## Systematic Reviews



[1]

ACM Digital Library: https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/ACM-Digital-Library/introduction.

[2]

Advanced searching techniques:

https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/searching-information/advanced.

[3]

Arksey, H. and O'Malley, L. 2005. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. International Journal of Social Research Methodology. 8, 1 (2005), 19–32. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/1364557032000119616.

[4]

Booth, A. et al. 2022. Chapter 5: Searching the Literature. Systematic approaches to a successful literature review. SAGE.

[5]

Booth, A. et al. 2022. Systematic approaches to a successful literature review. SAGE.

[6]

Campbell Collaboration 2021. Campbell systematic reviews: Policies and guidelines.

[7]

Campbell Collaboration: Start a review or EGM: https://www.campbellcollaboration.org/get-involved/start-a-review.

[8]

Centre for Reviews and Dissemination 2009. Systematic Reviews: CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. University of York.

[9]

Cherry, M.G. et al. eds. 2024. Chapter 1: What is a Systematic Review. Doing a systematic review: a student's guide. SAGE.

[10]

Cherry, M.G. et al. eds. 2024. Chapter 2: Planning and Managing My Review. Doing a systematic review: a student's guide. SAGE. 29–38.

[11]

Cherry, M.G. et al. eds. 2024. Chapter 3: Defining My Review Question and Identifying Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. Doing a systematic review: a student's guide. SAGE.

[12]

Cherry, M.G. et al. eds. 2024. Chapter 4: Writing a Protocol. Doing a systematic review: a student's guide. SAGE.

[13]

Cherry, M.G. et al. eds. 2024. Chapter 5: Developing my search strategy. Doing a systematic review: a student's guide. SAGE.

[14]

Cherry, M.G. et al. eds. 2024. Doing a systematic review: a student's guide. SAGE.

[15]
Clinical Trials Databases: http://www.ucl.ac.uk/ich/support-services/library/resources-a-to-z/clinical-trials.
[16]
Cochrane Library: https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/cochrane.
[17]
Develop your protocol: https://libguides.city.ac.uk/systematic-reviews/protocol.
[18]
Developing your search: https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/searching-information/developing
[19]
EBSCOhost databases: https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/ebscohost.
[20]
EPPI Centre Home: https://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/.
[21]
Formulate your question: 2024. https://libguides.city.ac.uk/systematic-reviews/formulate-your-question.

[22]

Gough, D. et al. eds. 2017. An introduction to systematic reviews. SAGE.

[23]

Gough, D. et al. 2012. Clarifying differences between review designs and methods. Systematic Reviews. 1, 1 (2012). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-1-28.

[24]

Grant, M.J. and Booth, A. 2009. A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. Health Information & Libraries Journal. 26, 2 (2009), 91–108. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x.

[25]

Grey literature: https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/planning-search/grey-literature.

[26]

Harrison, H. et al. 2020. Software tools to support title and abstract screening for systematic reviews in healthcare: an evaluation. BMC Medical Research Methodology. 20, 1 (Dec. 2020). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-020-0897-3.

[27]

Hart, C. 2018. Doing a literature review: releasing the research imagination. SAGE Publications Ltd.

[28]

ISSG Search Filters Resource:

https://sites.google.com/a/york.ac.uk/issg-search-filters-resource/home.

[29]

JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis: 2024. https://jbi-global-wiki.refined.site/space/MANUAL.

[30]

Krnic Martinic, M. et al. 2019. Definition of a systematic review used in overviews of systematic reviews, meta-epidemiological studies and textbooks. BMC Medical Research Methodology. 19, 1 (Dec. 2019). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-019-0855-0.

[31]

Kugley, S. et al. 2017. Searching for studies: a guide to information retrieval for Campbell systematic reviews. Campbell Systematic Reviews. 13, 1 (2017), 1–73. DOI:https://doi.org/10.4073/cmg.2016.1.

[32]

Levay, P. and Craven, J. eds. 2018. Chapter 5: Gathering evidence from grey literature and unpublished data. Systematic searching: practical ideas for improving results. Facet Publishing.

