=14511>

3.

Shepherd LJ. Veiled references: Constructions of gender in the Bush administration discourse on the attacks on Afghanistan post-9/11. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*. 2006 Mar;8(1):19-41.

4.

Sarah Riley, et al. Coming Up with a Research Question. In: Sarah Riley, Cath Sullivan, Stephen Gibson, editors. *Doing your qualitative psychology project* [Internet]. London, [England]: SAGE Publications; 2012. p. 23-6. Available from: <http://methods.sagepub.com/book/doing-your-qualitative-psychology-project>

5.

Lene Hansen. Ontologies, epistemologies, methodologies. In: Shepherd L, editor. *Gender*

Matters in Global Politics: A Feminist Introduction to International Relations [Internet]. London: Routledge; p. 42–51. Available from: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781134752522/chapters/10.4324/9781315879819-12>

6.

Sabaratnam M. IR in Dialogue ... but Can We Change the Subjects? A Typology of Decolonising Strategies for the Study of World Politics. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2011 May;39(3):781–803.

7.

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath. Objectivity and Values. In: *Political research: methods and practical skills*. Second edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017. p. 54–78.

8.

Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203854907>

9.

Jackson PT. The conduct of inquiry in international relations: philosophy of science and its implications for the study of world politics [Internet]. by New York: Routledge; 2010. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780203843321>

10.

Jackson PT. The conduct of inquiry in international relations: philosophy of science and its implications for the study of world politics. Second edition. Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2016.

11.

Belsey C. *Poststructuralism: a very short introduction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2002.

12.

Michel Foucault. Truth and Power. In: The Foucault reader [Internet]. London: Penguin; 1991. p. 51-75. Available from:  
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=8cd663f8-de02-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

13.

Hacking I. The social construction of what? Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1999.

14.

Kratochwil F. Constructivism: what it is (not) and how it matters. In: Della Porta D, Keating M, editors. Approaches and Methodologies in the Social Sciences [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2008. p. 80-98. Available from:  
[https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/CBO9780511801938A015/type/book\\_part](https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/CBO9780511801938A015/type/book_part)

15.

King G, Verba S, Keohane RO. Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1994.

16.

Smith RM. Should We Make Political Science More of a Science or More about Politics? Political Science & Politics [Internet]. 2002 Jun;35(02):199-201. Available from:  
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ps-political-science-and-politics/article/should-we-make-political-science-more-of-a-science-or-more-about-politics/6B149CA2D34B349B68753E0953534FEC>

17.

Laitin DD. The Perestroika Challenge to Social Science. Politics & Society. 2003 Mar;31(1):163-84.

18.

Rose Wiles. Thinking ethically: approaches to research ethics. In: What are qualitative research ethics? [Internet]. London: Bloomsbury; 2013. p. 9–24. Available from: <https://www.bloomsburycollections.com/book/what-are-qualitative-research-ethics/ch2-thinking-ethically>

19.

Rose G. Understanding Visual Research Ethics. In: Visual methodologies: an introduction to researching with visual materials [Internet]. 5th edition. London: SAGE Publications Ltd; 2023. p. 94–110. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/borrow/2038408>

20.

How people feel about what companies do with their data is just as important as what they know about it: LSE Impact Blog [Internet]. Available from: <http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/impactofsocialsciences/2018/03/29/how-people-feel-about-what-companies-do-with-their-data-is-just-as-important-as-what-they-know-about-it/>

21.

Peregrine Schwartz-Shea. Designing for Trustworthiness: Knowledge Claims and Evaluations of Interpretive Research. In: Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2012. p. 105–28. Available from: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781136993831/chapters/10.4324/9780203854907-11>

22.

Peregrine Schwartz-Shea. Design in Context: From the Human Side of Research to Writing Research Manuscripts. In: Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2013. p. 129–43. Available from: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781136993831/chapters/10.4324/9780203854907-12>

23.

Hope Alkon A. Reflexivity and Environmental Justice Scholarship: A Role for Feminist Methodologies. *Organization & Environment*. 2011 Jun;24(2):130–49.

