

ARCL2037: Interpreting Archaeological Data

View Online



Undergraduate: Year 2 core: 0.5 units

[1]

Binford, L.R. 1981. Behavioral Archaeology and the 'Pompeii Premise' . Journal of Anthropological Research. 37, 3 (1981), 195–208.

[2]

Aftandilian, David et al. 1994. Standards for data collection from human skeletal remains: proceedings of a seminar at the Field Museum of Natural History, organized by Jonathan Haas. Arkansas Archeological Survey.

[3]

Andrefsky, W. 1994. Raw material availability and organization of technology. American Antiquity. 59, 1 (1994), 21–34.

[4]

Andrén, Anders and Crozier, Alan 1998. Between artifacts and texts: historical archaeology in global perspective. Plenum Press.

[5]

Angus, A. 2002. An introduction to the types of tannage used on ethnographic leather. The conservation of fur, feather and skin: seminar organised by the Conservators of Ethnographic Artefacts at the Museum of London on 11 December 2000. Archetype.

[6]

Ashmore, W. and Knapp, A.B. 1999. Archaeological landscapes: constructed, conceptualised, ideational. *Archaeologies of landscape: contemporary perspectives*. Blackwell Publishers.

[7]

Aufderheide, Arthur C. et al. 1997. *The Cambridge encyclopedia of human paleopathology*. Cambridge University Press.

[8]

Banning, E. 2000. *Research design and sampling. The archaeologist's laboratory: the analysis of archaeological data*. London.

[9]

Baysal, A. and Wright, K. 2005. *Cooking, crafts and curation: the ground stone artefacts from Catalhoyuk, 1995-1999. Changing materialities at Çatalhöyük: reports from the 1995-99 seasons*. McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research.

[10]

Bell, M. and Walker, M.J.C. 2004. *Evidence for environmental change. Late Quaternary environmental change: physical and human perspectives*. Pearson. 17-63.

[11]

Binford, L.B. 1964. A consideration of archaeological research design. *American antiquity*. 29, 4 (1964), 425-441.

[12]

Binford, Lewis Roberts and Quimby, George I. 1972. *An archaeological perspective*. Seminar Press.

[13]

Binford, L.R. 1978. *Dimensional analysis of behaviour and site structure: learning from*

Eskimo hunting stand. *American Antiquity*. 43, 3 (1978), 330–361.

[14]

Binford, L.R. 1983. Forty-seven trips: A case study in the character of archaeological formation process. *Working at archaeology*. Academic Press. 243–268.

[15]

Binford, L.R. 1973. Interassemblage variability - the Mousterian and the functional argument. *The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory*. Duckworth.

[16]

Binford, L.R. 1979. Organization and formation processes: looking at curated technologies. *Journal of Anthropological Research*. 35, 3 (1979), 255–273.

[17]

Binford, L.R. 1980. Willow smoke and dog's tails: hunter-gatherer settlement systems and archaeological site formation. *American Antiquity*. 45, 1 (1980), 4–20.

[18]

Bradshaw, R. 1991. Spatial scale in the pollen record. *Modelling ecological change: perspectives from neoecology, palaeoecology and environmental archaeology*. Institute of Archaeology, University College London. 41–52.

[19]

Braun, D. 1983. *Pots as tools. Archaeological hammers and theories*. Academic Press.

[20]

Brothwell, Don R. and British Museum (Natural History) 1981. *Digging up bones: the excavation, treatment and study of human skeletal remains*. British Museum (Natural History).

[21]

Brown, J.A. 1982. On the structure of artifact typologies. *Essays on archeological typology*. Center for American Archeology.

[22]

Buckland, P.C. 1992. Viking settlement, climate and environmental change around the north Atlantic. *Issues in environmental archaeology: perspectives on its archaeological and public role*. Institute of Archaeology, University College London. 1-19.

[23]

Butzer, Karl W. 1982. *Archaeology as human ecology: method and theory for a contextual approach*. Cambridge University Press.

[24]

Caple, Chris 2006. *Objects: reluctant witnesses to the past*. Routledge.

[25]

Cherry, J. 1987. Power in space: archaeological and geographical studies of the state. *Landscape and culture: geographical and archaeological perspectives*. Basil Blackwell. 146-172.

[26]

Clark, J.E. 1987. Politics, prismatic blades and Mesoamerican civilization. *The organization of core technology*. Westview Press.

