

PUBLGL08: Contemporary Political Philosophy 1

[View Online](#)

1

Goodin RE, Pettit P, Pogge T, editors. A Companion to Contemporary Political Philosophy. Wiley 2017. doi:10.1002/9781405177245

2

Wolff J. An introduction to political philosophy. Rev. ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2006.

3

Simmons AJ. Moral principles and political obligations. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1979.

4

Horton J. Political obligation. Basingstoke: : Macmillan 1992.

5

Richard B. Friedman. On the Concept of Authority in Political Philosophy. In: Political obligation. Basingstoke: : Macmillan 1992.

6

Robert P. Wolff. The Conflict Between Authority and Autonomy'. In: In defense of anarchism. New York: : Harper & Row 1976.

7

Harry G. Frankfurt. The Anarchism of Robert Paul Wolff. *Political Theory* 1973;**1**:405–14.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/191060>

8

Green L. *The authority of the state*. Oxford: : Clarendon 1988.

9

Richard Tuck. Why is Authority Such a Problem? In: *Philosophy, politics and society*: 4th series. Oxford: : Blackwell 1972.

10

Jo Wolff. Anarchism and Skepticism. In: *For and against the state: new philosophical readings*. Lanham, Md: : Rowman & Littlefield 1996.

11

John Simmons. Philosophical Anarchism. In: *For and against the state: new philosophical readings*. Lanham, Md: : Rowman & Littlefield 1996.

12

A. John Simmons. Justification and Legitimacy*. *Ethics* 1999;**109**:739–71.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/233944>

13

Richard Dworkin. The Puzzle of Legitimacy'. In: *Law's empire*. Oxford: : Hart 1998.

14

Ripstein A. Authority and Coercion. *Philosophy Public Affairs* 2004;**32**:2–35.

doi:10.1111/j.1467-6486.2004.00003.x

15

Horton J. Political obligation. Basingstoke: : Macmillan 1992.

16

Simmons AJ. Moral principles and political obligations. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1979.

17

Miller D. Anarchism. London: : Dent 1984.

18

Pitkin H. Obligation and Consent--I. The American Political Science Review 1965;**59**.
doi:10.2307/1953218

19

Hanna Pitkin. Obligation and Consent--II. The American Political Science Review 1966;**60**
:39-52.http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/1953805?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

20

Joseph Raz. Authority and Justification. Philosophy & Public Affairs 1985;**14**
:3-29.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2265235>

21

Patrick Durning. Joseph Raz and the Instrumental Justification of a Duty to Obey the Law. Law and Philosophy 2003;**22**:597-620.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3505046>

22

Locke J, Locke J, Gough JW. The second treatise of civil government: and, A letter concerning toleration. Oxford: : Basil Blackwell 1948.

23

Hume D, MacIntyre AC. Hume's ethical writings: selections from David Hume. Notre Dame, Ind: : University of Notre Dame Press 1979.

24

A. John Simmons. Tacit Consent and Political Obligation. Philosophy & Public Affairs 1976;**5**:274-91.http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2264884?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

25

Simmons AJ. Moral principles and political obligations. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1979.

26

John Horton. Chapters 2,3,4,. In: Political obligation. Basingstoke: : Macmillan 1992.

27

Beran H. The consent theory of political obligation. London: : Croom Helm

28

Ronald Dworkin. The Original Position. The University of Chicago Law Review 1973;**40**:500-33.http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/1599246?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

29

Margaret Gilbert. Reconsidering the 'Actual Contract' and Theory of Political Obligation*.

Ethics 1999;**109**:236–60.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/233895>

30

Darwall S. Authority, Accountability, and Preemption. Jurisprudence 2011;**2**:103–19.
doi:10.5235/204033211796290263

31

Daniel Star, Candice Delmas. Three Conceptions of Practical Authority. Jurisprudence;**21**
:143–60. doi:10.5235/204033211796290272

32

May T. On Raz and the Obligation to Obey the Law. Law and Philosophy;**16**
:19–36.https://www.jstor.org/stable/3504817?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

33

Pateman C. The problem of political obligation: a critique of liberal theory. Cambridge: :
Polity in association with Blackwell 1985.