24.

Schwartz-Shea P, Yanow D. Interpretive research design: concepts and processes [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2012. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203854907>

25.

Sandercock L, Attili G. Digital Ethnography as Planning Praxis: An Experiment with Film as Social Research, Community Engagement and Policy Dialogue. *Planning Theory & Practice*. 2010 Mar;11(1):23-45.

26.

Conti JA, O'Neil M. Studying power: qualitative methods and the global elite. *Qualitative Research*. 2007 Feb;7(1):63-82.

27.

Tom Clark. 'We're Over-Researched Here!' Exploring Accounts of Research Fatigue within Qualitative Research Engagements. *Sociology* [Internet]. 2008;42(5):953-70. Available from: [https://www.jstor.org/stable/42857198?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/42857198?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

28.

Hammersley M. On the ethics of interviewing for discourse analysis. *Qualitative Research*. 2014 Oct;14(5):529-41.

29.

Fujii LA. Research Ethics 101: Dilemmas and Responsibilities. *PS: Political Science & Politics* [Internet]. 2012 Oct;45(04):717-23. Available from: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ps-political-science-and-politics/article/research-ethics-101-dilemmas-and-responsibilities/90D5442392CD0716F04E764939054FF7>

30.

Lundy P, McGovern M. The ethics of silence. *Action Research*. 2006 Mar;4(1):49-64.

31.

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath. What is data? In: Political research: methods and practical skills. Second edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017. p. 168–87.

32.

Coleman S. Spaces of Disappearance. In: How Voters Feel [Internet]. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2012. p. 149–68. Available from:  
[https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/9781139035354%23c01460-6-1/type/book\\_part](https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/9781139035354%23c01460-6-1/type/book_part)

33.

Hutchison E. A Global Politics of Pity? Disaster Imagery and the Emotional Construction of Solidarity after the 2004 Asian Tsunami. *International Political Sociology*. 2014 Mar;8(1):1–19.

34.

Geddes B. Paradigms and sand castles: theory building and research design in comparative politics [Internet]. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press; 2003. Available from: <https://muse.jhu.edu/book/7163>

35.

Ian Shapiro. Problems, Methods, and Theories in the Study of Politics, or What's Wrong with Political Science and What to Do about It. *Political Theory* [Internet]. 2002;30(4):596–619. Available from:  
[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3072623?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3072623?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

36.

Sartori G. Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics. *American Political Science Review*. 1970 Dec;64(04):1033–53.

37.

John Gerring. What Makes a Concept Good? A Criterial Framework for Understanding Concept Formation in the Social Sciences. *Polity* [Internet]. 1999 Mar;31(3):357–93. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3235246>

38.

Adcock R. Measurement Validity: A Shared Standard for Qualitative and Quantitative Research. *American Political Science Review*. 2001 Sep;95(03):529–46.

39.

Adams V. *Markets of Sorrow, Labors of Faith: New Orleans in the Wake of Katrina* [Internet]. Durham, N.C. ; London: Duke University Press; 2005. Available from: <https://read.dukeupress.edu/books/book/418/>

40.

Amoore L. Vigilant Visualities: The Watchful Politics of the War on Terror. *Security Dialogue*. 2007 Jun;38(2):215–32.

41.

Qualitative Methods Newsletter of the American Political Science Association. 2012;10(Issue 2 (Fall)). Available from: [https://www.maxwell.syr.edu/moynihan/cqrm/qmmr/Table\\_of\\_Contents\\_10\\_2/](https://www.maxwell.syr.edu/moynihan/cqrm/qmmr/Table_of_Contents_10_2/)

42.

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath. Research Design. In: *Political research: methods and practical skills*. Second edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017. p. 146–67.

43.

Anderson E. Policy Entrepreneurs and the Origins of the Regulatory Welfare State: Child Labor Reform in Nineteenth-Century Europe. *American Sociological Review*. 2018 Feb;83(1):173–211.

44.