[27]

Clarke, D. 1973. Archaeology: the loss of innocence. *Antiquity*. 47, 185 (Mar. 1973), 6-18. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X0003461X>.

[28]

Conkey, M.W. 1989. Experimenting with style in archaeology: some historical and theoretical issues. *The uses of style in archaeology*. Cambridge University Press.

[29]

Costin, C. 2005. Craft production. *Handbook of archaeological methods*. Altamira Press. 1034–1107.

[30]

Craddock, P. T. 1995. Early metal mining and production. *Edinburgh University Press*.

[31]

David, N. and Kramer, C. 2001. Architecture: chapter 10. *Ethnoarchaeology in action*. Cambridge University Press. 284–302.

[32]

David Nicholas and Kramer Carol 2001. *Ethnoarchaeology in action*. Cambridge University Press.

[33]

David, Nicholas and Kramer, Carol 2001. *Ethnoarchaeology in action*. Cambridge University Press.

[34]

Debénath, A. and Dibble, H.L. 1993. Introduction. *Handbook of paleolithic typology*. University Museum, University of Pennsylvania.

[35]

DeBoer, W. 1989. Interaction, imitation and communication as expressed in style: the Ucayali experience. *The uses of style in archaeology*. Cambridge University Press.

[36]

DeBoer, W. and Lathrap, D. 1979. The making and breaking of Shipibo-Conibo ceramics. *Ethnoarchaeology: implications of ethnography for archaeology*. Columbia University Press.

[37]

DeLoecker et al, D. 2003. A re-fitter's paradise. *Lithic analysis at the Millennium*. Institute of Archaeology, University College London.

[38]

Dibble, H.L. 1995. Raw material availability, intensity of utilization and Middle Paleolithic assemblage variability. *The Middle Paleolithic site of Combe-Capelle Bas (France)*. University Museum, University of Pennsylvania.

[39]

Edwards, K.J. 1991. Spatial scale and palynology: a commentary on Bradshaw. *Modelling ecological change: perspectives from neoecology, palaeoecology and environmental archaeology*. Institute of Archaeology, University College London. 53-59.

[40]

Fairclough, G. 1992. Meaningful constructions – spatial and functional analysis of medieval buildings. *Antiquity*. 66, 251 (Jun. 1992), 348-366.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00081461>.

[41]

Flannery, Kent V. 2009. *The early Mesoamerican village*. Left Coast Press.

[42]

Flannery, Kent V. 2009. *The early Mesoamerican village*. Left Coast Press.

[43]

Flannery, Kent V. 2009. The early Mesoamerican village. Left Coast Press.

[44]

Flannery, Kent V. 2009. The early Mesoamerican village. Left Coast Press.

[45]

Flannery, K.V. 2009. Empirical determination of site catchments in Oaxaca and Tehuacan. The early Mesoamerican village. Left Coast Press. 103–117.

[46]

Flannery, K.V. 2009. Research strategy and formative Mesoamerica; a prayer for an endangered species. The early Mesoamerican village. Left Coast Press.

[47]

Flannery, K.V. 1982. The golden marshalltown. American Anthropologist, New Series. 84, 2 (1982), 265–278.

[48]

Flannery, K.V. 2009. The village and its catchment area: introduction. The early Mesoamerican village. Left Coast Press. 91–95.

[49]

Flannery, K.V. and Winter, M. 2009. Analyzing household activities. The early Mesoamerican village. Left Coast Press. 34–47.

[50]

Folorunso, C.A. 2007. Gender and archaeological site formation: ethnoarchaeological studies in parts of Nigeria. Archaeology and women: ancient & modern issues. Left Coast Press.

[51]

Forbes, R. J. 1971. *Studies in ancient technology*: Vol.8. Brill.

[52]

Foster, S.M. 1989. Analysis of spatial patterns in buildings (access analysis) as an insight into social structure: examples from the Scottish Atlantic Iron Age. *Antiquity*. 63, 238 (Mar. 1989), 40–50. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00075566>.

[53]

Gero, A. 1989. *Assessing social information in material objects: how well do lithics measure up? Time, energy and stone tools*. Cambridge University Press.

[54]

Goren, Yuval et al. 2004. *Inscribed in clay: provenance study of the Amarna tablets and other ancient Near Eastern texts*. Emery and Claire Yass Publications in Archaeology.