34

Hanna Pitkin. Obligation and Consent--II. The American Political Science Review 1966;**60**
:39–52.http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/1953805?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

35

A. John Simmons. Tacit Consent and Political Obligation. Philosophy & Public Affairs 1976;**5**
:274–91.[http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2264884?seq=1#page_scan_tab_co
ntents](http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2264884?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

36

John Horton. Chapters 2,3,4,. In: Political obligation. Basingstoke: : Macmillan 1992.

37

George Klosko. Presumptive Benefit, Fairness, and Political Obligation. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 1987;**16**:241–59.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2265266>

38

Horton J. In Defence of Associative Political Obligations: Part One. *Political Studies* 2006;**54**:427–43. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2006.00621.x

39

Horton J. In Defence of Associative Political Obligations: Part Two. *Political Studies* 2007;**55**:1–19. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2007.00642.x

40

Dagger R. Membership, Fair Play, and Political Obligation. *Political Studies* 2000;**48**:104–17. doi:10.1111/1467-9248.00253

41

George Klosko. Multiple Principles of Political Obligation. *Political Theory* 2004;**32**:801–24.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/4148146>

42

A. John Simmons. Fair Play and Political Obligation: Twenty Years Later. In: *Justification and Legitimacy*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2000. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511625152

43

A. John Simmons. Associative Political Obligations. *Ethics* 1996;**106**:247–73.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2382059>

44

Klosko G. The principle of fairness and political obligation. New ed. Lanham, Md: : Rowman & Littlefield 2004.

45

Richard J. Arneson. The Principle of Fairness and Free-Rider Problems. Ethics 1982;**92**:616–33.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2380394>

46

John Rawls. Legal Obligation and the Duty of Fair Play. In: Collected papers. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1999.

47

Dworkin R. Law's empire. London: : Fontana 1986.

48

McDermott D. Fair-Play Obligations. Political Studies 2004;**52**:216–32.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2004.00476.x

49

Nozick R. Anarchy, state, and utopia. Oxford: : Blackwell 1974.

50

Tamir Y. Liberal nationalism. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1993.

51

Andrew Mason. Special Obligations to Compatriots. Ethics 1997;**107**:427–47.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2382324>

52

Christopher Heath Wellman. Associative Allegiances and Political Obligations. *Social Theory and Practice* 1997;**23**:181–204.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/23559181>

53

Jo Wolff. Political Obligation: A Pluralistic Approach. In: *Pluralism: the philosophy and politics of diversity*. London: : Routledge 2000.

54

Rawls J. A theory of justice. Rev. ed. Cambridge, Mass: : Belknap Press of Harvard University Press 1999.

55

Brownlee K. Features of a Paradigm Case of Civil Disobedience. *Res Publica* 2004;**10**:337–51. doi:10.1007/s11158-004-2326-6

56

Dworkin R. Civil disobedience and nuclear protest. In: *A matter of principle*. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1986.

57

Ronald Dworkin. Civil Disobedience. In: *Taking rights seriously*. London: : Duckworth 1977.

58

Brownlee K. *Conscience and Conviction*. Oxford University Press 2012. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199592944.001.0001

59

LYONS D. Moral Judgment, Historical Reality, and Civil Disobedience. *Philosophy Public*

Affairs 1998;**27**:31–49. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.1998.tb00058.x

60

Haksar V. Rawls and Gandhi on civil disobedience. Inquiry 1976;**19**:151–92.
doi:10.1080/00201747608601790

61

Smith W. Democracy, Deliberation and Disobedience. Res Publica 2004;**10**:353–77.
doi:10.1007/s11158-004-2327-5

62

JOHN MORREALL. The Justifiability of Violent Civil Disobedience. Canadian Journal of Philosophy 2013;**6**:35–47.
<http://www-tandfonline-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.1080/00455091.1976.10716975>

63

Martin L. King. Letter from Birmingham Jail.
https://www.africa.upenn.edu/Articles_Gen/Letter_Birmingham.html

64

Simmons AJ. Moral principles and political obligations. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1979.