Simmons ES, Smith NR. Comparison with an Ethnographic Sensibility. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 2017 Jan;50(01):126–30.

45.

Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203854907>

46.

Brady HE, Collier D. Rethinking social inquiry: diverse tools, shared standards [Internet]. 2nd ed. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2010. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9781442203457>

47.

Brady HE, Collier D. Rethinking social inquiry: diverse tools, shared standards. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield; 2004.

48.

Alexander L. George, Andrew Bennett. Case studies and theory development. In: *Case studies and theory development in the social sciences* [Internet]. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 2005. p. 3–36. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=5cfb1281-6c04-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

49.

Henry E. Brady, David Collier and Jason Seawright. Toward a Pluralistic Vision of Methodology. *Political Analysis* [Internet]. 2006;14(3):353–68. Available from: [https://www.jstor.org/stable/25791861?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/25791861?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

50.

King G, Verba S, Keohane RO. *Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1994.



51.

Goodwin J, Horowitz R. Introduction: The Methodological Strengths and Dilemmas of Qualitative Sociology. *Qualitative Sociology*. 2002;25(1):33-47.

52.

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath. Comparative Research. In: *Political research: methods and practical skills*. Second edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017. p. 211-38.

53.

Sandra Halperin and Oliver Heath. Historical Research. In: *Political research: methods and practical skills*. Second edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017. p. 239-60.

54.

Kristin M. Bakke. Help Wanted?: The Mixed Record of Foreign Fighters in Domestic Insurgencies. *International Security* [Internet]. 2014;38(4):150-87. Available from: <https://muse.jhu.edu/article/545070>

55.

Hale HE. Formal Constitutions in Informal Politics: Institutions and Democratization in Post-Soviet Eurasia. *World Politics*. 2011 Oct;63(04):581-617.

56.

Pouliot V. Practice tracing. In: Bennett A, Checkel JT, editors. *Process Tracing* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2014. p. 237-59. Available from: [https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/CBO9781139858472A019/type/book\\_part](https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/CBO9781139858472A019/type/book_part)

57.

Collier D. Understanding Process Tracing. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 2011 Oct;44(04):823-30.

58.

Acemoglu D, Johnson SH, Robinson JA. An African Success Story: Botswana. SSRN Electronic Journal. 2001;

59.

Alexander L. George, Andrew Bennett. Process-Tracing and Historical Explanation. In: Case studies and theory development in the social sciences. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 2005. p. 205–32.

60.

Renwick A. "Do 'Wrong Winner' Elections Trigger Electoral Reform? Lessons From New Zealand. Representation. 2009 Nov;45(4):357–67.

61.

Snyder, Richard. Scaling down: The subnational comparative method. Studies in Comparative International Development [Internet]. 36:93–110. Available from: <https://search.proquest.com/docview/220640216/abstract/4BA58C125E3B4EDEPQ/7?accountid=14511>

62.

Jason Seawright and John Gerring. Case Selection Techniques in Case Study Research: A Menu of Qualitative and Quantitative Options. Political Research Quarterly [Internet]. 2008;61(2). Available from: [https://www.jstor.org/stable/20299733?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20299733?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

63.

Bennett A, Elman C. Qualitative Research: Recent Developments in Case Study Methods. Annual Review of Political Science. 2006 Jun;9(1):455–76.

64.

Andrew Bennett and Colin Elman. Complex Causal Relations and Case Study Methods: The Example of Path Dependence. Political Analysis [Internet]. 2006;14(3). Available from:

[https://www.jstor.org/stable/25791852?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/25791852?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

65.

Michael Burawoy. The Extended Case Method. *Sociological Theory* [Internet]. 1998;16(1). Available from: [https://www.jstor.org/stable/202212?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/202212?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

66.

Mahoney J. Strategies of Causal Inference in Small-N Analysis. *Sociological Methods & Research*. 2000 May;28(4):387–424.

67.

