

PUBLGL08: Contemporary Political Philosophy 1

[View Online](#)

1.

Goodin RE, Pettit P, Pogge T, editors. A Companion to Contemporary Political Philosophy [Internet]. Wiley; 2017. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9781405177245>

2.

Wolff J. An introduction to political philosophy. Rev. ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2006.

3.

Simmons AJ. Moral principles and political obligations. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1979.

4.

Horton J. Political obligation. Basingstoke: Macmillan; 1992.

5.

Richard B. Friedman. On the Concept of Authority in Political Philosophy. Political obligation. Basingstoke: Macmillan; 1992.

6.

Robert P. Wolff. The Conflict Between Authority and Autonomy'. In defense of anarchism. New York: Harper & Row; 1976.

7.

Harry G. Frankfurt. The Anarchism of Robert Paul Wolff. *Political Theory* [Internet]. Sage Publications, Inc.; 1973;1(4):405–414. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/191060>

8.

Green L. *The authority of the state*. Oxford: Clarendon; 1988.

9.

Richard Tuck. *Why is Authority Such a Problem? Philosophy, politics and society: 4th series*. Oxford: Blackwell; 1972.

10.

Jo Wolff. *Anarchism and Skepticism. For and against the state: new philosophical readings*. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield; 1996.

11.

John Simmons. *Philosophical Anarchism. For and against the state: new philosophical readings*. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield; 1996.

12.

A. John Simmons. Justification and Legitimacy*. *Ethics* [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 1999;109(4):739–771. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/233944>

13.

Richard Dworkin. *The Puzzle of Legitimacy'*. *Law's empire*. Oxford: Hart; 1998.

14.

Ripstein A. Authority and Coercion. *Philosophy Public Affairs*. 2004 Jan;32(1):2–35.

15.

Horton J. *Political obligation*. Basingstoke: Macmillan; 1992.

16.

Simmons AJ. *Moral principles and political obligations*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1979.

17.

Miller D. *Anarchism*. London: Dent; 1984.

18.

Pitkin H. Obligation and Consent--I. *The American Political Science Review*. 1965 Dec;59(4).

19.

Hanna Pitkin. Obligation and Consent--II. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. 1966;60(1):39–52. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/1953805?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

20.

Joseph Raz. *Authority and Justification*. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* [Internet]. Wiley; 1985;14(1):3–29. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2265235>

21.

Patrick Durning. Joseph Raz and the Instrumental Justification of a Duty to Obey the Law. *Law and Philosophy* [Internet]. Springer; 2003;22(6):597–620. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3505046>

22.

Locke J, Locke J, Gough JW. The second treatise of civil government: and, A letter concerning toleration. Oxford: Basil Blackwell; 1948.

23.

Hume D, MacIntyre AC. Hume's ethical writings: selections from David Hume. Notre Dame, Ind: University of Notre Dame Press; 1979.

24.

A. John Simmons. Tacit Consent and Political Obligation. Philosophy & Public Affairs [Internet]. 1976;5(3):274–291. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2264884?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

25.

Simmons AJ. Moral principles and political obligations. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1979.

26.

John Horton. Chapters 2,3,4,. Political obligation. Basingstoke: Macmillan; 1992.

27.

Beran H. The consent theory of political obligation. London: Croom Helm;

28.

Ronald Dworkin. The Original Position. The University of Chicago Law Review [Internet]. 1973;40(3):500–533. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/1599246?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

29.

Margaret Gilbert. Reconsidering the 'Actual Contract' and Theory of Political Obligation*. Ethics [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 1999;109(2):236–260. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/233895>

30.

Darwall S. Authority, Accountability, and Preemption. Jurisprudence. 2011 Jun 1;2(1):103–119.

31.

Daniel Star, Candice Delmas. Three Conceptions of Practical Authority. Jurisprudence [Internet]. 21(2):143–160. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.5235/204033211796290272>

32.

May T. On Raz and the Obligation to Obey the Law. Law and Philosophy [Internet]. 16(1):19–36. Available from: https://www.jstor.org/stable/3504817?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

33.

Pateman C. The problem of political obligation: a critique of liberal theory. Cambridge: Polity in association with Blackwell; 1985.