[55]

Grahame, M. 1997. Public and private in the Roman house. Domestic space in the Roman world: Pompeii and beyond. *JRA*. 137–164.

[56]

Hamilton, S. 2002. *Between ritual and routine: interpreting prehistoric British pottery production and distribution*. Prehistoric Britain: the ceramic basis. Oxbow.

[57]

Hardy, K. 2007. Where would we be without string? Ethnographic evidence for the use, manufacture and role of string in the Upper Palaeolithic. Plant processing from a prehistoric and ethnographic perspective: *Préhistoire et ethnographie du travail des plantes* : proceedings of a workshop at Ghent University (Belgium) November 28, 2006. John & Erica Hedges.

[58]

Hardy-Smith, T. and Edwards, P.C. 2004. The garbage crisis in prehistory: artefact discard patterns at the Early Natufian site of Wadi Hammeh 27 and the origins of household refuse disposal strategies. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 23, 3 (2004), 253–289.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaa.2004.05.001>.

[59]

Hayden, B. and Cannon, A. 1983. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2, 2 (1983), 117–163.
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4165\(83\)90010-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4165(83)90010-7).

[60]

Hayden, B. and Cannon, A. 1983. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2, 2 (1983), 117–163.
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4165\(83\)90010-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4165(83)90010-7).

[61]

Henderson, J. 2000. Ceramics. *The science and archaeology of materials: an investigation of inorganic materials*. Routledge. 109–207.

[62]

Henderson, J. 2000. Metals. *The science and archaeology of materials: an investigation of inorganic materials*. Routledge. 208–296.

[63]

Hill, J. 1968. Broken K pueblo. *New perspectives in archeology*. Aldine. 103–142.

[64]

Hill, J. and Evans, R. 1972. A model for classification and typology. *Models in archaeology*. Methuen.

[65]

Hill, J.D. 1995. Introduction. Ritual and rubbish in the Iron Age of Wessex: a study on the formation of a specific archaeological record. *Tempus Reparatum*. 1-11.

[66]

Hill, J.D. 1995. Introduction. Ritual and rubbish in the Iron Age of Wessex: a study on the formation of a specific archaeological record. *Tempus Reparatum*.

[67]

Hillman, G. 1984. Interpretation of archaeological plant remains: the application of ethnographic models from Turkey. *Plants and ancient man: studies in palaeoethnobotany: proceedings of the Sixth Symposium of the International Work Group for Palaeoethnobotany, Groningen, 30 May-3 June 1983*. A.A. Balkema. 1-42.

[68]

Hillson, Simon 1996. *Dental anthropology*. Cambridge University Press.

[69]

Hodder, I. 1999. *Crises in global archaeology. The archaeological process: an introduction*. Blackwell.

[70]

Hodder, I. 2000. *Developing a reflexive method in archaeology. Towards reflexive method in archaeology: the example at Çatalhöyük: by members of the Çatalhöyük team*. McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research.

[71]

Hodder, I. 2005. *Peopling Catalhoyuk and its landscape. Inhabiting Çatalhöyük: reports from the 1995-99 seasons*. McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research.

[72]

Hodder, I. 1996. Re-opening Catalhoyuk. On the surface: Çatalhöyük 1993-95. McDonald Institute.

[73]

Hodder, I. 1991. The decoration of containers: an ethnographic and historical study. Ceramic ethnoarchaeology. University of Arizona Press.

[74]

Hodder, I. 2000. The integration of methods: introduction. Towards reflexive method in archaeology: the example at Çatalhöyük : by members of the Çatalhöyük team. McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research.

[75]

Hodder, I. 2000. Trashing rubbish. Towards reflexive method in archaeology: the example at Çatalhöyük : by members of the Çatalhöyük team. McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research.

[76]

Hodges, Henry 1989. Artifacts: an introduction to early materials and technology. Duckworth.

[77]

Hurcombe, L. M. 2007. Archaeological artefacts as material culture. Routledge.

[78]

Isaac, G. 1984. Bones in contention: competing explanations for the juxtaposition of early Pleistocene artifacts and faunal remains. Animals and archaeology: 1: Hunters and their prey. B.A.R.

[79]

Izre'el, S. 2000. The Amarna letters from Canaan. *Civilizations of the ancient Near East*. Hendrickson. 2411-2420.

[80]

James, A. 2000. Egypt and her vassals: the geopolitical dimension. *Amarna diplomacy: the beginnings of international relations*. Johns Hopkins Univeristy Press.