65

Markovitz D. 'Democratic Disobedience'. Yale Law Journal 2005;**114**.
https://digitalcommons.law.yale.edu/fss_papers/418/

66

David Lefkowitz. On a Moral Right to Civil Disobedience*. Ethics 2007;**117**:202–33.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/510694>

67

Singer P. Democracy and disobedience. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1973.

68

Adam J, Plato. Platonis Crito. Cambridge: : University press 1903.

69

Raz J. The authority of law Essays on law and morality. Oxford University Press 1979.
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198253457.001.0001

70

Walzer M. Obligations: essays on disobedience, war, and citizenship. Cambridge (Mass.): : Harvard University Press 1970.

71

Sabl A. Looking Forward to Justice: Rawlsian Civil Disobedience and its Non-Rawlsian Lessons. Journal of Political Philosophy 2001;**9**:331–49. doi:10.1111/1467-9760.00129

72

David Enoch. Some Arguments against Conscientious Objection and Civil Disobedience Refuted. Israel Law Review 2002;**36**:227–53.
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/israel36&id=629&collection=journals&index=journals/israel>

73

BUCHANAN A. Theories of Secession. Philosophy Public Affairs 1997;**26**:31–61.
doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.1997.tb00049.x

74

Alan Patten. Democratic Secession from a Multinational State*. *Ethics* 2002;**112**:558–86.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/338778>

75

Daniel Philpott. In Defense of Self-Determination. *Ethics* 1995;**105**:352–85.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2382349>

76

Moore M, editor. *National Self-Determination and Secession*. Oxford University Press 1998. doi:10.1093/0198293844.001.0001

77

David Miller. Secession and the Principle of Nationality. In: Moore M, ed. *National Self-Determination and Secession*. Oxford University Press 1998. doi:10.1093/0198293844.001.0001

78

Moore M, editor. *National Self-Determination and Secession*. Oxford University Press 1998. doi:10.1093/0198293844.001.0001

79

Margaret Moore. The Ethics of Secession and a Normative Theory of Nationalism. *Canadian Journal of Law and Jurisprudence*;**13**:225–50.<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/caljp13&id=227&collection=journals&index=journals/caljp>

80

David Gauthier. Breaking up: An Essay on Secession. *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 1994;**24**:357–71.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40231872>

81

Brilmayer, Lea. Secession and Self-Determination: A Territorial Interpretation. *Yale Journal of International Law* 1991;**16**:177–202.<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/yjil16&id=183&collection=journals&index=journals/yjil>

82

Christopher H. Wellman. A Defense of Secession and Political Self-Determination. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 1995;**24**:142–71.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2265391>

83

Wellman CH. A theory of secession: the case for political self-determination. New York: : Cambridge University Press 2005.
<https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/theory-of-secession/79CF1B218C8B49C0EBC9E1493DB75ED7>

84

Buchanan AE. Secession: the morality of political divorce from Fort Sumter to Lithuania and Quebec. Boulder: : Westview Press 1991.

85

Buchanan A. Justice, Legitimacy, and Self-Determination. Oxford University Press 2003.
doi:10.1093/0198295359.001.0001

86

Macedo S, Buchanan AE. Secession and self-determination. New York, London: : New York University Press 2003.

87

W. B. Gallie. Essentially Contested Concepts. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 1956;**56**:167–98.http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/4544562?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

88

LIST C. The Logical Space of Democracy. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 2011;**39**:262–97.
doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2011.01206.x

89

Gutmann A. Democracy. In: Goodin RE, Pettit P, Pogge T, eds. *A Companion to Contemporary Political Philosophy*. Wiley 2017. doi:10.1002/9781405177245

90

Sen AK. Democracy as a Universal Value. *Journal of Democracy* 1999;**10**:3–17.
doi:10.1353/jod.1999.0055

91

Weale A. *Democracy*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave 1999.

92

Estlund DM. *Democracy*. Malden, MA: : Blackwell Publishers 2001.

93

Democracy (Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy).
<http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/democracy/>

94

Brian Barry. Is Democracy Special? In: *Democracy and power: essays in political theory I*. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1991.

95

Schumpeter JA. *Capitalism, socialism, and democracy*. London: : G. Allen & Unwin Ltd 1943.