34.

Hanna Pitkin. Obligation and Consent--II. The American Political Science Review [Internet]. 1966;60(1):39–52. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/1953805?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

35.

A. John Simmons. Tacit Consent and Political Obligation. Philosophy & Public Affairs [Internet]. 1976;5(3):274–291. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/2264884?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

36.

John Horton. Chapters 2,3,4,. Political obligation. Basingstoke: Macmillan; 1992.

37.

George Klosko. Presumptive Benefit, Fairness, and Political Obligation. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* [Internet]. Wiley; 1987;16(3):241–259. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2265266>

38.

Horton J. In Defence of Associative Political Obligations: Part One. *Political Studies*. 2006 Oct;54(3):427–443.

39.

Horton J. In Defence of Associative Political Obligations: Part Two. *Political Studies*. 2007 Mar;55(1):1–19.

40.

Dagger R. Membership, Fair Play, and Political Obligation. *Political Studies*. 2000 Mar;48(1):104–117.

41.

George Klosko. Multiple Principles of Political Obligation. *Political Theory* [Internet]. Sage Publications, Inc.; 2004;32(6):801–824. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4148146>

42.

A. John Simmons. Fair Play and Political Obligation: Twenty Years Later. *Justification and Legitimacy* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000. Available from: <http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9780511625152>

43.

A. John Simmons. Associative Political Obligations. Ethics [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 1996;106(2):247–273. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2382059>

44.

Klosko G. The principle of fairness and political obligation. New ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield; 2004.

45.

Richard J. Arneson. The Principle of Fairness and Free-Rider Problems. Ethics [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 1982;92(4):616–633. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2380394>

46.

John Rawls. Legal Obligation and the Duty of Fair Play. Collected papers. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1999.

47.

Dworkin R. Law's empire. London: Fontana; 1986.

48.

McDermott D. Fair-Play Obligations. Political Studies. 2004 Jun;52(2):216–232.

49.

Nozick R. Anarchy, state, and utopia. Oxford: Blackwell; 1974.

50.

Tamir Y. Liberal nationalism. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1993.

51.

Andrew Mason. Special Obligations to Compatriots. *Ethics* [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 1997;107(3):427–447. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2382324>

52.

Christopher Heath Wellman. Associative Allegiances and Political Obligations. *Social Theory and Practice* [Internet]. Florida State University Department of Philosophy; 1997;23(2):181–204. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23559181>

53.

Jo Wolff. Political Obligation: A Pluralistic Approach. *Pluralism: the philosophy and politics of diversity*. London: Routledge; 2000.

54.

Rawls J. A theory of justice. Rev. ed. Cambridge, Mass: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press; 1999.

55.

Brownlee K. Features of a Paradigm Case of Civil Disobedience. *Res Publica*. 2004 Dec;10(4):337–351.

56.

Dworkin R. Civil disobedience and nuclear protest. A matter of principle. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1986.

57.

Ronald Dworkin. Civil Disobedience. *Taking rights seriously*. London: Duckworth; 1977.

58.

Brownlee K. Conscience and Conviction [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from:
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199592944.001.0001/acprof-9780199592944>

59.

LYONS D. Moral Judgment, Historical Reality, and Civil Disobedience. *Philosophy Public Affairs*. 1998 Jan;27(1):31–49.

60.

Haksar V. Rawls and Gandhi on civil disobedience. *Inquiry*. 1976 Jan;19(1–4):151–192.

61.

Smith W. Democracy, Deliberation and Disobedience. *Res Publica*. 2004 Dec;10(4):353–377.

62.

JOHN MORREALL. The Justifiability of Violent Civil Disobedience. *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* [Internet]. Taylor & Francis Group; 2013 Jul 1;6(1):35–47. Available from:
<http://www-tandfonline-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.1080/00455091.1976.10716975>

63.

Martin L. King. Letter from Birmingham Jail. Available from:
https://www.africa.upenn.edu/Articles_Gen/Letter_Birmingham.html

64.

Simmons AJ. Moral principles and political obligations. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1979.

65.

Markovitz D. 'Democratic Disobedience'. Yale Law Journal [Internet]. 2005;114. Available from: https://digitalcommons.law.yale.edu/fss_papers/418/

66.