[81]

Jeanne Sept 1992. Archaeological Evidence and Ecological Perspectives for Reconstructing Early Hominid Subsistence Behavior. *Archaeological Method and Theory*. 4, (1992), 1-56.

[82]

Juggins, S. and Cameron, N. 1999. Diatoms and archaeology. *The diatoms: applications for the environmental and earth sciences*. Cambridge University Press.

[83]

Kent, S. 1999. The archaeological visibility of storage: delineating storage from trash areas. *American Antiquity*. 64, 1 (1999), 79-94.

[84]

Kramer, C. 1979. An archaeological view of a contemporary Kurdish village: domestic architecture, household size and wealth . *Ethnoarchaeology: implications of ethnography for archaeology*. Columbia University Press. 139-163.

[85]

Kramer, C. 1983. Spatial organization in contemporary southwest Asian villages and archaeological sampling. *The Hilly flanks and beyond: essays on the prehistory of southwestern Asia presented to Robert J. Braidwood, November 15, 1982*. Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago.

[86]

L, F. 1991. Struggling with pots in colonial South Carolina. The archaeology of inequality. Blackwell.

[87]

LaMotta, V.M. and Schiffer, M.B. 1999. Formation processes of house floor assemblages. The archaeology of household activities. Routledge. 19–29.

[88]

Lee, Frances et al. 1989. Burial archaeology: current research methods and developments. British Archaeological Reports.

[89]

Little, Barbara.J. 1992. Text-aided archaeology. Text-aided archaeology. CRC Press. 1–6.

[90]

de Loecker et al., D. 2003. A re-fitter's paradise. Lithic analysis at the Millennium. Institute of Archaeology, University College London. 113–136.

[91]

Longacre, W.A. 1972. Archaeology as anthropology: a case study. Contemporary archaeology: a guide to theory and contributions. Southern Illinois University Press.

[92]

Longacre, W.A. 1968. Some aspects of prehistoric society in east-central Arizona. New%20perspectives%20in%20archeology. Aldine%20Publishing%20Company%2C.

[93]

Lowe, J.J. and Walker, M.J.C. 1997. Biological evidence. Reconstructing Quaternary environments. Prentice Hall.

[94]

Lowe, J.J. and Walker, M.J.C. 1997. Biological evidence: chapter 4. Reconstructing Quaternary environments. Prentice Hall. 162–236.

[95]

MacDonald, D.H. 2001. Grief and Burial in the American Southwest: The Role of Evolutionary Theory in the Interpretation of Mortuary Remains. *American Antiquity*. 66, 04 (Oct. 2001), 704–714. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/2694183>.

[96]

Margaret C. Nelson 1991. The Study of Technological Organization. *Archaeological Method and Theory*. 3, (1991), 57–100.

[97]

Michael Parker Pearson 1999. Form now to then: ethnoarchaeology and analogy. *The archaeology of death and burial*. Sutton.

[98]

Moore, Peter D. et al. 1991. *Pollen analysis*. Blackwell Scientific Publications.

[99]

Moran, William L. 1992. *The Amarna letters*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

[100]

Morris, E. 2002. Staying alive: The function and use of prehistoric ceramics. *Prehistoric Britain: the ceramic basis*. Oxbow. 54–61.

[101]

O'Connell, J.F. 1995. Ethnoarchaeology needs a general theory of behavior. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 3, 3 (Sep. 1995), 205–255.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02231450>.

[102]

O'Connor, T. 1994. 8th - 11th century economy and environment in York. *Environment and economy in Anglo-Saxon England: a review of recent work on the environmental archaeology of rural and urban Anglo-Saxon settlements in England : proceedings of a conference held at the Museum of London, 9-10 April, 1990*. Council for British Archaeology. 136–147.

[103]

O'Connor, Terri and Evans, John G. 2005. *Environmental archaeology: principles and methods*. Sutton.

[104]

Orton, C. 1993. *Assemblages and sites. Pottery in archaeology*. Cambridge University Press.

[105]

Orton, Clive et al. 1993. *Pottery in archaeology*. Cambridge University Press.

[106]

Parker Pearson, M. 1982. *Mortuary practices, society and ideology: an ethnographical study. Symbolic and structural archaeology*. Cambridge University Press. 99–113.