96

Quinton A. Political philosophy. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1967.

97

Dahl RA. Democracy and its critics. New Haven: : Yale University Press 1989.
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=52831&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

98

Dworkin R. Justice for hedgehogs. Cambridge, Mass: : Belknap Press of Harvard University Press 2011.
<https://search-ebscohost-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=364811&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

99

Christiano T. The rule of the many: fundamental issues in democratic theory. Boulder, Colo: : Westview Press 1996.

100

Gordon Graham. What is Special About Democracy? Mind 1983;**92**:94–102.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2253932>

101

Dahl RA. Democracy and its critics. New Haven: : Yale University Press 1989.
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=52831&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

102

Richard Arneson. Democracy is not Intrinsically Just. In: Justice and democracy: essays for Brian Barry. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2004.

103

Parijs P. Justice and Democracy: Are they Incompatible?*. *Journal of Political Philosophy* 1996;**4**:101–17. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.1996.tb00044.x

104

Griffin CG. Democracy as a Non-Instrumentally Just Procedure. *Journal of Political Philosophy* 2003;**11**:111–21. doi:10.1111/1467-9760.00169

105

Arneson RJ. Defending the Purely Instrumental Account of Democratic Legitimacy. *Journal of Political Philosophy* 2003;**11**:122–32. doi:10.1111/1467-9760.00170

106

Christiano T. The Authority of Democracy*. *Journal of Political Philosophy* 2004;**12**:266–90. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.2004.00200.x

107

Joshua Cohen. Deliberation and Democratic Legitimacy. In: *The Good polity: normative analysis of the state*. Oxford: : Basil Blackwell 1989.

108

Review by: Joshua Cohen. Reflections on Rousseau: Autonomy and Democracy. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 1986;**15**:275–97. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2265213>

109

Valentini L. Justice, Disagreement and Democracy. *British Journal of Political Science* 2013;**43**:177–99. doi:10.1017/S0007123412000294

110

Ross M. Is Democracy Good for the Poor? *American Journal of Political Science* 2006;**50**:860–74. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2006.00220.x

111

Estlund DM. *Democratic authority: a philosophical framework*. Princeton: : Princeton University Press 2008.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9781400831548>

112

Walzer M. *Spheres of justice: a defense of pluralism and equality*. New York: : Basic Books 1983.

113

Young IM. *Inclusion and Democracy*. Oxford University Press 2002.
doi:10.1093/0198297556.001.0001

114

Beitz CR. *Political equality: an essay in democratic theory*. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1989.

115

Jeremy Waldron. The Wisdom of the Multitude: Some Reflections on Book 3, Chapter 11 of Aristotle's *Politics*. *Political Theory* 1995;**23**:563–84.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/191901>

116

Jeremy Waldron. The Core of the Case against Judicial Review. *The Yale Law Journal* 2006;**115**:1346–406.<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/20455656?origin=crossref>

117

Brettschneider C. *Balancing Procedures and Outcomes Within Democratic Theory: Core*

Values and Judicial Review. *Political Studies* 2005;**53**:423–41.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2005.00536.x

118

Allen Buchanan. Political Legitimacy and Democracy*. *Ethics* 2002;**112**:689–719.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/340313>

119

Anne Phillips. Democracy and Difference: Some Problems for Feminist Theory. In: *The rights of minority cultures*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1997.

120

Risse M. Arguing for Majority Rule*. *Journal of Political Philosophy* 2004;**12**:41–64.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.2004.00190.x

121

Christiano T. *The Constitution of Equality*. Oxford University Press 2008.
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198297475.001.0001

122

Democracy, Political Equality, and Majority Rule*. *Ethics* 2010;**121**:148–77.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/656474>

123

Harrison R. *Democracy*. Routledge 2002. doi:10.4324/9780203005545

124

Weale A. *Democracy*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave 1999.