David Lefkowitz. On a Moral Right to Civil Disobedience*. Ethics [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 2007;117(2):202–233. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/510694>

67.

Singer P. Democracy and disobedience. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1973.

68.

Adam J, Plato. Platonis Crito. Cambridge: University press; 1903.

69.

Raz J. The authority of law Essays on law and morality [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 1979. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198253457.001.0001/acprof-9780198253457>

70.

Walzer M. Obligations: essays on disobedience, war, and citizenship. Cambridge (Mass.): Harvard University Press; 1970.

71.

Sabl A. Looking Forward to Justice: Rawlsian Civil Disobedience and its Non-Rawlsian Lessons. Journal of Political Philosophy. 2001 Sep;9(3):331–349.

72.

David Enoch. Some Arguments against Conscientious Objection and Civil Disobedience Refuted. *Israel Law Review* [Internet]. 2002;36:227–253. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/israel36&id=629&collection=journals&index=journals/israel>

73.

BUCHANAN A. Theories of Secession. *Philosophy Public Affairs*. 1997 Jan;26(1):31–61.

74.

Alan Patten. Democratic Secession from a Multinational State*. *Ethics* [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 2002;112(3):558–586. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/338778>

75.

Daniel Philpott. In Defense of Self-Determination. *Ethics* [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 1995;105(2):352–385. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2382349>

76.

Moore M, editor. National Self-Determination and Secession [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 1998. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/0198293844.001.0001/acprof-9780198293842>

77.

David Miller. Secession and the Principle of Nationality. In: Moore M, editor. National Self-Determination and Secession [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 1998. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/0198293844.001.0001/acprof-9780198293842>

78.

Moore M, editor. National Self-Determination and Secession [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 1998. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/0198293844.001.0001/acprof-9780198293842>

3842

79.

Margaret Moore. The Ethics of Secession and a Normative Theory of Nationalism. *Canadian Journal of Law and Jurisprudence* [Internet]. 13(2):225–250. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/caljp13&id=227&collection=journals&index=journals/caljp>

80.

David Gauthier. Breaking up: An Essay on Secession. *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* [Internet]. Taylor & Francis, Ltd.; 1994;24(3):357–371. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40231872>

81.

Brilmayer, Lea. Secession and Self-Determination: A Territorial Interpretation. *Yale Journal of International Law* [Internet]. 1991;16(1):177–202. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/yjil16&id=183&collection=journals&index=journals/yjil>

82.

Christopher H. Wellman. A Defense of Secession and Political Self-Determination. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* [Internet]. Wiley; 1995;24(2):142–171. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2265391>

83.

Wellman CH. A theory of secession: the case for political self-determination [Internet]. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2005. Available from: <https://www-cambridge-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/core/books/theory-of-secession/79CF1B218C8B49C0EBC9E1493DB75ED7>

84.

Buchanan AE. Secession: the morality of political divorce from Fort Sumter to Lithuania and Quebec. Boulder: Westview Press; 1991.

85.

Buchanan A. Justice, Legitimacy, and Self-Determination [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2003. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/0198295359.001.0001/acprof-9780198295358>

86.

Macedo S, Buchanan AE. Secession and self-determination. New York, London: New York University Press; 2003.

87.

W. B. Gallie. Essentially Contested Concepts. Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society [Internet]. 1956;56:167–198. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/4544562?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

88.

LIST C. The Logical Space of Democracy. Philosophy & Public Affairs. 2011 Jun;39(3):262–297.

89.

Gutmann A. Democracy. In: Goodin RE, Pettit P, Pogge T, editors. A Companion to Contemporary Political Philosophy [Internet]. Wiley; 2017. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9781405177245>

90.

Sen AK. Democracy as a Universal Value. Journal of Democracy. 1999;10(3):3–17.

91.

Weale A. Democracy. Basingstoke: Palgrave; 1999.

92.

Estlund DM. Democracy. Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishers; 2001.

93.

Democracy (Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy) [Internet]. Available from: <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/democracy/>

94.

Brian Barry. Is Democracy Special? Democracy and power: essays in political theory I. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1991.

