

Systematic Reviews

[View Online](#)

1.

University College London. Systematic reviews [Internet]. University College London; Available from: <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/systematic-reviews>

2.

Cherry MG, Boland A, Dickson R, editors. Doing a systematic review: a student's guide. Third edition. London: SAGE; 2024.

3.

Booth A, Sutton A, Clowes M, Martyn-St James M. Systematic approaches to a successful literature review. Third edition. London: SAGE; 2022.

4.

Gough D, Oliver S, Thomas J, editors. An introduction to systematic reviews. 2nd edition. Los Angeles: SAGE; 2017.

5.

Hart C. Doing a literature review: releasing the research imagination. Second edition. London: SAGE Publications Ltd; 2018.

6.

Levay P, Craven J, editors. Systematic Searching: Practical ideas for improving results. London: Facet Publishing; 2019.

7.

PRISMA 2020 Checklist [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.prisma-statement.org/prisma-2020-checklist>

8.

The Cochrane Collaboration. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current>

9.

Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Systematic Reviews: CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care [Internet]. University of York; 2009. Available from: <https://www.york.ac.uk/crd/guidance/>

10.

JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis [Internet]. 2024. Available from:
<https://jbi-global-wiki.refined.site/space/MANUAL>

11.

Krnic Martinic M, Pieper D, Glatt A, Puljak L. Definition of a systematic review used in overviews of systematic reviews, meta-epidemiological studies and textbooks. BMC Medical Research Methodology. 2019 Dec;19(1).

12.

Campbell Collaboration. Campbell Collaboration: Start a review or EGM [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.campbellcollaboration.org/get-involved/start-a-review>

13.

Campbell Collaboration. Campbell systematic reviews: Policies and guidelines [Internet]. 2021. Available from:
https://www.campbellcollaboration.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/Campbell-Policies-and-Guidelines-_May3-2022.docx.pdf

14.

EPPI Centre Home [Internet]. Available from: <https://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/>

15.

Petticrew M, Roberts H. Systematic reviews in the social sciences: a practical guide. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2006.

16.

Whaley P, Aiassa E, Beausoleil C, Beronius A, Bilotta G, Boobis A, et al. Recommendations for the conduct of systematic reviews in toxicology and environmental health research (COSTER). Environment International. 2020;

17.

Reporting Standards for Systematic Evidence Syntheses in Environmental Research (ROSES) [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.roses-reporting.com/>

18.

Cherry MG, Boland A, Dickson R, editors. Chapter 1: What is a Systematic Review. In: Doing a systematic review: a student's guide [Internet]. Third edition. London: SAGE; 2024. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/Shibboleth.sso/Login?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&target=https://app.kortext.com/borrow/2494509>

19.

Wang J. Demystifying Literature Reviews: What I Have Learned From an Expert? Human Resource Development Review. 2019 Mar;18(1):3–15.

20.

Grant MJ, Booth A. A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. Health Information & Libraries Journal. 2009;26(2):91–108.

21.

Gough D, Thomas J, Oliver S. Clarifying differences between review designs and methods. *Systematic Reviews*. 2012;1(1).

22.

Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, Tufanaru C, McArthur A, Aromataris E. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*. 2018;18(1).

23.

Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 2005;8(1):19–32.

24.

Right Review [Internet]. Available from: <https://rightreview.knowledgetranslation.net/>

25.

Cherry MG, Boland A, Dickson R, editors. Chapter 3: Defining My Review Question and Identifying Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. In: *Doing a systematic review: a student's guide* [Internet]. Third edition. London: SAGE; 2024. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/Shibboleth.sso/Login?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&target=https://app.kortext.com/borrow/2494509>

26.

City St George's, University of London. Formulate your question [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://libguides.city.ac.uk/systematic-reviews/formulate-your-question>

27.

University of Leeds. Search concept tools [Internet]. Available from: <https://information-specialists.leeds.ac.uk/information-specialists/search-concept-tools/>

28.

Cherry MG, Boland A, Dickson R, editors. Chapter 4: Writing a Protocol. In: Doing a systematic review: a student's guide [Internet]. Third edition. London: SAGE; 2024.

Available from:

<https://app.kortext.com/Shibboleth.sso/Login?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&target=https://app.kortext.com/borrow/2494509>

29.

PRISMA for Systematic Review Protocols (PRISMA-P) [Internet]. Available from:

<https://www.prisma-statement.org/protocols>

30.

City St George's, University of London. Develop your protocol [Internet]. Available from:

<https://libguides.city.ac.uk/systematic-reviews/protocol>

31.

University College London. Publishing or registering a protocol for your systematic or scoping review [Internet]. Available from:

<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/NHS-skills/publishing-protocol>

32.

Cherry MG, Boland A, Dickson R, editors. Chapter 5: Developing my search strategy. In: Doing a systematic review: a student's guide [Internet]. Third edition. London: SAGE; 2024.

Available from:

<https://app.kortext.com/Shibboleth.sso/Login?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&target=https://app.kortext.com/borrow/2494509>

33.

Booth A, Sutton A, Clowes M, Martyn-St James M. Chapter 5: Searching the Literature. In: Systematic approaches to a successful literature review. Third edition. London: SAGE; 2022.