Yes, But What's the Mechanism? (Don't Expect an Easy Answer). *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* [Internet]. 98(4). Available from: <http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=00005205-201004000-00002&LSLINK=80&D=ovft>

68.

Gillian Rose. Content Analysis and Cultural Analytics: Finding Patterns in What You See. In: *Visual methodologies: an introduction to researching with visual materials*. 4th edition. London: SAGE Publications Ltd; 2016. p. 85–105.

69.

Kristina Boréus and Göran Bergström. Content Analysis. In: Boréus K, Bergström G, editors. *Analyzing text and discourse: eight approaches for the social sciences* [Internet]. Los Angeles: SAGE; 2017. p. 23–52. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9edf456b-47d5-ec11-997e-0050f2f09783>

70.

Woolley JK, Limperos AM, Oliver MB. The 2008 Presidential Election, 2.0: A Content Analysis of User-Generated Political Facebook Groups. *Mass Communication and Society*. 2010 Oct 29;13(5):631–52.

71.

Brown NE, Gershon SA. Intersectional Presentations: An Exploratory Study of Minority Congresswomens' Websites' Biographies". *Du Bois Review: Social Science Research on Race*. 2016;13(01):85-108.

72.

Schreier M. *Qualitative content analysis in practice*. Los Angeles: SAGE; 2012.

73.

Neuendorf KA. *The content analysis guidebook*. Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage Publications; 2002.

74.

Neuendorf KA. *The content analysis guidebook*. Second edition. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications Inc; 2017.

75.

Krippendorff K. *Content analysis: an introduction to its methodology*. 3rd ed. Los Angeles: SAGE; 2013.

76.

Krippendorff K. *Content analysis: an introduction to its methodology*. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage; 2004.

77.

Cramer KJ. A Method of Listening. In: *The politics of resentment: rural consciousness in Wisconsin and the rise of Scott Walker* [Internet]. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2016. p. 26-44. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=95229e86-afff-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

78.

Büscher B. 'Rhino poaching is out of control!' Violence, race and the politics of hysteria in online conservation. *Environment and Planning A*. 2016 May;48(5):979–98.

79.

Dave NN. To Render Real the Imagined: An Ethnographic History of Lesbian Community in India. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*. 2010 Mar;35(3):595–619.

80.

Kozinets RV. *Netnography: redefined*. 2nd edition. Los Angeles: Sage; 2015.

81.

Pink S, Horst HA, Postill J, Hjorth L, Lewis T, Tacchi J. *Digital ethnography: principles and practice*. London: SAGE Publications; 2016.

82.

Costello L, McDermott ML, Wallace R. *Netnography*. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*. 2017 Dec;16(1).

83.

Atkinson P. *Handbook of ethnography*. London: SAGE; 2001.

84.

H. Lidchi. The Poetics and Politics of Exhibiting Other Cultures. In: Hall S, editor. *Representation: cultural representations and signifying practices*. London: Sage in association with the Open University; 1997. p. 151–222.

85.

DeWalt KM, DeWalt BR. Participant observation: a guide for fieldworkers [Internet]. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield; 2011. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780759119284>

86.

Clifford J, Marcus GE, School of American Research (Santa Fe, N.M.). Writing culture: the poetics and politics of ethnography. Berkeley, Calif: University of California Press; 1986.

87.

Schatz E. Political ethnography: what immersion contributes to the study of power [Internet]. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2009. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/UCL/detail.action?docID=3038320>

88.

Crewe E. Ethnography of Parliament: Finding Culture and Politics Entangled in the Commons and the Lords. Parliamentary Affairs. 2017 Jan;70(1):155-72.

89.

Hammersley M, Atkinson P. Ethnography: principles in practice [Internet]. 3rd ed. London: Routledge; 2007. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9780203944769>

90.

Hammersley M, Atkinson P. Ethnography: principles in practice. 2nd ed. London: Routledge; 1995.

91.

Hammersley M, Atkinson P. Ethnography: principles in practice. London: Routledge; 1989.

92.

Hammersley M, Atkinson P. *Ethnography: principles in practice*. Vol. 249. London: Tavistock; 1983.