95.

Schumpeter JA. Capitalism, socialism, and democracy. London: G. Allen & Unwin Ltd; 1943.

96.

Quinton A. Political philosophy. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1967.

97.

Dahl RA. Democracy and its critics [Internet]. New Haven: Yale University Press; 1989. Available from: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=52831&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

98.

Dworkin R. Justice for hedgehogs [Internet]. Cambridge, Mass: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press; 2011. Available from: <https://search-ebscohost-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=364811&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

99.

Christiano T. The rule of the many: fundamental issues in democratic theory. Boulder, Colo: Westview Press; 1996.

100.

Gordon Graham. What is Special About Democracy? Mind [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 1983;92(365):94–102. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2253932>

101.

Dahl RA. Democracy and its critics [Internet]. New Haven: Yale University Press; 1989. Available from: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=52831&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s8454451>

102.

Richard Arneson. Democracy is not Intrinsically Just. Justice and democracy: essays for Brian Barry. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2004.

103.

Parijs P. Justice and Democracy: Are they Incompatible?*. Journal of Political Philosophy. 1996 Jun;4(2):101–117.

104.

Griffin CG. Democracy as a Non-Instrumentally Just Procedure. Journal of Political Philosophy. 2003 Mar;11(1):111–121.

105.

Arneson RJ. Defending the Purely Instrumental Account of Democratic Legitimacy. Journal of Political Philosophy. 2003 Mar;11(1):122–132.

106.

Christiano T. The Authority of Democracy*. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 2004 Sep;12(3):266–290.

107.

Joshua Cohen. *Deliberation and Democratic Legitimacy. The Good polity: normative analysis of the state*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell; 1989.

108.

Review by: Joshua Cohen. *Reflections on Rousseau: Autonomy and Democracy*. *Philosophy & Public Affairs* [Internet]. Wiley; 1986;15(3):275–297. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2265213>

109.

Valentini L. *Justice, Disagreement and Democracy*. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2013 Jan;43(01):177–199.

110.

Ross M. *Is Democracy Good for the Poor?* *American Journal of Political Science*. 2006 Oct;50(4):860–874.

111.

Estlund DM. *Democratic authority: a philosophical framework* [Internet]. Princeton: Princeton University Press; 2008. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9781400831548>

112.

Walzer M. *Spheres of justice: a defense of pluralism and equality*. New York: Basic Books; 1983.

113.

Young IM. Inclusion and Democracy [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2002. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/0198297556.001.0001/acprof-9780198297550>

114.

Beitz CR. Political equality: an essay in democratic theory. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1989.

115.

Jeremy Waldron. The Wisdom of the Multitude: Some Reflections on Book 3, Chapter 11 of Aristotle's Politics. Political Theory [Internet]. Sage Publications, Inc.; 1995;23(4):563–584. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/191901>

116.

Jeremy Waldron. The Core of the Case against Judicial Review. The Yale Law Journal [Internet]. The Yale Law Journal Company, Inc.; 2006;115(6):1346–1406. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/20455656?origin=crossref>

117.

Brettschneider C. Balancing Procedures and Outcomes Within Democratic Theory: Core Values and Judicial Review. Political Studies. 2005 Jun;53(2):423–441.

118.

Allen Buchanan. Political Legitimacy and Democracy*. Ethics [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 2002;112(4):689–719. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/340313>

119.

Anne Phillips. Democracy and Difference: Some Problems for Feminist Theory. The rights of minority cultures. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1997.

120.

Risse M. Arguing for Majority Rule*. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 2004 Mar;12(1):41–64.

121.

Christiano T. The Constitution of Equality [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2008.

Available from:

<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198297475.001.0001/acprof-9780198297475>

122.

Democracy, Political Equality, and Majority Rule*. *Ethics* [Internet]. The University of Chicago Press; 2010;121(1):148–177. Available from:

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/656474>

123.

Harrison R. Democracy [Internet]. Routledge; 2002. Available from:

<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781134790692>

124.

Weale A. Democracy. Basingstoke: Palgrave; 1999.

125.

Steven Macedo. Against Majoritarianism: Democratic Values and Institutional Design.

Boston University Law Review [Internet]. 90(2):1029–1042. Available from:

<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=1029&handle=hein.journals%2Fbulr90&collection=journals>

126.

Waldron J. Law and Disagreement [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 1999. Available from:

<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198262138.001.0001/acp>

rof-9780198262138

127.

Noveck, Scott M. Is Judicial Review Compatible with Democracy? *Cardozo Public Law, Policy, and Ethics Journal* [Internet]. 2007;6(2):401–430. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/cardplp6&id=407&collection=journals&index=journals/cardplp>

128.

Dworkin R. *Law's empire*. London: Fontana; 1986.

129.

Christiano T. The Authority of Democracy*. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 2004 Sep;12(3):266–290.

130.

LIST C, KOENIG-ARCHIBUGI M. Can There Be a Global Demos? An Agency-Based Approach. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 2010 Jan;38(1):76–110.

131.

Miller D. Democracy's Domain. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 2009 Jun;37(3):201–228.

132.

Goodin RE. Global democracy: in the beginning. *International Theory*. 2010 Jul;2(02):175–209.

133.

Koenig-Archibugi M. Is global democracy possible? *European Journal of International Relations*. 2011 Sep 1;17(3):519–542.

134.

David Miller. *Against Global Democracy. After the nation?: critical reflections on nationalism and post-nationalism*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2010.

135.

Näsström S. The Challenge of the All-Affected Principle. *Political Studies*. 2011 Mar;59(1):116–134.

136.

GOODIN RE. Enfranchising All Affected Interests, and Its Alternatives. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 2007 Jan;35(1):40–68.

137.

Macdonald T. *Global Stakeholder Democracy* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2008. Available from: <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199235001.001.0001/acprof-9780199235001>

138.

Thompson DF. Democratic Theory and Global Society. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 1999 Jun;7(2):111–125.

139.

Gould CC. *Globalizing democracy and human rights* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2004. Available from: <https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511617096>

140.

David Held. *Democracy and the International System. Cosmopolitan democracy: an agenda for a new world order*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press; 1995.

141.

Robert Dahl. Can International Organisations be Democratic? Democracy's edges. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1999.

142.

Cabrera, Luis. The Inconveniences of Transnational Democracy. Ethics and International Affairs [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 1AD;21(2):219–238. Available from: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ethics-and-international-affairs/article/inconveniences-of-transnational-democracy/96027C6EE6E68D572DE43E93F9E2C8A8>

143.

Ronald Dworkin. The Original Position. The University of Chicago Law Review [Internet]. 1973;40(3):500–533. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/1599246?origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

144.

Daniel Star, Candice Delmas. Three Conceptions of Practical Authority. Jurisprudence [Internet]. 21(2):143–160. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.5235/204033211796290272>

145.

May T. On Raz and the Obligation to Obey the Law. Law and Philosophy [Internet]. 16(1):19–36. Available from: https://www.jstor.org/stable/3504817?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

146.

Dagger R. Membership, Fair Play, and Political Obligation. Political Studies. 2000 Mar;48(1):104–117.

147.

A. John Simmons. Fair Play and Political Obligation: Twenty Years Later. Justification and Legitimacy [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000. Available from:

<http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9780511625152>

148.

Dworkin R. Civil disobedience and nuclear protest. A matter of principle. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1986.

149.

Smith W. Democracy, Deliberation and Disobedience. *Res Publica*. 2004 Dec;10(4):353–377.

150.

JOHN MORREALL. The Justifiability of Violent Civil Disobedience. *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* [Internet]. Taylor & Francis Group; 2013 Jul 1;6(1):35–47. Available from: <http://www-tandfonline-com.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.1080/00455091.1976.10716975>

151.

Martin L. King. Letter from Birmingham Jail. Available from: https://www.africa.upenn.edu/Articles_Gen/Letter_Birmingham.html

152.

Markovitz D. 'Democratic Disobedience'. *Yale Law Journal* [Internet]. 2005;114. Available from: https://digitalcommons.law.yale.edu/fss_papers/418/

153.

W. B. Gallie. Essentially Contested Concepts. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* [Internet]. 1956;56:167–198. Available from: http://www.jstor.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/stable/4544562?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents