

DEVP0003: Participatory Processes: Building for Development

View Online



[1]

A. Cuthbert 2014. Chapter 6: Urban Design and Spatial Political Economy. Companion to Urban Design. Routledge.

[2]

A. Escobar 1996. The making and the unmaking of the third world through development. The post-development reader. Zed Books.

[3]

AbdouMaliq Simone , and Edgar Pieterse 2017. New Urban Worlds : Inhabiting Dissonant Times. Polity Press.

[4]

Abu-Orf, H. 2011. Is planning possible in cities divided by violent conflict? International Development Planning Review. 33, 3 (Jan. 2011), 321-342.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.3828/idpr.2011.16>.

[5]

Akhil Gupta and Ferguson, D. Discipline and Practice:"The Field" As Site, Method, and Location In Anthropology. ... locations: Boundaries and grounds of a

[6]

Al-Harithy, H. 2015. The participative discourse: community activism in post-war reconstruction. *Urban design in the Arab world: reconceptualizing boundaries*. R. Saliba, ed. Ashgate. 39-50.

[7]

Amir, S. 2004. Rethinking Design Policy in the Third World. *Design Issues*. 20, 4 (2004), 68-75. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1162/0747936042311995>.

[8]

Appadurai, A. 2001. Deep democracy: urban governmentality and the horizon of politics. *Environment and Urbanization*. 13, 2 (2001), 23-43. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/095624780101300203>.

[9]

Awan, N. et al. 2011. Chapter 3: The operations of spatial agency. *Spatial agency: other ways of doing architecture*. Routledge. 69-82.

[10]

Barry, J. et al. 2018. Unsettling planning theory. *Planning Theory*. 17, 3 (Aug. 2018), 418-438. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095218763842>.

[11]

Bell, B. and Wakeford, K. 2008. *Expanding architecture: design as activism*. Metropolis Books.

[12]

Blundell Jones, P. et al. eds. 2012. *Architecture and participation*. Routledge.

[13]

Blunt, A. and Dowling, R.M. 2006. *Setting up home: an introduction*. Home. Routledge.

1-31.

[14]

Boano, C. and Talocci, G. 2014. Fences and Profanations: Questioning the Sacredness of Urban Design. *Journal of Urban Design*. 19, 5 (2014), 700–721.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13574809.2014.943701>.

[15]

Bower, R. 2017. *Architecture and space re-imagined: learning from the difference, multiplicity, and otherness of development practice*. Routledge.

[16]

Burgess, J. et al. 1988. People, Parks and the Urban Green: A Study of Popular Meanings and Values for Open Spaces in the City. *Urban Studies*. 25, 6 (Dec. 1988), 455–473.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00420988820080631>.

[17]

Burgess, R. et al. 1997. Contemporary policies for enablement and participation : a critical review. *The challenge of sustainable cities: neoliberalism and urban strategies in developing countries*. Zed. 138–162.

[18]

Camillo Boano and William Hunter 2010. *Collaboration and the conflictual. The nightmare of participation: [crossbench praxis as a mode of criticality]*. Sternberg Press. 91–104.

[19]

Carabelli, G. 2018. Grassroots Movements and the Production of (Other) Space(s) - published in *The divided city and the grassroots: the (un)making of ethnic divisions in Mostar*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[20]

Carabelli, G. 2018. *The divided city and the grassroots: the (un)making of ethnic divisions in Mostar*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[21]

Catalina Ortiz and Camillo Boano *The Medellín's shifting geopolitics of informality : The Encircled Garden as a dispositive of civil disenfranchisement?* 189–207.

[22]

Choguill, M.B.G. 1996. *A ladder of community participation for underdeveloped countries*. *Habitat International*. 20, 3 (1996), 431–444.
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-3975\(96\)00020-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-3975(96)00020-3).

[23]

Cleaver, F. 2001. *Institutions, Agency and the Limitations of Participatory Approaches to Development*. *Participation: The New Tyranny?*. Zed Books. 36–55.

[24]

Cooke, B. and Kothari, U. 2001. *The case for participation as tyranny*. *Participation: the new tyranny?*. Zed Books. 1–15.

[25]

Cooke, B. and Kothari, U. 2001. *The case for participation as tyranny*. *Participation: the new tyranny?*. Zed Books. 1–15.

[26]

Cooles, D. 2007. *Experiencing Discourse: Corporeal Communicators and the Embodiment of Power*. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 9, 3 (2007), 413–433.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-856X.2006.00258.x>.

[27]

Cornwall, A. 2006. Historical perspectives on participation in development. *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*. 44, 1 (2006), 62-83.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14662040600624460>.

[28]

Cornwall, A. and Coelho, V.S.P. 2007. Spaces for change?: The politics of participation in new democratic arenas. *Spaces for change?: the politics of citizen participation in new democratic arenas*. Zed. 1-29.

[29]

Cowan, R. 2001. *Placecheck: a user's guide*. . Urban Design Alliance .

[30]

D. M. Petrescu and K. Trogal 2017. The social (re)production of Architecture in 'crisis-riddled' times. *The social (re)production of architecture: politics, values and actions in contemporary practice*. D. Petrescu and K. Trogal, eds. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.

[31]

Derickson, K.D. 2016. On the politics of recognition in critical urban scholarship. *Urban Geography*. 37, 6 (Aug. 2016), 824-829.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/02723638.2015.1105483>.

[32]

Doreen Massey 1992. *Politics and Space/Time*. New Left Review. 196, (1992).

[33]

Doucet, I. 2018. Understanding social engagement in architecture. *The Routledge companion to architecture and social engagement*. F. Karim, ed. Routledge.

[34]

Dovey, K. 1985. Home and homelessness. Home environments. Plenum Press. 33–64.

[35]

Embrace abolitionist planning to fight Trumpism:
<https://www.progressivecity.net/single-post/2018/04/06/EMBRACE-ABOLITIONIST-PLANNING-TO-FIGHT-TRUMPISM>.

[36]

Erfan, A. 2017. Confronting collective traumas: an exploration of therapeutic planning. *Planning Theory & Practice*. 18, 1 (Jan. 2017), 34–50.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14649357.2016.1249909>.

[37]

Eyben, R. et al. 2006. Introduction: Exploring Power for Change. *IDS Bulletin*. 37, 6 (2006), 1–10. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1759-5436.2006.tb00318.x>.

[38]

Fawaz, M. 2009. Hezbollah as Urban Planner? Questions To and From Planning Theory. *Planning Theory*. 8, 4 (Nov. 2009), 323–334.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095209341327>.

[39]

Fenster, T. 2009. Cognitive Temporal Mapping: The Three Steps Method in Urban Planning. *Planning Theory & Practice*. 10, 4 (Dec. 2009), 479–498.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14649350903417266>.

[40]

Flowerdew, R. and Martin, D. 2005. *Methods in human geography: a guide for students doing a research project*. Prentice Hall.

[41]

Forester, J. 2006. Making Participation Work When Interests Conflict: Moving from Facilitating Dialogue and Moderating Debate to Mediating Negotiations. *Journal of the American Planning Association*. 72, 4 (Dec. 2006), 447-456.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01944360608976765>.

[42]

Foucault, M. 1980. The eye of power. *Power/knowledge: selected interviews and other writings, 1972-1977*. Longman. 146-165.

[43]

Fraser, Nancy Rethinking Recognition. *New Left Review*. 3, 1103-1124.

[44]

Frediani, A. and Boano, C. 2012. Processes for Just Products: the Capability Space of Participatory Design. *The capability approach, technology and design*. Springer.

[45]

Frediani, A.A. 2016. Re-imagining Participatory Design: Reflecting on the ASF-UK Change by Design Methodology. *Design Issues*. 32, 3 (Jul. 2016), 98-111.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1162/DESI_a_00403.

[46]

Frediani, A.A. and Boano, C. 2012. Processes for just products: the capability space of participatory design. *The capability approach, technology and design*. Springer. 203-222.

[47]

Freire, P. and Bergman Ramos, M. 1996. *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. Penguin Books.

[48]

Gaventa, J. and Barrett, G. 2010. So What Difference Does it Make? Mapping the Outcomes of Citizen Engagement. IDS Working Papers. 2010, 347 (Oct. 2010), 01-72.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2040-0209.2010.00347_2.x.

[49]

Glass, J. 2008. Facing the Future by Designing in Resilience: An Architectural Perspective. Hazards and the built environment: attaining built-in resilience. Routledge. 172-188.

[50]

Hamdi, N. 2014. Chapter 3. Deciding how to decide. The spacemaker's guide to big change: design and improvisation in development practice. Routledge.

[51]

Hamdi, N. 2004. Chapter 6. In Search of a Community and Structure of Place. Small change: the art of practice and the limits of planning in cities. Earthscan. 58-72.

[52]

Hamdi, N. 2014. Chapter 7. Participation in practice. The spacemaker's guide to big change: design and improvisation in development practice. Routledge.

[53]

Hamdi, N. 2010. Chapter 8. PEAS and the Sociable Side of Practice. The placemaker's guide to building community. Earthscan. 141-152.

[54]

Hamdi, N. 2010. Chapter 9. Reasoning to Scale. The placemaker's guide to building community. Earthscan. 160-153.

[55]

Hamdi, N. 2004. Chapter 11. Governance and networks: organizing from inside out. Small

change: the art of practice and the limits of planning in cities. Earthscan. 107–115.

[56]

Hamdi, N. 2014. Chapter 12. Insiders Out and Outsiders: Practical wisdom and the co-Production of Knowledge. *The spacemaker's guide to big change: design and improvisation in development practice*. Routledge.

[57]

Hamdi, N. 2004. *Small change: the art of practice and the limits of planning in cities*. Earthscan.

[58]

Hamdi, N. and Goethert, R. 1997. Chapter 2. Action Planning In Theory. *Action planning for cities: a guide to community practice*. John Wiley. 23–59.

[59]

Haraway, D. 1988. Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective. *Feminist Studies*. 14, 3 (Autumn 1988).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3178066>.

[60]

Hickey, S. and Mohan, G. 2004. Towards Participation as Transformation: Critical Themes and Challenges. *Participation: from tyranny to transformation? : exploring new approaches to participation in development*. Zed. 3–24.

[61]

Hirst, P.Q. 2005. *Space and power: politics, war and architecture*. Polity.

[62]

Hou, J. 2014. *Citizen Design: participation and beyond*. Companion to Urban Design.

Routledge.

[63]

Irazábal, C. 2016. Public, Private, People Partnerships (PPPPs): Reflections from Latin American Cases. Private communities and urban governance: theoretical and comparative perspectives. A. Lehavi, ed. Springer. 191–214.

[64]

Jenkins, P. et al. 2007. Post-1990 issues in planning and housing. Planning and housing in the rapidly urbanising world. Routledge. 178–203.

[65]

Jeremy Till 2009. Imperfect ethics. Architecture depends. MIT Press. 171–187.

[66]

John Forester 1989. Listening: the social policy of everyday life in Planning in the Face of Power. University of California Press, Berkeley. Planning in the face of power. University of California Press.

[67]

Kaminer, T. 2017. Theories of participation, theories of contestation. The efficacy of architecture: political contestation and agency. Routledge.

[68]

Kindon, S.L. et al. 2007. Participatory action research: origins, approaches and methods. Participatory action research approaches and methods: connecting people, participation and place. Routledge. 9–18.

[69]

Komarova, M. and McKnight, M. 2013. 'We Are Watching You Too': Reflections on Doing

Visual Research in a Contested City. *Sociological Research Online*. 18, 1 (2013).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.5153/sro.2877>.

[70]

Kumar, S. 2002. Conceptual specifications. *Methods for community participation: a complete guide for practitioners*. Practical Action Publishing. 23–52.

[71]

Lahoud, A. 2010. Post-Traumatic Urbanism. *Architectural Design*. 80, 5 (Sep. 2010), 14–23.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1002/ad.1128>.

[72]

Lane, B.M. 2007. What is home? *Housing and dwelling: perspectives on modern domestic architecture*. Routledge. 50–73.

[73]

Lee, Y. 2008. Design participation tactics: the challenges and new roles for designers in the co-design process. *CoDesign*. 4, 1 (2008), 31–50.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/15710880701875613>.

[74]

Leivas, M. 2018. From the body to the city: participatory action research with social cartography for transformative education and global citizenship. *Educational Action Research*. (Sep. 2018), 1–16. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09650792.2018.1519453>.

[75]

Loo, S. 2012. *Design-Ing Ethics: the Good, the Bad and the Performative*. Design and ethics: reflections on practice. Routledge.

[76]

Luansang, C. et al. 2012. The role of community architects in upgrading; reflecting on the experience in Asia. *Environment and Urbanization*. 24, 2 (2012), 497–512.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247812456125>.

[77]

Luansang, C. et al. 2012. The role of community architects in upgrading; reflecting on the experience in Asia. *Environment and Urbanization*. 24, 2 (Oct. 2012), 497–512.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247812456125>.

[78]

Luck, R. 2007. Learning to talk to users in participatory design situations. *Design Studies*. 28, 3 (2007), 217–242. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.destud.2007.02.002>.

[79]

Madanipour, A. 2010. Introduction. *Whose public space?: international case studies in urban design and development*. Routledge. 1–15.

[80]

Marcuse, P. 2009. From critical urban theory to the right to the city. *City*. 13, 2–3 (2009), 185–197. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13604810902982177>.

[81]

Markus, T.A. and Cameron, D. 2002. *Why language matters. The words between the spaces: buildings and language*. Routledge. 1–17.

[82]

Martens, K. 2005. Participatory Experiments from the Bottom up: The role of environmental NGOs and citizen groups. *European Journal of Spatial Development*. 18, (2005), 1–20.

[83]

Martin, D. et al. 2003. Space, Scale, Governance, and Representation: Contemporary Geographical Perspectives on Urban Politics and Policy. *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 25, 2 (2003), 113–121. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9906.t01-1-00001>.

[84]

Mattila, H. 2002. Aesthetic justice and urban planning: Who ought to have the right to design cities? *GeoJournal*. 58, 2/3 (2002), 131–138. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1023/B:GEJO.0000010832.88129.cc>.

[85]

McFarlane, C. 2006. Knowledge, learning and development: a post-rationalist approach. *Progress in Development Studies*. 6, 4 (2006), 287–305. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1191/1464993406ps144oa>.

[86]

Miessen, M. 2010. Collaboration and the conflictual, Published in *The nightmare of participation* - p. 91-104. Sternberg Press.

[87]

Miraftab, F. 2005. Insurgency and Spaces of Active Citizenship: The Story of Western Cape Anti-eviction Campaign in South Africa. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*. 25, 2 (2005), 200–217. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X05282182>.

[88]

Miraftab, F. 2004. Making neo-liberal governance: the disempowering work of empowerment. *International Planning Studies*. 9, 4 (2004), 239–259. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13563470500050130>.

[89]

Mitlin, D. 2008. With and beyond the state -- co-production as a route to political influence, power and transformation for grassroots organizations. *Environment and Urbanization*. 20, 2 (Oct. 2008), 339–360. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247808096117>.

[90]

Mitlin, D. and Bartlett, S. 2018. Editorial: Co-production – key ideas. *Environment and Urbanization*. 30, 2 (Oct. 2018), 355–366. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247818791931>.

[91]

Mitlin, D. and Satterthwaite, D. 2004. Empowering squatter citizen: local government, civil society and urban poverty reduction. Earthscan.

[92]

Mitlin, D. and Thompson, J. 1995. Participatory approaches in urban areas: strengthening civil society or reinforcing the status quo? *Environment and Urbanization*. 7, 1 (1995), 231–250. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/095624789500700113>.

[93]

Moser, C.O.N. 1989. Community participation in urban projects in the Third World. *Progress in Planning*. 32, (Jan. 1989), 71–133. DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-9006\(89\)90010-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-9006(89)90010-X).

[94]

Mouffe, C. 2013. *Agonistics: thinking the world politically*. Verso.

[95]

Mukhija, V. 2014. *Urban Design for a Planet of Informal Cities. Companion to Urban Design*. Routledge.

[96]

Municipalism and the Feminization of Politics:
<https://roarmag.org/magazine/municipalism-feminization-urban-politics/>.

[97]

Patel, S. and Mitlin, D. 2004. Grassroots-driven development: The alliance of SPARC, the National Slum Dwellers Federation and Mahila Milan. Empowering squatter citizen: local government, civil society and urban poverty reduction. Earthscan. 216-241.

[98]

Petrescu, D. et al. 2016. Co-producing commons-based resilience: lessons from R-Urban. Building Research & Information. 44, 7 (Oct. 2016), 717-736.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2016.1214891>.

[99]

Petrescu, D. and Chiles, P. 2009. Agency: alternative practices and alternative worlds. Architectural Research Quarterly. 13, 02 (Jun. 2009).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1359135509990194>.

[100]

Petrescu, D. and Petcou, C. 2013. Tactics for a Transgressive Practice. Architectural Design . 83, 6 (Nov. 2013), 58-65. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1002/ad.1675>.

[101]

Radical municipalism: demanding the future: 2017.
<https://www.opendemocracy.net/plan-c/radical-municipalism-demanding-future>.

[102]

Ramirez, R. 2010. Integrated Informality in the Barrios of Havana. Rethinking the informal city: critical perspectives from Latin America. Berghahn Books. 137-162.

[103]

Read, C. et al. 2014. Applying a Practical, Participatory Action Research Framework for Producing Knowledge, Action and Change in Communities: A Health Case Study from

Gujarat, Western India. M2 Models and Methodologies for Community Engagement. R. Tiwari et al., eds. Springer Singapore. 91–105.

[104]

Rendell, J. 2018. Only resist: Five particular qualities might characterise a specifically feminist approach to critical spatial practice, suggests Jane Rendell. *Architectural Review*. 243, 1449 (2018), 8–18.

[105]

Rios, M. 2008. Envisioning Citizenship: Toward a Polity Approach in Urban Design. *Journal of Urban Design*. 13, 2 (2008), 213–229. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13574800801965692>.

[106]

Robinson, J. 1994. White women researching/representing 'others': from antiapartheid to postcolonialism? *Writing women and space: colonial and postcolonial geographies*. Guilford Press. 197–228.

[107]

Rolnik, R. 2014. Place, inhabitation and citizenship: the right to housing and the right to the city in the contemporary urban world. *International Journal of Housing Policy*. 14, 3 (Jul. 2014), 293–300. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14616718.2014.936178>.

[108]

Rose, G. 1997. Situating knowledges: positionality, reflexivities and other tactics. *Progress in Human Geography*. 21, 3 (1997), 305–320. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1191/030913297673302122>.

[109]

Roy, A. 2009. Civic Governmentality: The Politics of Inclusion in Beirut and Mumbai. *Antipode*. 41, 1 (2009), 159–179. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8330.2008.00660.x>.

[110]

Roy, A. 2016. Who's Afraid of Postcolonial Theory? *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*. 40, 1 (Jan. 2016), 200-209.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2427.12274>.

[111]

Saba Golchehr Data-driven design for civic participation : Introducing digital methods for on-going civic engagement for design in public space. 56-70.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315110332-5>.

[112]

Sandercock, L. 1998. *Re/presenting Planning's Histories. Towards cosmopolis: planning for multicultural cities*. John Wiley & Sons. 33-54.

[113]

Sandercock, L. and Attili, G. 2010. Digital Ethnography as Planning Praxis: An Experiment with Film as Social Research, Community Engagement and Policy Dialogue. *Planning Theory & Practice*. 11, 1 (Mar. 2010), 23-45.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14649350903538012>.

[114]

Sanoff, H. 2014. Multiple views of participatory design. *International Journal of Architectural Research: ArchNet-IJAR*. 2, 1 (2014), 57-69.

[115]

SARA KINDON Participatory Action Research: Origins, approaches and methods. 35-44.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203933671-13>.

[116]

Sarkissian, W. and Bunjamin-Mau, W. et al. 2009. Designing and managing a workshop.

SpeakOut: the step-by-step guide to SpeakOuts and community workshops. Earthscan.

[117]

Schindler, S. 2017. Towards a paradigm of Southern urbanism. *City*. 21, 1 (Jan. 2017), 47–64. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13604813.2016.1263494>.

[118]

Schuermans, N. and Newton, C. 2012. Being a young and foreign researcher in South Africa: Towards a postcolonial dialogue. *Singapore Journal of Tropical Geography*. 33, 3 (2012), 295–300. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/sjtg.12005_4.

[119]

Seymour, W. 2007. Exhuming the Body: Revisiting the Role of the Visible Body in Ethnographic Research. *Qualitative Health Research*. 17, 9 (2007), 1188–1197. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732307308517>.

[120]

Siemiatycki, M. 2012. The Role of the Planning Scholar. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*. 32, 2 (Jun. 2012), 147–159. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X12440729>.

[121]

de Souza, M.L. 2006. Together with the state, despite the state, against the state. *Social Movements as 'Critical Urban Planning' Agents*. *City*. 10, 3 (Dec. 2006), 327–342. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13604810600982347>.

[122]

Sun, X. and Huang, R. 2018. Spatial meaning-making and urban activism: Two tales of anti-PX protests in urban China. *Journal of Urban Affairs*. (Mar. 2018), 1–21. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/07352166.2018.1443010>.

[123]

Sundberg, J. 2005. Looking for the critical geographer, or why bodies and geographies

matter to the emergence of critical geographies of Latin America. *Geoforum*. 36, 1 (2005), 17–28. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2004.03.006>.

[124]

Swyngedouw, E. and Wilson, J. 2015. Insurgent architects and the spectral return of the urban political. *Planning against the political: democratic deficits in European territorial governance*. J. Metzger et al., eds. Routledge. 215–225.

[125]

Till, J. 1998. *Architecture of the Impure Community*. *Occupying architecture: between the architect and the user*. J. Hill, ed. Routledge. 34–42.

[126]

Till, J. 2009. *Imperfect ethics. Architecture depends*. MIT Press. 171–187.

[127]

Turner, J.F.C. 1982. *Issues in Self-Help and Self-Managed Housing*. *Self-help housing: a critique*. Mansell. 99–113.

[128]

Umemoto, K. 2001. *Walking in Another's Shoes*. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*. 21, 1 (Sep. 2001), 17–31. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X0102100102>.

[129]

Wahby, N. 2017. *Institutions and Populism in the Global South-Lessons for the Brexit-Trump Era*. *City & Community*. 16, 2 (Jun. 2017), 139–144. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/cico.12234>.

[130]

Wates, N. 2000. General principles A-Z. Community planning handbook: how people can shape their cities, towns and villages in any part of the world. Earthscan Publications Ltd. 11-21.

[131]

Watson, V. 2006. Deep Difference: Diversity, Planning and Ethics. *Planning Theory*. 5, 1 (Mar. 2006), 31-50. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095206061020>.

[132]

Watson, V. 2009. Seeing from the South: Refocusing Urban Planning on the Globe's Central Urban Issues. *Urban Studies*. 46, 11 (Oct. 2009), 2259-2275. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098009342598>.

[133]

Winkler, T. 2017. Black texts on white paper: Learning to resistant texts
as an approach towards decolonising planning. *Planning Theory*. (Nov. 2017). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095217739335>.

[134]

Yacobi, H. 2004. Social Exclusion, Housing Environment and Tolerant Planning: The Case of the Jahelin Bedouin Tribe. *Hagar - International Social Science Review*. 69-84. *Hagar international social science review = Hājir*. 5, 1 (2004), 69-83.

[135]

Yacobi, H. 2007. The NGOization of Space: Dilemmas of Social Change, Planning Policy, and the Israeli Public Sphere. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*. 25, 4 (Aug. 2007), 745-758. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1068/d459t>.

[136]

Yacobi, H. et al. 2016. Walls, enclaves and the (counter) politics of design. *Journal of Urban Design*. 21, 4 (Jul. 2016), 481-494. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13574809.2016.1184566>.

[137]

Zetter, R. 2002. Market enablement or sustainable development : the conflicting paradigms of urbanization. *Planning in cities: sustainability and growth in the developing world*. ITDG. 31–42.

[138]

Environment and Urbanization.

[139]

Environment and Urbanization.

[140]

McDowell, L (1992a), *Doing gender: Feminism, feminists and research methods in human geography*. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, New Series* 17 399–416.

[141]

Progress in Human Geography.

[142]

View of Toward an Architecture of Dissensus: Participatory Urbanism in South-East Asia - Boano, C. and Kelling, E. (2013).

[143]

We Drew What We Imagined: Participatory Mapping Performance, and Arts of Landscape Making, Sletto, B. I., (2009).