

ARCL2037: Interpreting Archaeological Data

Undergraduate: Year 2 core: 0.5 units

View Online



1.

David Nicholas, Kramer Carol. *Ethnoarchaeology in action*. Cambridge world archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001.

2.

Flannery, Kent V. *The early Mesoamerican village*. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2009.

3.

Binford LR. Behavioral Archaeology and the 'Pompeii Premise' . *Journal of Anthropological Research* [Internet]. 1981;37(3):195–208. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3629723>

4.

Clarke D. Archaeology: the loss of innocence. *Antiquity*. 1973 Mar;47(185):6–18. doi:10.1017/S0003598X0003461X

5.

Flannery KV. Research strategy and formative Mesoamerica; a prayer for an endangered species. In: *The early Mesoamerican village*. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2009.

6.

Schiffer MB. Archaeological context and systemic context. *American antiquity*. 1972;37(2):156-65.

7.

Binford LB. A consideration of archaeological research design. *American antiquity*. 1964;29(4):425-41.

8.

Flannery KV. The golden marshalltown. *American Anthropologist, New Series*. 1982;84(2):265-78.

9.

Trigger BG. Aims in Prehistoric Archaeology. *Antiquity*. 1970 Mar;44(173):26-37. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00040953

10.

Schiffer MB. Formation processes. In: *Formation processes of the archaeological record*. Albuquerque, N.M.: University of New Mexico Press; 1987. p. 143-150-199-217.

11.

Wood W, Johnson D. A survey of disturbance processes in archaeological site formation. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory*. 1978;1.

12.

Binford LR. Dimensional analysis of behaviour and site structure: learning from Eskimo hunting stand. *American Antiquity*. 1978;43(3):330-61.

13.

Hayden B, Cannon A. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1983;2(2):117-63. doi:10.1016/0278-4165(83)90010-7

14.

Andrefsky W. Raw material availability and organization of technology. *American Antiquity*. 1994;59(1):21–34.

15.

Binford LR. Forty-seven trips: A case study in the character of archaeological formation process. In: *Working at archaeology*. London: Academic Press; 1983. p. 243–68.

16.

Binford LR. Organization and formation processes: looking at curated technologies. *Journal of Anthropological Research*. 1979;35(3):255–73.

17.

Hardy-Smith T, Edwards PC. The garbage crisis in prehistory: artefact discard patterns at the Early Natufian site of Wadi Hammeh 27 and the origins of household refuse disposal strategies. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 2004;23(3):253–89.
doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2004.05.001

18.

Flannery KV, Winter M. Analyzing household activities. In: *The early Mesoamerican village*. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2009. p. 34–47.

19.

LaMotta VM, Schiffer MB. Formation processes of house floor assemblages. In: *The archaeology of household activities*. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 19–29.

20.

de Loecker et al. D. A re-fitter's paradise. In: *Lithic analysis at the Millennium*. London: Institute of Archaeology, University College London; 2003. p. 113–36.

21.

Hayden B, Cannon A. Where the garbage goes: Refuse disposal in the Maya Highlands. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1983;2(2):117-63.
doi:10.1016/0278-4165(83)90010-7

22.

Hill JD. Introduction. In: *Ritual and rubbish in the Iron Age of Wessex: a study on the formation of a specific archaeological record*. Oxford: Tempus Reparatum; 1995. p. 1-11.

23.

Kent S. The archaeological visibility of storage: delineating storage from trash areas. *American Antiquity*. 1999;64(1):79-94.

24.

Pigeot N. Technical and social actors: flintknapping specialists and apprentices at Magdalenian etiolles. *Archaeological review from Cambridge* [Internet]. 1990;9(1):126-41. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c2071af0-4a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

25.

Flannery, Kent V. *The early Mesoamerican village*. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2009.

26.

David N, Kramer C. Architecture: chapter 10. In: *Ethnoarchaeology in action*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001. p. 284-302.

27.

Parker Pearson M, Richards C. Ordering the world: perceptions of architecture, space and time. In: *Architecture and order: approaches to social space*. London: Routledge; 1994. p. 1-36.

28.

Wilk RR, Rathje WL. Household Archaeology. *American Behavioral Scientist*. 1982 Jul;25(6):617–39. doi:10.1177/000276482025006003

29.

Smith ME. Household possessions and wealth in agrarian states: Implications for archaeology. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. 1987;6(4):297–335. doi:10.1016/0278-4165(87)90004-3

30.

Steadman, Sharon R. Recent Research in the Archaeology of Architecture: Beyond the Foundations. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 4(1):51–93.

31.

Flannery, Kent V. The early Mesoamerican village. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2009.

32.

Fairclough G. Meaningful constructions – spatial and functional analysis of medieval buildings. *Antiquity*. 1992 Jun;66(251):348–66. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00081461

33.

Foster SM. Analysis of spatial patterns in buildings (access analysis) as an insight into social structure: examples from the Scottish Atlantic Iron Age. *Antiquity*. 1989 Mar;63(238):40–50. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00075566

34.

Grahame M. Public and private in the Roman house. In: *Domestic space in the Roman world: Pompeii and beyond*. Portsmouth, RI: JRA; 1997. p. 137–64.

35.

Hill J. Broken K pueblo. In: New perspectives in archeology [Internet]. Chicago: Aldine; 1968. p. 103–42. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=df51ecdd-029a-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

36.

Kramer C. An archaeological view of a contemporary Kurdish village: domestic architecture, household size and wealth . In: Ethnoarchaeology: implications of ethnography for archaeology. Guildford: Columbia University Press; 1979. p. 139–63.

37.

Wright K. The social origins of cooking and dining in early villages of western Asia. Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society [Internet]. 2000;66. Available from: <http://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/25893/>

38.

The archaeology of the dead : lectures in archaeoethanatology - University College London.

39.

Michael Parker Pearson. From now to then: ethnoarchaeology and analogy. In: The archaeology of death and burial. Stroud: Sutton; 1999.

40.

Lee, Frances, Roberts, Charlotte A., Bintliff, J. L. Burial archaeology: current research methods and developments. BAR British series. Oxford: British Archaeological Reports; 1989.

41.

Scarre C. The meaning of death: funerary beliefs and the prehistorian. In: The ancient mind: elements of cognitive archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994. p. 75–82.

42.

Tainter, Joseph A. Mortuary Practices and the Study of Prehistoric Social Systems. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory*. 1:105–41.

43.

Ucko PJ. Ethnography and archaeological interpretation of funerary remains. *World Archaeology*. 1969 Oct;1(2):262–80. doi:10.1080/00438243.1969.9979444

44.

Whitley J. Too many ancestors. *Antiquity*. 2002 Mar;76(291):119–26. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00089870

45.

MacDonald DH. Grief and Burial in the American Southwest: The Role of Evolutionary Theory in the Interpretation of Mortuary Remains. *American Antiquity*. 2001 Oct;66(04):704–14. doi:10.2307/2694183

46.

Parker Pearson M. Mortuary practices, society and ideology: an ethnographical study. In: *Symbolic and structural archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1982. p. 99–113.

47.

Rega E. Age, gender and biological reality in the Early Bronze Age cemetery at Mokrin. In: *Invisible people and processes: writing gender and childhood into European archaeology*. London: Leicester University Press; 1996. p. 229–47.

48.

Reynolds, Andrew. *Anglo-Saxon deviant burial customs. Medieval history and archaeology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.

49.

Shennan S. The social organization at Branč. *Antiquity*. 1975 Dec;49(196):279–88.
doi:10.1017/S0003598X00070319

50.

Aufderheide, Arthur C., Rodríguez-Martín, Conrado, Langsjoen, Odin. *The Cambridge encyclopedia of human paleopathology*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1997.

51.

Brothwell, Don R., British Museum (Natural History). *Digging up bones: the excavation, treatment and study of human skeletal remains*. 3rd ed. London: British Museum (Natural History); 1981.

52.

Aftandilian, David, Ubelaker, Douglas H., Haas, Jonathan, Buikstra, Jane E., Field Museum of Natural History. *Standards for data collection from human skeletal remains: proceedings of a seminar at the Field Museum of Natural History, organized by Jonathan Haas*. Arkansas Archeological Survey research series. Fayetteville, Ark: Arkansas Archeological Survey; 1994.

53.

Hillson, Simon. *Dental anthropology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1996.

54.

White, T. D., Folkens, Pieter A., Black, Michael Timothy. *Human osteology*. 3rd ed. Amsterdam: Academic; 2012.

55.

Cherry J. Power in space: archaeological and geographical studies of the state. In: *Landscape and culture: geographical and archaeological perspectives*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell; 1987. p. 146–72.

56.

David, Nicholas, Kramer, Carol. *Ethnoarchaeology in action*. Cambridge world archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2001.

57.

Binford LR. Willow smoke and dog's tails: hunter-gatherer settlement systems and archaeological site formation. *American Antiquity*. 1980;45(1):4-20.

58.

Flannery, Kent V. *The early Mesoamerican village*. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2009.

59.

Pires-Ferreira J. Shell and iron-ore mirror exchange in Formative Mesoamerica. In: *The early Mesoamerican village*. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2009. p. 311-28.

60.

Roth A, editor. Social change in the Fourth Dynasty (Egypt): the spatial organization of pyramids, tombs and cemeteries. *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt*. 1993;30.

61.

Winter M. Distribution of obsidian among households in two Oaxacan villages. In: *The early Mesoamerican village*. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2009. p. 306-11.

62.

Juggins S, Cameron N. *Diatoms and archaeology*. In: *The diatoms: applications for the environmental and earth sciences*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1999.

63.

Moore, Peter D., Collinson, Margaret E., Webb, J. A. Pollen analysis. 2nd ed. Boston: Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1991.

64.

Piperno, Dolores R. Phytoliths: a comprehensive guide for archaeologists and paleoecologists. Lanham, Md: AltaMira Press; 2006.

65.

Torrence, Robin, Barton, Huw. Ancient starch research. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2006.

66.

Bell M, Walker MJC. Evidence for environmental change. In: Late Quaternary environmental change: physical and human perspectives. 2nd ed. Harlow: Pearson; 2004. p. 17-63.

67.

Butzer, Karl W. Archaeology as human ecology: method and theory for a contextual approach. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1982.

68.

O'Connor, Terri, Evans, John G. Environmental archaeology: principles and methods. 2nd rev. ed. Stroud: Sutton; 2005.

69.

Lowe JJ, Walker MJC. Biological evidence: chapter 4. In: Reconstructing Quaternary environments. 2nd ed. Harlow: Prentice Hall; 1997. p. 162-236.

70.

Bradshaw R. Spatial scale in the pollen record. In: Modelling ecological change: perspectives from neoecology, palaeoecology and environmental archaeology. London: Institute of Archaeology, University College London; 1991. p. 41-52.

71.

Buckland PC. Viking settlement, climate and environmental change around the north Atlantic. In: Issues in environmental archaeology: perspectives on its archaeological and public role. London: Institute of Archaeology, University College London; 1992. p. 1-19.

72.

Edwards KJ. Spatial scale and palynology: a commentary on Bradshaw. In: Modelling ecological change: perspectives from neoecology, palaeoecology and environmental archaeology. London: Institute of Archaeology, University College London; 1991. p. 53-9.

73.

Hillman G. Interpretation of archaeological plant remains: the application of ethnographic models from Turkey. In: Plants and ancient man: studies in palaeoethnobotany : proceedings of the Sixth Symposium of the International Work Group for Palaeoethnobotany, Groningen, 30 May-3 June 1983. Rotterdam: A.A. Balkema; 1984. p. 1-42.

74.

O'Connor T. 8th - 11th century economy and environment in York. In: Environment and economy in Anglo-Saxon England: a review of recent work on the environmental archaeology of rural and urban Anglo-Saxon settlements in England : proceedings of a conference held at the Museum of London, 9-10 April, 1990. London: Council for British Archaeology; 1994. p. 136-47.

75.

Rackham DJ. Economy and environment in Saxon London. In: Environment and economy in Anglo-Saxon England: a review of recent work on the environmental archaeology of rural and urban Anglo-Saxon settlements in England : proceedings of a conference held at the Museum of London, 9-10 April, 1990. London: Council for British Archaeology; 1994.

76.

Smart TL, Hoffman ES. Environmental interpretation of archaeological charcoal. In: Current paleoethnobotany: analytical methods and cultural interpretations of archaeological plant remains. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1988. p. 167–205.

77.

Tallis JH, Switsur VR. Forest and moorland in the south Pennine uplands in the mid-Flandrian period. 1: macrofossil evidence of the former forest cover. *Journal of Ecology*. 1983;71(2).

78.

Flannery KV. The village and its catchment area: introduction. In: The early Mesoamerican village. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2009. p. 91–5.

79.

Flannery KV. Empirical determination of site catchments in Oaxaca and Tehuacan. In: The early Mesoamerican village. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2009. p. 103–17.

80.

Rossmann D. A site catchment analysis of San Lorenzo, Veracruz. In: The early Mesoamerican village. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2009. p. 95–103.

81.

Zarky A. Statistical analysis of site catchments at Ocos, Guatemala. In: The early Mesoamerican village. Updated ed. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2009. p. 117–28.

82.

Craddock, P. T. Early metal mining and production. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 1995.

83.

Henderson J. Metals. In: The science and archaeology of materials: an investigation of inorganic materials. London: Routledge; 2000. p. 208–96.

84.

Rehren Th, Pernicka E. Coins, artefacts and isotopes - archaeometallurgy and archaeometry. Archaeometry. 2008;50(2). doi:10.1111/j.1475-4754.2008.00389.x

85.

Renfrew, Colin, Bahn, Paul G. Archaeology: theories, methods and practice. 5th ed. London: Thames & Hudson; 2008.

86.

Tylecote, R. F. The early history of metallurgy in Europe. Longman archaeology series. London: Longman; 1987.

87.

Henderson J. Ceramics. In: The science and archaeology of materials: an investigation of inorganic materials. London: Routledge; 2000. p. 109–207.

88.

Orton, Clive, Tyers, Paul, Vince, A. G. Pottery in archaeology [Internet]. Cambridge manuals in archaeology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511920066>

89.

Rice, Prudence M. Pottery analysis: a sourcebook. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1987.

90.

Rice PM. Recent ceramic analysis: 1. function, style and origins. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. 1996;4(2):133–63.

91.

Rice PM. On the origins of pottery. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory*. 1999;6(1):1–54.

92.

Hamilton S. Between ritual and routine: interpreting prehistoric British pottery production and distribution. In: *Prehistoric Britain: the ceramic basis* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxbow; 2002. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47541.pdf

93.

Morris E. Staying alive: The function and use of prehistoric ceramics. In: *Prehistoric Britain: the ceramic basis*. Oxford: Oxbow; 2002. p. 54–61.

94.

Woodward A, Blinkthorn J. Size is important: Iron Age vessel capacities in central and southern England. In: *Not so much a pot, more a way of life: current approaches to artefact analysis in archaeology*. Oxford: Oxbow; 1997. p. 21–35.

95.

Caple, Chris. Objects: reluctant witnesses to the past [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2006. Available from: <https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780203409060>

96.

Costin C. Craft production. In: *Handbook of archaeological methods* [Internet]. Lanham, Md: Altamira Press; 2005. p. 1034–107. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=364d506d-ef01-e811-80cd-005056af4099>

97.

Forbes, R. J. *Studies in ancient technology: Vol.8. Studies in ancient technology*. Leiden:

Brill; 1971.

98.

Hodges, Henry. Artifacts: an introduction to early materials and technology. Duckworth; 1989.

99.

Hurcombe, L. M. Archaeological artefacts as material culture. London: Routledge; 2007.

100.

Shaw, Ian, Nicholson, Paul T. Ancient Egyptian materials and technology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000.

101.

Singer, Charles. A history of technology: Vol.1: From earliest times to fall of ancient empires. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1954.

102.

The location of Alashiya: new evidence from petrographic investigation of Alashiyan tablets from el-Amarna and Ugarit. American Journal of Archaeology. 2003;107(2):233-55.

103.

Izre'el S. The Amarna letters from Canaan. In: Civilizations of the ancient Near East. Peabody, Mass: Hendrickson; 2000. p. 2411-20.

104.

Postgate N, Wang T, Wilkinson T. The evidence for early writing: utilitarian or ceremonial? Antiquity. 1995 Sep;69(264):459-80. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00081874

105.

Serpico M, White R. The botanical identity and transport of incense during the Egyptian New Kingdom. *Antiquity*. 2000 Dec;74(286):884–97. doi:10.1017/S0003598X00060531

106.

Andrén, Anders, Crozier, Alan. Between artifacts and texts: historical archaeology in global perspective. *Contributions to global historical archaeology*. London: Plenum Press; 1998.

107.

Westbrook, Raymond, Cohen, Raymond. Amarna diplomacy: the beginnings of international relations [Internet]. Baltimore, Md: Johns Hopkins Univeristy Press; 2000. Available from: <http://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=acls;idno=heb30995.0001.001>

108.

Goren, Yuval, Artzy, Michal, Finkelstein, Israel, Na'aman, Nadav, Makhon le-arkhe'ologyah 'a. sh. Sonyah u-Marķo Nadler. Inscribed in clay: provenance study of the Amarna tablets and other ancient Near Eastern texts. Monograph series / Sonia and Marco Nadler Institute of Archeology. Tel Aviv: Emery and Claire Yass Publications in Archaeology; 2004.

109.

Little BarbaraJ. Text-aided archaeology. In: *Text-aided archaeology*. Boca Raton, Fla: CRC Press; 1992. p. 1–6.

110.

Moran, William L. The Amarna letters. English-language ed. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press; 1992.

111.

Rollston CA, Vaughn AG. Fakes, Forgeries and Biblical Scholarship. *Near Eastern archaeology*. 2005;68(1/2):61–72.

112.

Weinstein JM. The Egyptian Empire in Palestine: a reassessment. *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research*. 1981;(241):1–28.

113.

DeBoer W. Interaction, imitation and communication as expressed in style: the Ucayali experience. In: *The uses of style in archaeology* [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1989. Available from:
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47546.pdf

114.

Conkey MW. Experimenting with style in archaeology: some historical and theoretical issues. In: *The uses of style in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1989.

115.

Hodder I. Re-opening Catalhoyuk. In: *On the surface: Çatalhöyük 1993-95* [Internet]. Cambridge: McDonald Institute; 1996. Available from:
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42228.pdf

116.

Hodder I. Peopling Catalhoyuk and its landscape. In: *Inhabiting Çatalhöyük: reports from the 1995-99 seasons* [Internet]. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2005. Available from:
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42229.pdf

117.

Hodder I. Trashing rubbish. In: *Towards reflexive method in archaeology: the example at Çatalhöyük : by members of the Çatalhöyük team* [Internet]. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2000. Available from:
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42230.pdf

118.

Gero A. Assessing social information in material objects: how well do lithics measure up? In: Time, energy and stone tools [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1989. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42231.pdf

119.

Binford LR. Interassemblage variability - the Mousterian and the functional argument. In: The explanation of culture change: models in prehistory [Internet]. London: Duckworth; 1973. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42232.pdf

120.

Baysal A, Wright K. Cooking, crafts and curation: the ground stone artefacts from Catalhoyuk, 1995-1999. In: Changing materialities at Çatalhöyük: reports from the 1995-99 seasons [Internet]. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2005. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42233.pdf

121.

Wiessner P. Style and changing relations between the individual and society. In: The meanings of things: material culture and symbolic expression [Internet]. London: Unwin Hyman, HarperCollins Academic; 1989. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42234.pdf

122.

L F. Struggling with pots in colonial South Carolina. In: The archaeology of inequality [Internet]. Oxford: Blackwell; 1991. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42235.pdf

123.

Hill JD. Introduction. In: Ritual and rubbish in the Iron Age of Wessex: a study on the formation of a specific archaeological record [Internet]. Oxford: Tempus Reparatum; 1995. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42258.pdf

124.

Price M. All in the family: the impact of gender and family constructs on the study of prehistoric settlement. In: Making places in the prehistoric world: themes in settlement archaeology [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2003. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42260.pdf

125.

Ray L. The organization of space in Pompeii. In: Urban society in Roman Italy [Internet]. London: UCL Press; 1995. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42261.pdf

126.

Sanders D. Behavioural conventions and archaeology: methods for the analysis of ancient architecture. In: Domestic architecture and the use of space: an interdisciplinary cross-cultural study [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1990. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42262.pdf

127.

Ashmore W, Knapp AB. Archaeological landscapes: constructed, conceptualised, ideational. In: Archaeologies of landscape: contemporary perspectives [Internet]. Malden, Mass: Blackwell Publishers; 1999. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42263.pdf

128.

Isaac G. Bones in contention: competing explanations for the juxtaposition of early Pleistocene artifacts and faunal remains. In: Animals and archaeology: 1: Hunters and their prey [Internet]. Oxford: B.A.R.; 1984. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_42266.pdf

129.

Braun D. Pots as tools. In: Archaeological hammers and theories. New York: Academic Press; 1983.

130.

O'Connell JF. Ethnoarchaeology needs a general theory of behavior. Journal of

Archaeological Research. 1995 Sep;3(3):205-55. doi:10.1007/BF02231450

131.

Longacre WA. Some aspects of prehistoric society in east-central Arizona. In: New%20perspectives%20in%20archeology [Internet]. Chicago%20%3A: Aldine%20Publishing%20Company%2C; 1968. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47544.pdf

132.

Orton C. Assemblages and sites. In: Pottery in archaeology [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47545.pdf
doi:10.1017/CBO9780511920066

133.

Debénath A, Dibble HL. Introduction. In: Handbook of paleolithic typology. Philadelphia: University Museum, University of Pennsylvania; 1993.

134.

Hardy K. Where would we be without string? Ethnographic evidence for the use, manufacture and role of string in the Upper Palaeolithic. In: Plant processing form a prehistoric and ethnographic perspective: Préhistoire et ethnographie du travail des plantes : proceedings of a workshop at Ghent University (Belgium) November 28, 2006 [Internet]. Oxford: John & Erica Hedges; 2007. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47552.pdf

135.

Kramer C. Spatial organization in contemporary southwest Asian villages and archaeological sampling. In: The Hilly flanks and beyond: essays on the prehistory of southwestern Asia presented to Robert J. Braidwood, November 15, 1982 [Internet]. Chicago, Ill: Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago; 1983. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47553.pdf

136.

Jeanne Sept. Archaeological Evidence and Ecological Perspectives for Reconstructing Early Hominid Subsistence Behavior. *Archaeological Method and Theory* [Internet]. 1992;4:1-56. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20170220>

137.

Storey G. Estimating the population of ancient Roman cities. In: *Integrating archaeological demography: multidisciplinary approaches to prehistoric population* [Internet]. [Carbondale, Ill.]: Center for Archaeological Investigations, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale; 1997. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47556.pdf

138.

Brown JA. On the structure of artifact typologies. In: *Essays on archeological typology* [Internet]. Evanston, Ill: Center for American Archeology; 1982. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47557.pdf

139.

Hill J, Evans R. A model for classification and typology. In: *Models in archaeology* [Internet]. London: Methuen; 1972. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47558.pdf

140.

Longacre WA. Archaeology as anthropology: a case study. In: *Contemporary archaeology: a guide to theory and contributions* [Internet]. Carbondale, Ill: Southern Illinois University Press; 1972. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47559.pdf

141.

Hodder I. The decoration of containers: an ethnographic and historical study. In: *Ceramic ethnoarchaeology* [Internet]. Tucson: University of Arizona Press; 1991. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47560.pdf

142.

Folorunso CA. Gender and archaeological site formation: ethnoarchaeological studies in

parts of Nigeria. In: Archaeology and women: ancient & modern issues [Internet]. Walnut Creek, Calif: Left Coast Press; 2007. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47580.pdf

143.

DeLoecker et al D. A re-fitter's paradise. In: Lithic analysis at the Millennium [Internet]. London: Institute of Archaeology, University College London; 2003. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47582.pdf

144.

DeBoer W, Lathrap D. The making and breaking of Shipibo-Conibo ceramics. In: Ethnoarchaeology: implications of ethnography for archaeology [Internet]. New York: Columbia University Press; 1979. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47583.pdf

145.

Margaret C. Nelson. The Study of Technological Organization. Archaeological Method and Theory [Internet]. 1991;3:57-100. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20170213>

146.

Hodder I. Crises in global archaeology. In: The archaeological process: an introduction [Internet]. Oxford: Blackwell; 1999. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47585.pdf

147.

Whittaker J. Flintknapping: basic principles. In: Flintknapping: making and understanding stone tools [Internet]. Austin: University of Texas Press; 1994. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47586.pdf

148.

Banning E. Research design and sampling. In: The archaeologist's laboratory: the analysis of archaeological data. New York: London; 2000.

149.

Dibble HL. Raw material availability, intensity of utilization and Middle Paleolithic assemblage variability. In: The Middle Paleolithic site of Combe-Capelle Bas (France). Philadelphia: University Museum, University of Pennsylvania; 1995.

150.

Hodder I. Developing a reflexive method in archaeology. In: Towards reflexive method in archaeology: the example at Çatalhöyük : by members of the Çatalhöyük team. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2000.

151.

Hodder I. The integration of methods: introduction. In: Towards reflexive method in archaeology: the example at Çatalhöyük : by members of the Çatalhöyük team. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research; 2000.

152.

Rapp GR, Hill CL. Theoretical and historical overview. In: Geoarchaeology: the earth-science approach to archaeological interpretation [Internet]. 2nd ed. New Haven: Yale University Press; 2006. Available from:
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47601.pdf

153.

Binford, Lewis Roberts, Quimby, George I. An archaeological perspective. Studies in archeology. New York: Seminar Press; 1972.

154.

Clark JE. Politics, prismatic blades and Mesoamerican civilization. In: The organization of core technology [Internet]. Boulder: Westview Press; 1987. Available from:
http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_47725.pdf

155.

Quine TA. Soil analysis and archaeological site formation studies. In: Archaeological sediments and soils: analysis, interpretation and management [Internet]. London: Institute of Archaeology, University College, London; 1995. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_49623.pdf

156.

Wheeler REM. Introductory: chapter 1. In: Archaeology from the earth [Internet]. Harmondsworth, Eng: Penguin; 1956. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_49627.pdf

157.

Thomson R. Leather working processes. In: Leather and fur: aspects of early medieval trade and technology [Internet]. London: Archetype Pub. for the Archaeological Leather Group; 1998. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_49628.pdf

158.

Angus A. An introduction to the types of tannage used on ethnographic leather. In: The conservation of fur, feather and skin: seminar organised by the Conservators of Ethnographic Artefacts at the Museum of London on 11 December 2000 [Internet]. London: Archetype; 2002. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_49629.pdf

159.

Lowe JJ, Walker MJC. Biological evidence. In: Reconstructing Quaternary environments [Internet]. 2nd ed. Harlow: Prentice Hall; 1997. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_50505.pdf

160.

James A. Egypt and her vassals: the geopolitical dimension. In: Amarna diplomacy: the beginnings of international relations [Internet]. Baltimore, Md: Johns Hopkins Univeristy Press; 2000. Available from: http://ls-tlss.ucl.ac.uk/course-materials/ARCL2037_50557.pdf