93.

Hollander JA. The Social Contexts of Focus Groups. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography*. 2004 Oct;33(5):602-37.

94.

Ortbals CD, Rincker ME. Fieldwork, Identities, and Intersectionality: Negotiating Gender, Race, Class, Religion, Nationality, and Age in the Research Field Abroad: Editors' Introduction. *PS: Political Science & Politics* [Internet]. 2009 Apr;42(02):287-90. Available from: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ps-political-science-and-politics/article/fieldwork-identities-and-intersectionality-negotiating-gender-race-class-religion-nationality-and-age-in-the-research-field-abroad-editors-introduction/E7B583F128E0B577FFC3C90B61CCC478>

95.

Stephanie Taylor. Theories and common concerns. In: *What is Discourse Analysis?* [Internet]. Bloomsbury Academic; 2013. p. 7-28. Available from: <http://www.bloomsburycollections.com/book/what-is-discourse-analysis/ch2-theories-and-common-concerns>

96.

Taylor S. Four examples of discourse analysis. In: *What is Discourse Analysis?* [Internet]. Bloomsbury Academic; 2013. p. 29-52. Available from: <http://www.bloomsburycollections.com/book/what-is-discourse-analysis/ch3-four-examples-of-discourse-analysis>

97.

Debbie Lisle. Introduction: the global imaginary of contemporary travel writing. In: *The Global Politics of Contemporary Travel Writing* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. p. 1-26. Available from: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/9780511491535/type/book>

98.

Lisle D. The global politics of contemporary travel writing. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.

99.

Hall S. The Spectacle of the 'Other'. In: Discourse theory and practice: a reader [Internet]. London: Sage; 2001. p. 324-44. Available from:  
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=2d5fc837-6b36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

100.

Hansen L. Security as practice: discourse analysis and the Bosnian war. London: Routledge; 2006.

101.

Stritzel H. Securitization, power, intertextuality: Discourse theory and the translations of organized crime. Security Dialogue. 2012 Dec;43(6):549-67.

102.

Agius C. Performing identity: The Danish cartoon crisis and discourses of identity and security. Security Dialogue. 2013 Jun;44(3):241-58.

103.

Milliken J. The Study of Discourse in International Relations: European Journal of International Relations. 1999 Jun;5(2):225-54.

104.

Michael Shapiro. Textualising Global Politics. In: Wetherell M, Taylor S, Yates S, editors. Discourse theory and practice: a reader. London: SAGE Publications; 2001. p. 318-23.



105.

Vucetic, Srdjan. Genealogy as a research tool in International Relations. *Review of International Studies* [Internet]. 37(3):1295–312. Available from: <https://search.proquest.com/docview/899294200/2991417F0B324BAAPQ/17?accountid=14511>

106.

Michel Foucault, Paul Rabinow. *Nietzsche, Genealogy, History*. In: *The Foucault reader*. London: Penguin; 1991. p. 76–100.

107.

Vrasti W. Dr Strangelove, or How I Learned to Stop Worrying about Methodology and Love Writing. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2010 Aug;39(1):79–88.

108.

Ravecca P, Dauphinee E. Narrative and the Possibilities for Scholarship. *International Political Sociology*. 2018 Jun 1;12(2):125–38.

109.

Barabantseva E, Lawrence A. Encountering Vulnerabilities through 'Filmmaking for Fieldwork'. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2015 Jun;43(3):911–30.

110.

Penttinen E. *Joy and international relations: a new methodology*. London: Routledge; 2013.

111.

Suzanne Conklin Akbari, editor. *How We Write: Thirteen Ways of Looking at a Blank Page* [Internet]. Dead Letter Office; 2015. Available from: <https://punctumbooks.com/titles/how-we-write/>

112.

Sandercock L, Attili G. Digital Ethnography as Planning Praxis: An Experiment with Film as Social Research, Community Engagement and Policy Dialogue. *Planning Theory & Practice*. 2010 Mar;11(1):23-45.