DEVP0003: Participatory Processes: Building for Development

1.

A. Cuthbert. Chapter 6: Urban Design and Spatial Political Economy. In: Companion to Urban Design. Routledge; 2014. doi:10.4324/9780203844434

2.

Martin D, McCann E, Purcell M. Space, Scale, Governance, and Representation: Contemporary Geographical Perspectives on Urban Politics and Policy. Journal of Urban Affairs. 2003;25(2):113-121. doi:10.1111/1467-9906.t01-1-00001

3.

Sanoff H. Multiple views of participatory design. International Journal of Architectural Research: ArchNet-IJAR. 2014;2(1):57-69. http://www.archnet-ijar.net/index.php/IJAR/article/view/177

4.

Watson V. Seeing from the South: Refocusing Urban Planning on the Globe's Central Urban Issues. Urban Studies. 2009;46(11):2259-2275. doi:10.1177/0042098009342598

5.

Schindler S. Towards a paradigm of Southern urbanism. City. 2017;21(1):47-64. doi:10.1080/13604813.2016.1263494

6.

Barry J, Horst M, Inch A, et al. Unsettling planning theory. Planning Theory. 2018;17(3):418-438. doi:10.1177/1473095218763842

7.

Doreen Massey. Politics and Space/Time. New Left Review. 1992;196. https://newleftreview.org/I/196/doreen-massey-politics-and-space-time

8.

Rios M. Envisioning Citizenship: Toward a Polity Approach in Urban Design. Journal of Urban Design. 2008;13(2):213-229. doi:10.1080/13574800801965692

9.

Roy A. Who's Afraid of Postcolonial Theory? International Journal of Urban and Regional Research. 2016;40(1):200-209. doi:10.1111/1468-2427.12274

10.

McFarlane C. Knowledge, learning and development: a post-rationalist approach. Progress in Development Studies. 2006;6(4):287-305. doi:10.1191/1464993406ps144oa

11.

Cornwall A. Historical perspectives on participation in development. Commonwealth & Comparative Politics. 2006;44(1):62-83. doi:10.1080/14662040600624460

12.

A. Escobar. The making and the unmaking of the third world through development. In: The Post-Development Reader. Zed Books; 1996. https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=92a6b119-10d2-e811-80cd-005056af4099

13.

Hickey S, Mohan G. Towards Participation as Transformation: Critical Themes and
Challenges. In: Participation: From Tyranny to Transformation? : Exploring New Approaches
to Participation in Development. Zed; 2004:3-24.
https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=1893885c-8336-e711-80c9-005056af4099

14.

Mitlin D. With and beyond the state -- co-production as a route to political influence, power
and transformation for grassroots organizations. Environment and Urbanization.
2008;20(2):339-360. doi:10.1177/0956247808096117

15.

Rendell J. Only resist: Five particular qualities might characterise a specifically feminist
approach to critical spatial practice, suggests Jane Rendell. Architectural Review.
2018;243(1449):8-18.
http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=asu
&AN=128870014&site=ehost-live&scope=site

16.

Miraftab F. Making neo-liberal governance: the disempowering work of empowerment.
International Planning Studies. 2004;9(4):239-259. doi:10.1080/13563470500050130

17.

Derickson KD. On the politics of recognition in critical urban scholarship. Urban Geography.
2016;37(6):824-829. doi:10.1080/02723638.2015.1105483

18.

Irazábal C. Public, Private, People Partnerships (PPPPs): Reflections from Latin American
Cases. In: Lehavi A, ed. Private Communities and Urban Governance: Theoretical and
Comparative Perspectives. Springer; 2016:191-214.
http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nle
bk&AN=1224661&site=ehost-live&scope=site

19.

Gaventa J, Barrett G. So What Difference Does it Make? Mapping the Outcomes of Citizen Engagement. IDS Working Papers. 2010;2010(347):01-72. doi:10.1111/j.2040-0209.2010.00347_2.x

20.

Roy A. Civic Governmentality: The Politics of Inclusion in Beirut and Mumbai. Antipode. 2009;41(1):159-179. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8330.2008.00660.x

21.

Catalina Ortiz and Camillo Boano. The Medellín's shifting geopolitics of informality : The Encircled Garden as a dispositive of civil disenfranchisement? In: ; :189-207. doi:10.4324/9781315659275-16

22.

Marcuse P. From critical urban theory to the right to the city. City. 2009;13(2-3):185-197. doi:10.1080/13604810902982177

23.

Sun X, Huang R. Spatial meaning-making and urban activism: Two tales of anti-PX protests in urban China. Journal of Urban Affairs. Published online 22 March 2018:1-21. doi:10.1080/07352166.2018.1443010

24.

Rolnik R. Place, inhabitance and citizenship: the right to housing and the right to the city in the contemporary urban world. International Journal of Housing Policy. 2014;14(3):293-300. doi:10.1080/14616718.2014.936178

25.

Bell B, Wakeford K. Expanding Architecture: Design as Activism. Metropolis Books; 2008.

26.

Miraftab F. Insurgency and Spaces of Active Citizenship: The Story of Western Cape
Anti-eviction Campaign in South Africa. Journal of Planning Education and Research.
2005;25(2):200-217. doi:10.1177/0739456X05282182

27.

Winkler T. Black texts on white paper: Learning to   resistant texts as an
approach towards decolonising planning. Planning Theory. Published online 4 November
2017. doi:10.1177/1473095217739335

28.

Al-Harithy H. The participative discourse: community activism in post-war reconstruction.
In: Saliba R, ed. Urban Design in the Arab World: Reconceptualizing Boundaries. Vol Design
and the built environment series. Ashgate; 2015:39-50.
https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=3d19f9c2-7c36-e711-80c9-005056af4099

29.

Carabelli G. Grassroots Movements and the Production of (Other) Space(s) - published in
The divided city and the grassroots: the (un)making of ethnic divisions in Mostar. In:
Palgrave Macmillan; 2018.
http://ucl.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&amp
;package_service_id=3192314340004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=476
0

30.

Erfan A. Confronting collective traumas: an exploration of therapeutic planning. Planning
Theory & Practice. 2017;18(1):34-50. doi:10.1080/14649357.2016.1249909

31.

Fawaz M. Hezbollah as Urban Planner? Questions To and From Planning Theory. Planning
Theory. 2009;8(4):323-334. doi:10.1177/1473095209341327

32.

Lahoud A. Post-Traumatic Urbanism. Architectural Design. 2010;80(5):14-23. doi:10.1002/ad.1128

33.

Thomas Abbot, Roxana Aslan, Riley O'Brien and Nathan Serafin. Embrace abolitionist planning to fight Trumpism. https://www.progressivecity.net/single-post/2018/04/06/EMBRACE-ABOLITIONIST-PLANNING-TO-FIGHT-TRUMPISM

34.

Petrescu D, Petcou C, Baibarac C. Co-producing commons-based resilience: lessons from R-Urban. Building Research & Information. 2016;44(7):717-736. doi:10.1080/09613218.2016.1214891

35.

Carabelli G. The Divided City and the Grassroots: The (Un)Making of Ethnic Divisions in Mostar. Palgrave Macmillan; 2018. http://ucl.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=3192314340004761&institutionId=4761&customerId=4760

36.

de Souza ML. Together with the state, despite the state, against the state. Social Movements as 'Critical Urban Planning' Agents. City. 2006;10(3):327-342. doi:10.1080/13604810600982347

37.

Awan N, Schneider T, Till J. Chapter 3: The operations of spatial agency. In: Spatial Agency: Other Ways of Doing Architecture. Routledge; 2011:69-82. https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=491ebea7-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099

38.

Mukhija V. Urban Design for a Planet of Informal Cities. In: Companion to Urban Design. Routledge; 2014. doi:10.4324/9780203844434.ch43

39.

Mattila H. Aesthetic justice and urban planning: Who ought to have the right to design cities? GeoJournal. 2002;58(2/3):131-138. doi:10.1023/B:GEJO.0000010832.88129.cc

40.

Frediani AA. Re-imagining Participatory Design: Reflecting on the ASF-UK Change by Design Methodology. Design Issues. 2016;32(3):98-111. doi:10.1162/DESI_a_00403

41.

Appadurai A. Deep democracy: urban governmentality and the horizon of politics. Environment and Urbanization. 2001;13(2):23-43. doi:10.1177/095624780101300203

42.

Blundell Jones P, Petrescu D, Till J, eds. Architecture and Participation. Routledge; 2012. http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203022863

43.

AbdouMaliq Simone , and Edgar Pieterse. New Urban Worlds : Inhabiting Dissonant Times. Polity Press; 2017. https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/UCL/reader.action?docID=4983712&ppg=173

44.

Laura Roth, Kate Shea Baird. Municipalism and the Feminization of Politics. https://roarmag.org/magazine/municipalism-feminization-urban-politics/

45.

Loo S. Design-Ing Ethics: the Good, the Bad and the Performative. In: Design and Ethics: Reflections on Practice. Routledge; 2012.
https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f6e15cf3-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099

46.

Hou J. Citizen Design: participation and beyond. In: Companion to Urban Design. Routledge; 2014. doi:10.4324/9780203844434.ch25

47.

Luansang C, Boonmahathanakorn S, Domingo-Price ML. The role of community architects in upgrading; reflecting on the experience in Asia. Environment and Urbanization. 2012;24(2):497-512. doi:10.1177/0956247812456125

48.

Wahby N. Institutions and Populism in the Global South-Lessons for the Brexit-Trump Era. City & Community. 2017;16(2):139-144. doi:10.1111/cico.12234

49.

Umemoto K. Walking in Another's Shoes. Journal of Planning Education and Research. 2001;21(1):17-31. doi:10.1177/0739456X0102100102

50.

Lee Y. Design participation tactics: the challenges and new roles for designers in the co-design process. CoDesign. 2008;4(1):31-50. doi:10.1080/15710880701875613

51.

Choguill MBG. A ladder of community participation for underdeveloped countries. Habitat International. 1996;20(3):431-444. doi:10.1016/0197-3975(96)00020-3

52.

Frediani A, Boano C. Processes for Just Products: the Capability Space of Participatory

Design. In: The Capability Approach, Technology and Design. Springer; 2012.
https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007%2F978-94-007-3879-9

53.

Boano C, Talocci G. Fences and Profanations: Questioning the Sacredness of Urban Design.
Journal of Urban Design. 2014;19(5):700-721. doi:10.1080/13574809.2014.943701

54.

Hirst PQ. Space and Power: Politics, War and Architecture. Polity; 2005.

55.

View of Toward an Architecture of Dissensus: Participatory Urbanism in South-East Asia -
Boano, C. and Kelling, E. (2013).
https://journals.open.tudelft.nl/index.php/footprint/article/view/Boano/945

56.

Doucet I. Understanding social engagement in architecture. In: Karim F, ed. The Routledge
Companion to Architecture and Social Engagement. Routledge; 2018.
https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=3a3e354f-f5fd-e811-80cd-005056af4099

57.

Luansang C, Boonmahathanakorn S, Domingo-Price ML. The role of community architects
in upgrading; reflecting on the experience in Asia. Environment and Urbanization.
2012;24(2):497-512. doi:10.1177/0956247812456125

58.

Till J. Architecture of the Impure Community. In: Hill J, ed. Occupying Architecture: Between
the Architect and the User. Routledge; 1998:34-42.
http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203983829

59.

Cleaver F. Institutions, Agency and the Limitations of Participatory Approaches to Development. In: Participation: The New Tyranny?. Zed Books; 2001:36-55.

60.

Forester J. Making Participation Work When Interests Conflict: Moving from Facilitating Dialogue and Moderating Debate to Mediating Negotiations. Journal of the American Planning Association. 2006;72(4):447-456. doi:10.1080/01944360608976765

61.

Russell B. Radical municipalism: demanding the future. Published 26 July 2017. https://www.opendemocracy.net/plan-c/radical-municipalism-demanding-future

62.

Siemiatycki M. The Role of the Planning Scholar. Journal of Planning Education and Research. 2012;32(2):147-159. doi:10.1177/0739456X12440729

63.

Fraser, Nancy. Rethinking Recognition. New Left Review. 3:1103-1124. https://newleftreview.org/II/3/nancy-fraser-rethinking-recognition

64.

Martens K. Participatory Experiments from the Bottom up: The role of environmental NGOs and citizen groups. European Journal of Spatial Development. 2005;18:1-20. https://archive.nordregio.se/European-Journal-of-Spatial-Development/Archive/2005/index.html

65.

Watson V. Deep Difference: Diversity, Planning and Ethics. Planning Theory. 2006;5(1):31-50. doi:10.1177/1473095206061020

66.

Yacobi H. The NGOization of Space: Dilemmas of Social Change, Planning Policy, and the Israeli Public Sphere. Environment and Planning D: Society and Space. 2007;25(4):745-758. doi:10.1068/d459t

67.

Yacobi H, Ventura J, Danzig S. Walls, enclaves and the (counter) politics of design. Journal of Urban Design. 2016;21(4):481-494. doi:10.1080/13574809.2016.1184566

68.

John Forester. Listening: the social policy of everyday life in Planning in the Face of Power. University of California Press, Berkeley. In: Planning in the Face of Power. University of California Press; 1989. https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=a080e248-73f4-e711-80cd-005056af4099

69.

Markus TA, Cameron D. Why language matters. In: The Words between the Spaces: Buildings and Language. Vol The architext series. Routledge; 2002:1-17. https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9f5a20ed-8b33-e911-80cd-005056af4099

70.

Fenster T. Cognitive Temporal Mapping: The Three Steps Method in Urban Planning. Planning Theory & Practice. 2009;10(4):479-498. doi:10.1080/14649350903417266

71.

Akhil Gupta and Ferguson, D. Discipline and Practice:"The Field" As Site, Method, and Location In Anthropology. … locations: Boundaries and grounds of a …. http://www.academia.edu/252003/Discipline_and_Practice_The_Field_As_Site_Method_and_Location_In_Anthropology

72.

McDowell, L (1992a), Doing gender: Feminism, feminists and research methods in human geography. Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, New Series 17 399–416. http://www.blackwellpublishing.com/pdf/Doing_Gender.pdf

73.

Read C, Earnest J, Ali M, Poonacha V. Applying a Practical, Participatory Action Research
Framework for Producing Knowledge, Action and Change in Communities: A Health Case
Study from Gujarat, Western India. In: Tiwari R, Lommerse M, Smith D, eds. M2 Models and
Methodologies for Community Engagement. Springer Singapore; 2014:91-105.
doi:10.1007/978-981-4585-11-8_6

74.

Leivas M. From the body to the city: participatory action research with social cartography
for transformative education and global citizenship. Educational Action Research.
Published online 21 September 2018:1-16. doi:10.1080/09650792.2018.1519453

75.

Amir S. Rethinking Design Policy in the Third World. Design Issues. 2004;20(4):68-75.
doi:10.1162/0747936042311995

76.

Komarova M, McKnight M. 'We Are Watching You Too': Reflections on Doing Visual
Research in a Contested City. Sociological Research Online. 2013;18(1).
doi:10.5153/sro.2877

77.

Rose G. Situating knowledges: positionality, reflexivities and other tactics. Progress in
Human Geography. 1997;21(3):305-320. doi:10.1191/030913297673302122

78.

Flowerdew R, Martin D. Methods in Human Geography: A Guide for Students Doing a
Research Project. 2nd ed. Prentice Hall; 2005.
http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=UCL&isbn=9781405898416

79.

Hamdi N. Chapter 12. Insiders Out and Outsiders: Practical wisdom and the co-Production of Knowledge. In: The Spacemaker's Guide to Big Change: Design and Improvisation in Development Practice. Vol Earthscan tools for community planning. Routledge; 2014. http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315773315

80.

Mitlin D, Bartlett S. Editorial: Co-production – key ideas. Environment and Urbanization. 2018;30(2):355-366. doi:10.1177/0956247818791931

81.

Sarkissian, W. and Bunjamin-Mau, W. et al. Designing and managing a workshop. In: SpeakOut: The Step-by-Step Guide to SpeakOuts and Community Workshops. Vol Tools for community planning. Earthscan; 2009. https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=55416db3-8436-e711-80c9-005056af4099

82.

Saba Golchehr. Data-driven design for civic participation : Introducing digital methods for on-going civic engagement for design in public space. :56-70. doi:10.4324/9781315110332-5

83.

Burgess J, Harrison CM, Limb M. People, Parks and the Urban Green: A Study of Popular Meanings and Values for Open Spaces in the City. Urban Studies. 1988;25(6):455-473. doi:10.1080/00420988820080631

84.

We Drew What We Imagined: Participatory Mapping Performance, and Arts of Landscape Making, Sletto, B. I., (2009). http://www.iapad.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Sletto_CA_August_20091.pdf

85.

Freire P, Bergman Ramos M. Pedagogy of the Oppressed. New revised edition. Penguin

Books; 1996.

86.

Haraway D. Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective. Feminist Studies. 1988;14(3). doi:10.2307/3178066

87.

SARA KINDON. Participatory Action Research: Origins, approaches and methods. :35-44. doi:10.4324/9780203933671-13

88.

Jeremy Till. Imperfect ethics. In: Architecture Depends. MIT Press; 2009:171-187. https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=730082ec-4e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099

89.

Miessen M. Collaboration and the conflictual, Published in The nightmare of participation - p. 91-104. In: Sternberg Press; 2010.

90.

Cooke B, Kothari U. The case for participation as tyranny. In: Participation: The New Tyranny?. Zed Books; 2001:1-15. https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c4bd2ae2-8a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099

91.

Mouffe C. Agonistics: Thinking the World Politically. Verso; 2013.

92.

Kaminer T. Theories of participation, theories of contestation. In: The Efficacy of

Architecture: Political Contestation and Agency. Routledge; 2017.

93.

Moser CON. Community participation in urban projects in the Third World. Progress in Planning. 1989;32:71-133. doi:10.1016/0305-9006(89)90010-X

94.

Sandercock L. Re/presenting Planning's Histories. In: Towards Cosmopolis: Planning for Multicultural Cities. John Wiley & Sons; 1998:33-54. https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=a57f9aa3-151e-ed11-bd6e-a04a5e5d2f8d

95.

Yacobi H. Social Exclusion, Housing Environment and Tolerant Planning: The Case of the Jahelin Bedouin Tribe. Hagar - International Social Science Review. 69-84. Hagar international social science review = Hājir. 2004;5(1):69-83.

96.

Abu-Orf H. Is planning possible in cities divided by violent conflict? International Development Planning Review. 2011;33(3):321-342. doi:10.3828/idpr.2011.16

97.

Bower R. Architecture and Space Re-Imagined: Learning from the Difference, Multiplicity, and Otherness of Development Practice. Vol Routledge Research in Place, Space and Politics. Routledge; 2017. http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315678146

98.

Hamdi N, Goethert R. Chapter 2. Action Planning In Theory. In: Action Planning for Cities: A Guide to Community Practice. John Wiley; 1997:23-59.

99.

Hamdi N. Chapter 3. Deciding how to decide. In: The Spacemaker's Guide to Big Change:

Design and Improvisation in Development Practice. Vol Earthscan tools for community planning. Routledge; 2014. http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315773315

100.

Hamdi N. Chapter 6. In Search of a Community and Structure of Place. In: Small Change: The Art of Practice and the Limits of Planning in Cities. Earthscan; 2004:58-72.

101.

Luck R. Learning to talk to users in participatory design situations. Design Studies. 2007;28(3):217-242. doi:10.1016/j.destud.2007.02.002

102.

Environment and Urbanization. http://journals.sagepub.com/loi/eau

103.

Camillo Boano and William Hunter. Collaboration and the conflictual. In: The Nightmare of Participation: [Crossbench Praxis as a Mode of Criticality]. Sternberg Press; 2010:91-104.

104.

Hamdi N. Chapter 7. Participation in practice. In: The Spacemaker's Guide to Big Change: Design and Improvisation in Development Practice. Vol Earthscan tools for community planning. Routledge; 2014. http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315773315

105.

Petrescu D, Chiles P. Agency: alternative practices and alternative worlds. Architectural Research Quarterly. 2009;13(02). doi:10.1017/S1359135509990194

106.

Hamdi N. Chapter 8. PEAS and the Sociable Side of Practice. In: The Placemaker's Guide to

Building Community. Vol Tools for community planning. Earthscan; 2010:141-152.

107.

Sandercock L, Attili G. Digital Ethnography as Planning Praxis: An Experiment with Film as Social Research, Community Engagement and Policy Dialogue. Planning Theory & Practice. 2010;11(1):23-45. doi:10.1080/14649350903538012

108.

Hamdi N. Chapter 9. Reasoning to Scale. In: The Placemaker's Guide to Building Community. Vol Tools for community planning. Earthscan; 2010:160-153.

109.

Hamdi N. Chapter 11. Governance and networks: organizing from inside out. In: Small Change: The Art of Practice and the Limits of Planning in Cities. Earthscan; 2004:107-115.

110.

Environment and Urbanization. http://journals.sagepub.com/loi/eau

111.

Mitlin D, Thompson J. Participatory approaches in urban areas: strengthening civil society or   reinforcing the status quo? Environment and Urbanization. 1995;7(1):231-250. doi:10.1177/095624789500700113

112.

Petrescu D, Petcou C. Tactics for a Transgressive Practice. Architectural Design. 2013;83(6):58-65. doi:10.1002/ad.1675

113.

Mitlin D, Satterthwaite D. Empowering Squatter Citizen: Local Government, Civil Society

and Urban Poverty Reduction. Earthscan; 2004.

114.

Cowan R. Placecheck: a user's guide. . Published online 2001.

115.

Robinson J. White women researching/representing 'others': from antiapartheid to postcolonialism? In: Writing Women and Space: Colonial and Postcolonial Geographies. Vol Mappings. Guilford Press; 1994:197-228.

116.

Foucault M. The eye of power. In: Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writings, 1972-1977. Longman; 1980:146-165.

117.

Burgess R, Carmona M, Kolstee T. Contemporary policies for enablement and participation : a critical review. In: The Challenge of Sustainable Cities: Neoliberalism and Urban Strategies in Developing Countries. Zed; 1997:138-162. https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=9c4bae9a-8a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099

118.

D. M. Petrescu, K. Trogal. The social (re)production of Architecture in 'crisis-riddled' times. In: Petrescu D, Trogal K, eds. The Social (Re)Production of Architecture: Politics, Values and Actions in Contemporary Practice. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group; 2017. doi:10.4324/9781315717180

119.

Jenkins P, Smith H, Wang YP. Post-1990 issues in planning and housing. In: Planning and Housing in the Rapidly Urbanising World. Vol Housing, planning, and design series. Routledge; 2007:178-203. https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ee5bfbd5-9536-e711-80c9-005056af4099

120.

Cooke B, Kothari U. The case for participation as tyranny. In: Participation: The New Tyranny?. Zed Books; 2001:1-15.
https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c4bd2ae2-8a36-e711-80c9-005056af4099

121.

Blunt A, Dowling RM. Setting up home: an introduction. In: Home. Vol Key ideas in geography. Routledge; 2006:1-31.
https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=2394bfc2-9536-e711-80c9-005056af4099

122.

Lane BM. What is home? In: Housing and Dwelling: Perspectives on Modern Domestic Architecture. Routledge; 2007:50-73.
https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=f87a55cc-9536-e711-80c9-005056af4099

123.

Coole D. Experiencing Discourse: Corporeal Communicators and the Embodiment of Power. The British Journal of Politics and International Relations. 2007;9(3):413-433.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-856X.2006.00258.x

124.

Kumar S. Conceptual specifications. In: Methods for Community Participation: A Complete Guide for Practitioners. Practical Action Publishing; 2002:23-52.
https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ca0786a4-8836-e711-80c9-005056af4099

125.

Hamdi N. Small Change: The Art of Practice and the Limits of Planning in Cities. Earthscan; 2004.

126.

Sundberg J. Looking for the critical geographer, or why bodies and geographies matter to the emergence of critical geographies of Latin America. Geoforum. 2005;36(1):17-28. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2004.03.006

127.

Swyngedouw E, Wilson J. Insurgent architects and the spectral return of the urban political. In: Metzger J, Allmendinger P, Oosterlynck S, eds. Planning against the Political: Democratic Deficits in European Territorial Governance. Routledge; 2015:215-225. https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=20930d20-33c9-e711-80cd-005056af4099

128.

Kindon SL, Pain R, Kesby M. Participatory action research: origins, approaches and methods. In: Participatory Action Research Approaches and Methods: Connecting People, Participation and Place. Vol Routledge studies in human geography. Routledge; 2007:9-18. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9781134135561

129.

Patel S, Mitlin D. Grassroots-driven development: The alliance of SPARC, the National Slum Dwellers Federation and Mahila Milan. In: Empowering Squatter Citizen: Local Government, Civil Society and Urban Poverty Reduction. Earthscan; 2004:216-241. https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=5b1d1c38-8436-e711-80c9-005056af4099

130.

Wates N. General principles A-Z. In: Community Planning Handbook : How People Can Shape Their Cities, Towns and Villages in Any Part of the World. Earthscan Publications Ltd; 2000:11-21.

131.

Frediani AA, Boano C. Processes for just products: the capability space of participatory design. In: The Capability Approach, Technology and Design. Vol Philosophy of engineering

and technology. Springer; 2012:203-222.
https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007%2F978-94-007-3879-9

132.

Zetter R. Market enablement or sustainable development : the conflicting paradigms of urbanization. In: Planning in Cities: Sustainability and Growth in the Developing World. Vol Urban management series. ITDG; 2002:31-42.
https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=bea9ee93-8836-e711-80c9-005056af4099

133.

Cornwall A, Coelho VSP. Spaces for change?: The politics of participation in new democratic arenas. In: Spaces for Change?: The Politics of Citizen Participation in New Democratic Arenas. Vol Claiming citizenship. Zed; 2007:1-29.
https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=722bea86-8336-e711-80c9-005056af4099

134.

Glass J. Facing the Future by Designing in Resilience: An Architectural Perspective. In: Hazards and the Built Environment: Attaining Built-in Resilience. Routledge; 2008:172-188.
https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=ad954536-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099

135.

Seymour W. Exhuming the Body: Revisiting the Role of the Visible Body in Ethnographic Research. Qualitative Health Research. 2007;17(9):1188-1197.
doi:10.1177/1049732307308517

136.

Ramirez R. Integrated Informality in the Barrios of Havana. In: Rethinking the Informal City: Critical Perspectives from Latin America. Vol Remapping cultural history. Berghahn Books; 2010:137-162.
https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=222f22f0-8536-e711-80c9-005056af4099

137.

Turner JFC. Issues in Self-Help and Self-Managed Housing. In: Self-Help Housing: A Critique. Mansell; 1982:99-113. https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=c2ed8bb4-6536-e711-80c9-005056af4099

138.

Madanipour A. Introduction. In: Whose Public Space?: International Case Studies in Urban Design and Development. Routledge; 2010:1-15. https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=e4a879a0-5536-e711-80c9-005056af4099

139.

Dovey K. Home and homelessness. In: Home Environments. Vol Human behavior and environment. Plenum Press; 1985:33-64. https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=8e4fc2f6-4f36-e711-80c9-005056af4099

140.

Eyben R, Harris C, Pettit J. Introduction: Exploring Power for Change. IDS Bulletin. 2006;37(6):1-10. doi:10.1111/j.1759-5436.2006.tb00318.x

141.

Till J. Imperfect ethics. In: Architecture Depends. MIT Press; 2009:171-187. https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=730082ec-4e36-e711-80c9-005056af4099

142.

Schuermans N, Newton C. Being a young and foreign researcher in South Africa: Towards a postcolonial dialogue. Singapore Journal of Tropical Geography. 2012;33(3):295-300. doi:10.1111/sjtg.12005_4

143.

Progress in Human Geography. https://search.proquest.com/publication/37137