

Systematic Reviews

[View Online](#)

1.

University College London. Systematic reviews.
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/systematic-reviews>

2.

Cherry MG, Boland A, Dickson R, eds. *Doing a Systematic Review: A Student's Guide*. Third edition. SAGE; 2024.

3.

Booth A, Sutton A, Clowes M, Martyn-St James M. *Systematic Approaches to a Successful Literature Review*. Third edition. SAGE; 2022.

4.

Gough D, Oliver S, Thomas J, eds. *An Introduction to Systematic Reviews*. 2nd edition. SAGE; 2017.

5.

Hart C. *Doing a Literature Review: Releasing the Research Imagination*. Second edition. SAGE Publications Ltd; 2018.

6.

Levay P, Craven J, eds. *Systematic Searching: Practical Ideas for Improving Results*. Facet Publishing; 2019.

7.

PRISMA 2020 Checklist. <https://www.prisma-statement.org/prisma-2020-checklist>

8.

The Cochrane Collaboration. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.; 2024. <https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current>

9.

Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Systematic Reviews: CRD's Guidance for Undertaking Reviews in Health Care. University of York; 2009. <https://www.york.ac.uk/crd/guidance/>

10.

JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. Published 2024. <https://jbi-global-wiki.refined.site/space/MANUAL>

11.

Krnic Martinic M, Pieper D, Glatt A, Puljak L. Definition of a systematic review used in overviews of systematic reviews, meta-epidemiological studies and textbooks. BMC Medical Research Methodology. 2019;19(1). doi:10.1186/s12874-019-0855-0

12.

Campbell Collaboration. Campbell Collaboration: Start a review or EGM. <https://www.campbellcollaboration.org/get-involved/start-a-review>

13.

Campbell Collaboration. Campbell systematic reviews: Policies and guidelines. Published online 2021. https://www.campbellcollaboration.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/Campbell-Policies-and-Guidelines-_May3-2022.docx.pdf

14.

EPPI Centre Home. <https://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/>

15.

Petticrew M, Roberts H. Systematic Reviews in the Social Sciences: A Practical Guide. Blackwell; 2006.

16.

Whaley P, Aiassa E, Beausoleil C, et al. Recommendations for the conduct of systematic reviews in toxicology and environmental health research (COSTER). Environment International. Published online 2020. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.105926

17.

Reporting Standards for Systematic Evidence Syntheses in Environmental Research (ROSES). <https://www.roses-reporting.com/>

18.

Cherry MG, Boland A, Dickson R, eds. Chapter 1: What is a Systematic Review. In: Doing a Systematic Review: A Student's Guide. Third edition. SAGE; 2024.
<https://app.kortext.com/Shibboleth.sso/Login?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&target=https://app.kortext.com/borrow/2494509>

19.

Wang J. Demystifying Literature Reviews: What I Have Learned From an Expert? Human Resource Development Review. 2019;18(1):3-15. doi:10.1177/1534484319828857

20.

Grant MJ, Booth A. A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. Health Information & Libraries Journal. 2009;26(2):91-108.
doi:10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x

21.

Gough D, Thomas J, Oliver S. Clarifying differences between review designs and methods. *Systematic Reviews*. 2012;1(1). doi:10.1186/2046-4053-1-28

22.

Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, Tufanaru C, McArthur A, Aromataris E. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*. 2018;18(1). doi:10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x

23.

Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 2005;8(1):19-32. doi:10.1080/1364557032000119616

24.

Right Review. <https://rightreview.knowledgetranslation.net/>

25.

Cherry MG, Boland A, Dickson R, eds. Chapter 3: Defining My Review Question and Identifying Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. In: *Doing a Systematic Review: A Student's Guide*. Third edition. SAGE; 2024. <https://app.kortext.com/Shibboleth.sso/Login?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&target=https://app.kortext.com/borrow/2494509>

26.

City St George's, University of London. Formulate your question. Published 2024. <https://libguides.city.ac.uk/systematic-reviews/formulate-your-question>

27.

University of Leeds. Search concept tools.

<https://information-specialists.leeds.ac.uk/information-specialists/search-concept-tools/>

28.

Cherry MG, Boland A, Dickson R, eds. Chapter 4: Writing a Protocol. In: Doing a Systematic Review: A Student's Guide. Third edition. SAGE; 2024.

<https://app.kortext.com/Shibboleth.sso/Login?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&target=https://app.kortext.com/borrow/2494509>

29.

PRISMA for Systematic Review Protocols (PRISMA-P).

<https://www.prisma-statement.org/protocols>

30.

City St George's, University of London. Develop your protocol.

<https://libguides.city.ac.uk/systematic-reviews/protocol>

31.

University College London. Publishing or registering a protocol for your systematic or scoping review. <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/NHS-skills/publishing-protocol>

32.

Cherry MG, Boland A, Dickson R, eds. Chapter 5: Developing my search strategy. In: Doing a Systematic Review: A Student's Guide. Third edition. SAGE; 2024.

<https://app.kortext.com/Shibboleth.sso/Login?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&target=https://app.kortext.com/borrow/2494509>

33.

Booth A, Sutton A, Clowes M, Martyn-St James M. Chapter 5: Searching the Literature. In: Systematic Approaches to a Successful Literature Review. Third edition. SAGE; 2022.

34.

University College London. Developing your search.

<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/searching-information/developing>

35.

University College London. Advanced searching techniques.
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/searching-information/advanced>

36.

The Cochrane Collaboration. 4.3 Sources to Search. In: Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. ; 2024.
<https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-04#section-4-3>

37.

The Cochrane Collaboration. 4.4 Designing Search Strategies. In: Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. ; 2024.
<https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-04#section-4-4>

38.

Kugley S, Wade A, Thomas J, et al. Searching for studies: a guide to information retrieval for Campbell systematic reviews. Campbell Systematic Reviews. 2017;13(1):1-73.
doi:10.4073/cm.2016.1

39.

The Cochrane Collaboration. 4.4.7 Search Filters. In: Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. ; 2024.
<https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-04#section-4-4-7>

40.

ISSG Search Filters Resource.
<https://sites.google.com/a/york.ac.uk/issg-search-filters-resource/home>

41.

Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care. LMIC Filters.
<https://epoc.cochrane.org/lmic-filters>

42.

BMJ Best Practice. Study design search filters.
<https://dev-bestpractice.bmjgroup.com/info/toolkit/learn-ebm/study-design-search-filters/>

43.

Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. SIGN search filters.
<https://www.sign.ac.uk/using-our-guidelines/methodology/search-filters/>

44.

Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health. Search filters Database.
<https://searchfilters.cda-amc.ca/>

45.

Special Ovid Filters for Embase (Ovid Embase Database Guide).
<https://ospguides.ovid.com/OSPguides/embase.htm>

46.

Special Ovid Filters for Medline (Ovid Medline Database Guide).
<https://ospguides.ovid.com/OSPguides/medline.htm>

47.

University College London. ACM Digital Library.
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/ACM-Digital-Library/introduction>

48.

University College London. Cochrane Library. <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/cochrane>

49.

University College London. EBSCOhost databases.
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/ebscohost>

50.

University College London. Ovid. <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/OvidSP>

51.

University College London. ProQuest databases. <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/proquest>

52.

University College London. PubMed. <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/PubMed>

53.

University College London. Scopus. <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/scopus>

54.

University College London. Web of Science.
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/web-of-science/what-is-web-of-science>

55.

Levay P, Craven J, eds. Chapter 5: Gathering evidence from grey literature and unpublished data. In: *Systematic Searching: Practical Ideas for Improving Results*. Facet Publishing; 2018. <https://doi.org/10.29085/9781783303755>

56.

University College London. Grey literature.

<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/planning-search/grey-literature>

57.

University College London. Clinical Trials Databases.

<http://www.ucl.ac.uk/ich/support-services/library/resources-a-to-z/clinical-trials>

58.

University College London. Recording your search methodology.

<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/searching-information/record-methodology>

59.

The Cochrane Collaboration. 4.5 Documenting and reporting the search process. In: Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. ; 2024.

<https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-04#section-4-5>

60.

PRISMA 2020 Flow Diagram. <https://www.prisma-statement.org/prisma-2020-flow-diagram>

61.

PRISMA for Searching (PRISMA-S). Published 2021.

<https://www.prisma-statement.org/prisma-search>

62.

Rethlefsen ML, Page MJ. PRISMA 2020 and PRISMA-S: common questions on tracking records and the flow diagram. *Journal of the Medical Library Association*. 2021;110(2). doi:10.5195/jmla.2022.1449

63.

Cherry MG, Boland A, Dickson R, eds. Chapter 2: Planning and Managing My Review. In: *Doing a Systematic Review: A Student's Guide*. Third edition. SAGE; 2024:29-38.

<https://app.kortext.com/Shibboleth.sso/Login?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&target=https://app.kortext.com/borrow/2494509>

64.

The Systematic Review Toolbox. <https://systematicreviewtools.com/>

65.

University College London. Using EndNote for systematic reviews.
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/endnote/systematic>

66.

University College London. Using Mendeley Desktop for systematic reviews.
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/mendeley-desktop/systematic>

67.

University College London. Zotero: Dealing with duplicates.
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/zotero/desktop-duplicates>

68.

Washington State University Libraries. Using Zotero for Systematic Reviews [Video].
<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1TEzwcwxO1tl8gtVt9WhZ5Fzc9TPsV07B/view>

69.

Harrison H, Griffin SJ, Kuhn I, Usher-Smith JA. Software tools to support title and abstract screening for systematic reviews in healthcare: an evaluation. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*. 2020;20(1). doi:10.1186/s12874-020-0897-3