[107]

Parker Pearson, M. and Richards, C. 1994. *Ordering the world: perceptions of architecture, space and time. Architecture and order: approaches to social space*. Routledge. 1–36.

[108]

Pigeot, N. 1990. Technical and social actors: flintknapping specialists and apprentices at Magdalenian etiolles. *Archaeological review from Cambridge*. 9, 1 (1990), 126–141.

[109]

Piperno, Dolores R. 2006. *Phytoliths: a comprehensive guide for archaeologists and paleoecologists*. AltaMira Press.

[110]

Pires-Ferreira, J. 2009. Shell and iron-ore mirror exchange in Formative Mesoamerica. The early Mesoamerican village. Left Coast Press. 311–328.

[111]

Postgate, N. et al. 1995. The evidence for early writing: utilitarian or ceremonial? *Antiquity*. 69, 264 (Sep. 1995), 459–480. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00081874>.

[112]

Price, M. 2003. All in the family: the impact of gender and family constructs on the study of prehistoric settlement. *Making places in the prehistoric world: themes in settlement archaeology*. Routledge.

[113]

Quine, T.A. 1995. *Soil analysis and archaeological site formation studies. Archaeological sediments and soils: analysis, interpretation and management*. Institute of Archaeology, University College, London.

[114]

Rackham, D.J. 1994. *Economy and environment in Saxon London. Environment and economy in Anglo-Saxon England: a review of recent work on the environmental archaeology of rural and urban Anglo-Saxon settlements in England : proceedings of a conference held at the Museum of London, 9-10 April, 1990*. Council for British Archaeology.

[115]

Rapp, G.R. and Hill, C.L. 2006. Theoretical and historical overview. *Geoarchaeology: the earth-science approach to archaeological interpretation*. Yale University Press.

[116]

Ray, L. 1995. *The organization of space in Pompeii. Urban society in Roman Italy*. UCL Press.

[117]

Rega, E. 1996. Age, gender and biological reality in the Early Bronze Age cemetery at Mokrin. *Invisible people and processes: writing gender and childhood into European archaeology*. Leicester University Press. 229–247.

[118]

Rehren, Th. and Pernicka, E. 2008. Coins, artefacts and isotopes - archaeometallurgy and archaeometry. *Archaeometry*. 50, 2 (2008).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4754.2008.00389.x>.

[119]

Renfrew, Colin and Bahn, Paul G. 2008. *Archaeology: theories, methods and practice*. Thames & Hudson.

[120]

Reynolds, Andrew 2009. *Anglo-Saxon deviant burial customs*. Oxford University Press.

[121]

Rice, P.M. 1999. On the origins of pottery. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 6, 1 (1999), 1–54.

[122]

Rice, P.M. 1996. Recent ceramic analysis: 1. function, style and origins. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 4, 2 (1996), 133–163.

[123]

Rice, Prudence M. 1987. *Pottery analysis: a sourcebook*. University of Chicago Press.

[124]

Rollston, C.A. and Vaughn, A.G. 2005. Fakes, Forgeries and Biblical Scholarship. *Near Eastern archaeology*. 68, 1/2 (2005), 61–72.

[125]

Rossman, D. 2009. A site catchment analysis of San Lorenzo, Veracruz. The early Mesoamerican village. *Left Coast Press*. 95–103.

[126]

Roth, A. ed. 1993. Social change in the Fourth Dynasty (Egypt): the spatial organization of pyramids, tombs and cemeteries. *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt*. 30, (1993).

[127]

Sanders, D. 1990. Behavioural conventions and archaeology: methods for the analysis of ancient architecture. *Domestic architecture and the use of space: an interdisciplinary cross-cultural study*. Cambridge University Press.

[128]

Scarre, C. 1994. The meaning of death: funerary beliefs and the prehistorian. *The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology*. Cambridge University Press. 75–82.

[129]

Schiffer, M.B. 1972. Archaeological context and systemic context. *American antiquity*. 37, 2 (1972), 156–165.

[130]

Schiffer, M.B. 1987. Formation processes. Formation processes of the archaeological record. University of New Mexico Press. 143-150-199-217.

[131]

Serpico, M. and White, R. 2000. The botanical identity and transport of incense during the Egyptian New Kingdom. *Antiquity*. 74, 286 (Dec. 2000), 884-897.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00060531>.

[132]

Shaw, Ian and Nicholson, Paul T. 2000. *Ancient Egyptian materials and technology*. Cambridge University Press.