34.

University College London. Developing your search [Internet]. Available from:
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/searching-information/developing>

35.

University College London. Advanced searching techniques [Internet]. Available from:
<https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/searching-information/advanced>

36.

The Cochrane Collaboration. 4.3 Sources to Search. In: Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [Internet]. 2024. Available from:
<https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-04#section-4-3>

37.

The Cochrane Collaboration. 4.4 Designing Search Strategies. In: Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [Internet]. 2024. Available from:
<https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-04#section-4-4>

38.

Kugley S, Wade A, Thomas J, Mahood Q, Jørgensen AK, Hammerstrøm K, et al. Searching for studies: a guide to information retrieval for Campbell systematic reviews. Campbell Systematic Reviews. 2017;13(1):1–73.

39.

The Cochrane Collaboration. 4.4.7 Search Filters. In: Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [Internet]. 2024. Available from:
<https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-04#section-4-4-7>

40.

ISSG Search Filters Resource [Internet]. Available from:
<https://sites.google.com/a/york.ac.uk/issg-search-filters-resource/home>

41.

Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care. LMIC Filters [Internet]. Available from: <https://epoc.cochrane.org/lmic-filters>

42.

BMJ Best Practice. Study design search filters [Internet]. Available from: <https://dev-bestpractice.bmjgroup.com/info/toolkit/learn-ebm/study-design-search-filters/>

43.

Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. SIGN search filters [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.sign.ac.uk/using-our-guidelines/methodology/search-filters/>

44.

Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health. Search filters Database [Internet]. Available from: <https://searchfilters.cda-amc.ca/>

45.

Special Ovid Filters for Embase (Ovid Embase Database Guide) [Internet]. Available from: <https://ospguides.ovid.com/OSPguides/embase.htm>

46.

Special Ovid Filters for Medline (Ovid Medline Database Guide) [Internet]. Available from: <https://ospguides.ovid.com/OSPguides/medline.htm>

47.

University College London. ACM Digital Library [Internet]. University College London; Available from: <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/ACM-Digital-Library/introduction>

48.

University College London. Cochrane Library [Internet]. University College London; Available from: <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/cochrane>

49.

University College London. EBSCOhost databases [Internet]. University College London; Available from: <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/ebscohost>

50.

University College London. Ovid [Internet]. University College London; Available from: <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/OvidSP>

51.

University College London. ProQuest databases [Internet]. University College London; Available from: <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/proquest>

52.

University College London. PubMed [Internet]. University College London; Available from: <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/PubMed>

53.

University College London. Scopus [Internet]. University College London; Available from: <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/scopus>

54.

University College London. Web of Science [Internet]. University College London; Available from: <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/web-of-science/what-is-web-of-science>

55.

Levay P, Craven J, editors. Chapter 5: Gathering evidence from grey literature and unpublished data. In: Systematic searching: practical ideas for improving results [Internet]. London: Facet Publishing; 2018. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.29085/9781783303755>

56.

University College London. Grey literature [Internet]. Available from: <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/planning-search/grey-literature>

57.

University College London. Clinical Trials Databases [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.ucl.ac.uk/ich/support-services/library/resources-a-to-z/clinical-trials>

58.

University College London. Recording your search methodology [Internet]. University College London; Available from: <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/searching-information/record-methodology>

59.

The Cochrane Collaboration. 4.5 Documenting and reporting the search process. In: Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-04#section-4-5>

60.

PRISMA 2020 Flow Diagram [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.prisma-statement.org/prisma-2020-flow-diagram>

61.

PRISMA for Searching (PRISMA-S) [Internet]. 2021. Available from: <https://www.prisma-statement.org/prisma-search>

62.

Rethlefsen ML, Page MJ. PRISMA 2020 and PRISMA-S: common questions on tracking records and the flow diagram. Journal of the Medical Library Association. 2021 Nov 30;110(2).

63.

Cherry MG, Boland A, Dickson R, editors. Chapter 2: Planning and Managing My Review. In: Doing a systematic review: a student's guide [Internet]. Third edition. London: SAGE; 2024. p. 29–38. Available from: <https://app.kortext.com/Shibboleth.sso/Login?entityID=https://shib-idp.ucl.ac.uk/shibboleth&target=https://app.kortext.com/borrow/2494509>

64.

The Systematic Review Toolbox [Internet]. Available from: <https://systematicreviewtools.com/>

65.

University College London. Using EndNote for systematic reviews [Internet]. University College London; Available from: <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/endnote/systematic>

66.

University College London. Using Mendeley Desktop for systematic reviews [Internet]. University College London; Available from: <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/mendeley-desktop/systematic>

67.

University College London. Zotero: Dealing with duplicates [Internet]. University College London; Available from: <https://library-guides.ucl.ac.uk/zotero/desktop-duplicates>

68.

Washington State University Libraries. Using Zotero for Systematic Reviews [Video] [Internet]. Available from: <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1TEzwcwxO1tl8gtVt9WhZ5Fzc9TPsV07B/view>

69.

Harrison H, Griffin SJ, Kuhn I, Usher-Smith JA. Software tools to support title and abstract screening for systematic reviews in healthcare: an evaluation. BMC Medical Research Methodology. 2020 Dec;20(1).