[33]

Levay, P. and Craven, J. eds. 2019. Systematic Searching: Practical ideas for improving results. Facet Publishing.

[34]

LMIC Filters: https://epoc.cochrane.org/lmic-filters.

[35]

Munn, Z. et al. 2018. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. BMC Medical Research Methodology. 18, 1 (2018). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x.

[36]

Ovid: https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/OvidSP.

[37]

Petticrew, M. and Roberts, H. 2006. Systematic reviews in the social sciences: a practical

guide. Blackwell.	
[38]  PRISMA 2020 Chacklist: https://www.prisma.statement.org/prisma.2020 chacklist	
PRISMA 2020 Checklist: https://www.prisma-statement.org/prisma-2020-checklist.	
[39]	
PRISMA 2020 Flow Diagram: https://www.prisma-statement.org/prisma-2020-flow-o	liagram.
[40]	
PRISMA for Searching (PRISMA-S): 2021. https://www.prisma-statement.org/prisma-	-search.
[41]	
PRISMA for Systematic Review Protocols (PRISMA-P): https://www.prisma-statement.org/protocols.	
[42]	
ProQuest databases: https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/proquest.	
[43]	
Publishing or registering a protocol for your systematic or scoping review: https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/NHS-skills/publishing-protocol.	
[44]	
PubMed: https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/PubMed.	
[45]	

Recording your search methodology:

https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/searching-information/record-methodology.

[46]

Reporting Standards for Systematic Evidence Syntheses in Environmental Research (ROSES): https://www.roses-reporting.com/.

[47]

Rethlefsen, M.L. and Page, M.J. 2021. PRISMA 2020 and PRISMA-S: common questions on tracking records and the flow diagram. Journal of the Medical Library Association. 110, 2 (Nov. 2021). DOI:https://doi.org/10.5195/jmla.2022.1449.

[48]

Right Review: https://rightreview.knowledgetranslation.net/.

[49]

Scopus: https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/scopus.

[50]

Search concept tools:

https://information-specialists.leeds.ac.uk/information-specialists/search-concept-tools/.

[51]

Search filters Database: https://searchfilters.cda-amc.ca/.

[52]

SIGN search filters:

https://www.sign.ac.uk/using-our-guidelines/methodology/search-filters/.

[53]

Special Ovid Filters for Embase (Ovid Embase Database Guide): https://ospquides.ovid.com/OSPquides/embase.htm.

[54]

Special Ovid Filters for Medline (Ovid Medline Database Guide): https://ospguides.ovid.com/OSPguides/medline.htm.

[55]

Study design search filters:

https://dev-bestpractice.bmjgroup.com/info/toolkit/learn-ebm/study-design-search-filters/.

[56]

Systematic reviews: https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/systematic-reviews.

[57]

The Cochrane Collaboration 2024. 4.3 Sources to Search. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.

[58]

The Cochrane Collaboration 2024. 4.4 Designing Search Strategies. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.

[59]

The Cochrane Collaboration 2024. 4.4.7 Search Filters. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.

[60]

The Cochrane Collaboration 2024. 4.5 Documenting and reporting the search process.

Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.

[61]

The Cochrane Collaboration 2024. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.

[62]

The Systematic Review Toolbox: https://systematicreviewtools.com/.

[63]

Using EndNote for systematic reviews: https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/endnote/systematic.

[64]

Using Mendeley Desktop for systematic reviews: https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/mendeley-desktop/systematic.

[65]

Using Zotero for Systematic Reviews [Video]: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1TEzwcwxO1tl8gtVt9WhZ5Fzc9TPsV07B/view.

[66]

Wang, J. 2019. Demystifying Literature Reviews: What I Have Learned From an Expert? Human Resource Development Review. 18, 1 (Mar. 2019), 3–15. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484319828857.

[67]

Web of Science: https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/web-of-science/what-is-web-of-science.

[68]

Whaley, P. et al. 2020. Recommendations for the conduct of systematic reviews in toxicology and environmental health research (COSTER). Environment International. (2020). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105926.

[69]

Zotero: Dealing with duplicates: https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/zotero/desktop-duplicates.