[133]

Shennan, S. 1975. The social organization at Branč. *Antiquity*. 49, 196 (Dec. 1975), 279-288. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00070319>.

[134]

Singer, Charles 1954. *A history of technology: Vol.1: From earliest times to fall of ancient empires*. Clarendon Press.

[135]

Smart, T.L. and Hoffman, E.S. 1988. Environmental interpretation of archaeological charcoal. *Current paleoethnobotany: analytical methods and cultural interpretations of archaeological plant remains*. University of Chicago Press. 167-205.

[136]

Smith, M.E. 1987. Household possessions and wealth in agrarian states: Implications for archaeology. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 6, 4 (1987), 297-335.
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4165\(87\)90004-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4165(87)90004-3).

[137]

Steadman, Sharon R. Recent Research in the Archaeology of Architecture: Beyond the Foundations. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 4, 1, 51–93.

[138]

Storey, G. 1997. Estimating the population of ancient Roman cities. Integrating archaeological demography: multidisciplinary approaches to prehistoric population. Center for Archaeological Investigations, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale.

[139]

Tainter, Joseph A. Mortuary Practices and the Study of Prehistoric Social Systems. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory*. 1, 105–141.

[140]

Tallis, J.H. and Switsur, V.R. 1983. Forest and moorland in the south Pennine uplands in the mid-Flandrian period. 1: macrofossil evidence of the former forest cover. *Journal of Ecology* . 71, 2 (1983).

[141]

Thomson, R. 1998. Leather working processes. *Leather and fur: aspects of early medieval trade and technology*. Archetype Pub. for the Archaeological Leather Group.

[142]

Torrence, Robin and Barton, Huw 2006. *Ancient starch research*. Left Coast Press.

[143]

Trigger, B.G. 1970. Aims in Prehistoric Archaeology. *Antiquity*. 44, 173 (Mar. 1970), 26–37. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00040953>.

[144]

Tylecote, R. F. 1987. *The early history of metallurgy in Europe*. Longman.

[145]

Ucko, P.J. 1969. Ethnography and archaeological interpretation of funerary remains. *World Archaeology*. 1, 2 (Oct. 1969), 262–280.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00438243.1969.9979444>.

[146]

Weinstein, J.M. 1981. The Egyptian Empire in Palestine: a reassessment. *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research*. 241 (1981), 1–28.

[147]

Westbrook, Raymond and Cohen, Raymond 2000. *Amarna diplomacy: the beginnings of international relations*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

[148]

Wheeler, R.E.M. 1956. *Introductory: chapter 1. Archaeology from the earth*. Penguin.

[149]

White, T. D. et al. 2012. *Human osteology*. Academic.

[150]

Whitley, J. 2002. Too many ancestors. *Antiquity*. 76, 291 (Mar. 2002), 119–126.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00089870>.

[151]

Whittaker, J. 1994. *Flintknapping: basic principles. Flintknapping: making and understanding stone tools*. University of Texas Press.

[152]

Wiessner, P. 1989. *Style and changing relations between the individual and society. The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression.* Unwin Hyman, HarperCollins Academic.

[153]

Wilk, R.R. and Rathje, W.L. 1982. Household Archaeology. *American Behavioral Scientist.* 25, 6 (Jul. 1982), 617–639. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/000276482025006003>.

[154]

Winter, M. 2009. Distribution of obsidian among households in two Oaxacan villages. *The early Mesoamerican village.* Left Coast Press. 306–311.

[155]

Wood, W. and Johnson, D. 1978. A survey of disturbance processes in archaeological site formation. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory.* 1, (1978).

[156]

Woodward, A. and Blinkthorn, J. 1997. Size is important: Iron Age vessel capacities in central and southern England. *Not so much a pot, more a way of life: current approaches to artefact analysis in archaeology.* Oxbow. 21–35.

[157]

Wright, K. 2000. The social origins of cooking and dining in early villages of western Asia. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society.* 66, (2000).

[158]

Zarky, A. 2009. Statistical analysis of site catchments at Ocos, Guatemala. *The early Mesoamerican village.* Left Coast Press. 117–128.

[159]

The archaeology of the dead : lectures in archaeoethanatology - University College London.

[160]

2003. The location of Alashiya: new evidence from petrographic investigation of Alashiyan tablets from el-Amarna and Ugarit. *American Journal of Archaeology*. 107, 2 (2003), 233-255.