125

Steven Macedo. Against Majoritarianism: Democratic Values and Institutional Design. Boston University Law Review; **90**:1029-42. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=1029&handle=hein.journals%2Fbulr90&collection=journals>

126

Waldron J. Law and Disagreement. Oxford University Press 1999.
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198262138.001.0001

127

Noveck, Scott M. Is Judicial Review Compatible with Democracy? Cardozo Public Law, Policy, and Ethics Journal 2007; **6**:401-30. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/cardplp6&id=407&collection=journals&index=journals/cardplp>

128

Dworkin R. Law's empire. London: : Fontana 1986.

129

Christiano T. The Authority of Democracy*. Journal of Political Philosophy 2004; **12**:266-90. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.2004.00200.x

130

LIST C, KOENIG-ARCHIBUGI M. Can There Be a Global Demos? An Agency-Based Approach. Philosophy & Public Affairs 2010; **38**:76-110. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2009.01174.x

131

Miller D. Democracy's Domain. Philosophy & Public Affairs 2009; **37**:201-28. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2009.01158.x

132

Goodin RE. Global democracy: in the beginning. *International Theory* 2010;**2**:175–209. doi:10.1017/S1752971910000060

133

Koenig-Archibugi M. Is global democracy possible? *European Journal of International Relations* 2011;**17**:519–42. doi:10.1177/1354066110366056

134

David Miller. *Against Global Democracy*. In: *After the nation?: critical reflections on nationalism and post-nationalism*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2010.

135

Näsström S. The Challenge of the All-Affected Principle. *Political Studies* 2011;**59**:116–34. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2010.00845.x

136

GOODIN RE. Enfranchising All Affected Interests, and Its Alternatives. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 2007;**35**:40–68. doi:10.1111/j.1088-4963.2007.00098.x

137

Macdonald T. *Global Stakeholder Democracy*. Oxford University Press 2008. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199235001.001.0001

138

Thompson DF. Democratic Theory and Global Society. *Journal of Political Philosophy* 1999;**7**:111–25. doi:10.1111/1467-9760.00069

139

Gould CC. *Globalizing democracy and human rights*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University

Press 2004. <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511617096>

140

David Held. Democracy and the International System. In: Cosmopolitan democracy: an agenda for a new world order. Cambridge, UK: : Polity Press 1995.

141

Robert Dahl. Can International Organisations be Democratic? In: Democracy's edges. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1999.

142

Cabrera, Luis. The Inconveniences of Transnational Democracy. Ethics and International Affairs 1AD;**21**:219–38.<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ethics-and-international-affairs/article/inconveniences-of-transnational-democracy/96027C6EE6E68D572DE43E93F9E2C8A8>

143

Ronald Dworkin. The Original Position. The University of Chicago Law Review 1973;**40**:500–33.http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/1599246?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

144

Daniel Star, Candice Delmas. Three Conceptions of Practical Authority. Jurisprudence;**21**:143–60. doi:10.5235/204033211796290272

145

May T. On Raz and the Obligation to Obey the Law. Law and Philosophy;**16**:19–36.https://www.jstor.org/stable/3504817?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

146

Dagger R. Membership, Fair Play, and Political Obligation. Political Studies 2000;**48**:104–17.

doi:10.1111/1467-9248.00253

147

A. John Simmons. Fair Play and Political Obligation: Twenty Years Later. In: Justification and Legitimacy. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2000.
doi:10.1017/CBO9780511625152

148

Dworkin R. Civil disobedience and nuclear protest. In: A matter of principle. Oxford: : Clarendon Press 1986.

149

Smith W. Democracy, Deliberation and Disobedience. Res Publica 2004;**10**:353–77.
doi:10.1007/s11158-004-2327-5

150

JOHN MORREALL. The Justifiability of Violent Civil Disobedience. Canadian Journal of Philosophy 2013;**6**
:35–47.<http://www-tandfonline-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.1080/00455091.1976.10716975>

151

Martin L. King. Letter from Birmingham Jail.
https://www.africa.upenn.edu/Articles_Gen/Letter_Birmingham.html

152

Markovitz D. 'Democratic Disobedience'. Yale Law Journal 2005;**114**
. https://digitalcommons.law.yale.edu/fss_papers/418/

153

W. B. Gallie. Essentially Contested Concepts. Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society 1956;

56

:167–98.http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/4544562?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents