

Systematic Reviews

[View Online](#)

1.

University College London: Systematic reviews,
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/systematic-reviews>.

2.

Cherry, M.G., Boland, A., Dickson, R. eds: Doing a systematic review: a student's guide. SAGE, London (2024).

3.

Booth, A., Sutton, A., Clowes, M., Martyn-St James, M.: Systematic approaches to a successful literature review. SAGE, London (2022).

4.

Gough, D., Oliver, S., Thomas, J. eds: An introduction to systematic reviews. SAGE, Los Angeles (2017).

5.

Hart, C.: Doing a literature review: releasing the research imagination. SAGE Publications Ltd, London (2018).

6.

Levay, P., Craven, J. eds: Systematic Searching: Practical ideas for improving results. Facet Publishing, London (2019).

7.

PRISMA 2020 Checklist, <https://www.prisma-statement.org/prisma-2020-checklist>.

8.

The Cochrane Collaboration: Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. (2024).

9.

Centre for Reviews and Dissemination: Systematic Reviews: CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. University of York (2009).

10.

JBIM Manual for Evidence Synthesis, <https://jbi-global-wiki.refined.site/space/MANUAL>.

11.

Krnic Martinic, M., Pieper, D., Glatt, A., Puljak, L.: Definition of a systematic review used in overviews of systematic reviews, meta-epidemiological studies and textbooks. BMC Medical Research Methodology. 19, (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-019-0855-0>.

12.

Campbell Collaboration: Campbell Collaboration: Start a review or EGM, <https://www.campbellcollaboration.org/get-involved/start-a-review>.

13.

Campbell Collaboration: Campbell systematic reviews: Policies and guidelines, https://www.campbellcollaboration.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/Campbell-Policies-and-Guidelines-_May3-2022.docx.pdf, (2021).

14.

EPPI Centre Home, <https://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/>.

15.

Petticrew, M., Roberts, H.: *Systematic reviews in the social sciences: a practical guide*. Blackwell, Malden, Mass (2006).

16.

Whaley, P., Aiasa, E., Beausoleil, C., Beronius, A., Bilotta, G., Boobis, A., de Vries, R., Hanberg, A., Hoffmann, S., Hunt, N., Kwiatkowski, C.F., Lam, J., Lipworth, S., Martin, O., Randall, N., Rhomberg, L., Rooney, A.A., Schünemann, H.J., Wikoff, D., Wolffe, T., Halsall, C.: Recommendations for the conduct of systematic reviews in toxicology and environmental health research (COSTER). *Environment International*. (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105926>.

17.

Reporting Standards for Systematic Evidence Syntheses in Environmental Research (ROSES), <https://www.roses-reporting.com/>.

18.

Cherry, M.G., Boland, A., Dickson, R. eds: Chapter 1: What is a Systematic Review. In: *Doing a systematic review: a student's guide*. SAGE, London (2024).

19.

Wang, J.: Demystifying Literature Reviews: What I Have Learned From an Expert? *Human Resource Development Review*. 18, 3–15 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484319828857>.

20.

Grant, M.J., Booth, A.: A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Information & Libraries Journal*. 26, 91–108 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x>.

21.

Gough, D., Thomas, J., Oliver, S.: Clarifying differences between review designs and methods. *Systematic Reviews*. 1, (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-1-28>.

22.

Munn, Z., Peters, M.D.J., Stern, C., Tufanaru, C., McArthur, A., Aromataris, E.: Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*. 18, (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x>.

23.

Arksey, H., O'Malley, L.: Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 8, 19–32 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1364557032000119616>.

24.

Right Review, <https://rightreview.knowledgetranslation.net/>.

25.

Cherry, M.G., Boland, A., Dickson, R. eds: Chapter 3: Defining My Review Question and Identifying Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. In: *Doing a systematic review: a student's guide*. SAGE, London (2024).

26.

City St George's, University of London: Formulate your question, <https://libguides.city.ac.uk/systematic-reviews/formulate-your-question>.

27.

University of Leeds: Search concept tools, <https://information-specialists.leeds.ac.uk/information-specialists/search-concept-tools/>.

28.

Cherry, M.G., Boland, A., Dickson, R. eds: Chapter 4: Writing a Protocol. In: Doing a systematic review: a student's guide. SAGE, London (2024).

29.

PRISMA for Systematic Review Protocols (PRISMA-P),
<https://www.prisma-statement.org/protocols>.

30.

City St George's, University of London: Develop your protocol,
<https://libguides.city.ac.uk/systematic-reviews/protocol>.

31.

University College London: Publishing or registering a protocol for your systematic or scoping review, <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/NHS-skills/publishing-protocol>.

32.

Cherry, M.G., Boland, A., Dickson, R. eds: Chapter 5: Developing my search strategy. In: Doing a systematic review: a student's guide. SAGE, London (2024).

33.

Booth, A., Sutton, A., Clowes, M., Martyn-St James, M.: Chapter 5: Searching the Literature. In: Systematic approaches to a successful literature review. SAGE, London (2022).

34.

University College London: Developing your search,
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/searching-information/developing>.

35.

University College London: Advanced searching techniques,
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/searching-information/advanced>.

36.

The Cochrane Collaboration: 4.3 Sources to Search. In: Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (2024).

37.

The Cochrane Collaboration: 4.4 Designing Search Strategies. In: Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (2024).

38.

Kugley, S., Wade, A., Thomas, J., Mahood, Q., Jørgensen, A.K., Hammerstrøm, K., Sathe, N.: Searching for studies: a guide to information retrieval for Campbell systematic reviews. Campbell Systematic Reviews. 13, 1–73 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.4073/cm.2016.1>.

39.

The Cochrane Collaboration: 4.4.7 Search Filters. In: Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (2024).

40.

ISSG Search Filters Resource,
<https://sites.google.com/a/york.ac.uk/issg-search-filters-resource/home>.

41.

Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care: LMIC Filters,
<https://epoc.cochrane.org/lmic-filters>.

42.

BMJ Best Practice: Study design search filters,
<https://dev-bestpractice.bmjgroup.com/info/toolkit/learn-ebm/study-design-search-filters/>.

43.

Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network: SIGN search filters,
<https://www.sign.ac.uk/using-our-guidelines/methodology/search-filters/>.

44.

Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health: Search filters Database,
<https://searchfilters.cda-amc.ca/>.

45.

Special Ovid Filters for Embase (Ovid Embase Database Guide),
<https://ospguides.ovid.com/OSPguides/embase.htm>.

46.

Special Ovid Filters for Medline (Ovid Medline Database Guide),
<https://ospguides.ovid.com/OSPguides/medline.htm>.

47.

University College London: ACM Digital Library,
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/ACM-Digital-Library/introduction>.

48.

University College London: Cochrane Library, <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/cochrane>.

49.

University College London: EBSCOhost databases,
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/ebscohost>.

50.

University College London: Ovid, <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/OvidSP>.

51.

University College London: ProQuest databases, <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/proquest>.

52.

University College London: PubMed, <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/PubMed>.

53.

University College London: Scopus, <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/scopus>.

54.

University College London: Web of Science,
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/web-of-science/what-is-web-of-science>.

55.

Levay, P., Craven, J. eds: Chapter 5: Gathering evidence from grey literature and unpublished data. In: Systematic searching: practical ideas for improving results. Facet Publishing, London (2018).

56.

University College London: Grey literature,
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/planning-search/grey-literature>.

57.

University College London: Clinical Trials Databases,
<http://www.ucl.ac.uk/ich/support-services/library/resources-a-to-z/clinical-trials>.

58.

University College London: Recording your search methodology,
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/searching-information/record-methodology>.

59.

The Cochrane Collaboration: 4.5 Documenting and reporting the search process. In: Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (2024).

60.

PRISMA 2020 Flow Diagram, <https://www.prisma-statement.org/prisma-2020-flow-diagram>.

61.

PRISMA for Searching (PRISMA-S), <https://www.prisma-statement.org/prisma-search>.

62.

Rethlefsen, M.L., Page, M.J.: PRISMA 2020 and PRISMA-S: common questions on tracking records and the flow diagram. *Journal of the Medical Library Association*. 110, (2021).
<https://doi.org/10.5195/jmla.2022.1449>.

63.

Cherry, M.G., Boland, A., Dickson, R. eds: Chapter 2: Planning and Managing My Review. In: *Doing a systematic review: a student's guide*. pp. 29–38. SAGE, London (2024).

64.

The Systematic Review Toolbox, <https://systematicreviewtools.com/>.

65.

University College London: Using EndNote for systematic reviews,
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/endnote/systematic>.

66.

University College London: Using Mendeley Desktop for systematic reviews,
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/mendeley-desktop/systematic>.

67.

University College London: Zotero: Dealing with duplicates,
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/zotero/desktop-duplicates>.

68.

Washington State University Libraries: Using Zotero for Systematic Reviews [Video],
<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1TEzwcwXO1tl8gtVt9WhZ5Fzc9TPsV07B/view>.

69.

Harrison, H., Griffin, S.J., Kuhn, I., Usher-Smith, J.A.: Software tools to support title and abstract screening for systematic reviews in healthcare: an evaluation. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*. 20, (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-020-0897-3>.